Abstract

The aim of this paper is to study analogs of noncommutative Donaldson-Thomas invariants corresponding to the refined topological vertex for small crepant resolutions of toric Calabi-Yau 3-folds. We give three definitions of the invariants which are equivalent to each other and provide “wall-crossing” formulas for the invariants. In particular, we get normalized generating functions which are unchanged under “wall-crossing”.

Introduction

Donaldson-Thomas theory ([Tho00]) is intersection theory on the moduli spaces of ideal sheaves on a smooth variety, which is conjecturally equivalent to Gromov-Witten theory ([MNOP06]). For a Calabi-Yau 3-fold, the virtual dimension of the moduli space is zero and hence Donaldson-Thomas invariants are said to be counting invariants of ideal sheaves. It is known that they coincide with the weighted Euler characteristics of the moduli spaces weighted by the Behrend’s functions ([Beh09]). Recently, study of Donaldson-Thomas invariants of Calabi-Yau 3-folds using categorical methods has been being developed ([Joy08, Joy07, Tod09, Tod, KS, JS] etc.).

On the other hand, a smooth variety $Y$ sometimes has a noncommutative associative algebra $A$ such that the derived category of coherent sheaves on $Y$ is equivalent to the derived category of $A$-modules. Derived McKay correspondence ([KV00, BKR01]) and Van den Bergh’s noncommutative crepant resolutions ([VdB]) are typical examples. In such cases, B. Szendroi proposed to study counting invariants of $A$-modules (noncommutative Donaldson-Thomas invariants) and relations with the original Donaldson-Thomas invariants on $Y$ ([Sze08]). In [NN, Naga], we provided wall-crossing formulas which relate generating functions of Donaldson-Thomas and noncommutative Donaldson-Thomas invariants for small crepant resolutions of toric Calabi-Yau 3-folds. (We say a resolution of a 3-fold is small if the dimension of each fiber is less than or equal to 1.)

The aim of this paper is to propose new invariants generalizing noncommutative Donaldson-Thomas invariants and to provide “wall-crossing formulas” for
small crepant resolutions of toric Calabi-Yau 3-folds. We have two directions of
generalizations:

- “open” version\(^1\): corresponding to counting invariants of sheaves on \(Y\)
  with non-compact supports\(^2\).
- refined version: corresponding to refined topological vertex \(^3\). \(^4\)

Let \(Y \to X\) be a projective small crepant resolution of an affine toric Calabi-
Yau 3-fold. Recall that giving an affine toric Calabi-Yau 3-fold is equivalent
to giving a convex lattice polygon. Existence of a small crepant resolution is
equivalent to absence of interior lattice points in the polygon. It is easy to
classify such polygons and \(X\) is one of the following:

- \(X = X_{L^+,L^-} := \{xy = z^{L^+}w^{L^-}\} \subset \mathbb{C}^4\) for \(L^+ > 0\) and \(L^- \geq 0\), or
- \(X = X_{(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^2} := \mathbb{C}^3/(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^2\) where \((\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^2\) acts on \(\mathbb{C}^3\) with weights \((1,0), (0,1)\) and \((1,1)\).

![Figure 1: Polygons for \(X_{L^+,L^-}\) and \(X_{(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^2}\)](image)

In this paper, we study the first case. We put \(L := L^++L^-\). Note that \(X_{1,1}\) is
called the conifold and \(X_{L,0}\) is isomorphic to \(\mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}^2/(\mathbb{Z}/L\mathbb{Z})\).

Given a pair of Young diagrams \(\nu = (\nu_+, \nu_-)\) and an \(L\)-tuple of Young
diagrams\(^5\)

\[ \lambda = (\lambda^{(1/2)}, \ldots, \lambda^{(L-1/2)}) , \]

the generating function of \(\text{refined open noncommutative Donaldson-Thomas invariants}\) \(\text{rnoncDT, in short}\)

\[ Z_{Y,\lambda,\nu}(\vec{q}) = Z_{\lambda,\nu}(q_+, q_-, q_1, \ldots, q_{L-1}) , \]

which is denoted by \(Z_{\text{RTV}}^{\text{rncDT}}\) in the body of this paper, is defined by counting the
number of the following data:

- an \((L-1)\)-tuple of Young diagrams \(\vec{\nu} = (\nu^{(1)}, \ldots, \nu^{(L-1)})\), and
- an \(L\)-tuple of 3-dimensional Young diagrams \(\vec{\lambda} = (\lambda^{(1/2)}, \ldots, \lambda^{(L-1/2)})\)
such that \(\lambda^{(j)}\) is of type \((\lambda^{(j)}, \nu^{(j+1/2)}, \nu^{(j-1/2)})\) or \((\lambda^{(j)}, \nu^{(j-1/2)}, \nu^{(j+1/2)})\)
  (see \([5,3]\) for the details).

\(^1\)The word “open” stems from such terminologies as “open topological string theory”.
According to \([AKMV05]\), open topological string partition function is given by summing up
the generating functions of these invariants over Young diagrams.

\(^2\)As far as the author knows, there is no definition of “open” invariants for general Calabi-
Yau 3-folds.

\(^3\)See \([BHS]\) for a geometric definition of refined invariants.
Such data parametrize torus fixed ideal sheaves on the small crepant resolution $Y$. In particular,

$$Z^{Y}_{\emptyset, \emptyset}(\vec{q}) \bigg|_{q_+ = q_-}$$

coincides with the generating function of Euler characteristic versions of the Donaldson-Thomas invariants of $Y$. 

Let $A$ be a noncommutative crepant resolution of $X$ and $\mathbb{Z}_h$ denote the set of half integers and $\theta: \mathbb{Z}_h \to \mathbb{Z}_h$ be a bijection such that $\theta(h + L) = \theta(h) + L$ and such that

$$\theta(1/2) + \cdots + \theta(L - 1/2) = 1/2 + \cdots + (L - 1/2).$$

In this paper, we will define generating functions $Z^A_{\lambda, \nu, \theta}(\vec{q})$, which are denoted by $Z_{\sigma, \lambda, \nu, \theta}(\vec{q})$ in the body of this paper (see §3.4), such that

- $Z^A_{\emptyset, \emptyset, \emptyset}(\vec{q})$ coincides with the generating function $Z^A_{\text{NCDT}, \text{eu}}$ of Euler characteristic versions of noncommutative Donaldson-Thomas invariants for the noncommutative crepant resolution $A$ (see [MR10] and Remark 2.4),
- $\lim_{\theta \to \infty} Z^A_{\lambda, \nu, \theta}(\vec{q}) = Z^{Y}_{\lambda, \nu}(\vec{q})$ (Theorem 5.8).

Moreover, for $i \in I := \mathbb{Z}/L\mathbb{Z}$ we can define the new bijection $\mu_i(\theta): \mathbb{Z}_h \to \mathbb{Z}_h$ (see §1.2.1) and

- $Z^A_{\lambda, \nu, \mu_i(\theta)}(\vec{q})/Z^A_{\lambda, \nu, \theta}(\vec{q})$ is given explicitly (Theorem 4.5 and 4.11).

In [NN, Naga], we realized $Z^A_{\emptyset, \emptyset, \theta}(\vec{q})$ as generating functions of virtual counting of certain moduli spaces and these moduli spaces are constructed using geometric invariant theory. In this story, $\theta$ determines a chamber in the space of stability parameters and the chamber corresponding to $\theta$ is adjacent to the chamber corresponding to $\mu_i(\theta)$ by a single wall. This is the reason we call Theorem 4.5 and 4.11 as “wall-crossing” formulas even though our definition of the invariants and the proof of the formula are given in combinatorial ways. In fact, in the subsequent paper [Nagb] we provide an alternative geometric definition, in which $\theta$ determines a chamber in the space of Bridgeland’s stability conditions for the category of finite dimensional $A$-modules.

As a corollary of the “wall-crossing” formula, we get

- $Z_{\lambda, \nu, \theta}^A / Z_{\lambda, \theta}^A = Z_{\lambda, \nu}^Y / Z_{\lambda, \emptyset}^Y$ (Corollary 4.13 and 5.12)

for any $\theta, \lambda$ and $\nu$, and

- $\left( Z_{\lambda, \nu, \theta}^A / Z_{\emptyset, \emptyset, \theta}^A \right) \bigg|_{q_+ = q_-} = \left( Z_{\lambda, \nu}^Y / Z_{\emptyset, \emptyset}^Y \right) \bigg|_{q_+ = q_-}$ (Corollary 4.13 and 5.14).

---

4 The Euler characteristic version of Donaldson-Thomas invariant coincides with the Donaldson-Thomas invariant up to sign ([MNOP06]).

5 The Euler characteristic version of noncommutative Donaldson-Thomas invariant coincides with the noncommutative Donaldson-Thomas invariant up to sign ([Naga, MR10]).

6 The limit in this equation is, in fact, equivalent to a limit in the space of stability conditions for the category of finite dimensional $A$-modules.

7 A moduli space of stable $A$-modules with the specific numerical data gives a crepant resolution of $X$ ([IU]). The direction in which we take limit in the space of stability conditions determines a stability parameter in the construction of a crepant resolution.
for any $\theta$, $\lambda$ and $\nu$ such that $c_{1}(j) = 0$ for any $j$ (see §1.3.1 for the notation). According to the result in [NN, Naga], these formulas should be interpreted as stability of the normalized generating functions under “wall-crossing”. We can find such stability of normalized generating functions in other contexts such as flop invariance and DT-PT correspondence. Categorical interpretations of such normalized generating functions and their stability are waited.

Now, we summarize the prior study on noncommutative Donaldson-Thomas invariants:

- Szendroi’s formula on the generating function of noncommutative Donaldson-Thomas invariants of the conifold was shown by B. Young in a purely combinatorial way ([You09]). The main tool is an operation called dimer shuffling.
- In [BY10], the authors generalized Szendroi-Young formula for $X_{L,0}$ and $X_{(Z/2Z)^{\ell}}$. The method is different from the one used in [You09]: they use vertex operator method.
- In [NN], we gave an interpretation of Szendroi-Young formula as a consequence of the wall-crossing formula. From our point of view, the argument in [You09] is obtained by translating the argument in [NN] into combinatorial language by localization. In particular, dimer shuffling is nothing but “mutation” in the categorical language.
- In [Naga], we generalized the results in [NN] for arbitrary small crepant resolutions of toric Calabi-Yau 3-folds.
- In [JS], the authors study noncommutative Donaldson-Thomas invariants of small crepant resolutions of toric Calabi-Yau 3-folds as examples of their theory of generalized Donaldson-Thomas invariants.
- In [DG], T. Dimofte and S. Gukov provided a refined version of Szendroi-Young formula for the conifold.
- See [JM, CJ09, AOVY, ?] for developments in physics.

In this paper, we define the roncDT invariants using a dimer model (§2), which is purely combinatorial.

In §3, we give an interpretation of the dimer model as a crystal melting model. We construct an $A$-module $M^\text{max}_{\sigma,\lambda,\nu,\theta}$ such that giving a dimer configuration is equivalent to giving a finite dimensional torus invariant quotient module of $M^\text{max}_{\sigma,\lambda,\nu,\theta}$. Hence the roncDT invariant coincides with the Euler characteristic of the moduli space of finite dimensional quotient modules of $M^\text{max}_{\sigma,\lambda,\nu,\theta}$ (see [Nagb]).

In §4, we introduce the notion of dimer shuffling to prove the “wall-crossing” formula (Theorem 4.5 and 4.11), which is the first main result of this paper.

\footnote{From the geometric point of view, the crystal melting model is more natural. But in this paper we adapt the definition using the dimer model since it is more convenient when we prove some technical lemmas, which we also use in [Nagb].}

\footnote{In the case when $\nu_{+} = \nu_{-} = 0$, the moduli spaces have symmetric obstruction theory and the invariant in this paper coincides with the weighted Euler characteristic up to sign.}
Finally we study the limit behavior of the dimer model in \cite{5}. The second main result is that the generating function given by the refined topological vertex for $Y$ appears as the limit (Theorem 5.8).

