HOMOLOGICAL MIRROR SYMMETRY FOR CURVES OF HIGHER GENUS

ALEXANDER I. EFIMOV

Abstract. This paper is devoted to homological mirror symmetry conjecture for curves of higher genus. It was proposed by Katzarkov as a generalization of original Kontsevich’s conjecture.

A version of this conjecture in the case of the genus two curve was proved by Seidel [Se1]. Based on the paper of Seidel, we prove the conjecture (in the same version) for curves of genus $g \geq 3$. Namely, we relate the Fukaya category of a genus $g$ curve to the category of singularities of zero fiber in the mirror dual Landau-Ginzburg model.

We also prove a kind of reconstruction theorem for hypersurface singularities. Namely, formal type of hypersurface singularity (i.e. a formal power series up to a formal change of variables) can be reconstructed, with some technical assumptions, from its $D(\mathbb{Z}/2)$-G category of Landau-Ginzburg branes. The precise statement is Theorem 1.2.

1. Introduction

The homological mirror symmetry conjecture is a categorical interpretation of mirror symmetry. Originally, it was proposed by Kontsevich [Ko1] for Calabi-Yau varieties. It was proved in some special cases [AS, PZ, Se3].

An analogue of the conjecture for Fano varieties has been proposed soon after. In this case the mirror is a Landau-Ginzburg model — a smooth algebraic variety together with a regular function. More generally, it is believed that one can consider varieties with effective anti-canonical divisor, see [Au].

Katzarkov [Ka, KKP, KKOY] has proposed a generalization of Homological Mirror Symmetry, which includes some varieties of general type. The mirror to such variety is a Landau-Ginzburg model. One direction of Katzarkov’s conjecture was proved by Seidel in the case of the genus 2 curve [Se1]. The main aim of this paper is to prove it in the case of curves of genus $g \geq 3$. Actually, we follow the steps of Seidel’s proof in the genus 2 case, and generalize it to genus $g \geq 3$ case.
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We treat genus $\geq 3$ curves as symplectic varieties, and associate to them Fukaya categories. Further, Landau-Ginzburg models are considered algebro-geometrically. The associated categories are triangulated categories of singularities of singular fiber [Or1].

Let $M$ be a symplectic compact oriented surface of genus $g \geq 3$. The mirror Landau-Ginzburg (LG for short) model $W : X \to \mathbb{C}$ is three-dimensional. The only singular fibre $H := X_0 \subset X$ is a union of $(g + 1)$ surfaces. This LG model will be constructed explicitly in Section 9.

We denote by $F(M)$ the Fukaya $A_\infty$-category of $M$, and by $D_{\pi}(F(M))$ the category of perfect complexes over $F(M)$. Further, let $D_{sg}(H)$ be the category of singularities of the surface $H$, and denote by $D_{sg}(H)$ its Karoubian completion. The main result of the paper is the following.

**Theorem 1.1.** The triangulated categories $D_{\pi}(F(M))$ and $D_{sg}(H)$ are equivalent.

The main ideas in the proof are the same as in [Se1]. We sketch the steps of the proof.

Take $V = \mathbb{C}^3$. We denote by $\xi_k \in V$, $k = 1, 2, 3$ the standard basis vectors of $V$, and by $z_k \in V^*$, $k = 1, 2, 3$ the dual basis. Take the $K$-invariant polynomial

$$W = -z_1 z_2 z_3 + z_1^{2g+1} + z_2^{2g+1} + z_3^{2g+1} \in \mathbb{C}[V^*]^K,$$

where $K \cong \mathbb{Z}/(2g + 1) \subset SL(V)$ is the cyclic subgroup generated by the diagonal matrix $\text{diag}(\zeta, \zeta, \zeta^{2g-1})$, with $\zeta = \exp(\frac{2\pi i}{2g+1})$.

A generator of Fukaya category. The generator of $D_{\pi}(F(M))$ is constructed as follows. We consider a cyclic covering $\pi : M \to \bar{M}$, where $\bar{M}$ is $\mathbb{P}^1$ with three orbifold points. The Galois group of this covering is $\Sigma = \text{Hom}(K, \mathbb{C}^*) \cong \mathbb{Z}/(2g + 1)$. There is a nice Galois-invariant collection of curves $L_1, \ldots, L_{2g+1} \subset M$, such that

1) the object $L_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus L_{2g+1} \in D_{\pi}(F(M))$ is a generator;
2) the projection $\pi(L_i)$ of each of these curves is the immersed curve $\bar{L} \subset \bar{M}$.

Here to prove generation we use the criterions of Seidel ([Se1], Lemmas 6.4 and 6.5). The endomorphism $A_\infty$-algebra $\text{End}(\bigoplus_{1 \leq i \leq 2g+1} L_i)$ is a smash product $\text{End}(\bar{L}) \# \mathbb{C}[K]$. The Floer cohomology super-algebra $HF(\bar{L}, \bar{L})$ is isomorphic to the exterior super-algebra $\Lambda(V)$. We compute some higher $A_\infty$-operations which uniquely determine the whole $A_\infty$-structure (up to homotopy). This computation is analogous to that of [Se1], Section 10, and is in fact combinatorial, as in the approach of Abouzaid [Ab].

Classification of $A_\infty$-structures. The super-algebra $\Lambda(V)$ has a lot of (homotopy classes of) $\mathbb{Z}/2$-graded $A_\infty$-structures. These $A_\infty$-structures are actually Maurer-Cartan solutions in the differential graded Lie algebra of Hochschild cochains. We use Kontsevich’s formality theorem [Ko2] (in the suitable version) to reduce classification of $A_\infty$-structures to some questions on formal polyvector fields on $V$. It turns out that the $A_\infty$-algebra
Matrix factorizations. It is well known that the triangulated category of singularities of a fiber $W^{-1}(0)$ is equivalent to the homotopy category of matrix factorizations of $W$ \cite{Or1}. In our case, the structure sheaf of the origin $\mathcal{O}_0$ is a split-generator in the category of singularities. We take the matrix factorization corresponding to this skyscraper sheaf $\mathcal{O}_0$. The endomorphism DGA of this matrix factorization turns out to be quasi-isomorphic to the $A_\infty$-algebra computed on the Fukaya side. Namely, the cohomology super-algebra of this DGA is isomorphic to the exterior algebra $\Lambda(V)$ and again the resulted $A_\infty$-structure corresponds to the superpotential $W$ in polyvector fields. This part generalizes \cite{Se1}, sections 11, 12.

Here we also prove the following general reconstruction theorem (more precise formulation is Theorem \ref{thm:reconstruction}):

**Theorem 1.2.** Let $k$ be a field of characteristic zero, $n \geq 1$, and $V = k^n$. Let $W = \sum_{i=3}^{d} W_i \in k[V^\vee]$ be a non-zero polynomial, where $W_i \in \text{Sym}^i(V^\vee)$. Then $W$ can be reconstructed, up to a formal change of variables, from the quasi-isomorphism class of $D(\mathbb{Z}/2)$-$G$ algebra $\mathcal{B}_W \cong \mathbb{R}\text{Hom}_{Dsg(W^{-1}(0))}(\mathcal{O}_0, \mathcal{O}_0)$, the endomorphism $D(\mathbb{Z}/2)$-$G$ algebra of the structure sheaf $\mathcal{O}_0$ in $D_{sg}(W^{-1}(0))$, together with identification $H^\cdot(\mathcal{B}_W) \cong \Lambda(V)$. Moreover, formal change of variables is of the form

$$z_i \rightarrow z_i + O(z^2).$$

**Equivalence between two LG models.** We have two natural LG models both mirror to the curve $M$. The first one is a stack $V//K$ together with a function $W$ from equation (1.1). Another one is a crepant resolution $\psi : X \rightarrow \bar{X} = V/K$ given by the $K$-Hilbert scheme \cite{CR}, together with pullback of $W$. In both cases the only singular fiber is over zero. Denote by $H \subset X$ be the preimage of $\bar{H} = W^{-1}(0)/K \subset \bar{X}$. We can describe the surface $H$ very explicitly (Section 9). By the famous Mckay correspondence for derived categories \cite{BKR}, we have an equivalence $D^b_K(V) \cong D^b(X)$. We use an analogous result for categories of singularities \cite{BP, QV}: $D_{sg,K}(W^{-1}(0)) \cong D_{sg}(H)$. This is a generalization of \cite{Se1}, section 13.

In Appendix we prove one necessary technical result from Maurer-Cartan theory for pro-nilpotent DG Lie algebras.

**The sign convention.** We will treat an $A_\infty$-algebra as a $\mathbb{Z}$- (or $(\mathbb{Z}/2)$-)graded vector space equipped with a sequence of maps $\mu^d : A^{\otimes d} \rightarrow A$ of degree $2 - d$ (resp. of parity $d$)
such that the maps $m_d : A^{\otimes d} \to A$, where
\begin{equation}
(1.3) \quad m_d(a_d, \ldots, a_1) = (-1)^{|a_1| + 2|a_2| + \cdots + d|a_d|} \mu^d(a_d, \ldots, a_1),
\end{equation}
define an $A_\infty$-structure in standard sign convention.
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2. Maurer-Cartan Theory for Pro-nilpotent DG Lie Algebras

Let $g$ be some DG Lie algebra over $\mathbb{C}$. Recall Maurer-Cartan (MC) equation for $g$:
\begin{equation}
(2.1) \quad \partial \alpha + \frac{1}{2} [\alpha, \alpha] = 0, \quad \alpha \in g^1.
\end{equation}

An element $\alpha \in g^1$ is called Maurer-Cartan (MC) element if it satisfies MC equation. For each $\gamma \in g^0$ we have affine vector field on $g^1$, $\alpha \mapsto -\partial \gamma + [\gamma, \alpha]$. This defines a morphism of Lie algebras from $g^0$ to the Lie algebra of affine vector fields on $g^1$. It is easy to check that all vector fields in the image are tangent to the subscheme of solutions of (2.1). Under some natural assumptions on $g$ (see below), there is a group $G^0$ (which is exponent of $g^0$) acting on the set of Maurer-Cartan elements.

We will need to deal with $L_\infty$-morphisms between DG Lie algebras. An $L_\infty$-morphism $\Phi : g \to h$ is given by a sequence of maps $\Phi^k : g^{\otimes k} \to h$. These maps must be antisymmetric (in super sense) and satisfy natural compatibility equations ([LM], Definition 5.2).