While preparing the papers, the author was informed from J. Bryan that he and his collaborators C. Cadman and B. Young provided an explicit formula of $Z_{A,\lambda,\nu,\theta}$ for $X_{L,0}$ and $X_{L,\pm}$ using vertex operator methods (\cite{BCY}). In the subsequent paper \cite{Nagb}, we provide an explicit formula of $Z_{A,\lambda,\nu,\theta}$ for $X_{L,+}, L$ using vertex operator methods.

A physicist may refer to \cite{NY}, in which we explain the result of this paper in a physical context.
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Notation

0.0.1 Indices

Let $\mathbb{Z}_h$ denote the set of half integers and $L$ be a positive integer. We set $I := \mathbb{Z}/L\mathbb{Z}$ and $I_h := \mathbb{Z}_h/L\mathbb{Z}$. The two natural projections $\mathbb{Z} \to I$ and $\mathbb{Z}_h \to I_h$ are denoted by the same symbol $\pi$. We sometimes identify $I$ and $I_h$ with $\{0, \ldots, L-1\}$ and $\{1/2, \ldots, L-1/2\}$ respectively.

The symbols $n$, $h$, $i$ and $j$ are used for elements in $\mathbb{Z}$, $\mathbb{Z}_h$, $I$ and $I_h$ respectively.

For $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $h \in \mathbb{Z}_h$, we define $c(n), c(h) \in \mathbb{Z}$ by

$$n = c(n) \cdot L + \pi(n), \quad h = c(h) \cdot L + \pi(h).$$

0.0.2 Young diagram

A Young diagram $\nu$ is a map $\nu: \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z}$ such that $\nu(n) = |n|$ if $|n| \gg 0$ and $\nu(n) - \nu(n-1) = \pm 1$ for any $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. The map $\mathbb{Z}_h \to \{\pm 1\}$ given by $j \mapsto \nu(j + 1/2) - \nu(j - 1/2)$ is also denoted by $\nu$.

By an abuse of notation, we sometimes identify + and − with 1 and −1.

A Young diagram can be represented by a non-increasing sequence of positive integers. We fix the notation as in Figure 2.

\begin{figure}[h]
\centering
\includegraphics[width=0.5\textwidth]{young_diagram.png}
\caption{$\nu = (1, 1), \dagger \nu = (2)$.}
\end{figure}
0.0.3 Formal variables

Let \( q_+ \) and \( q_0, \ldots, q_{L-1} \) be formal variables. We use \( q_+ \) and \( q_0, \ldots, q_{L-1} \) for generating functions of refined invariants. Substituting \( q_+ = q_- = (q_0)^{1/2} \), we get generating functions of non-refined invariants.

Let \( P := \mathbb{Z} \cdot I \) be the lattice with the basis \( \{ \alpha_i \mid i \in I \} \). For an element \( \alpha = \sum \alpha_i \cdot \alpha_i \in P \) (\( \alpha_i \in \mathbb{Z} \)), we put \( q_\alpha := \prod (q_i)^{\alpha_i} \).

1 Preliminaries

1.1 Affine root system

1.1.1

For \( h, h' \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \), we define \( \alpha_{[h, h']} \in P \) by

\[
\alpha_{[h, h']} := \sum_{n=h+1/2}^{h'-1/2} \alpha_\pi(n)
\]

if \( h < h' \), \( \alpha_{[h, h']} = 1 \) if \( h = h' \) and \( \alpha_{[h, h']} = -\alpha_{[h', h]} \) if \( h > h' \). We set

\[
\Lambda := \{ \alpha_{[h, h']} \in P \mid h \neq h' \},
\]

\[
\Lambda^{\text{fin}^+} := \{ \alpha_{[h, h']} \in \Lambda \mid h < h', h \not\equiv h' \pmod{L} \}.
\]

An element in \( \Lambda \) (resp. \( \Lambda^{\text{fin}^+} \)) is called a root (resp. positive real root) of the affine root system of type \( A_{L-1} \).

1.1.2

The element \( \delta := \alpha_0 + \cdots + \alpha_{L-1} \in P \) is called the minimal imaginary root. We set

\[
\Lambda^{\text{fin}^+} := \{ \alpha_{[j, j']} \in \Lambda \mid 1/2 \leq j < j' \leq L - 1/2 \}
\]

and

\[
\Lambda^{\text{fin}^+}_+ := \{ \alpha_{[j, j']} + N\delta \mid \alpha_{[j, j']} \in \Lambda^{\text{fin}^+}, N \geq 0 \}.
\]

Example 1.1. In the case of \( L = 4 \), we have

\[
\Lambda^{\text{fin}^+} := \{ \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_2 + \alpha_3, \alpha_1 + \alpha_2, \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 \}.
\]

1.1.3

For a root \( \alpha \in \Lambda \), we take \( h \) and \( h' \) such that \( \alpha = \alpha_{[h, h']} \) and set

\[
j_- (\alpha) := \pi(h), \quad \text{and} \quad j_+ (\alpha) := \pi(h').
\]

We also put

\[
B_\alpha := \{ (h, h') \in (\mathbb{Z}_+)^2 \mid \alpha_{[h, h']} = \alpha \}.
\]
1.1.4
Let \( \Theta \) denote the set of bijections \( \theta : \mathbb{Z}_h \to \mathbb{Z}_h \) such that

- \( \theta(h + L) = \theta(h) + L \) for any \( h \in \mathbb{Z}_h \), and
- \( \sum_{h=1/2}^{L-1/2} \theta(h) = \sum_{h=1/2}^{L-1/2} h \).

**Example 1.2.** In the case of \( L = 4 \), the correspondence

\[
\frac{1}{2} \mapsto -\frac{1}{2}, \quad \frac{3}{2} \mapsto \frac{3}{2}, \quad \frac{5}{2} \mapsto \frac{5}{2}, \quad \frac{7}{2} \mapsto \frac{9}{2}
\]

gives an element in \( \Theta \). Let \( \mu_0(id) \) denote this map (see §1.2.1 for the notation).

1.1.5
For \( \theta \in \Theta \) and \( i \in I \), we define \( \alpha(\theta, i) := \alpha_{[\theta(n-1/2), \theta(n+1/2)]} \) \((n \in \pi^{-1}(i))\).

**Example 1.3.**
\[
\begin{align*}
\alpha(id, 0) &= \alpha_0, & \alpha(\mu_0(id), 0) &= -\alpha_0, \\
\alpha(id, 1) &= \alpha_1, & \alpha(\mu_0(id), 1) &= \alpha_0 + \alpha_1, \\
\alpha(id, 2) &= \alpha_2, & \alpha(\mu_0(id), 2) &= \alpha_2, \\
\alpha(id, 3) &= \alpha_3, & \alpha(\mu_0(id), 3) &= \alpha_0 + \alpha_3.
\end{align*}
\]

1.1.6
A positive real root \( \alpha = \alpha_{[h, h']} \) is said to take a positive (resp. negative) value with respect to \( \theta \), which is denoted by \( \theta(\alpha) > 0 \) (resp. \( \theta(\alpha) < 0 \)), if \( \theta^{-1}(h) > \theta^{-1}(h') \) (resp. \( \theta^{-1}(h) < \theta^{-1}(h') \)). We set

\[
\Lambda^{\text{re},+}_\theta := \left\{ \alpha \in \Lambda^{\text{re},+} : \theta(\alpha) > 0 \right\}.
\]

**Example 1.4.**
\[
\Lambda^{\text{re},+}_{id} = \emptyset, \quad \Lambda^{\text{re},+}_{\mu_0(id)} = \{\alpha_0\}.
\]

**Remark 1.5.** As we mentioned in the introduction, we studied moduli spaces of representations of a noncommutative crepant resolution of \( X_{L^+, L^-} \) in [Naga].
In this theory, the space of stability conditions can be canonically identified with \( \mathbb{P}^* \otimes \mathbb{R} \) and the walls are classified as follows:

- the walls \( W_\alpha := (\mathbb{R} \cdot \alpha)^\perp \subset \mathbb{P}^* \otimes \mathbb{R} \) \((\alpha \in \Lambda^{\text{re},+})\), and
- the wall \( W_{\delta} := (\mathbb{R} \cdot \delta)^\perp \), which separates the Donaldson-Thomas and Pandharipande-Thomas chambers.

The maps \( \theta: \mathbb{Z}_h \to \mathbb{Z}_h \) as above parametrize the chambers on one side of the wall \( W_{\delta} \). The notation \( \theta(\alpha) \geq 0 \) respects this parametrization.
1.2 “Wall-crossing”

1.2.1

For $i \in I$, let $\mu_i: \mathbb{Z}_h \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_h$ be the map given by

$$
\mu_i(h) = \begin{cases} 
    h - 1, & \pi(h - 1/2) = i, \\
    h + 1, & \pi(h + 1/2) = i, \\
    h, & \text{otherwise}.
\end{cases}
$$

For $\theta \in \Theta$, we put $\mu_i(\theta) := \theta \circ \mu_i$.

Remark 1.6. The chambers corresponding to $\theta$ and $\mu_i(\theta)$ are separated by the wall $W_{\alpha(\theta, i)}$, which is the reason for the title of this subsection. From the viewpoints of the affine root system, noncommutative crepant resolutions and dimer models, “wall-crossing” corresponds to “simple reflection”, “mutation” and “dimer shuffling” respectively.

1.2.2

Let $i = (i_1, i_2, \ldots) \in I^{Z>0}$ be a sequence of elements in $I$. For $b > 0$, we define $\theta_{l,h} := \mu_{l,h - 1} \cdots (\mu_{i_1}(id)) \cdots \in \Theta$, $\alpha_{l,h} := \alpha(\theta_{l,h}, i_b)$.

We say $i \in I^{Z>0}$ is a minimal expression if $\theta_{l,b}(\alpha_{l,h}) < 0$ for any $b > 0$. For a minimal expression $i$, we have

$$
\Lambda_{\theta_{l,h}}^{\pm} = \{\alpha_{1,1}, \ldots, \alpha_{l, b-1}\}.
$$

1.3 Core and quotient of a Young diagram

1.3.1

Let $\sigma: I_h \rightarrow \{\pm\}$ and $\lambda: \mathbb{Z}_h \rightarrow \{\pm\}$ be maps such that $\lambda(h) = \pm \sigma(\pi(h))$ if $\pm h \gg 0$.

We define integers $c_{\lambda}[j]$ and Young diagrams $\lambda[j]$ for $j \in I_h$ by

$$
\lambda(h) = \lambda[\pi(h)](\sigma(j(h))) \cdot (c(h) - c_{\lambda}[\pi(h)] + 1/2)).
$$

Remark 1.7. In the case $\sigma \equiv +$ and $\sum c_{\lambda}[j] = 0$, the sequence $(c_{\lambda}[j])$ of integers and the sequence $(\lambda[j])$ of Young diagrams are called the $L$-core and the $L$-quotient of the Young diagram $\lambda$.

1.3.2

We put

$$
B_{\sigma, \lambda}^{\alpha, \pm} := \{(h, h') \in B^\alpha | -\lambda(h)\sigma(h) = \lambda(h')\sigma(h') = \pm\}. \quad (1.3)
$$

Lemma 1.8.

$$
|B_{\sigma, \lambda}^{\alpha, +} - B_{\sigma, \lambda}^{\alpha, -}| = \alpha^0 + c_{\lambda}[j_-(\alpha)] - c_{\lambda}[j_+(\alpha)].
$$
Proof. We write simply $j_{\pm}$ for $j_\pm(\alpha)$. Note that we have
\[ B^\alpha = \{ (cL + j_-, (c + \alpha^0)L + j_+) \mid c \in \mathbb{Z} \}. \]
For an integer $N$, we set
\[ B^\alpha_N := \{ (cL + j_-, (c + \alpha^0)L + j_+) \mid c \in [-N, N - 1] \}. \]
Take a sufficiently large integer $N$, then we have
\[ B^\alpha_{\sigma, \lambda} := B^\alpha_N \]
and so
\[ |B^\alpha_{\sigma, \lambda}^+| - |B^\alpha_{\sigma, \lambda}^-| = \mathcal{Z}(h, h') \in B^\alpha_N \{ \lambda(h)\sigma(h) = + \} + \mathcal{Z}(h, h') \in B^\alpha_N \{ \lambda(h')\sigma(h') = + \} = \mathcal{Z}(c \in [-N, N - 1] \{ \lambda^j \cdot (c - c\alpha[j_-] + 1/2) = \alpha(j_-) \} + \mathcal{Z}(c \in [-N, N - 1] \{ \lambda^j \cdot (c + \alpha^0 - c\alpha[j_+] + 1/2) = \alpha(j_+) \} = (N - c\alpha[j_-] - 1/2) + (N + c\alpha[j_+] - 1/2) = \alpha^0 + c\alpha[j_-] - c\alpha[j_+]. \]
\[ \square \]
For $\sigma, \lambda, \theta$ and $i$, we put
\[ B^\alpha_{\sigma, \lambda, \theta} := \{ n \in \pi^{-1}(i) \mid (\theta(n - 1/2), \theta(n + 1/2)) \in B^\alpha_{\sigma, \lambda} \}. \tag{1.4} \]

2 Dimer model

2.1 Dimer configurations

2.1.1

We fix the following data:
- a map $\sigma: I_h \to \{ \pm \}$,
- a map $\lambda: \mathbb{Z}_h \to \{ \pm \}$ such that $\lambda(h) = \pm \sigma(\pi(h))$ for $\pm h \geq 0$,
- a pair of Young diagrams $\nu = (\nu_+, \nu_-)$,
- a bijection $\theta: \mathbb{Z}_h \to \mathbb{Z}_h$ in $\Theta$.

We put $\tilde{\sigma} := \sigma \circ \pi \circ \theta$, $\tilde{\lambda} := \lambda \circ \theta$ and $L_{\pm} := |\sigma^{-1}(\pm)|$.

2.1.2

We consider the following graph in the $(x, y)$-plane.

First, we set
\[ H(\sigma, \theta) := \{ n \in \mathbb{Z} \mid \tilde{\sigma}(n - 1/2) = \tilde{\sigma}(n + 1/2) \}, \quad I_H(\sigma, \theta) := \pi(H(\sigma, \theta)), \tag{2.1} \]
\[ S(\sigma, \theta) := \{ n \in \mathbb{Z} \mid \tilde{\sigma}(n - 1/2) \neq \tilde{\sigma}(n + 1/2) \}, \quad I_S(\sigma, \theta) := \pi(S(\sigma, \theta)) \tag{2.2} \]
and for \( n \in H(\sigma, \theta) \) we put \( \tilde{\sigma}(n) := \tilde{\sigma}(n \pm 1/2) \).

The set of the vertices is given by

\[
V := \{(n, m) \mid n \in S(\sigma, \theta), \ n-m: \text{odd}\}
\sqcup \{(n - 1/2, m) \mid n \in H(\sigma, \theta), \ n-m: \text{odd}\}
\sqcup \{(n + 1/2, m) \mid n \in H(\sigma, \theta), \ n-m: \text{odd}\},
\]

which are denoted by \( v(n, m) \), \( v_l(n - 1/2, m) \) and \( v_r(n + 1/2, m) \) respectively.

The set of the edges is given by

\[
E := \{e_h(n, m) \mid n \in H(\sigma, \theta), \ n-m: \text{odd}\} \sqcup \{e_s(h, k) \mid h, k \in \mathbb{Z}_h\},
\]

where

- \( e_h(n, m) \) connects \( v_l(n - 1/2, m) \) and \( v_r(n + 1/2, m) \),
- \( e_s(h, k) \) connects \( v_l(h - 1/2, k + 1/2) \) or \( v_r(h, k - 1/2) \) and \( v_l(h + 1/2, k - 1/2) \) or \( v_r(h, k - 1/2) \) if \( h-k \) is even, and
- \( e_s(h, k) \) connects \( v_l(h - 1/2, k - 1/2) \) or \( v_r(h, k - 1/2) \) and \( v_l(h + 1/2, k + 1/2) \) or \( v_r(h, k + 1/2) \) if \( h-k \) is odd.

We put

\[
F := \{(n, m) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \mid n + m: \text{even}\}, \ F_i := \{(n, m) \in F \mid n \in \pi^{-1}(i)\} \quad (2.3)
\]

for \( i \in I \). Note that \( E \) divides the plane into disjoint hexagons and quadrilaterals, where the hexagons are parametrized by the set

\[
F_H := \{(n, m) \in F \mid n \in H(\sigma, \theta)\}
\]

and the quadrilaterals are parametrized by the set

\[
F_S := \{(n, m) \in F \mid n \in S(\sigma, \theta)\}.
\]

For \( (n, m) \in F \), let \( f(n, m) \) denote the corresponding hexagon or quadrilateral.

**Example 2.1.** In Figure 3, we show the graph associated with \( L = 3 \), \( \sigma \) given by

\[
\sigma(1/2) = +, \ \sigma(3/2) = -, \ \sigma(5/2) = -
\]

and \( \theta = \text{id} \ (L_+ = 1, L_- = 2) \).

2.1.3

We set

\[
V_{\pm} := \{v(n, m) \mid \tilde{\sigma}(n + 1/2) = \pm\}
\sqcup \{v_l(n - 1/2, m) \mid \tilde{\sigma}(n) = \mp\} \sqcup \{v_r(n + 1/2, m) \mid \tilde{\sigma}(n) = \pm\}.
\]

Note that \( V = V_+ \sqcup V_- \) and each element in \( E \) connects an element in \( V_+ \) and an element in \( V_- \) (see Figure 3 for example).

A **perfect matching** is a subset of \( E \) giving a bijection between \( V_+ \) and \( V_- \).
2.1.4

We define the map $F_{\sigma, \lambda, \theta} : \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z}$ by $F_{\sigma, \lambda, \theta}(0) = 0$ and

$$F_{\sigma, \lambda, \theta}(n) = F_{\sigma, \lambda, \theta}(n-1) - \tilde{\lambda}(n-1/2). \quad (2.4)$$

For $k \in \mathbb{Z}_h$, we set

$$P_{k, \pm \sigma, \lambda, \theta} := \{ e_h(n, F_{\sigma, \lambda, \theta}(n)) + 2k \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}, \tilde{\sigma}(n) = \mp \} \cup \{ e_s(h, (F_{\sigma, \lambda, \theta}(h-1/2) + F_{\sigma, \lambda, \theta}(h+1/2))/2 + 2k) \mid h \in \mathbb{Z}_h, \tilde{\sigma}(h) = \pm \}.$$ 

For a Young diagram $\eta$, let $P_{\sigma, \lambda, \theta}^\eta$ denote the following perfect matching:

$$P_{\sigma, \lambda, \theta}^\eta := \bigsqcup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_h} P_{k, \eta, \sigma, \lambda, \theta}.$$

Example 2.2. In Figure 3, we show the perfect matching associated with $\sigma$ as is Example 2.1, $\theta = \text{id}$, $\eta = \emptyset$ and $\lambda$ given by

$$\lambda(h) = \begin{cases} +, & h = -5/2, \\ -, & h = 1/2, \\ \text{sgn}(h), & \text{otherwise}. \end{cases}$$

2.1.5

Let $P_{\sigma, \lambda, \theta}^{\pm \sigma}$ be the following perfect matching:

$$P_{\sigma, \lambda, \theta}^{\pm \sigma} := \{ e_h(n, m) \mid \tilde{\sigma}(n) = \mp \} \cup \{ e_s(h, k) \mid \tilde{\sigma}(h) = \pm, h \cdot \tilde{\lambda}(h) - k : \text{even} \}.$$
2.1.6

Definition 2.3. A perfect matching \( D \) is said to be a dimer configuration of type \((\sigma, \lambda, \nu, \theta)\) if \( D \) coincides with \( P^\nu \sigma, \lambda, \theta \) in the area \( \{ \pm x > m \} \) and \( P^\pm \sigma, \lambda, \theta \) in the area \( \{ \pm y > m \} \) for \( m \gg 0 \).

Remark 2.4. A dimer configuration of type \((\sigma, \emptyset, \emptyset, \text{id})\) is "a perfect matching congruent to the canonical perfect matching" in the terminology of [MR10].

2.1.7

For \( f \in F \), let \( \partial f \subset E \) denote the set of edges surrounding the face \( f \). By moving around the face \( f \) clockwise, we can determine an orientation for each element in \( \partial f \). Let \( \partial^\pm f \subset \partial f \) denote the subset of edges starting from elements in \( V^\pm \).

For an edge \( e \in E \), let \( f^\pm(e) \) denote the unique face such that \( e \in \partial^\pm f^\pm(e) \).

2.2 Weights

2.2.1

For \( h \in \mathbb{Z}_h \), we define the monomials \( w_{\sigma, \lambda}(h) \) by the following conditions:

\[
w_{\sigma, \lambda}(h) = \begin{cases} (Q_{\sigma(h)})^{c(h) - c(h)(j(h))} q_{\sigma(h)}^{(j(h))} & h \gg 0, \\ (Q_{-\sigma(h)})^{c(h) - c(h)(j(h))} q_{-\sigma(h)}^{(j(h))} & h \ll 0, \end{cases}
\]

and

\[
w_{\sigma, \lambda}(h)/w_{\sigma, \lambda}(h - L) = q_{\lambda(h)} q_{\lambda(h-L)} q_{1} \cdots q_{L-1},
\]

where

\[
Q_{\pm} := (q_{\pm})^2 q_{1} \cdots q_{L-1}, \quad q_{\pm}^{(j)} := q_{\pm} q_{1} \cdots q_{j-1/2}.
\]

Note that for \( h \neq h' \) we have

\[
w_{\lambda}(h')/w_{\lambda}(h) \big|_{q_+ = q_- = (q_0)^{1/2}} = q^{\alpha(h, h')}.
\]
Example 2.5. In Figure 5, we show the weight $w_{\sigma, \lambda}$ for $\sigma$ and $\lambda$ as in Example 2.2.

Figure 5: the weight $w_{\sigma, \lambda}$

2.2.2
For an edge $e \in E$, we associate the weight $w_{\sigma, \lambda, \theta}(e)$ by

$$w_{\sigma, \lambda, \theta}(e_{(h, k)}) := \begin{cases} w_{\sigma, \lambda}(\theta(h))^{\tilde{h}(h)} \cdot \tilde{\lambda}(h), & h \cdot \lambda(h) - k : \text{odd}, \\ 1, & h \cdot \lambda(h) - k : \text{even}, \end{cases}$$

$$w_{\lambda, \sigma, \theta}(e_{(n, m)}) := 1.$$  \hfill (2.6)

2.2.3
Fix $\sigma$ and $\lambda$, then the set

$$\bigsqcup_{\alpha \in \Lambda^{\ast_{\ast}}} B_{\sigma, \lambda}^{\alpha, -}$$

is finite. We set

$$F_{\sigma, \lambda}^\alpha := \prod_{(h, h') \in B_{\sigma, \lambda}^{\alpha, -}} w_{\sigma, \lambda}(h')/w_{\sigma, \lambda}(h),$$

$$F_{\sigma, \lambda}^\theta := \prod_{\begin{array}{c} \alpha \in \Lambda^{\ast_{\ast}}, \\
\theta(\alpha) < 0, \\
\sigma(j^- (\alpha)) \neq \sigma(j^+ (\alpha)) \end{array}} F_{\sigma, \lambda}^\alpha.$$  \hfill (2.7) \hfill (2.8)

2.2.4
Note that for a dimer configuration $D$ of type $(\sigma, \lambda, \nu, \theta)$ we have only a finite number of $e \in D$ such that $w_{\sigma, \lambda, \theta}(e) \neq 1$.

Definition 2.6. For a dimer configuration $D$ of type $(\sigma, \lambda, \nu, \theta)$, we define the weight $w_{\sigma, \lambda, \theta}(D)$ by

$$w_{\sigma, \lambda, \theta}(D) := F_{\sigma, \lambda}^\theta \cdot \prod_{e \in D} w_{\sigma, \lambda, \theta}(e).$$  \hfill (2.9)

(See (2.6) and (2.8) for the notations.)
Remark 2.7. We will define the generating function $Z_{\sigma, \lambda, \nu, \theta}$ by the sum of weights of all dimer configurations of type $(\sigma, \lambda, \nu, \theta)$.