More precisely, for a permutation $\sigma \in S_n$, and graded variables $x_1, \ldots, x_n$, define the Koszul sign by the equality
\[ x_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge x_n = \epsilon(\sigma; x_1, \ldots, x_n) \cdot x_{\sigma(1)} \wedge \cdots \wedge x_{\sigma(n)} \]
in the free graded commutative algebra $\Lambda(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$. Further, put $\chi(\sigma) = \chi(\sigma; x_1, \ldots, x_n) := \text{sgn}(\sigma) \cdot \epsilon(\sigma; x_1, \ldots, x_n)$. Then the maps $\Phi^k$ must satisfy the equations
\[ \Phi^k(\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_k) = \chi(\sigma)\Phi^k(\xi_{\sigma(1)}, \ldots, \xi_{\sigma(k)}) \]
for homogeneous \( \xi_1, \ldots, \xi_k \in \mathfrak{g}, \ k \geq 1 \). Further, the following relations are required to hold:

\[
\partial \Phi^n(\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_n) + \frac{(-1)^n}{(n-1)!} \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} \chi(\sigma) \Phi^n(\partial \xi_{\sigma(1)}, \xi_{\sigma(2)}, \ldots, \xi_{\sigma(n)}) \\
- \frac{1}{2!(n-2)!} \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} \chi(\sigma) \Phi^n([\xi_{\sigma(1)}, \xi_{\sigma(2)}], \xi_{\sigma(3)}, \ldots, \xi_{\sigma(n)}) \\
+ \sum_{s+t=n} \frac{1}{s!t!} \sum_{\tau \in S_n} \chi(\tau)(-1)^{s-1}(-1)^{(t-1)(\sum_{\rho=1}^s)|\xi_{\tau(\rho)}|} \left[ \Phi^s(\xi_{\tau(1)}, \ldots, \xi_{\tau(s)}), \Phi^t(\xi_{\tau(s+1)}, \ldots, \xi_{\tau(n)}) \right] \\
= 0,
\]

where again \( \xi_1, \ldots, \xi_n \) are homogeneous elements of \( \mathfrak{g}, \ n \geq 1 \).

In particular, \( \Phi^1 \) is a morphism of complexes, and \( H'(\Phi^1) : H'(\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow H'(\mathfrak{h}) \) is a morphism of graded Lie algebras.

Such \( \Phi \) is called a quasi-isomorphism if \( \Phi^1 \) is a quasi-isomorphism. We will need the following statement.

**Lemma 2.1.** Let \( \mathfrak{g} \) be a graded Lie algebra considered as a DG Lie algebra with zero differential. Let \( \mathfrak{h} \) be a DG Lie algebra, and \( \Psi : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{h} \) an \( L_\infty \)-quasi-isomorphism. Take some morphism of complexes \( \Phi^1 : \mathfrak{h} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g} \) together with a homogeneous map \( H : \mathfrak{h} \rightarrow \mathfrak{h} \) of degree \(-1\), such that

\[
(2.2) \quad \Phi^1 \Psi^1 = \text{id}, \quad \Psi^1 \Phi^1 - \text{id} = \partial H + H \partial.
\]

Then \( \Phi^1 \) can be extended to an \( L_\infty \)-morphism \( \Phi : \mathfrak{h} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g} \), so that the higher order terms \( \Phi^k \) are given by a universal formulae, depending only on \( \Psi, \ \Phi^1 \) and \( H \).

Moreover, one can choose \( \Phi \) in such a way that the composition \( \Phi \circ \Psi \) equals to the identity \( L_\infty \)-morphism.

**Proof.** For the proof of the first statement, see [Se1], Lemma 3.1. Further, for the constructed \( \Phi \), we have that the composition \( \Phi \circ \Psi \) is an \( L_\infty \)-automorphism of \( \mathfrak{h} \). Define \( \Phi' = (\Phi \circ \Psi)^{-1} \Phi \). Then \( \Phi' \) satisfies the required property, and the higher order terms \( \Phi'^k \) are again given by a universal formulae, depending only on \( \Psi, \ \Phi^1 \) and \( H \). \( \square \)

In order to be able to exponentiate the gauge vector fields on \( \mathfrak{g}^1 \), we will deal with pro-nilpotent DG Lie algebras.

**Definition 2.2.** A DG Lie algebra \( \mathfrak{g} \) is called pro-nilpotent if it is equipped with a complete decreasing filtration \( \mathfrak{g} = L_1 \mathfrak{g} \supset L_2 \mathfrak{g} \supset \ldots \), such that

\[
(2.3) \quad \partial(L_r \mathfrak{g}) \subset L_{r-1} \mathfrak{g}, \quad [L_r \mathfrak{g}, L_s \mathfrak{g}] \subset L_{r+s} \mathfrak{g}.
\]
If $g$ is pro-nilpotent, then Lie algebra $g^0$ is also such, and hence we get a pro-nilpotent group $G^0$. As a set, it equals to $g^0$, and the product is given by the Baker-Campbell-Hausdorff formula. The group $G^0$ then acts on MC elements $\alpha \in g^1$. Two MC elements are called equivalent if they lie in the same $G^0$-orbit.

**Definition 2.3.** Let $g$, $h$ be pro-nilpotent DG Lie algebras. An $L_\infty$-morphism $\Phi : g \to h$ is called filtered if

$$\Phi^k(L_{r_1}g \otimes \cdots \otimes L_{r_k}g) \subset L_{r_1+\cdots+r_k}h.$$  

**Definition 2.4.** A filtered $L_\infty$-morphism $\Phi : g \to h$ of pro-nilpotent DG Lie algebras is called a filtered $L_\infty$-quasi-isomorphism if the induced morphisms of complexes $L_r g/L_{r+1} g \to L_r h/L_{r+1} h$ are quasi-isomorphisms.

**Remark 2.5.** In Lemma 2.1 we can require $g$, $h$ to be pro-nilpotent, $\Psi$ to be a filtered $L_\infty$-quasi-isomorphism, and $\Phi^1$, $H$ to be compatible with filtrations. Then the constructed $L_\infty$-morphism $\tilde{\Phi}$ is also filtered.

If $\Phi : g \to h$ is a filtered $L_\infty$-morphism of pro-nilpotent DG Lie algebras, then we have an induced map on Maurer-Cartan elements

$$\alpha \mapsto \Phi_* (\alpha) := \sum_{k\geq 1} (-1)^{\frac{(k-1)(k-2)}{2}} \frac{1}{k!} \Phi^k(\alpha, \ldots, \alpha).$$

This map preserves equivalence relation (see Appendix). The following statement is a generalization of the corresponding result in [Ko2].

**Lemma 2.6.** Let $\Phi : g \to h$ be a filtered $L_\infty$-quasi-isomorphism of filtered DG Lie algebras. Then the induced map on equivalence classes of MC elements is a bijection.

This lemma is proved in Appendix by using obstruction theory, similar to [GM] (or [ELO2] for $A_\infty$-algebras).

3. $A_\infty$-structures and formal polyvector fields

Now we define some necessary notions to formulate a version of Kontsevich formality theorem [Ko2]. Let $V$ be a finite-dimensional $\mathbb{C}$-vector space. The graded Lie algebra of formal polyvector fields on $V$ is the following:

$$\mathbb{C}[[V^\vee]] \otimes \Lambda(V) = \prod_{i,j} \text{Sym}^i(V^\vee) \otimes \Lambda^j(V).$$

We assign to the summand $\mathbb{C}[[V^\vee]] \otimes \Lambda^j(V)$ the grading $j - 1$. The Lie bracket is the Schouten one:
(3.2) \[ f \xi_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \xi_{i_k}, g \xi_{j_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \xi_{j_l} = \sum_{q=1}^{k} (-1)^{k-q}(f \partial_{i_q} g) \xi_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \xi_{i_q} \wedge \cdots \wedge \xi_{i_k} \wedge \xi_{j_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \xi_{j_l} + \sum_{p=1}^{l} (-1)^{l-p+1(k-1)}(g \partial_{j_p} f) \xi_{j_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \xi_{j_p} \wedge \cdots \wedge \xi_{j_l} \wedge \xi_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \xi_{i_k}. \]

A formal bivector field \( \alpha \in \mathbb{C}[[V^\vee]] \otimes \Lambda^2(V) \) is MC element iff \( \alpha \) defines a formal Poisson structure. The elements \( \gamma \in \mathbb{C}[[V^\vee]] \otimes V \), which are formal vector fields, act on Poisson brackets by their Lie derivatives. If the value of \( \gamma \) at the origin vanishes, then it can be exponentiated to a formal diffeomorphism of \( V \). The corresponding action on Poisson brackets is just the pushforward action by formal diffeomorphisms.

Now let \( A \) be a graded algebra over \( \mathbb{C} \). The Hochshild cochain complex \( CC(A, A) \) of \( A \) is defined as follows. As a graded vector space, it consists of graded multilinear maps:

(3.3) \[ CC^d(A, A) = \prod_{i+j-1=d} \text{Hom}^j(A^{\otimes i}, A). \]

The differential on the Hochshild complex is given by the formula

(3.4) \[ (\partial \phi)^j(a_j, \ldots, a_1) = \sum_k (-1)^{|\phi|+|a_1|+\cdots+|a_k|+k} \phi^j-1(a_j, \ldots, a_{k+1}a_k, \ldots, a_1) + \sum_k (-1)^{|\phi|+|a_1|+\cdots+|a_j-1|+j} a_j \phi^j-1(a_{j-1}, \ldots, a_1) + (-1)^{|\phi|-1|a_1|-1+1} \phi^j-1(a_j, \ldots, a_2)a_1. \]

There is a natural Gerstenhaber bracket on the Hochshild complex which makes it into a DG Lie algebra:

(3.5) \[ [\phi, \psi]^j(a_j, \ldots, a_1) = \sum_{k,l} (-1)^{|\psi|+|a_1|+\cdots+|a_k|-k} \phi^j-l+1(a_j, \ldots, a_{k+l+1}, \psi^l(a_{k+l+1}, \ldots, a_{k+1}), a_k, \ldots, a_1) - \sum_{k,l} (-1)^{|\psi|+|a_1|+\cdots+|a_k|-k} \phi^j-l+1(a_j, \ldots, a_{k+l+1}, \phi^l(a_{k+l+1}, \ldots, a_{k+1}), a_k, \ldots, a_1). \]

Our grading on the Hochshild complex is shifted by 1 from the usual one (otherwise the Gerstenhaber bracket would have degree \(-1\)).