2.2.5

For a finite subset $\mathcal{E}' \subset \mathcal{E}$, we put

$$w_{\sigma, \lambda, \theta}(\mathcal{E}') := \prod_{e \in \mathcal{E}'} w_{\sigma, \lambda, \theta}(e)$$

and for a face $f \in \mathcal{F}$ we put

$$w_{\sigma, \lambda, \theta}(f) := w_{\sigma, \lambda, \theta}(\partial^- f)/w_{\sigma, \lambda, \theta}(\partial^+ f).$$

(2.10)

For an integer $n$ we set

$$w_{\sigma, \lambda, \theta}(n) := w_{\sigma, \lambda}(\theta(n + 1/2))/w_{\sigma, \lambda}(\theta(n - 1/2)),$$

then we have

$$w_{\sigma, \lambda, \theta}(f(n, m)) = w_{\sigma, \lambda, \theta}(n)$$

for any $(n, m) \in \mathcal{F}$. By (2.10), we have

$$w_{\sigma, \lambda, \theta}(n)\big|_{q_+ = q_- = (q_0)^{1/2}} = q^{(\theta, i)}.$$

3 Viewpoint from noncommutative crepant resolution

3.1 Noncommutative crepant resolution

Let $\Gamma$ be a lattice in the $(x, y)$-plane generated by $(L, 0)$ and $(0, 2)$. The graph given in §2.1.2 is invariant under the action of $\Gamma$ and so gives a graph on the torus $\mathbb{R}^2/\Gamma$. This gives a quiver with a potential $A = (Q_{\sigma, \theta}, w_{\sigma, \theta})$ as in [Naga]. The vertices of $Q_{\sigma, \theta}$ are parametrized by $I$ and the arrows are given by

$$\left( \bigcup_{j \in I_h} h^+_j \right) \sqcup \left( \bigcup_{j \in I_h} h^-_j \right) \sqcup \left( \bigcup_{i \in I_W(\sigma, \theta)} r_i \right)$$

(see (2.1) for the notation). Here $h^+_j$ (resp. $h^-_j$) is an edge from $j - 1/2$ to $j + 1/2$ (resp. from $j + 1/2$ to $j - 1/2$) and $r_i$ is an edge from $i$ to itself. See [Naga] §1.2 for the definition of the potential $w_{\sigma, \theta}$.

Example 3.1. In Figure 6, we show the quiver $Q_{\sigma, \text{id}}$ for $\sigma$ as in Example 2.2.

Remark 3.2. • The center of $A$ is isomorphic to $R := \mathbb{C}[x, y, z, w]/(xy = x^{L+}w^{L-})$. In [Naga, Theorem 1.14 and 1.19], we showed that $A$ is a noncommutative crepant resolution of $X = \text{Spec } R$.

• The affine 3-fold $X$ is toric. In fact,

$$T = \text{Spec } \hat{R} := \text{Spec } \mathbb{C}[x^\pm, y^\pm, z^\pm, w^\pm]/(xy = x^{L+}w^{L-}) \subset X$$

is a 3-dimensional torus.

10We will leave the definition of the generating function until §3.4 since we will use Proposition 3.9 to prove that the number of dimer configurations of type $(\sigma, \lambda, \nu, \theta)$ is finite.
3.2 Dimer model and noncommutative crepant resolution

3.2.1

We will construct an $A$-module $M(D)$ for a dimer configuration $D$. Let $V_i = V_i(D)$ $(i \in I)$ be vector space with the following basis:

$$\{b[D; x, y, z] \mid (x, y) \in F_i, \ z \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\}$$

(see (2.3) for the notation). We define the map $h_j^\pm : V_{j \mp 1/2} \rightarrow V_{j \pm 1/2}$ by

$$h_j^\pm (b[D; x, y, z]) = \begin{cases} b[D; x \pm 1, y - \bar{\sigma}(j), z] & e_s(x \pm 1/2, y - \bar{\sigma}(j)/2) \notin D, \\ b[D; x \pm 1, y - \bar{\sigma}(j), z + 1] & e_s(x \pm 1/2, y - \bar{\sigma}(j)/2) \in D, \end{cases}$$

and $r_i : V_i \rightarrow V_i$ by

$$r_i(b[D; x, y, z]) = \begin{cases} b[D; x, y + \bar{\sigma}(j), z] & e_h(x, y + \bar{\sigma}(j)/2) \notin D, \\ b[D; x, y + \bar{\sigma}(j), z + 1] & e_h(x, y + \bar{\sigma}(j)/2) \in D. \end{cases}$$

3.2.2

Let $\mathcal{C} \subset \mathcal{E}$ be a subset which gives a closed zigzag curve without self-intersection. By moving along the zigzag curve clockwise, we can determine an orientation for each element in $\mathcal{C}$. Let $\mathcal{C}^\pm \subset \mathcal{C}$ denote the subset of edges starting from elements in $V_{\pm \delta}$. Let $\mathcal{C}^\pm \subset \mathcal{C}$ as above is said to be a positive cycle (resp. negative cycle) with respect to $D$ if $\mathcal{C} \cap D = \mathcal{C}^+$ (resp. $\mathcal{C}^-$).

3.2.3

Given a dimer configuration $D$ and a positive cycle $\mathcal{C}$ with respect to $D$, let $D_\mathcal{C}$ be the dimer configuration given by

$$D_\mathcal{C} = (D \setminus \mathcal{C}^+) \cup \mathcal{C}^-.$$
Figure 7: an example of $M(D)$
Lemma 3.3. The surjection \( M(D) \to M(D_C) \) given by

\[
b[D; x, y, z] \mapsto \begin{cases} 
0 & (x, y) \in C^\circ, \ z = 0 \\
b[D_C; x, y, z - 1] & (x, y) \in C^\circ, \ z \geq 1 \\
b[D_C; x, y, z] & (x, y) \notin C^\circ 
\end{cases}
\]

is a homomorphism of \( A \)-modules, where \( C^\circ \) is the interior of the closed zigzag curve. Moreover we have

\[
w_{\sigma, \lambda, \theta}(D_C) = w_{\sigma, \lambda, \theta}(D) \cdot \prod_{f \in C^\circ} w_{\sigma, \lambda, \theta}(f).
\]

3.3 Crystal melting interpretation

In this subsection, we show that a dimer configuration of type \((\sigma, \lambda, \nu, \theta)\) corresponds to a (torus invariant) quotient \( A \)-module of the \( A \)-module \( M_{\max} = M_{\sigma, \lambda, \nu, \theta} \). In physicists’ terminology, studying such quotient modules is called the crystal melting model (see [OY09]) and \( M_{\max} \) is called the grand state of the model.

3.3.1 We define a Young diagram \( G_{\sigma, \lambda, \theta} : \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z} \) by the following conditions:

- \( G_{\sigma, \lambda, \theta}(n) = |n| \) if \(|n| \gg 0\), and
- \( G_{\sigma, \lambda, \theta}(n) = G_{\sigma, \lambda, \theta}(n - 1) + \tilde{\sigma}(n - 1/2)\tilde{\lambda}(n - 1/2) \) for any \( n \).

We define a map \( G_{\sigma, \lambda, \theta} : \mathcal{F} \to \mathbb{Z} \) by

\[
G_{\sigma, \lambda, \theta}(n, m) := G(n)_{\sigma, \lambda, \theta} + 2 \cdot |m - F_{\sigma, \lambda, \theta}(n)|,
\]

where \( F_{\sigma, \lambda, \theta}(n) \) is given in (2.4).

Example 3.4. In the case as Example 2.2, we have

\[
(G_{\sigma, \lambda, \text{id}}(n))_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} = (\ldots, 6, 5, 4, 3, 4, 3, 2, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, \ldots)
\]

and \( G_{\sigma, \lambda, \text{id}}(n, m) \) is given in Figure 8.

3.3.2 We define two maps \( F_{\sigma, \lambda, \theta}^\pm : \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z} \) by the following conditions:

- \( F_{\sigma, \lambda, \theta}^\pm(n) = F_{\sigma, \lambda, \theta}(n) \) if \( \pm n \gg 0 \), and
- \( F_{\sigma, \lambda, \theta}^\pm(n) = F_{\sigma, \lambda, \theta}(n - 1) \pm \tilde{\sigma}(n - 1/2) \) for any \( n \).

Then we define two maps \( G_{\sigma, \lambda, \theta}^{\pm, \pm} : \mathcal{F} \to \mathbb{Z} \) by

\[
G_{\sigma, \lambda, \theta}^{\nu, \pm}(n, m) := \nu_{\pm} \left( m - F_{\sigma, \lambda, \theta}^\pm(n) \right) \pm n.
\]

Example 3.5. In Figure 9, we show \( G_{\sigma, \lambda, \text{id}}^{\emptyset, +} \) and \( G_{\sigma, \lambda, \text{id}}^{\Box, -} \) for \( \sigma \) and \( \lambda \) as in Example 2.2.
3.3.3

We define a map $G_{\sigma,\lambda,\theta}^\nu: \mathcal{F} \to \mathbb{Z}$ by

$$
G_{\sigma,\lambda,\theta}^\nu(n, m) := \max\left(G_{\sigma,\lambda,\theta}(n, m), G_{\sigma,\lambda,\theta}^{\nu+}(n, m), G_{\sigma,\lambda,\theta}^{\nu-}(n, m)\right).
$$

We can verify that

$$
G_{\sigma,\lambda,\theta}^\nu(f^+(e)) = G_{\sigma,\lambda,\theta}(f^-) + 1 \text{ or } G_{\sigma,\lambda,\theta}(f^-) - 3.
$$

for an edge $e \in E$ (see §2.1.7 for the notations). We define a perfect matching $D_{\sigma,\lambda,\nu,\theta}^{\text{max}}$ by

$$
e \in D_{\sigma,\lambda,\nu,\theta}^{\text{max}} \iff G_{\sigma,\lambda,\theta}^\nu(f^+(e)) = G_{\sigma,\lambda,\theta}(f^-) - 3.
$$

Let $M_{\sigma,\lambda,\nu,\theta}^{\text{max}} := M(D_{\sigma,\lambda,\nu,\theta}^{\text{max}})$ denote the corresponding $\Lambda$-module.

Example 3.6. In Figure 10, we show $G_{\sigma,\lambda,\theta}^{\nu}(n, m)$ and $D_{\sigma,\lambda,\nu,\theta}^{\text{max}}$ for $\sigma$ and $\lambda$ as in Example 2.2.

Remark 3.7. The graph of the map $m \mapsto G_{\sigma,\lambda,\theta}(n, m)$ determines a Young diagram. This is what we denote by $Y_{\min}(n)$ in [Nagb] §3.1.

3.3.4

Lemma 3.8. There is no positive cycle with respect to $D_{\sigma,\lambda,\theta}^{\text{max}}$.

Proof. Assume that we have a positive cycle $C$. For an edge $e \in \partial C$, let $f_{\text{in}}(e)$ (resp. $f_{\text{out}}(e)$) be the unique face such that $e \in \partial f_{\text{in}}(e)$ and $f_{\text{in}}(e) \in C^\circ$ (resp. $e \in \partial f_{\text{out}}(e)$ and $f_{\text{out}}(e) \notin C^\circ$). Then we have

$$
G_{\sigma,\lambda,\theta}(f_{\text{in}}(e)) > G_{\sigma,\lambda,\theta}(f_{\text{out}}(e)).
$$

(3.3)
Figure 9: $G_{\pi, \lambda, \theta}^\emptyset$ and $G_{\pi, \lambda, \theta}^\square$
Figure 10: $G_{\sigma, \lambda, \text{id}}$ and $D_{\sigma, \lambda, \vec{\Phi}, \text{id}}^{\max}$
Take a face \((n, m) \in \mathcal{C}^\circ\). If \(G_{\sigma, \lambda, \theta}^\nu (n, m) = G_{\sigma, \lambda, \theta}^{\nu, \pm}(n, m)\), then
\[
(n \pm n', F_{\sigma, \lambda, \theta}^{\nu, \pm}(n \pm n') - F_{\sigma, \lambda, \theta}(n) + m) \in \mathcal{C}^\circ
\]
for any \(n' \geq 0\) by (3.2) and (3.3), and this is a contradiction. On the other hand, if \(G_{\sigma, \lambda, \theta}^\mu (n, m) = G_{\sigma, \lambda, \theta}(n, m)\) and \(\pm m + F_{\sigma, \lambda, \theta}(n) \geq 0\), then \((n, m \pm m') \in \mathcal{C}^\circ\) for any \(m' \geq 0\) by (3.1) and (3.3), and this is also a contradiction. Hence the claim follows.

3.3.5

For a map \(H: F \to \mathbb{Z}_\geq 0\), let \(V_H \subset V_i(D_{\text{max}})\) \((i \in I)\) be the subspace spanned by the following elements:
\[
\{ b[D_{\text{max}}; x, y, z] \mid (x, y) \in F_i,\ z \geq H(x, y) \}.
\]
The following proposition gives a one-to-one correspondence between dimer configurations of type \((\sigma, \lambda, \nu, \theta)\) and finite dimensional quotient modules of \(M_{\sigma, \lambda, \nu, \theta}^{\text{max}}\).

**Proposition 3.9.** Given a monomial \(q\), we have a natural bijection between the following sets:

- the set of dimer configurations of type \((\sigma, \lambda, \nu, \theta)\) with weight \(q\), and
- the set of maps \(H: F \to \mathbb{Z}_\geq 0\) satisfying the following conditions:
  - \(H(f) = 0\) except for only a finite number of \(f \in F\),
  - \((V_i^H)_{i \in I}\) is stable under the action of \(A\), and
  - \(w_{\sigma, \lambda, \theta}(D_{\text{max}}) \cdot \prod_f w_{\sigma, \lambda, \theta}(f) H(f) = q\).

**Proof.** Let \(D\) be a dimer configuration of type \((\sigma, \lambda, \nu, \theta)\). By Lemma 3.8, \((D \cup D_{\text{max}}) \setminus (D \cap D_{\text{max}})\) is a disjoint union \(\sqcup C_\gamma\) of a finite number of positive cycles. We define a map \(H_D: F \to \mathbb{Z}_\geq 0\) by
\[
H_D(f) := \sharp\{C_\gamma \mid f \in C_\gamma^\circ\}.
\]
Then we can verify the claim using Lemma 3.3.

**Remark 3.10.** The graph of the map \(m \mapsto G_{\sigma, \lambda, \theta}^\nu(n, m) + 2H(n, m)\) determines a Young diagram. This is what we denote by \(\mathcal{V}(n)\) in [Nagb, §3.1].

### 3.4 Generating function

From the description given by Proposition 3.9, we can verify that, fixing a monomial \(q\), we have only a finite number of dimer configurations of type \((\sigma, \lambda, \nu, \theta)\) with weight \(q\).

**Definition 3.11.** We define the generating function by
\[
Z_{\sigma, \lambda, \nu, \theta} = Z_{\sigma, \lambda, \nu, \theta}(\vec{q}) := \sum_D w_{\sigma, \lambda, \theta}(D),
\]
where the sum is taken over all dimer configurations of type \((\sigma, \lambda, \nu, \theta)\). In particular, we put
\[
Z_{\sigma, \lambda, \nu}^{\text{NCDT}} := Z_{\sigma, \lambda, \nu, \text{id}_h}.
\]
Remark 3.12. Note that
\[ Z_{\sigma,\lambda,\nu}^{\text{NCDT}} \cdot w_{\sigma,\lambda,\theta} \left( D_{\sigma,\lambda,\nu,\text{id}}^{\max} \right)^{-1} \]
is a formal power series in \( q_+, q_- \) and \( q_1, \ldots, q_{L-1} \).

4 Dimer shuffling and “wall-crossing” formula

4.1 Dimer shuffling at a hexagon

In this and next subsections, we study the relation between dimer configurations of type \((\sigma, \lambda, \nu, \theta)\) and of type \((\sigma, \lambda, \nu, \mu_i(\theta))\) for \( i \in I_H(\sigma, \theta) \).

4.1.1 For \((n, m) \in \mathcal{F} \) and \( M \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0} \cup \{\infty\} \), we put
\[ f(n, m; \pm, M) := \bigcup_{m' = 0}^{M-1} f(n, m \pm m') \]
We define \( \partial f(n, m; \pm, M) \) and \( \partial^\pm f(n, m; \pm, M) \) in the same way as in §2.1.7 and §3.2.2.

4.1.2 For a dimer configuration \( D \) and \( n \in B^{i,\pm}_{\sigma,\lambda,\theta} \), let \( m(D, n) \) denote the unique integer such that
\[ \partial f(n, m(D, n); \sigma(i), \infty) \cap D = \partial^\pm f(n, m(D, n); \sigma(i), \infty) \] (4.1)
\[ \partial f(n, m(D, n) - 2\sigma(i)) \cap D \neq \partial^\pm f(n, m(D, n) - 2\sigma(i)) \text{ for } n \in B^{i,\pm}_{\sigma,\lambda,\theta}. \] (4.3)

4.1.3 For a dimer configuration \( D^0 \) and \( i \in I \), we consider the following conditions:
\[ \partial f \cap D \neq \partial^\pm f \text{ for any } f \in \mathcal{F}_i, \] (4.1)
\[ \partial f \cap D \neq \partial^+ f \text{ if } f \in \mathcal{F}_i \setminus \{f(n, m(D, n)) \mid n \in B^{i,\pm}_{\sigma,\lambda,\theta}\}, \] (4.2)
\[ \partial f(n, m(D, n) - 2\sigma(i)) \cap D \neq \partial f(n, m(D, n) - 2\sigma(i)) \text{ for } n \in B^{i,\pm}_{\sigma,\lambda,\theta}. \] (4.3)

4.1.4 For a dimer configuration \( D^0 \) of type \((\sigma, \lambda, \nu, \theta)\) satisfying the condition \( \mathbb{W} \), we set
\[ E_i(D^0) := \{(n, m) \in \mathcal{F}_i \mid \partial f(n, m) \cap D^0 = \partial^+ f(n, m)\}, \]
and define the map \( M_{D^0}^i : E_i(D^0) \to \mathbb{Z}_{>0} \cup \{\infty\} \) by
\[ M_{D^0}^i(n, m) := \max\{M \mid \partial f(n, m; \sigma(i), M) \cap D^0 = \partial^+ f(n, m; \sigma(i), M)\}. \]
Note that
\[ (M_{D^0}^i)^{-1}(\infty) = \{(n, m_n) \mid n \in B^{i,\pm}_{\sigma,\lambda,\theta}\}. \]
We put \( E_{\text{fin}}^i(D^0) := E_i(D^0) \setminus (M_{D^0}^i)^{-1}(\infty) \).
### Definition 4.1
For a dimer configuration \( D^\circ \) of type \((\sigma, \lambda, \nu, \theta)\) satisfying the condition (4.1), let \( \mu_i(D) \) be the a dimer configuration of type \((\sigma, \lambda, \nu, \mu_i(\theta))\) given by

\[
\mu_i(D^\circ) := \left( D^\circ \setminus \left( \bigcup_{(n,m) \in E_i(D^\circ)} \partial^+ f(n,m; \sigma(i), M^i_{D^\circ}(n,m)) \cup \bigcup_{n \in B_{i, \lambda, \theta}^-} \partial^- f(n,m; \sigma(i), \infty) \right) \right) \sqcup \left( \bigcup_{(n,m) \in E_i(D^\circ)} \partial^- f(n,m; \sigma(i), M^i_{D^\circ}(n,m)) \cup \bigcup_{n \in B_{i, \lambda, \theta}^+} \partial^+ f(n,m; \sigma(i), \infty) \right).
\]

Note that \( \mu_i(D^\circ) \) satisfies the condition (4.2) and (4.3).

### Example 4.2
In Figure 11, we show some examples of dimer shuffling at hexagons.

![Figure 11: Examples of dimer shuffling at hexagons](Image)
Lemma 4.3.

\[ w_{\sigma, \lambda, \mu_i(\theta)}(D^\circ) = w_{\sigma, \lambda, \theta}(D^\circ). \]

Proof. For \( n \in \pi^{-1}(i) \) and \( m \in \mathbb{Z} \) such that \( n + m \) is odd, we put
\[
D^\circ(n, m) := \{ e_n(n + e_1, m + e_2) : (e_1, e_2) = \pm (1/2) \} \cap D^\circ.
\]
Assume that
\[
(n, m - 1), (n, m + 1) \notin \bigcup_{(n, m) \in E_i(D^\circ)} f(n, m; \sigma(i), M^D_{n}(n, m)), \tag{4.4}
\]
then \( D^\circ(n, m) \) is one of the following:
\[
\emptyset, \{ e_n(n + 1/2, m + 1/2) \}, \{ e_n(n + 1/2, m - 1/2) \}.
\]
In particular, we have
\[
w_{\sigma, \lambda, \theta}(D^\circ(n, m)) = w_{\sigma, \lambda, \mu_i(\theta)}(D^\circ(n, m)).
\]
Hence we have
\[
w_{\sigma, \lambda, \theta}(D^\circ \cap \mu_i(D^\circ)) = w_{\sigma, \lambda, \mu_i(\theta)}(D^\circ \cap \mu_i(D^\circ)).
\]
The claim follows this and
\[
w_{\sigma, \lambda, \theta}(\partial^+ f(n, m, M)) = w_{\sigma, \lambda, \mu_i(\theta)}(\partial^+ f(n, m, M))
\]
for \( n \in \pi^{-1}(i) \).

\[ \square \]

4.2 “Wall-crossing” formula at a hexagon

4.2.1

Lemma 4.4.

\[
Z_{\sigma, \lambda, \nu, \theta} = \sum_{D^\circ} w_{\sigma, \lambda, \theta}(D^\circ) \cdot \prod_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^{+\times}_{\pi, \lambda, \theta}} (1 + w_{\sigma, \lambda, \theta}(n))^{-1}
\]
\[
\left( \prod_{(n, m) \in E^\circ_i(D^\circ)} \left( 1 + w_{\sigma, \lambda, \theta}(n) M^D_{n}(n, m) \right) / \left( 1 + w_{\sigma, \lambda, \theta}(n) \right) \right),
\]
where the sum is taken over all dimer configurations \( D^\circ \) of type \((\sigma, \lambda, \nu, \theta)\) satisfying the condition (\texttt{D1}).

Proof. For a map \( s : E_i(D^\circ) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \) such that \( s(n, m) \leq M^D_{n}(n, m) \), we define the dimer configuration \( D^\circ_s \) by
\[
D^\circ_s := \left( D^\circ \setminus \bigcup_{(n, m) \in E_i(D^\circ)} \partial^+ f(n, m; \sigma(i), s(n, m)) \right) \sqcup \bigcup_{(n, m) \in E_i(D^\circ)} \partial^- f(n, m; \sigma(i), s(n, m)).
\]
Then we have
\[
w_{\sigma, \lambda, \theta}(D^\circ_s) = w_{\sigma, \lambda, \theta}(D^\circ) \cdot \prod_{(n, m) \in E_i(D^\circ)} w_{\sigma, \lambda, \theta}(n)^{s(n, m)}.
\]
Note that any dimer configuration \( D \) is realized as \( D^\circ(s) \) by some \( D^\circ \) and \( s \) uniquely. Hence we have

\[
Z_{\sigma,\lambda,\nu,\theta} = \sum_{D^\circ} w_{\sigma,\lambda,\theta}(D^\circ) \cdot \left( \sum_{s} \prod_{(n,m) \in E_i(D^\circ)} w_{\sigma,\lambda,\theta}(n) s(n,m) \right)
\]

\[
= \sum_{D^\circ} w_{\sigma,\lambda,\nu,\theta}(D^\circ) \cdot \prod_{n \in B^\nu_{\sigma,\lambda,\theta}} (1 - w_{\sigma,\lambda,\theta}(n))^{-1}
\]

\[
\cdot \left( \prod_{(n,m) \in E^\nu_{\sigma,\lambda,\theta}} \left( 1 + w_{\sigma,\lambda,\theta}(n) M_{D^\circ(n,m)+1} \right) \right) / \left( 1 + w_{\sigma,\lambda,\theta}(n) \right).
\]

\[\square\]

### 4.2.2

**Theorem 4.5.**

\[
Z_{\sigma,\lambda,\nu,\theta} = \sum_{D^\nu} w_{\sigma,\lambda,\nu,\mu_i}(D^\nu \cdot \prod_{n \in B^\nu_{\sigma,\lambda,\theta}} (1 - w_{\sigma,\lambda,\theta}(n)) \cdot \prod_{n \in B^\nu_{\sigma,\lambda,\theta}} (1 - w_{\sigma,\lambda,\theta}(n))^{-1}
\]