We would like to illustrate the Maurer-Cartan theory for pro-nilpotent DG Lie algebras by describing minimal \( A_\infty \)-structures on \( A \) up to a strict homotopy. Consider the DG Lie
subalgebra $g_A \subset CC(A, A)$ with
\[
(3.6) \quad g_A^d = \prod_{i+j-1=d, \ i \geq d+2} \text{Hom}^j(A^\otimes_i, A).
\]
We have that $g_A$ is pro-nilpotent, with filtration
\[
(3.7) \quad L_r g_A^d = \prod_{i+j-1=d, \ i \geq d+1+r} \text{Hom}^j(A^\otimes_i, A), \ r \geq 1.
\]
It is well known (and is easy to see) that $A_\infty$-structures on the graded algebra $A$ correspond to MC elements $\alpha \in CC^1(A, A)$. Namely, each $\alpha \in CC^1(A, A)$ is given by maps $\alpha^j : A^\otimes_j \to A$ of degree $2 - j$, for each $j \geq 3$. Put
\[
(3.8) \quad \begin{cases} 
\mu^j = \alpha^j \text{ for } j \geq 3; \\
\mu^2(a_2, a_1) = (-1)^{|a_1|} a_2 a_1; \\
\mu_1 = 0.
\end{cases}
\]
Then $\mu^j$ define an $A_\infty$-structure if and only if $\alpha$ is Maurer-Cartan element.

**Remark 3.1.** As we have already mentioned in Introduction, our sign convention differs from the standard one. To obtain an $A_\infty$-structure in standard sign convention, one should put
\[
(3.9) \quad m_j(a_j, \ldots, a_1) = (-1)^{|a_1|+2|a_2|+\cdots+j|a_j|} \mu^j(a_j, \ldots, a_1).
\]

The exponentiated action of $\exp(g_A^0)$ on MC elements ($A_\infty$-structures) is the following. Take some $\gamma \in g_A^0$. Take homogeneous maps $\phi^r : A^\otimes_r \to A$, $\deg(\phi^r) = 1 - r$, $r \geq 1$, given by the formulas:
\[
(3.10) \quad \phi^1 = \text{id}; \\
\phi^2 = \gamma^2; \\
\phi^3 = \gamma^3 + \frac{1}{2} \gamma^2(\gamma^2 \otimes \text{id}) + \frac{1}{4} \gamma^2(\text{id} \otimes \gamma^2); \\
\phi^4 = \gamma^4 + \frac{1}{2} \gamma^2(\gamma^3 \otimes \text{id}) + \frac{1}{2} \gamma^2(\gamma^2 \otimes \gamma) + \frac{1}{2} \gamma^3(\text{id} \otimes \gamma^2 \otimes \text{id}) + \frac{1}{2} \gamma^3(\text{id} \otimes \gamma^2 \otimes \text{id}) + \frac{1}{4} \gamma^2(\gamma^2 \otimes \gamma^2); \\
\ldots
\]

In general, $\phi^j$ is the sum over all ways of concatenating the components of $\gamma$ to get a $j$-linear map. The associated term is taken with the coefficient $\frac{s}{r!}$, where $r$ is the number of components of $\gamma$, and $s$ is the number of ways of ordering the components, compatibly with their appearance in concatenation. If two MC elements $\alpha$ and $\tilde{\alpha}$ lie in the same orbit
of the action of $g_A^0$, so that $\tilde{\alpha} = \exp(\gamma)(\alpha)$, then the corresponding $A_\infty$-structures are
strictly homotopic, and $\phi$ is an $A_\infty$-isomorphism.

Now let again $V$ be a finite-dimensional vector space, and take $A = \Lambda(V)$. By Hochshild-Kostant-Rosenberg Theorem (see [HKR]), we have $HH^1(A, A) \cong \mathbb{C}[[V^\vee]] \otimes \Lambda(V)$. This isomorphism is induced by Hochshild-Kostant-Rosenberg map

$$(3.11) \quad \Phi^1 : CC^*(A, A) \to \mathbb{C}[[V^\vee]] \otimes \Lambda(V),$$

given by the formula

$$(3.12) \quad \Phi^1(\beta)(\xi) = \sum_{j \geq 1} \beta^j(\xi, \ldots, \xi).$$

Here we consider polyvector fields as formal power series with values in $\Lambda(V)$.

**Theorem 3.2.** ([Ko2]) The map $\Phi^1$ is the first term of some $L_\infty$-morphism $\Phi$, which can be taken to be $GL(V)$-equivariant.

Theorem 3.2 is implied by Kontsevich formality Theorem [Ko2] using Lemma 2.1 and reductiveness of $GL(V)$, see [Se1] and Remark 3.3.

**Remark 3.3.** In contrast to our situation, Kontsevich deals with the algebra of smooth functions on smooth manifolds. He proves that for each smooth manifold $X$ the graded Lie algebra of polyvector fields $T_{poly}(X)$ is quasi-isomorphic to the DG Lie algebra of polydifferential operators $D_{poly}(X)$. In the case when $X$ is an open domain $U$ in affine space $\mathbb{R}^d$, he constructs an explicit $L_\infty$-quasi-isomorphism. One can replace the smooth functions by polynomials (or formal power series) over $\mathbb{C}$, and his construction works as well. Then one exchanges even an odd variables, and obtains an $L_\infty$-quasi-isomorphism

$$(3.13) \quad \Psi : \mathbb{C}[[V^\vee]] \otimes \Lambda(V) \to CC^*(A, A).$$

This $\Psi$ is $GL(V)$-equivariant, and using Lemma 2.1 and reductiveness of $GL(V)$, one obtains the required $\Phi : CC^*(A, A) \to \mathbb{C}[[V^\vee]] \otimes \Lambda(V)$ which can be taken to be left inverse to $\Psi$.

4. **Classification lemma for polyvector fields**

Put $V = \mathbb{C}^3$. Take the subgroup $G \subset SL(V)$ which consists of diagonal matrices with $(2g + 1)$-th roots of unity on the diagonal. Clearly, $G \cong (\mathbb{Z}/(2g + 1))^2$. Define the pro-nilpotent graded Lie algebra $g$ as follows:

$$(4.1) \quad g^d = \prod_{2i+j-(4g-4)k=3d+3, \quad k \geq 0, \quad i \geq d+2} (\text{Sym}^i V^\vee \otimes \Lambda^j V)^G h^k.$$
The Lie bracket comes from Schouten bracket on polyvector fields, and \( L_r g^d \) is the part of the product which consists of terms with \( i \geq d + 1 + r \).

We can omit \( \hbar^k \) but remember that
\[
2i + j - 3d - 3 \geq 0, \quad \text{and} \quad 2i + j - 3d - 3 \equiv 0 \mod 4g - 4.
\]

We would like to describe explicitly elements of \( g^1 \) and \( g^0 \), and Maurer-Cartan equation. Any element \( \alpha \in g^1 \) can be written as \( (\alpha_0, \alpha_2) \), where \( \alpha_0 \in \mathbb{C}[[V^\vee]] \), and \( \alpha_2 \in \mathbb{C}[[V^\vee]] \otimes \Lambda^2 V \). Both \( \alpha_0 \) and \( \alpha_2 \) must be \( G \)-invariant, and the degrees of non-zero homogeneous components of \( \alpha_0 \) and \( \alpha_2 \) must fulfill the conditions (4.2). In particular, \( \alpha_0 \in F_3 \mathbb{C}[[V^\vee]] \), and \( \alpha_2 \in F_2 g \mathbb{C}[[V^\vee]] \otimes \Lambda^2 V \).

Similarly, any element \( \gamma \in g^0 \) can be written as \( (\gamma_1, \gamma_3) \), where \( \gamma_1 \in F_2 g^{-1} \mathbb{C}[[V^\vee]] \otimes V \), and \( \gamma_3 \in F_2 g^{-2} \mathbb{C}[[V^\vee]] \otimes \Lambda^3 V \). Again, both \( \gamma_1 \) and \( \gamma_3 \) must be \( G \)-invariant, and non-zero homogeneous components of \( \gamma_1 \) and \( \gamma_3 \) must satisfy (4.2).

Maurer-Cartan equation for \( \alpha = (\alpha_0, \alpha_2) \) splits into the components:
\[
\frac{1}{2} [\alpha_2, \alpha_2] = 0, \quad [\alpha_0, \alpha_2] = 0.
\]

This means that
1) The bivector field \( \alpha_2 \) is Poisson (the first equation);
2) The Poisson vector field associated to the function \( \alpha_0 \) is identically zero. It will be convenient to reformulate this. Consider the complex \( \mathbb{C}[[V^\vee]] \otimes \Lambda^2 V \) with differential being contraction with \( d\alpha_0 \) (Koszul complex). Then the second equation means that \( \alpha_2 \) is a cocycle in this complex.

The exponentiated adjoint action of \( \gamma = (\gamma_1, 0) \in g^0 \) on the solutions of MC equation is the usual action by formal diffeomorphisms. For \( \gamma = (0, \gamma_3) \), this action is given by the formula
\[
(\alpha_0, \alpha_2) \mapsto (\alpha_0, \alpha_2 + i_{\delta_0 \alpha} \gamma_3).
\]

Take the polynomial
\[
W = -z_1 z_2 z_3 + z_1^{2q+1} + z_2^{2q+1} + z_3^{2q+1} \in \mathbb{C}[V^\vee]^G,
\]
which we have already mentioned in Introduction as a superpotential. Then \( (W, 0) \in g^1 \) is a solution of MC equation (as any other \( \alpha \in g^1 \) of type \( (\alpha_0, 0) \)). Our main technical result in this section is the following.
Lemma 4.1. Let $\alpha = (\alpha^0, \alpha^2) \in g^1$ be an MC element. Suppose that
\begin{equation}
\alpha^0 \equiv \begin{cases} 
W \mod F_{2g+2}C[[V^\vee]] & \text{if } g \not\equiv 1 \mod 3 \\
W + \lambda(z_1z_2z_3)^{\frac{2g+1}{3}}, \text{ where } \lambda \in \mathbb{C} & \text{if } g \equiv 1 \mod 3.
\end{cases}
\end{equation}
Then $\alpha$ is equivalent to $(W, 0)$.