**Proof.** As Lemma 4.3 we get

\[
Z_{\sigma,\lambda,\nu,\mu_i} = \sum_{D^\nu} w_{\sigma,\lambda,\mu_i}(D^\nu) \cdot \prod_{n \in B^\nu_{\sigma,\lambda,\nu}(\theta)} (1 - w_{\sigma,\lambda,\mu_i}(n))^{-1}
\]

\[
\cdot \left( \prod_{(n,m) \in E^\nu(D^\nu)} \left( 1 + w_{\sigma,\lambda,\mu_i(n)} M_{D^\nu(n,m)-1} \right) \right) / \left( 1 + w_{\sigma,\lambda,\mu_i(n)} \right)
\]

where the sum is taken over all dimer configurations \( D^\nu \) of type \((\sigma,\lambda,\nu,\mu_i)\) satisfying the condition 4.2.1 and 4.2.2 and

\[
E_i(D^\nu) := \{ (n, m) \in F_i | \partial f(n, m) \cap D^\nu = \partial f(n, m) \}
\]

\[
M_{D^\nu(n,m)} := \max\{ M | \partial f(n, m; \sigma(i), M) \cap D^\nu = \partial f(n, m; \sigma(i), M) \}
\]

Note that \( \mu_i \) gives a one-to-one correspondence of dimer configurations of type \((\sigma,\lambda,\nu,\theta)\) satisfying the condition 4.1.1 and ones of type \((\sigma,\lambda,\nu,\mu_i(\theta))\) satisfying the condition 4.2.1 and 4.2.2. Hence the claim follows from

- \( B^\nu_{\sigma,\lambda,\nu}(\theta) = B^\nu_{\sigma,\lambda,\theta} \)
- \( w_{\sigma,\lambda,\mu_i(n)} = w_{\sigma,\lambda,\theta}(n)^{-1} \) for \( n \in \pi^{-1}(i) \)
- \( (n, m) \mapsto (n, m + \sigma(i) \cdot (M_{D^\nu(n,m)} - 1)) \) gives a bijection between \( E^\nu_{i}(D^\circ) \) and \( E^\nu_{i}(D^\nu) \) which respects \( M_{D^\nu} \) and \( M_{\mu_i(D^\nu)} \)

and Lemma 4.4 \( \square \)

### 4.3 Dimer shuffling at a quadrilateral

In this and next subsections, we study the relation between dimer configurations of type \((\sigma,\lambda,\nu,\theta)\) and of type \((\sigma,\lambda,\nu,\mu_i(\theta))\) for \( i \in I_S(\sigma,\theta) \).
4.3.1

For a dimer configuration \( D^o \) of type \((\sigma, \lambda, \nu, \theta)\) satisfying the condition \[[1.1]\] and \( n \in \pi^{-1}(i) \), we define

\[
E^1_n(D^o) := \{(n, m) \in F \mid \partial f(n, m) \cap D^o = \partial^+ f(n, m) \}, \\
E^2_n(D^o) := \{(n, m) \in F \mid \partial f(n, m) \cap D^o = \emptyset \}.
\]

**Lemma 4.6.**

\[
|E^1_n(D^o)| - |E^2_n(D^o)| = \begin{cases} 
  \pm 1, & n \in B_{\sigma, \lambda, \theta}^i, \\
  0, & \text{otherwise}.
\end{cases}
\]

(See \([1.4]\) for the notation.)

**Proof.** For \( n, m \in \mathbb{Z} \) such that \( n + m \) is odd, we define \( \varepsilon_{D^o}(n, m) \) by

\[
\varepsilon_{D^o}(n, m) := \begin{cases} 
  +, & e_s(n + 1/2, m + 1/2), e_s(n - 1/2, m + 1/2) \notin D, \\
  -, & e_s(n + 1/2, m - 1/2), e_s(n - 1/2, m - 1/2) \notin D.
\end{cases}
\]

Then for \((n, m) \in F\), we have

\[
(n, m) \in E^1_n(D^o) \iff \varepsilon_{D^o}(n, m \pm 1) = \pm, \\
(n, m) \in E^2_n(D^o) \iff \varepsilon_{D^o}(n, m \pm 1) = \mp,
\]

and \( \varepsilon_{D^o}(n, m) = \mp \tilde{\lambda}(n \pm 1/2) \) if \( \tilde{\sigma}(n \pm 1/2) \cdot m \succ 0 \). Thus the claim follows. \( \Box \)

4.3.2

For a dimer configuration \( D^o \) of type \((\sigma, \lambda, \nu, \theta)\) satisfying the condition \[[1.1]\], we define a new dimer configuration \( \mu_i(D^o) \) of type \((\sigma, \lambda, \nu, \mu_i(\theta))\) as follows:

- if \( \pi(h) \neq i \pm 1/2 \) then we have
  \[
e_s(h, k) \in D^o \iff e_s(h, k) \in \mu_i(D^o),
\]

- if \((n, m) \in I_H(\sigma, \theta) \) and \( \pi(n) \neq i \pm 1 \) then we have
  \[
e_s(n, m) \in D^o \iff e_s(n, m) \in \mu_i(D^o),
\]

- for \((n, m) \in F_i\), we have
  \[
  D^o(f(n, m)) = \emptyset \iff \mu_i(D^o)(f(n, m)) = \partial^-_{\sigma, \mu_i(\theta)}(f(n, m)), \\
  D^o(f(n, m)) = \partial^+_{\sigma, \theta}(f(n, m)) \iff \mu_i(D^o)(f(n, m)) = \emptyset,
\]

**Remark 4.7.** Here we use such notations as \( \partial^\pm_{\sigma, \theta}(f(n, m)) \), in order to emphasize that the notions like \( \partial^\pm(f(n, m)) \) given in \([2.1.7]\) depend on \( \sigma \) and \( \theta \).

- if \( D^o(f(n, m)) \neq \emptyset, \partial^+_{\sigma, \theta}(f(n, m)) \) for \((n, m) \in F_i\), then we have
  \[
e_s(n+\varepsilon_1, m+\varepsilon_2) \in D^o \iff e_s(n-\varepsilon_1, m-\varepsilon_2) \in \mu_i(D^o) \ (\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2 = \pm 1/2),
\]
• if \( \sigma(i \pm 3/2) \neq \sigma(i \pm 1/2) \) then we have

\[
e_s(n \pm 1/2, m-1), e_s(n \pm 1/2, m+1) \not\in D^o \iff e_h(n \pm 1, m) \in \mu_i(D^o).
\]

Note that \( \mu_i(D^o) \) satisfies the following condition:

\[
D(f) \neq \partial^+ f \text{ for any } f \in \mathcal{F}_i.
\]  \hspace{1cm} (4.5)

**Example 4.8.** In Figure 12 we show some examples of dimer shuffling at squares.

![Figure 12: Examples of dimer shuffling at squares](image)

4.3.3

**Lemma 4.9.**

\[
w_{\sigma,\lambda,\mu_i(\theta)}(\mu_i(D^o)) = w_{\sigma,\lambda,\theta}(D^o).
\]
Proof. Note that we have
\[ w_{\sigma,\lambda,\theta}(\partial^+_\sigma, f) = w_{\sigma,\mu,\theta}(\partial^-_\sigma, f) \]
for \( f \in \mathcal{F}_i \) and

\[ w_{\sigma,\lambda,\theta}(\partial^+_\sigma, f) = \begin{cases} 1, & n \in B^i_{\sigma,\lambda,\theta}, \\ w_{\sigma,\lambda,\theta}(n)^{-1}, & n \in B^-_{\sigma,\lambda,\theta}. \end{cases} \]

Thus, the claim follows from Lemma 4.6 and (2.9). \( \square \)

4.4 “Wall-crossing” formula at a quadrilateral

4.4.1

Lemma 4.10.

\[ Z_{\sigma,\lambda,\nu,\theta} = \sum_{D^\circ} w_{\sigma,\lambda,\theta}(D^\circ) \cdot \prod_{n \in \pi^{-1}(i)} (1 + w_{\sigma,\lambda,\theta}(n)) |E_n^\circ(D^\circ)|. \]

Proof. We set

\[ E_i^1(D^\circ) := \bigcup_{n \in \pi^{-1}(i)} E_i^1(D^\circ), \quad E_i^2(D^\circ) := \bigcup_{n \in \pi^{-1}(i)} E_i^2(D^\circ). \]

Given a subset \( S \subset E_i^1(D^\circ) \), we get the dimer configuration \( D_S^2 \) of type \((\sigma, \lambda, \nu, \theta)\) such that

\[ D_S^2 := (D \setminus \bigcup \partial^+ f) \cup \bigcup \partial^+ f \]

and we have

\[ w_{\sigma,\lambda,\theta}(D_S^2) = w_{\sigma,\lambda,\theta}(D^\circ) \cdot \prod_{(n,m) \in S} w_{\sigma,\lambda,\theta}(n). \]

Note that any dimer configuration \( D \) is realized as \( D_S^2 \) by some \( D^\circ \) and \( S \) uniquely. Hence we have

\[ Z_{\sigma,\lambda,\nu,\theta} = \sum_{D^\circ} w_{\sigma,\lambda,\theta}(D^\circ) \cdot \left( \sum_S \prod_{(n,m) \in S} w_{\sigma,\lambda,\theta}(n) \right) \]
\[ = \sum_{D^\circ} w_{\sigma,\lambda,\theta}(D^\circ) \cdot \prod_{(n,m) \in E_i^1(D^\circ)} (1 + w_{\sigma,\lambda,\theta}(n)) \]
\[ = \sum_{D^\circ} w_{\sigma,\lambda,\theta}(D^\circ) \cdot \prod_{n \in \pi^{-1}(i)} (1 + w_{\sigma,\lambda,\theta}(n)) |E_n^\circ(D^\circ)|. \]

\( \square \)

4.4.2

Theorem 4.11.

\[ Z_{\sigma,\lambda,\nu,\mu,\theta} = Z_{\sigma,\lambda,\nu,\theta} \cdot \prod_{n \in B^i_{\sigma,\lambda,\theta}} (1 + w_{\sigma,\lambda,\theta}(n))^{-1} \cdot \prod_{n \in B^-_{\sigma,\lambda,\theta}} (1 + w_{\sigma,\lambda,\theta}(n)). \]
Proof. Let $D^\bullet$ be a dimer configuration of type $(\sigma, \lambda, \nu, \mu_i(\theta))$ satisfying the condition (4.5). We put
\[
\tilde{E}_n^1(D^\bullet) := \{(n, m) \in F \mid \partial_{\sigma, \mu_i(\theta)} f(n, m) \cap D^\bullet = \partial_{\sigma, \mu_i(\theta)} f(n, m)\},
\]
then, as Lemma 4.10, we get
\[
Z_{\sigma, \lambda, \nu, \mu_i(\theta)} = \sum_{D^\bullet} \tilde{E}_n^1(D^\bullet) \cdot \prod_{n \in \pi^{-1}(i)} \left(1 + w_{\sigma, \lambda, \mu_i(\theta)}(n)^{-1}\right) |\tilde{E}_n^1(D^\bullet)|,
\]
where the sum is taken over all dimer configurations $D^\bullet$ of type $(\sigma, \lambda, \nu, \mu_i(\theta))$ satisfying the condition (4.5). Note that $\mu_i$ gives a one-to-one correspondence of dimer configurations of type $(\sigma, \lambda, \nu, \theta)$ satisfying the condition (4.1) and ones of type $(\sigma, \lambda, \nu, \mu_i(\theta))$ satisfying the condition (4.5). Hence the claim follows from
\[
\tilde{E}_n^1(\mu_i(D^\circ)) = E_n^2(D^\circ) \quad \text{for} \quad n \in \pi^{-1}(i),
\]
\[
w_{\sigma, \lambda, \mu_i(\theta)}(n) = w_{\sigma, \lambda, \theta}(n)^{-1} \quad \text{for} \quad n \in \pi^{-1}(i)
\]
and Lemma 4.10.

4.5 Conclusion

4.5.1

For $\sigma$ and $\alpha \in \Lambda^{re,+}$, we put
\[
\sigma(\alpha) := \sigma(j^- (\alpha)) \cdot \sigma(j^+ (\alpha)). \tag{4.6}
\]
Combining Theorem 4.5 and 4.11 we get the following:

Theorem 4.12.

\[
Z_{\sigma, \lambda, \nu, \theta} = Z^\text{NCDT}_{\sigma, \lambda, \nu} \cdot \prod_{\alpha \in \Lambda^{re,+}} \left( \prod_{(h, h') \in B_{\sigma, \lambda}^{\alpha,-}} (1 - \sigma(\alpha) \cdot w_\lambda(h')/w_\lambda(h))^{\sigma(\alpha)} \right) \prod_{(h, h') \in B_{\sigma, \lambda}^{\alpha,-}} (1 - \sigma(\alpha) \cdot w_\lambda(h')/w_\lambda(h))^{-\sigma(\alpha)}\)

(See (1.2) and (1.3) for the notations.)

Since the second term in the right-hand side does not depend on $\nu$, we have the following:

Corollary 4.13.

\[
Z_{\sigma, \lambda, \nu, \theta} / Z_{\sigma, \lambda, \emptyset, \theta} = Z^\text{NCDT}_{\sigma, \lambda, \nu} / Z^\text{NCDT}_{\sigma, \lambda, \emptyset}.
\]
4.5.2

By Lemma \[1.8\] and Theorem \[4.5.1\] we have the following:

**Theorem 4.14.**

\[
\left. Z_{\sigma,\lambda,\nu,\theta} \right|_{q_-=(q_0)^{1/2}} = Z_{\sigma,\lambda,\nu}^{\text{NCDT}} \left|_{q_+=(q_0)^{1/2}} \prod_{\alpha \in \Lambda_+^{\sigma,\nu}} \left( 1 - \sigma(\alpha) \cdot q^\alpha \right)^{\nu(\alpha)} \cdot \left( \alpha^0 + c_{\lambda}[j_-(\alpha)] - c_{\lambda}[j_+(\alpha)] \right). \]

(See \[1.2\] for the notation.)

Since the second term in the right-hand side depend only on \(c_{\lambda}[j]\)'s but not on \(\lambda\) and \(\nu\), we have the following:

**Corollary 4.15.** If \(c_{\lambda}[j] = 0\) for any \(j\), then we have

\[
\left. Z_{\sigma,\lambda,\nu,\theta} / Z_{\sigma,\lambda,\nu}^{\text{NCDT}} \right|_{q_+=(q_0)^{1/2}} = \left. Z_{\sigma,\lambda,\nu}^{\text{NCDT}} / Z_{\sigma,\lambda,\nu}^{\text{NCDT}} \right|_{q_+=(q_0)^{1/2}}.
\]

5 Refined topological vertex via dimer model

5.1 Refined topological vertex for \(\mathbb{C}^3\)

5.1.1

Note that a Young diagram can be regarded as a subset of \((\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0})^2\). For a Young diagram \(\lambda\), let \(\Lambda^x(\lambda)\) (resp. \(\Lambda^y(\lambda)\) or \(\Lambda^z(\lambda)\)) be the subset of \((\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0})^3\) consisting of the elements \((x, y, z) \in (\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0})^3\) such that \((y, z) \in \lambda\) (resp. \((z, x) \in \lambda\) or \((x, y) \in \lambda\)).

5.1.2

Given a triple \((\lambda_x, \lambda_y, \lambda_z)\) of Young diagrams, let \(\Lambda_{\min} = \Lambda_{\min}^{\lambda_x,\lambda_y,\lambda_z}\) be the following subset of \((\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0})^3\):

\[
\Lambda_{\min} := \Lambda^x(\lambda_x) \cup \Lambda^y(\lambda_y) \cup \Lambda^z(\lambda_z) \subset (\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0})^3.
\]

5.1.3

A subset \(\Lambda\) of \((\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0})^3\) is said to be a 3-dimensional Young diagram of type \((\lambda_x, \lambda_y, \lambda_z)\) if the following conditions are satisfied:

- if \((x, y, z) \notin \Lambda\), then \((x + 1, y, z), (x, y + 1, z), (x, y, z + 1) \notin \Lambda\),
- \(\Lambda \supset \Lambda_{\min}\), and
- \(|\Lambda \backslash \Lambda_{\min}| < \infty\).
5.1.4

For a Young diagram $\lambda$, we define a monomial $w_\lambda(m)$ for each $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ by

$$w_\lambda(m) = q_{\lambda(m-1/2)} \cdot q_{\lambda(m+1/2)} \cdot q_1 \cdots q_{L-1}. \quad (5.1)$$

For a finite subset $S$ of $(\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0})^3$ we define the weight $w(S)$ by

$$w(S) := \prod_{(x,y,z) \in S} w_{\lambda_x}(y - z).$$

For a positive integer $N$, we set $C_N := [0, N]^3$. Given a 3-dimensional Young diagram $\Lambda$ of type $(\lambda_x, \lambda_y, \lambda_z)$, we take a sufficiently large $N$ such that $\Lambda \setminus \Lambda_{\text{min}} \subset C_N$ and define the weight $w(\Lambda)$ of $\Lambda$ by

$$w(\Lambda) := w(\Lambda \cap C_N) / \left( w(\Lambda^x(\lambda_x) \cap C_N) \cdot w(\Lambda^y(\lambda_y) \cap C_N) \cdot w(\Lambda^z(\lambda_z) \cap C_N) \right).$$

Note that this is well-defined.

**Remark 5.1.** In the definition of $w(\Lambda)$, the three axes does not play the same roles. The $x$-axis is called the preferred axis for the refined topological vertex.

**Remark 5.2.** If we replace the definition (5.1) with

$$(q_{\lambda(m-1/2)})^2 \cdot q_1 \cdots q_{L-1},$$

then the weight coincides with the one in [IKV09]. Our weight coincides with the one in [DG].

We define the generating function

$$G_{\lambda_x, \lambda_y, \lambda_z}(\vec{q}) := \sum w(\Lambda)$$

where the sum is taken over all 3-dimensional Young diagrams of type $(\lambda_x, \lambda_y, \lambda_z)$.

5.2 Dimer model for $L = 1$

In the case $L = 1$, the graph in [212] gives a hexagon lattice. As we have only two choices of $\sigma$, we put $\sigma(1/2) = +$. We take $\theta$ as $\theta$. We omit $\sigma$ and id from the notations in this subsection. Note that $\lambda$ is a single 2-dimensional Young diagram.

It is well-known that giving a dimer configuration of type $(\lambda, \nu)$ is equivalent to giving a 3-dimensional Young diagram of type $(\lambda, \nu^+, \nu^-)$. Let $D(\Lambda)$ be the dimer configuration corresponding to a 3-dimensional Young diagram $\Lambda$.

For a Young diagram $\eta = (\eta_1, \eta_2, \ldots)$ and a monomial $p$, we put

$$w(\eta; p, Q) := \prod (pQ^{i-1})^{\eta(i)}.$$

Then we can verify the following:

$$w_\lambda(D(\Lambda)) = w(\nu_+; q_+, Q_+) \cdot w(\nu_-; q_-, Q_-) \cdot w(\Lambda). \quad (5.2)$$
Example 5.3. As we show in Figure 13, we have
\[ w_\emptyset(\Lambda_{\emptyset,(1),0}) = w((1); q_-, Q_-) = q_- , \]
\[ w_\emptyset(\Lambda_{\emptyset,(2),0}) = w((2); q_-, Q_-) = q_-^2 , \]
\[ w_\emptyset(\Lambda_{\emptyset,(1,2),0}) = w((2,1); q_-, Q_-) = q_-^3 Q_- . \]

In particular, we have
\[ Z_\lambda,\nu = w(\nu_-; q_+, Q_+) \cdot w(\nu_+; q_-, Q_-) \cdot G_{\lambda,\nu,+,-}, \]
where \( Z_\lambda,\nu \) is the generating function given in Definition 3.11.

5.3 Refined topological vertex for a small resolution

We will define generating functions \( Z_{\sigma,\lambda,\nu}(q) \). First, we consider the following data: let \( \vec{\nu} = (\nu^{(1)}, \ldots, \nu^{(L-1)}) \) be an \((L-1)\)-tuple of Young diagrams and \( \vec{\Lambda} = (\Lambda^{(1/2)}, \ldots, \Lambda^{(L-1/2)}) \) be an \( L \)-tuple of 3-dimensional Young diagrams such that \( \Lambda^{(j)} \) is
- of type \((\lambda^{(j)}, \nu^{(j+1/2)}, \nu^{(j-1/2)})\) if \( \sigma(j) = + \),
- of type \((\lambda^{(j)}, \nu^{(j-1/2)}, \nu^{(j+1/2)})\) if \( \sigma(j) = - \),
where we put \( \nu^{(0)} := \nu_- \) and \( \nu^{(L)} := \nu_+ \). We say that the data \((\vec{\Lambda}, \vec{\nu})\) is of type \((\sigma, \lambda, \nu)\). We define the weight \( w(\vec{\Lambda}, \vec{\nu}) \) of the data \((\vec{\Lambda}, \vec{\nu})\) by
\[ w_\sigma(\vec{\Lambda}, \vec{\nu}) := w(\nu_+; q_-, Q_-) \cdot w(\nu_-; q_+, Q_+) \cdot \left( \prod_{j=1/2}^{L-1/2} w(\Lambda^{(j)}) \right) \cdot \left( \prod_{i=1}^{L-1} w_\sigma(\mu^{(i)}) \right) \]
where \( w^i_\sigma(\mu^{(i)}) \) is given by
\[
\prod_{(\alpha, \beta) \in \mu^{(i)}} \begin{cases} 
q_i \cdot Q^{2\alpha+1}, & \sigma(i-1/2) = \sigma(i+1/2) = +, \\
q_i \cdot Q^{2\beta+1}, & \sigma(i-1/2) = \sigma(i+1/2) = -, \\
q_i \cdot Q \cdot Q_2^\alpha \cdot Q_2^\beta, & \sigma(i-1/2) = +, \sigma(i+1/2) = -, \\
q_i \cdot Q \cdot Q_2^\alpha \cdot Q_2^\beta, & \sigma(i-1/2) = -, \sigma(i+1/2) = +.
\end{cases}
\]

We consider the following generating function:
\[
Z_{\sigma, \lambda, \mu}^{RTV}(\vec{q}) := \sum_{w \sigma(\vec{\Lambda}, \vec{\nu})}
\]
where the sum is taken over all the data as above.

**Remark 5.4.** This is the generating function of the refined topological vertex associated to \( Y_\sigma \), where \( Y_\sigma \to X \) is the crepant resolution constructed from \( \sigma \) (see [Naga, §1.1] for the construction of \( Y_\sigma \)). In Figure 14, we show the polygon corresponding to \( Y_\sigma \) for \( \sigma \) given by
\[
(\sigma(1/2), \ldots, \sigma(11/2)) = (+, -, +, +, -).
\]

![Figure 14: \( Y_\sigma \)](image)

### 5.4 Limit behavior of the dimer model

#### 5.4.1

Let \( i \in \mathbb{N}^{\geq 0} \) be a minimal expression such that for any \( N \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \) we have \( b(N) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \) such that \( \alpha_{i,b} > N\delta \) for any \( b > b(N) \).

#### 5.4.2

**Lemma 5.5.** Given \( \sigma, \lambda \) and a monomial \( q \), there exists an integer \( B_1 \) such that the following condition holds: for any \( b \geq B_1 \),

- any dimer configuration of type \((\sigma, \lambda, \nu, \theta_{1,b})\) with weight \( q \) satisfies the condition \([1.1]\),
- any dimer configuration of type \((\sigma, \lambda, \nu, \theta_{1,b+1})\) with weight \( q \) satisfies the condition \([1.2]\),
- \( \mu_{i,b} \) gives a one-to-one correspondence between dimer configurations of type \((\sigma, \lambda, \nu, \theta_{1,b})\) with weight \( (\sigma, \lambda, \nu, \theta_{1,b+1}) \) with weight \( q \).