Proof. First we note that in the case $(g \equiv 1 \mod 3)$ one may assume that $\lambda = 0$. Indeed, in this case we have
\begin{equation}
\exp(\lambda z_1^{\frac{2g+1}{3}} z_2^{\frac{2g-2}{3}} z_3^{\frac{2g-2}{3}} \otimes \xi_1) \alpha^0 \equiv \alpha^0 + \lambda z_1^{\frac{2g+1}{3}} z_2^{\frac{2g-2}{3}} z_3^{\frac{2g-2}{3}} \frac{\partial \alpha^0}{\partial z_1} \equiv W \mod F_{2g+2}C[[V^\vee]].
\end{equation}
Thus, we may and will assume that $\alpha^0 \equiv W \mod F_{2g+2}C[[V^\vee]]$.

Let $I \subset C[[V^\vee]]$ be an ideal generated by $\frac{\partial W}{\partial z_i}, i = 1, 2, 3$. It is easy to see that
\begin{equation}
z_i z_j \in I + F_{2g}C[[V^\vee]] \text{ for } i \neq j, \quad z_i^{2g+2} \in I \cdot F_{2g}C[[V^\vee]] + F_{4g}C[[V^\vee]].
\end{equation}
Indeed, for example $z_1 z_2 \equiv -\frac{\partial W}{\partial z_3} \mod F_{2g}C[[V^\vee]]$, and
\begin{equation}
z_1^{2g+2} \equiv \frac{1}{2g+1} z_1^2 \frac{\partial W}{\partial z_1} - \frac{1}{2g+1} z_1 z_2 \frac{\partial W}{\partial z_2} - \frac{z_2}{2g} \frac{\partial W}{\partial z_3} \mod F_{4g}C[[V^\vee]].
\end{equation}

Put $W_{4g-1} = \alpha^0$. It follows from (1.2) that $\alpha^0$ contains only monomials of degree $3 + (2g-2)k$, where $k \geq 0$. The difference $W - W_{4g-1}$ does not contain monomials $z_i^{4g-1}$, since they are not $G$-invariant. It follows from (1.9) that $W - W_{4g-1} \in I \cdot F_{4g-3}C[[V^\vee]] + F_{6g-3}C[[V^\vee]]$. Therefore, there exist homogeneous polynomials $f_{4g-3,1}, f_{4g-3,2}, f_{4g-3,3}$ of degree $(4g - 3)$, such that
\begin{equation}
W_{6g-3} = \exp(f_{4g-3,1} \otimes \xi_1 + f_{4g-3,2} \otimes \xi_2 + f_{4g-3,3} \otimes \xi_3) W_{4g-3} \\
\equiv W_{2g+1} + f_{4g-3,1} \frac{\partial W}{\partial z_1} + f_{4g-3,2} \frac{\partial W}{\partial z_2} + f_{4g-3,3} \frac{\partial W}{\partial z_3} \mod F_{6g-3}C[[V^\vee]]
\end{equation}
\begin{equation}
\equiv W \mod F_{6g-3}C[[V^\vee]].
\end{equation}

Moreover, we can take $f_{4g-3,1}$ such that $(f_{4g-3,1} \otimes \xi_1 + f_{4g-3,2} \otimes \xi_2 + f_{4g-3,3} \otimes \xi_3, 0) \in g^0$. We obtain a new formal function $W_{6g-3} \equiv W \mod F_{6g-3}C[[V^\vee]]$.

Now suppose that we are given with some formal function $W_{3+(2g-2)k}$, where $k \geq 3$, such that $(W_{3+(2g-2)k}, 0) \in g^1$ and $W_{3+(2g-2)k} \equiv W \mod F_{3+(2g-2)k}C[[V^\vee]]$. It follows from (1.9) that $W - W_{3+(2g-2)k} \in I \cdot F_{1+(2g-2)(k-1)}C[[V^\vee]] + F_{3+(2g-2)(k+1)}$. Thus, there exist homogeneous polynomials $f_{1+(2g-2)(k-1)}, f_{1+(2g-2)(k-2)}, f_{1+(2g-2)(k-1)}$ of degree
\[1 + (2g - 2)(k - 1)\] such that
\[
\exp(f_1 + (2g - 2)(k - 1), 1 \otimes \xi_1 + f_1 + (2g - 2)(k - 1), 2 \otimes \xi_2 + f_1 + (2g - 2)(k - 1), 3 \otimes \xi_3) \cdot W_{3+(2g-2)k} \equiv W \mod F_{3+(2g-2)(k+1)}.
\]
Again, the exponentiated formal vector field can be taken to belong to \(\mathfrak{g}^0\). We obtain a new formal function \(W_{3+(2g-2)(k+1)}\) such that \((W_{3+(2g-2)(k+1)}, 0) \in \mathfrak{g}^1\) and \(W_{3+(2g-2)(k+1)} \equiv W \mod F_{3+(2g-2)(k+1)}\).

Iterating, we obtain infinite sequence of formal diffeomorphisms, and their product obviously converges. As a result, our MC solution \(\alpha\) is equivalent to \((W, \alpha')_2\) for some \(\alpha'_{2} \in F_{2\mathfrak{g}^2} = \mathbb{C}[[V^\vee]] \otimes \Lambda^2 V\). Since the quotient \(\mathbb{C}[[V^\vee]]/I\) is finite-dimensional, it follows that the sequence \((\frac{\partial W}{\partial z_1}, \frac{\partial W}{\partial z_2}, \frac{\partial W}{\partial z_3})\) is regular in \(\mathbb{C}[[V^\vee]]\), and hence the Koszul complex \(\mathbb{C}[[V^\vee]] \otimes \Lambda(V)\) with differential \(\iota _{dW}\) is a resolution of \(\mathbb{C}[[V^\vee]]/I\). Since \(\alpha'^{2}_2\) is a cocycle in the Koszul complex, it is also a coboundary. Hence there exists \(\gamma^3 \in \mathbb{C}[[V^\vee]] \otimes \Lambda^3 V\) such that \(\iota _{dW}(\gamma^3) = -\alpha'^{2}_2\). Again, \(\gamma^3\) can be choosen to belong to \(\mathfrak{g}^0\). By the explicit formula \((4.5)\), the exponential of \((0, \gamma^3)\) maps \((W, \alpha'_{2})\) to \((W, 0)\), and we are done. \(\square\)

5. Classification theorem on \(A_\infty\)-structures

Take the algebra \(A = \Lambda(V)\) with standard grading (deg\((V) = 1\)). Consider the following DG Lie algebra \(\mathfrak{h}\):

\[(5.1)\]
\[
\mathfrak{h}^d = \prod_{3i+j-(4g-4)k=3d+3, k \geq 0, i \geq d+2} \text{Hom}^j(A^\otimes i, A)^G h^k.
\]

The differential is Hochshild differential and the bracket is Gerstenhaber bracket. Again, \(\mathfrak{h}\) is pro-nilpotent with respect to the filtration \(L_\bullet \mathfrak{h}\), where \(L_\bullet \mathfrak{h}^d\) is the part of the product which consists of terms with \(i \geq d + 1 + r\).

Theorem 3.2 implies the following lemma (see [Se1] for detailed explanation).

**Lemma 5.1.** There exists a filtered \(L_\infty\)-quasi-isomorphism \(\Phi : \mathfrak{h} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}\), with \(\Phi^1\) being the obvious \(\mathfrak{h}\)-linear extension of Hochshild-Kostant-Rosenberg map.

Note that, analogously to the discussion in Section 3, each MC element \(\alpha \in \mathfrak{h}^1\) defines a \(\mathbb{Z}/2\)-graded \(A_\infty\)-structure on \(A\). Moreover, equivalent MC elements yield strictly homotopic \(A_\infty\)-structures. In the following sections, on the A side and on the B side, we will encounter two different \(A_\infty\)-structures on \(A\), which come from the same equivalence class in \(MC(\mathfrak{h})\).

We are going to describe this equivalence class below.
Consider arbitrary $\alpha \in h^1$. Its components are $G$-equivariant $i$-linear maps $\alpha^i : A^\otimes i \to A$, for $i \geq 3$. Further, each $\alpha^i$ has (finite) decomposition $\alpha^i = \alpha_0^i + \alpha_1^i h + \alpha_2^i h^2 + \ldots$, where

\begin{equation}
\alpha_k^i \in \text{Hom}^{6-3i+(4g-4)k}(A^\otimes i, A)^G.
\end{equation}

Note that if $\alpha_k^i \neq 0$, then $6-3i+(4g-4)k \leq 3$. It follows that $\alpha_k^i = 0$ for $3 \leq i < \frac{4g-1}{3}$.

We will also need the following elementary observations:

\begin{equation}
L_{2g} g^1 = (h^2 g)^1;
\end{equation}

\begin{align*}
\Phi^1(\text{Hom}^{2-2g}(A^\otimes 2g, A)^G) &= (\text{Sym}^{2g}(V^\vee) \otimes A^2(V))^G = \\
\begin{cases}
C \cdot z_1^{2g} \otimes (\xi_2 \wedge \xi_3) + C \cdot z_2^{2g} \otimes (\xi_3 \wedge \xi_1) + C \cdot z_3^{2g} \otimes (\xi_1 \wedge \xi_2) & \text{if } g \not\equiv 1 \text{ mod } 3 \\
C \cdot z_1^{2g} \otimes (\xi_2 \wedge \xi_3) + C \cdot z_2^{2g} \otimes (\xi_3 \wedge \xi_1) + C \cdot z_3^{2g} \otimes (\xi_1 \wedge \xi_2) + \\
C \cdot z_1^{2g+1} \cdot z_2^{2g+1} \cdot z_3^{2g+1} \otimes (\xi_1 \wedge \xi_2) + C \cdot z_1^{2g+1} \cdot z_2^{2g+1} \cdot z_3^{2g+1} \otimes (\xi_3 \wedge \xi_1) & \text{if } g \equiv 1 \text{ mod } 3;
\end{cases}
\end{align*}

\begin{align*}
\Phi^1(\text{Hom}^{-2g-1}(A^\otimes (2g+1), A)^G) &= (\text{Sym}^{2g+1}(V^\vee))^G = \\
\begin{cases}
C \cdot z_1^{2g+1} + C \cdot z_2^{2g+1} + C \cdot z_3^{2g+1} & \text{if } g \not\equiv 1 \text{ mod } 3 \\
C \cdot z_1^{2g+1} + C \cdot z_2^{2g+1} + C \cdot z_3^{2g+1} + C \cdot (z_1 z_2 z_3)^{2g+1} & \text{if } g \equiv 1 \text{ mod } 3.
\end{cases}
\end{align*}

**Theorem 5.2.** Let $\alpha \in h^1$ be an MC element such that $\Phi^1(\alpha_0^3) = -z_1 z_2 z_3$ and

\begin{equation}
\Phi^1(\alpha_1^{2g+1}) = \begin{cases}
z_1^{2g+1} + z_2^{2g+1} + z_3^{2g+1} & \text{if } g \not\equiv 1 \text{ mod } 3 \\
z_1^{2g+1} + z_2^{2g+1} + z_3^{2g+1} + \lambda(z_1 z_2 z_3)^{2g+1}, \text{ where } \lambda \in C & \text{if } g \equiv 1 \text{ mod } 3.
\end{cases}
\end{equation}

Then we have that MC element $\Phi_*(\alpha) \in MC(g)$ is equivalent to $(W, 0) \in MC(g)$, in the notation of the previous section. In particular, all such $\alpha$ are equivalent to each other.