**Proof.** Take \( N_2 \) so that
\[
q^{N_2\delta} > q \cdot w_{\sigma, \lambda, \nu}(D^\text{max}_{\sigma, \lambda, \nu, \text{id}})^{-1}.
\]

33
By Remark 3.12 and Theorem 4.14

\[ Z_{\sigma, \lambda, \nu, \theta} \cdot w_{\sigma, \lambda, \theta} \left( D_{\sigma, \lambda, \nu, \theta}^{\max} \right)^{-1}_{q_0 = q_0 = (q_0)^{1/2}} \]

is a polynomial in \( q_0, \ldots, q_{L-1} \). Thus, there does not exist any dimer configuration with weight \( q - \alpha(i, b) \) for any \( b > b(N_2) =: B_1 \), where \( b(N_2) \) is taken as in 5.4.1.

Assume that we have a dimer configuration type \((\sigma, \nu, \theta_1, b_1)\) with weight \( q \) and \( f \in F \) such that \( D(f) = \partial^+(f) \). Then we get a dimer configuration \( D \cup \partial^+(f) \setminus \partial^-(f) \) with weight \( q - \alpha(\theta, i) \), which is a contradiction. We can check the second claim similarly and the third claim immediately follows from the first and second ones.

5.4.3

Given \( \sigma, \lambda \), we can take an integer \( N_2 \) such that

- \( \bar{\sigma}(h) = \pm \bar{\lambda}(h) \) for any \( h \in \mathbb{Z}_h \) such that \( \pm h > N_2L \),
- \( e\sigma(h, k) \notin D_{\sigma, \lambda, \nu, \theta_1, b_1}^{\max} \) for any \( h \) and \( k \) such that \( h < N_2L \) and \( h \cdot \bar{\sigma}(h) - k \) is even, and
- \( e\sigma(h, k) \notin D_{\sigma, \lambda, \nu, \theta_1, b_1}^{\max} \) for any \( h \) and \( k \) such that \( h > N_2L \) and \( h \cdot \bar{\sigma}(h) - k \) is odd.

Take a monomial \( q \). Since we have only a finite number of dimer configuration of type \((\sigma, \nu, \theta_1, b_1)\) with weight \( q \) and each dimer configuration has only finite difference with \( D_{\sigma, \lambda, \nu, \theta_1, b_1}^{\max} \), we can take an integer \( N_4 \) such that

- \( \bar{\sigma}(h) = \pm \bar{\lambda}(h) \) for any \( h \in \mathbb{Z}_h \) such that \( \pm h > LN_4 \),
- \( e\sigma(h, k) \notin D \) for any \( h \) and \( k \) such that \( h < LN_4 \) and \( h \cdot \bar{\sigma}(h) - k \) is even, and
- \( e\sigma(h, k) \notin D \) for any \( h \) and \( k \) such that \( h > LN_4 \) and \( h \cdot \bar{\sigma}(h) - k \) is odd.

5.4.4

Lemma 5.6. Let \( D \) be a dimer configuration of type \((\sigma, \nu, \theta)\) satisfying the condition (14). Take \( h \in \pi^{-1}(i + 1/2) \) such that \( \bar{\sigma}(h) = \lambda(h) \) and assume that \( e\sigma(h, k) \notin D \) for any \( k \in \mathbb{Z}_h \) such that \( h\bar{\sigma}(h) - k \) is odd. Then \( e\sigma(h-1, k-\bar{\sigma}(h)) \notin \mu_i(D) \).

Similarly, take \( h \in \pi^{-1}(i + 1/2) \) such that \( \bar{\sigma}(h) = -\lambda(h) \) and assume that \( e\sigma(h, k) \notin D \) for any \( k \in \mathbb{Z}_h \) such that \( h\bar{\sigma}(h) - k \) is even. Then \( e\sigma(h+1, k + \bar{\sigma}(h)) \notin \mu_i(D) \).

Proof. In the case \( i \in I_5 \), for any \( h, k \in \mathbb{Z}_h \) such that \( \bar{\sigma}(h) = \pm \lambda(h) \) and \( h\bar{\sigma}(h) - k \) is odd, we can verify

\[ e\sigma(h, k) \notin D \implies e\sigma(h-1, k - \bar{\sigma}(h)) \notin \mu_i(D) \]

from the definition of \( \mu_i(D) \) in 4.3.2.
In the case \(i \in I_S\), assume we have \(k \in \mathbb{Z}_n\) such that \(h\bar{\sigma}(h) - k\) is odd and 
\(e_a(h-1,k-\bar{\sigma}(h)) \in \mu_a(D)\). From Definition \([4.4]\) we have \(e_a(h-1,k-\bar{\sigma}(h)) \in D\). 
Since \(e_a(h,k-2\bar{\sigma}(h)) \notin D\), we have \(e_a(h,k-\bar{\sigma}(h)) \in D\). Then, since \(\bar{\sigma}(h) = \bar{\lambda}(h)\), 
there exists \(m\) such that \(\sigma(i)(m-k) > 0\) and \(\partial f(h-1/2,m) \cap D = \partial f(h-1/2,m)\), which is a contradiction. \(\square\)

5.4.5

Given \(\sigma, \lambda\) and a monomial \(q\), take \(B_1\) and \(N_4\) as in Lemma 5.5 and \([5.4.3]\). By 
the definition of \(N_4\) and Lemma 5.6, we have the following lemma:

**Lemma 5.7.** For any \(b > B_1\) and for any dimer configuration of type \((\sigma, \lambda, \nu, \theta_{1,b})\) with weight \(q\), we have 
- \(e_\sigma(h,k) \notin D\) for any \(h\) and \(k\) such that \(h < \theta_{1,b}^{-1}(\pi(h)) - 2LN_4\) and \(h \cdot \bar{\sigma}(h) - k\) is even, and 
- \(e_\sigma(h,k) \notin D\) for any \(h\) and \(k\) such that \(h < \theta_{1,b}^{-1}(\pi(h)) + 2LN_4\) and \(h \cdot \bar{\sigma}(h) - k\) is odd.

5.4.6

We assume that 
\[\theta_{1,b}^{-1}(1/2) < \theta_{1,b}^{-1}(3/2) < \cdots < \theta_{1,b}^{-1}(L-1/2)\]
for any \(b > 0\).

Given \(\sigma, \lambda\) and a monomial \(q\), take \(B_5\) such that \(B_5 > b(2N_4)\) and \(B_5 > B_1\). 
The following theorem is the main result of this section:

**Theorem 5.8.** For any \(b > B_5\), we have a bijection between the following two sets:
- dimer configurations of type \((\sigma, \lambda, \nu, \theta_{1,b})\) with weights \(q\).
- data \((\bar{\Lambda}, \bar{\nu})\) as in \([5.3]\) of type \((\sigma, \lambda, \nu)\) with weights \(q\).

**Proof.** First, we divide the \((x,y)\)-plane into the following \(2L + 1\) areas:

- \(C_j := \{\theta^{-1}(j) - 2LN_4 < x < \theta^{-1}(j) + 2LN_4\} \quad (j \in I_b)\),
- \(C_0 := \{x < \theta^{-1}(1/2) - 2LN_4\}\),
- \(C_i := \{\theta^{-1}(i-1/2) + 2LN_4 < x < \theta^{-1}(i+1/2) - 2LN_4\} \quad (1 \leq i \leq L - 1)\),
- \(C_L := \{\theta^{-1}(L-1/2) + 2LN_4 < x\}\).

By Lemma 5.7, in the area \(C_j\) we have 
- \(e_\sigma[h,k] \notin D\) for any \(h\) and \(k\) such that \(\pi(h) > j\) and \(h \cdot \bar{\sigma}(h) - k\) is even, and 
- \(e_\sigma[h,k] \notin D\) for any \(h\) and \(k\) such that \(\pi(h) < j\) and \(h \cdot \bar{\sigma}(h) - k\) is odd.
Removing these edges, we get a new graph. A “face” of the new graph is a union of $L$-tuple of elements in $F$. Regarding such a union as a “hexagon”, the dimer configuration $D$ gives a dimer configuration for the hexagon lattice, in other words, a 3-dimensional diagram. Let $\Lambda^{(j)}$ denote this 3-dimensional diagram. (See Example 5.9.)

Similarly, in the area $C_j$ we have

- $e^s[h,k] \notin D$ for any $h$ and $k$ such that $\pi(h) > i$ and $h \cdot \tilde{\sigma}(h) - k$ is even, and
- $e^s[h,k] \not\in D$ for any $h$ and $k$ such that $\pi(h) < i$ and $h \cdot \tilde{\sigma}(h) - k$ is odd.

Removing these edges, we get a new graph, which is an infinite disjoint union of edges in the area $C_j$.

Combining these contributions, we get the claim.

Example 5.9. We take $\sigma$ as in Example 2.1 and $\lambda = \emptyset$. Assume that $\theta(1/2) = N + 1/2$ and $\theta(5/2) = -N + 5/2$ for $N \gg 0$. In Figure 15, we show the weight (after putting $q_+ = q_- = q_0^{1/2}$) of edges in the area $C_{1/2}$. We can identify the graph in the area $C_{1/2}$ with a hexagon lattice as in Figure 15.

![Figure 15: the graph in the area $C_{1/2}$](image-url)
Remark 5.10. In general, we have the permutation \( s_1 \in \mathfrak{S}_{I_h} \) of the set \( I_h \) satisfying the following condition: for sufficiently large \( b \) we have
\[
\theta_{1,b}^{-1}(s_1(1/2)) < \theta_{1,b}^{-1}(s_1(3/2)) < \cdots < \theta_{1,b}^{-1}(s_1(L - 1/2)).
\]
The permutation \( s_1 \) determines the direction in which we take limit in the space of stability conditions. It is the refine topological vertex associated to \( Y_{\sigma s_1} \), what we get in the limit.

5.5 Conclusion

Note that we have
\[
\bigcup_{b=1}^{\infty} \Lambda_{\theta, b}^{\text{re}, +} = \Lambda_{+}^{\text{re}, +}.
\]
Combining Theorem 4.5.1 and Theorem 5.8, we have the following:

Theorem 5.11.
\[
Z_{\sigma, \lambda, \nu}^{\text{RTV}} = Z_{\sigma, \lambda, \nu}^{\text{NCDT}} \cdot \prod_{\alpha \in \Lambda_{+}^{\text{re}, +}} \left( \prod_{(h,h') \in B_{\sigma, \lambda}^{\text{re}, +}} \left( 1 - \sigma(\alpha) \cdot w_{\lambda}(h')/w_{\lambda}(h) \right)^{\sigma(\alpha)} \right) \prod_{(h,h') \in B_{\sigma, \lambda}^{\text{re}, -}} \left( 1 - \sigma(\alpha) \cdot w_{\lambda}(h')/w_{\lambda}(h) \right)^{-\sigma(\alpha)}.
\]
(See (1.1), (1.3) and (4.6) for the notations.)

Since the second term in the right-hand side does not depend on \( \nu \), we have the following:

Corollary 5.12.
\[
Z_{\sigma, \lambda, \nu}^{\text{RTV}} / Z_{\sigma, \lambda, \emptyset}^{\text{RTV}} = Z_{\sigma, \lambda, \nu}^{\text{NCDT}} / Z_{\sigma, \lambda, \emptyset}^{\text{NCDT}}.
\]
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Combining Theorem 4.14 and Theorem 5.8, we have the following:

**Theorem 5.13.**

\[
Z_{RTV} \bigg|_{q_+ = q_- = (q_0)^{1/2}} = Z_{NCDT} \bigg|_{q_+ = q_- = (q_0)^{1/2}} \prod_{\alpha \in \Lambda^+} (1 - \sigma(\alpha) \cdot q^\alpha) \left( \frac{\alpha^0 + c_\lambda[j_-(\alpha)] - c_\lambda[j_+(\alpha)]}{\sigma(\alpha)} \right),
\]

(See (1.1), (1.3) and (4.6) for the notations.)

Since the second term in the right-hand side depend only on \( c_\lambda[j] \)'s but not on \( \lambda \) and \( \nu \), we have the following:

**Corollary 5.14.** If \( c_\lambda[j] = 0 \) for any \( j \), then we have

\[
\left. Z_{RTV} \right|_{q_+ = q_- = (q_0)^{1/2}} / \left. Z_{RTV} \right|_{q_+ = q_- = (q_0)^{1/2}} = \left. Z_{NCDT} \right|_{q_+ = q_-} / \left. Z_{NCDT} \right|_{q_+ = q_-}.
\]
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