**Proof.** First we will replace $\alpha$ with equivalent $\alpha'$ satisfying the assumptions of the theorem, and such that $\alpha_0^i = 0$ for $3 \leq i < 2g$. We will need the following

**Lemma 5.3.** 1) Take some $\gamma_1^i \in h^0$ lying in the component $\text{Hom}^{3-3i+(4g-4)}(A^\otimes i, A)$. Then for each MC element $\alpha \in h^1$ we have

\begin{equation}
\alpha' = \exp(\gamma_1^i) \cdot \alpha \equiv \alpha - \partial \gamma + [\gamma, \alpha] \mod (h^2 h)^1.
\end{equation}
2) If, moreover, \( i \leq 2g - 2 \), then we have that \( \alpha' \) satisfies the assumptions of the theorem.

Proof. 1) This is evident.

2) According to 1) and (5.3), we only need to check that the polynomial \( \Phi^1(\gamma_1^i, \alpha_0^{2g+2-i}) \) does not contain monomials \( z_i^{2g+1} \). But for degree reasons, for \( 2 \leq i \leq 2g - 2 \) we have that \( \alpha_0^{2g+2-i} \) vanishes when restricted to \( V^{\otimes (2g+2-i)} \). Further, for \( 2 \leq i \leq 2g - 3 \), we have that \( \gamma_1^i \) vanishes when restricted to \( V^{\otimes i} \). Therefore, in the case \( 2 \leq i \leq 2g - 3 \) \( [\gamma_1^i, \alpha_0^{2g+2-i}] \) vanishes on \( V^{\otimes (2g+1)} \), hence the assertion.

Further, in the case \( i = 2g - 2 \), it suffices to notice that \( \gamma_1^{2g-2}(\xi_i^{\otimes (2g-2)} = 0 \) from the \( G \)-equivariance condition.

Take the smallest \( i_0 \) such that \( \alpha_0^{i_0} \neq 0 \). Suppose that \( i_0 < 2g \). Since \( \alpha \) is MC solution, we have that \( \partial \alpha_0^{i_0} = 0 \). Denote by \( \hat{A} = \sum_{k \geq 1} \Lambda^k(V) \) the augmentation ideal of \( A \). Simple degree counting shows that \( \text{Hom}^{6-3i_0+4g-4}(\hat{A}^{\otimes i_0}, A) = 0 \). Since the reduced Hochshild complex embeds quasi-isomorphically to the standard one, we have that there exists \( \gamma_1^{i_0-1} \in h^0 \) such that \( \partial \gamma_1^{i_0-1} = \alpha_0^{i_0} \). Then, it follows from Lemma 5.3 that \( \alpha' = \exp(\gamma_1^{i_0-1}) \cdot \alpha \) satisfies the assumptions of the theorem. Moreover, \( \alpha_0^{i_0} = 0 \) for \( 3 \leq i \leq i_0 \).

Iterating, we obtain some equivalent MC solution \( \alpha' \in h^1 \) satisfying the assumptions of the theorem and such that \( \alpha_0^{i_0} = 0 \) for \( 3 \leq i < 2g \). Assume from this moment that \( \alpha \) itself satisfies this property.

Since \( \alpha \) is MC solution, we have

\[
\partial \alpha_0^3 = 0, \quad \partial \alpha_1^{2g} = 0, \quad \partial \alpha_1^{2g+1} + [\alpha_0^3, \alpha_1^{2g}] = 0.
\]

Therefore, \( \alpha_1^{2g} \) satisfies the identity

\[
[z_1 z_2 z_3, \Phi^1(\alpha_1^{2g})] = -\Phi^1(\alpha_0^3, \Phi^1(\alpha_1^{2g})) = -\Phi^1([\alpha_0^3, \alpha_1^{2g}]) = \Phi^1(\partial \alpha_1^{2g+1}) = 0.
\]

From (5.9) and from (5.3) we conclude that

\[
\Phi^1(\alpha_1^{2g}) = \begin{cases} 
0 & \text{if } g \not\equiv 1 \mod 3 \\
\lambda'(z_1^2 z_2^3 z_3^3 \otimes (\xi_1 \wedge \xi_2) + z_1^2 z_2^3 z_3^3 \otimes (\xi_3 \wedge \xi_1)) & \text{if } g \equiv 1 \mod 3
\end{cases}
\]

Simple degree counting shows that

\[
\tilde{\alpha} := \sum_{n \geq 1} (-1)^{n(n-1)/2} \frac{1}{n!} \Phi^n(\alpha, \ldots, \alpha) \equiv \Phi^1(\alpha_0^3) + h\Phi^1(\alpha_1^{2g+1}) - h\Phi^2(\alpha_0^3, \alpha_1^{2g}) \mod L_{2g} \hat{g}^1 = (h^2 \hat{g})^1.
\]
Lemma 5.4. The polynomial $\Phi^2(\alpha^3_0, \alpha^{2g}_1) \in \text{Sym}^{2g+1}(V^\vee)$ does not contain terms $z_i^{2g+1}$.

Proof. If $\alpha^{2g}_1 \in \text{Hom}^{2-2g}(A^\otimes 2g, A)$ is a Hochshild cocycle homologous to $\alpha^{2g}_1$ and $\gamma^2_0 \in \text{Hom}^{-3}(A^\otimes 2, A)$, then

$$\Phi^2(\partial \gamma^2_0, \alpha^{2g}_1) = \pm \Phi^2(\gamma^2_0, \partial \alpha^{2g}_1) \pm \Phi^1([\gamma^2_0, \alpha^{2g}_1]) \pm \partial \Phi^2(\gamma^2_0, \alpha^{2g}_1) \pm [\Phi^1(\gamma^2_0), \Phi^1(\alpha^{2g}_1)] = \pm \Phi^1([\gamma^2_0, \alpha^{2g}_1]).$$

It follows from (5.10) that the RHS of the above chain of identities does not contain monomials $z_i^{2g+1}$. Analogously, if $\alpha^3_0 \in \text{Hom}^{-3}(A^\otimes 3, A)$ is a Hochshild cocycle homologous to $\alpha^3_0$ and $\gamma^{2g-1}_1 \in \text{Hom}^{2-2g}(A^\otimes (2g-1), A)$, then we have that $\Phi^2(\alpha^3_0, \partial \gamma^{2g-1}_1)$ does not contain terms $z_i^{2g+1}$. Therefore, we may assume that

$$\alpha^3_0 = \Psi^1(\Phi^1(\alpha^3_0), \alpha^{2g}_1 = \Psi^1(\Phi^1(\alpha^{2g}_1),$$

where $\Psi : \mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{h}$ is (the obvious $\mathfrak{h}$-linear extension of) Kontsevich’s $L_\infty$-quasi-isomorphism. Further, $L_\infty$-morphism $\Phi$ can be taken to be strictly left inverse to $\Psi$, that is $\Phi \Psi = \text{Id}$ (Remark 3.3). Under this assumptions, the coefficients of $\Phi^2(\alpha^3_0, \alpha^{2g}_1)$ in the monomials $z_i^{2g+1}$ equal to

$$\pm \Phi^2(\Phi^1(\alpha^3_0), \Phi^1(\alpha^{2g}_1))(\xi_i^{(2g+1)}), \quad i = 1, 2, 3.$$

From the precise formulas for $\Phi^1(\alpha^3_0)$ ($= -z_1 z_2 z_3$) and $\Phi^1(\alpha^{2g}_1)$ (formula (5.10)), as well as for the component $\Phi^2$ ([Ko2], subsection 6.4, with suitable changes) one obtains that (5.13) equals to zero, as follows. In the notation of [Ko2], subsection 6.4, for each relevant admissible graph $\Gamma$ we have $U_\Gamma(\Phi^1(\alpha^3_0), \Phi^1(\alpha^{2g}_1))(\xi_i^{(2g+1)}) = 0$. Since $\Psi^2$ is a linear combination of $U_\Gamma$, we obtain that (5.13) equals to zero.

Further, $L_{2g}^1 = (h^2g)^1$ consists of pairs $(\tilde{\alpha}^0, \tilde{\alpha}^2)$ such that $\tilde{\alpha}^0 \in F_{4g-1}\mathbb{C}[[V^\vee]]$, and $\tilde{\alpha}^2 \in F_{4g-2}\mathbb{C}[[V^\vee]] \otimes \Lambda V$. From (5.11) and Lemma 5.4 it follows that $\tilde{\alpha}$ satisfies the assumptions of Lemma 4.1. Therefore, $\tilde{\alpha}$ is equivalent to $(W, 0)$. By Lemma 2.6 $\Phi$ induces a bijection on the equivalence classes of Maurer-Cartan solutions. It follows that $\alpha$ with required properties is unique up to equivalence.

We are interested in the following reformulation of the above Theorem. Suppose that we are given with a $(\mathbb{Z}/2)$-graded $A_\infty$-structure $(\mu^1, \mu^2, \ldots)$ on $A = \Lambda(V)$. Moreover, assume that all $\mu^i$ are $G$-equivariant, $\mu^1 = 0$, $\mu^2$ is the usual wedge product (twisted by sign), and for $i \geq 3$ we have (finite) decomposition $\mu^i = \mu^i_0 + \mu^i_1 + \ldots$, where $\mu^i_k$ is homogeneous of degree $6 - 3i + (4g - 4)k$ with respect to $\mathbb{Z}$-gradings. Suppose that for $z \in V \subset A$ we have

$$\mu^3_0(z, z, z) = -z_1 z_2 z_3.$$
and

\[
\mu_1^{2g+1}(z, \ldots, z) = \begin{cases} 
    z_1^{2g+1} + z_2^{2g+1} + z_3^{2g+1} & \text{if } g \not\equiv 1 \mod 3 \\
    z_1^{2g+1} + z_2^{2g+1} + z_3^{2g+1} + \lambda(z_1z_2z_3)^{2g+1}, \lambda \in \mathbb{C} & \text{if } g \equiv 1 \mod 3.
\end{cases}
\]

Then by Theorem 5.2 such a structure is determined uniquely up to \(G\)-equivariant \(A_\infty\)-quasi-isomorphisms. We denote this class of \(G\)-equivariant \(A_\infty\)-structures by \(A'\).

### 6. Categories of singularities and matrix factorizations

Let \(V = \mathbb{C}^n\) and take some non-zero polynomial \(W \in \mathbb{C}[V^\vee]\) such that the hypersurface \(W^{-1}(0)\) has (not necessarily isolated) singularity at the origin. Following Orlov \([\text{Or}1]\), associate to it the triangulated category of singularities:

\[
D_{sg}(W^{-1}(0)) = D^b_{coh}(W^{-1}(0))/\text{Perf}(W^{-1}(0)).
\]

Denote by \(\overline{D}_{sg}(W^{-1}(0))\) the idempotent completion of \(D_{sg}(W^{-1}(0))\). The following Lemma easily follows from the results in \([\text{Or}2]\) (see \([\text{Se}1]\), proof of Lemma 12.1):

**Lemma 6.1.** If \(W\) has the only singular point at the origin, then the triangulated category \(\overline{D}_{sg}(W^{-1}(0))\) is split-generated by the image of the structure sheaf \(\mathcal{O}_0\).

It turns out that the triangulated category \(D_{sg}(W^{-1}(0))\) is \((\mathbb{Z}/2)\)-graded, i.e. the shift by 2 in \(D_{sg}(W^{-1}(0))\) is canonically isomorphic to the identity (this follows from Theorem 6.2 below).

Now we define the \(D(\mathbb{Z}/2)\)-\(G\) category \(MF(W)\) of matrix factorizations of \(W\). Matrix factorizations give a \((\mathbb{Z}/2)\)-graded enhancement of this category. A matrix factorization for \(W\) is a pair of projective (hence free) finitely generated \(\mathbb{C}[V^\vee]\)-modules \((E^0, E^1)\), together with a pair of morphisms \(\delta^1_E : E^1 \to E^0, \delta^0_E : E^0 \to E^1\), such that

\[
\delta^1_E\delta^0_E = W \cdot \text{id}_{E^0}, \quad \delta^0_E\delta^1_E = W \cdot \text{id}_{E^1}.
\]

In particular, \(E^0\) and \(E^1\) have the same rank. Denote by \(E = E^0 \oplus E^1\) the \(\mathbb{Z}/2\)-graded \(\mathbb{C}[V^\vee]\)-module, and \(\delta_E = \delta^0_E \oplus \delta^1_E : E \to E\) the corresponding odd map. We call the map \(\delta_E\) ”differential”, although its square does not equal to zero.

If \((E, \delta_E)\) and \((F, \delta_F)\) are matrix factorizations, then we have 2-periodic complex of morphisms \(\text{Hom}(E, F)\). Namely, as a \(\mathbb{Z}/2\)-graded vector space, it consists of all even and odd maps of \(\mathbb{Z}/2\)-graded modules. The differential is a super-commutator with \(\delta\). It is easy to see that \(MF(W)\) is a strongly pre-triangulated \(D(\mathbb{Z}/2)\)-\(G\) category.

**Theorem 6.2.** (\([\text{Or}1]\), Theorem 3.9) There is a natural exact equivalence of triangulated categories \(\text{Ho}(MF(W)) \sim D_{sg}(W^{-1}(0))\).
This equivalence associates to a matrix factorization $(E, \delta_E)$ the projection of $\text{Coker}(\delta^1 : E^1 \to E^0)$ (clearly, $W$ annihilates this $\mathbb{C}[V^\vee]$-module, hence it can be considered as an object of $D^b_{\text{coh}}(W^{-1}(0))$).

We would like to write down explicitly the matrix factorization which corresponds to the structure sheaf of origin under the equivalence of Theorem 6.2. Decompose the polynomial $W$ into the sum of its graded components:

$$W = \sum_{i=2}^{k} W_i, \quad W_i \in \text{Sym}^i(V^\vee).$$

Take the one-form

$$\gamma = \sum_{i=2}^{k} \frac{dW_i}{i}.$$ 

Denote by $\eta = \sum z_k \xi_k$ the Euler vector field on $V$.

Now take the matrix factorization $(E, \delta_E)$ with $E = \Omega(V) = \mathbb{C}[V^\vee] \otimes \Lambda(V^\vee)$, and $\delta_E = \iota\eta + \gamma \wedge \cdot$. It is easy to see that $\delta^2_E = \gamma(\eta) \cdot \text{id} = W \cdot \text{id}$.

**Lemma 6.3.** ([Se1], Lemma 12.3) The object $\text{Coker}(\delta^1_E)$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{O}_0$ in $D_{sg}(W^{-1}(0))$.

**Remark 6.4.** In a similar way, one can write down matrix factorization, corresponding to $\mathcal{O}_Z$, where $Z \subset W^{-1}(0)$ is any closed subscheme, which is complete intersection in $V$.

Take the $D(\mathbb{Z}/2)$-G algebra

$$\mathcal{B}_W := \text{End}_{MF(W)}(E).$$

By Lemma 6.3, it is quasi-isomorphic to the $D(\mathbb{Z}/2)$-G algebra $\mathbf{R}\text{Hom}_{D_{sg}(W^{-1}(0))}(\mathcal{O}_0, \mathcal{O}_0)$. We have the following

**Corollary 6.5.** Suppose that $W$ has the only singular point at the origin. Then there is an equivalence $D_{sg}(W^{-1}(0)) \cong \text{Perf}(\mathcal{B}_W)$.

7. Minimal $A_\infty$-model for $\mathcal{B}_W$

In this section we describe more explicitly the DG algebra $\mathcal{B}_W$ introduced in (6.5). We also prove that in the special case of our LG model, it is (equivariantly) quasi-isomorphic to the $A_\infty$-algebra $\mathcal{A}'$ from the end of section 5 (Proposition 7.1).

Let $V = \mathbb{C}^n$. Consider $\Omega(V) = \mathbb{C}[V^\vee] \otimes \Lambda(V^\vee)$ as a complex of $\mathbb{C}[V^\vee]$-modules with $\text{deg}(\mathbb{C}[V^\vee] \otimes \Lambda^k V^\vee) = -k$ and differential $\iota\eta$, where $\eta = \sum_{k=1}^{n} z_k \xi_k$ is the Euler vector field. This complex is just a Koszul resolution of the structure sheaf of the origin $\mathcal{O}_0$. 
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Consider the DG algebra $B = \text{End}_{\mathbb{C}[V^\vee]}(\Omega(V))$. We have that $H^i(B) \cong \text{Ext}^i_{\mathbb{C}[V^\vee]}(O_0, O_0) \cong \Lambda(V)$. Further, we can identify

\begin{equation}
B \cong \Omega(V) \otimes \Lambda(V),
\end{equation}

where for $f \in \text{Sym}(V^\vee)$, $\beta \in \Lambda(V)$, $\theta \in \Lambda(V)$ the element $f\beta \otimes \theta \in \Omega(V) \otimes \Lambda(V)$ corresponds to the endomorphism $f\beta \wedge \eta\theta(\cdot) \in B = \text{End}_{\mathbb{C}[V^\vee]}(\Omega(V))$.

Explicitly, the differential $\partial : \Omega(V) \otimes \Lambda(V) \rightarrow \Omega(V) \otimes \Lambda(V)$ is given by the formula

\begin{equation}
\partial(f\beta \otimes \theta) = \eta_{\beta}(f\beta) \otimes \theta.
\end{equation}

It is well known that DG algebra $B$ is formal. Moreover, we can write down explicitly the quasi-isomorphism of DG algebras $i : \Lambda(V) \rightarrow B$,

\begin{equation}
i(\theta) = 1 \otimes \theta.
\end{equation}

Also, consider the natural projection $p : B \rightarrow \Lambda(V)$,

\begin{equation}
p(1 \otimes \theta) = \theta \quad \text{for} \ \theta \in \Lambda(V); \quad p(f\beta \otimes \theta) = 0 \quad \text{for} \ \theta \in \Lambda(V)
\end{equation}

Clearly, $pi = \text{id}_{\Lambda(V)}$. Further, $ip$ differs from $\text{id}_B$ by homotopy given by the formula

\begin{equation}
h(f\beta \otimes \theta) = \begin{cases}
0 & \text{if } f\beta = \lambda, \lambda \in \mathbb{C} \\
\frac{1}{w}(df \wedge \beta) \otimes \theta & \text{otherwise},
\end{cases}
\end{equation}

where $w = r + s$, $f \in \text{Sym}(V^\vee)$, $\beta \in \Lambda^s(V^\vee)$. Moreover, the maps $h$, $p$, $i$ satisfy the following identities:

\begin{equation}
h^2 = 0, \quad ph = 0, \quad hi = 0.
\end{equation}

Now take the polynomial $W \in \mathbb{C}[V^\vee]$ with singularity at the origin. In the previous section we have written down the one-form $\gamma \in \Omega^1(V)$, such that $\eta_{\gamma}(\gamma) = W$. Such $\gamma$ defines a matrix factorization $E = (\Omega(V), \eta_{\gamma} + \gamma \wedge \cdot)$. We defined the $D(\mathbb{Z}/2)$-G algebra $B_W := \text{End}(E)$. It is clear that $B_W^{gr} \cong B^{gr}$, where $B_W^{gr}$ (resp. $B^{gr}$) is the underlying $(\mathbb{Z}/2)$-graded algebra of $B_W$ (resp. $B$). Denote the differential on $B_W$ by $\tilde{\partial}$. We have the following explicit formula for the difference of differentials:

\begin{equation}
(\tilde{\partial} - \partial)(f\beta \otimes \theta) = (-1)^{|\beta|-1} \sum_{k=1}^n g_k f\beta \otimes \eta dz_k \theta, \quad \text{where} \ \gamma = \sum_{k=1}^n g_k dz_k.
\end{equation}

We are going to describe the minimal $A_\infty$-model for $B_W$. It is obtained from the maps $h, p, i$ above using standard formula of summing up over trees. We obtain a $(\mathbb{Z}/2)$-graded
$A_\infty$-structure $\mathcal{A}$ on the $(\mathbb{Z}/2)$-graded vector space $A = \Lambda(V)$ together with $A_\infty$-quasi-isomorphism $\mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{B}$. Explicit computation of $\mu^k : A^\otimes k \to A$ goes as follows. Consider a ribbon tree with $(k+1)$ semi-infinite edges, $k$ incoming and one outgoing, which has only bivalent and trivalent vertices. Associate with each vertex and each edge an operation by the following formulas:

$$
\begin{cases}
\text{for a bivalent vertex} & b \mapsto (-1)^{|b|}(\bar{\partial} - \partial)(b), \mathcal{B} \to \mathcal{B}; \\
\text{for a trivalent vertex} & (b_2, b_1) \mapsto (-1)^{|b_1|b_2b_1}, \mathcal{B}^\otimes 2 \to \mathcal{B}; \\
\text{for a finite edge} & b \mapsto (-1)^{|b|-1}h(b), \mathcal{B} \to \mathcal{B}; \\
\text{for an incoming edge} & a \mapsto i(a), A \to \mathcal{B}; \\
\text{for an outgoing edge} & b \mapsto p(b), \mathcal{B} \to A.
\end{cases}
$$

(7.8)

Then each such tree gives a map $A^\otimes k \to A$ in an obvious way. The explicit expression for $\mu^k : A^\otimes k \to A$ is just the sum of contributions of all possible trees. The sum is actually finite because

$$
(\bar{\partial} - \partial)(C[[V^\vee]] \otimes \Lambda^k(V^\vee) \otimes \Lambda(V)) \subset C[[V^\vee]] \otimes \Lambda^k(V^\vee) \otimes \Lambda(V), \text{ and}
$$

(7.9)

$$
h(C[[V^\vee]] \otimes \Lambda^k(V^\vee) \otimes \Lambda(V)) \subset C[[V^\vee]] \otimes \Lambda^{k+1}(V^\vee) \otimes \Lambda(V).
$$

(7.10)

The components $f_k : A^\otimes k \to \mathcal{B}$ of the $A_\infty$-quasi-isomorphism are defined in the same way with the only difference: to the outgoing edge one attaches the operation $b \to h(b)$. Again, the sum over trees is actually finite.

To see that $f_1$ is quasi-isomorphism, take the increasing filtrations by subcomplexes:

$$
F_r \mathcal{B}_W = \Omega(V) \otimes \Lambda^{\leq r}(V), \quad F_r \Lambda(V) = \Lambda^{\leq r}(V).
$$

(7.11)

Then the map $f_1 : \Lambda(V) \to \mathcal{B}_W$ is compatible with these filtrations, and it induces quasi-isomorphisms on the subquotients.

Return to the special case $V = \mathbb{C}^3$, $W = -z_1z_2z_3 + z_1^{2g+1} + z_2^{2g+1} + z_3^{2g+1}$. Then we have

$$
g_1 = -\frac{z_2z_3}{3} + z_1^2, \quad g_2 = -\frac{z_1z_3}{3} + z_2^2, \quad g_3 = -\frac{z_1z_2}{3} + z_3^2.
$$

(7.12)

**Proposition 7.1.** In the above notation, the resulting $A_\infty$-algebra $\mathcal{A}$ is $G$-equivariantly equivalent to $\Lambda(V)$ with the $A_\infty$-structure $\mathcal{A}'$ from the end of section [3].

**Proof.** It is useful to take the following $\mathbb{Z}$-grading on $B = \Omega(V) \otimes \Lambda(V)$.

$$
\text{deg}(\text{Sym}^i(V^\vee) \otimes \Lambda^j(V^\vee) \otimes \Lambda^k(V)) = 2i - j + k.
$$

(7.13)
Then $\partial$ has degree $3$, $h$ has degree $-3$. If we want $\tilde{\partial}$ to have degree $3$, we should introduce a formal parameter $h$ with degree $(4-4g)$. Further, we should write $g_1 = -\frac{z_2 z_3}{3} + h z_1^{2g}$ and analogously for other $g_i$. The operations $\mu^d$ are then decomposed as follows: $\mu^d = \mu_0^d + \mu_1^d h + \mu_2^d h^2 + \ldots$, with $\mu_k^d$ being of degree $(6-3d+(4g-4)k)$. Also, it is easy to see that all $\mu^d$ are $G$-equivariant. It is straightforward to check that $\mu^1_{A} = 0$, and $\mu^2_{A}$ the usual wedge product (this follows from vanishing of the degree 2 component of $W$). Further, the only tree (see Figure 1) contributes to $\Phi^{1}(\mu^0_{A})$, and it equals to $-z_1 z_2 z_3$. Analogously, the only tree (see Figure 2) contributes to $\Phi^{1}(\mu^1_{A^{2g+1}})$, and it equals to $z_1^{2g+1} + z_2^{2g+1} + z_3^{2g+1}$, as prescribed. This proves Proposition. □
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From Corollary 6.5 and Proposition 7.1 we obtain the equivalence

\[(7.14) \quad \overline{D_{sg}}(W^{-1}(0)) \cong \text{Perf}(\mathcal{A}').\]

Further, Orlov’s theorem can be extended to the equivariant setting. Let $K \subset G$ be the cyclic subgroup of order $2g+1$, generated by the diagonal matrix with diagonal entries $(\zeta, \zeta, \zeta^{2g-1})$, where $\zeta$ is the primitive $(2g+1)$-th root of unity. Then $D_{sg,K}(W^{-1}(0))$ is equivalent to $MF_K(W)$. The projection of $O_0 \otimes \mathbb{C}[K]$ split-generates $D_{sg,K}(W^{-1}(0))$. In $K$-equivariant matrix factorizations it corresponds to $(\Omega(V) \otimes \mathbb{C}[K], \eta + \gamma \wedge \cdot)$. Its endomorphism DG algebra is naturally isomorphic to the smash product $\mathbb{C}[K] \# \mathcal{B}_W$, which is further $A_{\infty}$-quasi-isomorphic to $\mathbb{C}[K] \# \mathcal{A}'$. The result is

**Corollary 7.2.** The category $\overline{D_{sg,K}}(W^{-1}(0))$ is equivalent to $\text{Perf}(\mathbb{C}[K] \# \mathcal{A}')$.

8. Reconstruction theorem

The results of this section will not be used in the proof of main theorem.
Here we show that one can recover the polynomial $W$ (up to formal change of variables) from the $A_{\infty}$-structure on $\Lambda(V)$ transferred from $D(\mathbb{Z}/2)$-G algebra $B_W$, as in the previous section, for general $W$. Our proof is based on Kontsevich formality theorem, and on Keller’s paper [Ke1].

More precisely, our setting is the following. Let $k$ be any field of characteristic zero and $V = k^n, \ n \geq 1$. Consider a polynomial $W = \sum_{i=3}^{r} W_i \in k[V^\vee]$, with $W_i \in \text{Sym}^i(V^\vee)$. Take the $D(\mathbb{Z}/2)$-G algebra $B_W$. We have the canonical isomorphism of super-algebras

\[(8.1) \Lambda(V) \cong H^*(B_W).\]

**Theorem 8.1.** Let $W, W'$ be non-zero polynomials as above. Suppose that DG algebras $B_W$ and $B_{W'}$ are quasi-isomorphic, and the chain of quasi-isomorphisms connecting $B_W$ with $B_{W'}$ induces the identity in cohomology via identifications (8.1). Then $W'$ can be obtained from $W$ by a formal change of variables of the form

\[(8.2) z_i \to z_i + O(z^2).\]

**Proof.** We introduce four pro-nilpotent DG algebras. First define the DGLA $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ by the formula

\[(8.3) \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}^d = \prod_{j-2k=d+1 \ k \in \mathbb{Z}, i \geq d+2} (\text{Sym}^i(V^\vee) \otimes \Lambda^j(V)) \cdot h^k,\]

and $L_r \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}^d$ is the part of the product with $i \geq d+1+r, \ r \geq 1$ (the differential is zero, and the bracket is Schouten one). Further, put

\[(8.4) \tilde{\mathfrak{h}}_1^d = \prod_{i+j-2k=d+1 \ k \in \mathbb{Z}, i \geq d+2} (\text{Hom}^i(\Lambda(V)^\otimes i, \Lambda(V)) \cdot h^k,\]

and $L_r \tilde{\mathfrak{h}}_1^d$ is the part with $i \geq d+1+r$ (the differential is Hochshild one and the bracket is Gerstenhaber one). Now, take the ”lower” grading on $k[[V^\vee]]$, with $k[[V^\vee]]_d = \text{Sym}^d(V^\vee)$. Of course, $k[[V^\vee]]$ is the direct product of its graded components, but not direct sum. For the rest of this section we will denote the standard grading by upper indices, and the ”lower” grading by the lower indices. Define the DGLA $\tilde{\mathfrak{h}}_2$ by the formula

\[(8.5) \tilde{\mathfrak{h}}_2^d = \prod_{i-2k=d+1 \ k \in \mathbb{Z}, i \geq 0, j' + 2k \geq 1} (\text{Hom}_{j'}(k[[V^\vee]]^{\otimes i}, k[[V^\vee]]) \cdot h^k,\]

with $L_r \tilde{\mathfrak{h}}_2^d$ being the part of the product with $j' + 2k \geq r$.

Now take the Koszul DG $k[[V^\vee]]$- $\Lambda(V)$- bimodule $X = \Lambda(V^\vee) \otimes k[[V^\vee]]$ with the ”upper” and ”lower” gradings $X^j_{j'} = \Lambda^{-j}(V^\vee) \otimes \text{Sym}^{j'}(V^\vee)$, and with differential $\nu_\eta$ of bidegree
Define the DGLA $Q$ by the formula
\[
Q^d = \tilde{h}_1^d \oplus \tilde{h}_2^d \oplus \prod_{i_1+i_2+j-2k=d \atop 2k+j'-j \geq 1} \text{Hom}_j^i(\Lambda(V)^{\otimes i_1} \otimes X \otimes k[V^\vee]^{\otimes i_2}, X) \cdot \hbar^k,
\]
where the differential and the bracket are induced by those in the Hochshild complex of the DG category $C$, where
- $\text{Ob}(C) = \{Y_1, Y_2\}$;
- $\text{Hom}_C(Y_1, Y_1) = k[[V^\vee]]$;
- $\text{Hom}_C(Y_2, Y_2) = \Lambda(V)$;
- $\text{Hom}_C(Y_1, Y_2) = X$;
- $\text{Hom}_C(Y_2, Y_1) = 0$.

Composition law in $C$ comes from the bimodule structure on $X$ (and from algebra structures on $k[[V^\vee]]$, $\Lambda(V)$). Thus, the DGLA structure on $Q$ is defined. Further, define
\[
L_r Q^d = L_r \tilde{h}_1^d \oplus L_r \tilde{h}_2^d \oplus (\text{part of the product with } 2k + j' - j \geq r), \quad r \geq 1.
\]

It follows from [Ke1], Lemma in Subsection 4.5, that natural projections $p_i : Q \to \tilde{h}_i$, $i = 1, 2$, are quasi-isomorphisms of DGLA's. Moreover, both $p_1, p_2$ are filtered quasi-isomorphisms, as it is straightforward to check.

According to [Ko3], one can attach to all Kontsevich admissible graphs (relevant for the formality theorem) rational weights, in such a way that they give formality $L_\infty$-quasi-isomorphism (i.e. satisfy the relevant system of quadratic equations). In this way we obtain filtered $L_\infty$-quasi-isomorphism $U : \tilde{g} \to \tilde{h}_2$.

Since $p_1, p_2, U$ are filtered $L_\infty$-quasi-isomorphisms, we have by Lemma 2.6 that the composition $p_1 \circ (p_2)^{-1} \circ U : MC(\tilde{g}) \to MC(\tilde{h}_1)$ is a bijection between the sets of equivalence classes of MC solutions in $\tilde{g}$ and $\tilde{h}_1$.

To prove the theorem, we need to prove that, under the assumptions of the theorem, MC equations $W, W' \in \bar{g}^1$ are equivalent. Indeed, this means that $W'$ is the pullback of $W$ under the formal diffeomorphism of $V$ with zero differential at the origin. Therefore, it suffices to prove the following

**Lemma 8.2.** Under the above bijection between equivalence classes of MC solutions, the class of $W \in \bar{g}^1$ corresponds to the class $\alpha \in \bar{h}_1$ of the $(\mathbb{Z}/2 \mathbb{Z})$-graded $A_\infty$-structure on $\Lambda(V)$ transferred from $B_W$ to $H^*(B_W) \cong \Lambda(V)$.

**Proof.** First note that $U^k(W, \ldots, W) = 0$ for $k > 1$, and $U^1(W)$ has the only constant component which is equal to $W$.

Denote by $\mu = (\mu^3, \mu^4, \ldots)$ the $A_\infty$-structure on $\Lambda(V) \cong H^*(B_W)$ transferred from $B_W$, as in the previous section. Let $A$ be the resulting $A_\infty$-algebra. Denote by $f = (f_1, f_2 \ldots)$
the $A_\infty$-quasi-isomorphism $A \to B_W$. Also denote by $f_0 \in B_W^1$ the multiplication by the 1-form $\gamma$. We can consider $f_i$ as maps $f_i : A^{\otimes i} \otimes X \to X$. Now define $\tilde{\alpha} \in Q^1$ with components $\mu^i, i \geq 3$, $f_j, j \geq 0$, and $W \in \tilde{h}_2^1$. Then $\tilde{\alpha}$ is MC solution,

$$p_1(\tilde{\alpha}) = \alpha, \quad p_2(\tilde{\alpha}) = \mathcal{U}^1(W) = \sum_{k \geq 1} (-1)^{\frac{k(k+1)}{2}} \frac{1}{k!} \mathcal{U}^k(W, \ldots, W).$$

Thus, classes of MC solutions $W \in \tilde{g}^1$ and $\alpha \in \tilde{h}^1$ correspond to each other. Lemma is proved. □

Theorem is proved. □

It follows from the proof of the above Theorem that there exists filtered $L_\infty$-morphism $\tilde{\Phi} : \tilde{h}_1 \to \tilde{g}$ such that the polynomial $W$ can be reconstructed from $B_W$ as follows. Take $\alpha \in \tilde{h}_1^1$ to be MC solution corresponding to the $A_\infty$-structure on $\Lambda(V)$ transferred from $B_W$. Put

$$\beta = \sum_{k \geq 1} (-1)^{\frac{k(k-1)}{2}} \frac{1}{k!} \tilde{\Phi}^k(\alpha, \ldots, \alpha).$$

Decompose $\beta$ into the sum $\beta^0 + \beta^2 + \cdots + \beta^2\left[\frac{1}{2}\right]$, with $\beta^{2j} \in k[[V^\vee]] \otimes \Lambda^{2j}(V)$. Then $W$ can be obtained from $\beta^0$ by a formal change of variables of type (8.2).

**Remark 8.3.** Note that in Theorem 8.1 we required our polynomials $W, W'$ not to have terms of order 2, and also required the induced isomorphism $H(B_W) \to H(B_{W'})$ to be compatible with identifications (8.1). The reason is that in general Maurer-Cartan theory for DGLA's works well only in the pro-nilpotent case. However, it should be plausible that in the case $k = \mathbb{C}$ one can drop these assumptions. Of course, in this case one also should drop the requirement on the change of variables to be of type (8.2).

## 9. Equivalence of two LG models

Take $V = \mathbb{C}^3$ and $K \subset G \subset SL(V)$ be as before. In this section we describe two different LG models, such that the resulting categories are equivalent.

The first one is stacky: $(V/K, W)$, where $W$ is our superpotential. The associated category $\overline{Dsg,K}(W^{-1}(0))$ has already been described (Corollary 7.2).

Now we describe another LG model, which is taken in the main theorem. There is a well-known crepant resolution of the quotient $V/K$:

$$(9.1) \quad X = \text{Hilb}_K(V) \to V/K.$$ 

More explicitly, $X$ is toric by [CR] and is given by the following fan. Take $N \subset \mathbb{R}^3$, $N = \mathbb{Z}^3 + \mathbb{Z} \cdot \frac{1}{2g+1}(1, 1, 2g - 1)$. Now, if we take a fan $\Sigma$ consisting of a positive octant
and its faces, then we have $X_{\Sigma} \cong V/K$. To describe $X$, we should subdivide the fan $\Sigma$. Namely, take the fan $\Sigma'$ consisting of the cones generated by

$$
\begin{align*}
(9.2) \; \left( \frac{1}{2g+1} (k, k, 2g + 1 - 2k), \frac{1}{2g+1} (k + 1, k + 1, 2g - 1 - 2k), (1, 0, 0) \right), & \quad 0 \leq k \leq g - 1; \\
(9.3) \; \left( \frac{1}{2g+1} (k, k, 2g + 1 - 2k), \frac{1}{2g+1} (k + 1, k + 1, 2g - 1 - 2k), (0, 1, 0) \right), & \quad 0 \leq k \leq g - 1;
\end{align*}
$$

and all their faces (see Figure 3 for the case $g = 3$). Then $X \cong X_{\Sigma'}$.

\begin{figure}[h]
\centering
\includegraphics[width=0.5\textwidth]{figure3}
\caption{Figure 3.}
\end{figure}

The function $W \in \mathbb{C}[V^\vee]$ is $K$-invariant, hence gives a function on $V/K$, and on $X$. The LG model $(X, W)$ is a mirror to the genus $g$ curve. The only singular fiber of $W$ on $X$ is $X_0 =: H$. The surface $H$ has $(g + 1)$ irreducible components $H_1, \ldots, H_{g+1}$, where $H_i$ is defined below for $1 \leq i \leq g$, and $H_{g+1}$ is the proper pre-image of $W^{-1}(0) \subset V/K$.

The exceptional surface $H_k \subset X$, $q \leq k \leq g$, corresponding to the vector $\frac{1}{2g+1}(k, k, 2g + 1 - 2k) \in N$ is

$$
\begin{cases}
\text{the rational ruled surface } F_{2g+1-2k} \cong \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}P^1}(\mathcal{O} \oplus \mathcal{O}(2g + 1 - 2k)) & \text{for } 1 \leq k \leq g - 1 \\
\mathbb{C}P^2 & \text{for } k = g.
\end{cases}
$$

The surfaces $H_i$ and $H_j$ have empty intersection if $|i - j| \geq 2$. Further, the surfaces $H_i$ and $H_{i+1}$ intersect transversally along the curve $C_i \subset X$, where $1 \leq i \leq g - 1$. The curve $C_i$ is
The divisor $H$ has simple normal crossings. We have already described the intersections between $H_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq g$. Further, the intersection $H_i \cap H_{i+1}$ is:

\begin{equation}
\begin{cases}
\text{the section } P_{\mathbb{CP}^1}(O \times (y_0y_1, y_0^{2g+1} + y_1^{2g+1})) \subset P_{\mathbb{CP}^1}(O(2) \oplus O(2g + 1)) \cong H_1 & \text{for } i = 1 \\
\text{the union of two fibers } \{y_0y_1 = 0\} \subset P_{\mathbb{CP}^1}(O \oplus O(2g - 1 - 2i)) & \text{for } 2 \leq i \leq g - 1 \\
a \text{non-degenerate conic in } \mathbb{CP}^2 \cong H_g & \text{for } i = g.
\end{cases}
\end{equation}

Here $(y_0 : y_1)$ are homogeneous coordinates on $\mathbb{CP}^1$.

The triple intersection $H_i \cap H_{i+1} \cap H_{g+1}$ consists of two points for each $1 \leq i \leq g - 1$. The corresponding dual CW complex of this configuration is homeomorphic to $S^2$.

**Theorem 9.1.** The triangulated category $D_{sg}(H)$ is equivalent to $D_{sg,K}(W^{-1}(0))$.

**Proof.** This follows from [BKR] and [BP], Theorem 1.1. Alternatively, Theorem is implied by [QV], Theorem 8.6. □

Denote by $\overline{D_{sg}}(H)$ the split-closure of the triangulated category of singularities $D_{sg}(H)$.

**Corollary 9.2.** There is an equivalence $\overline{D_{sg}}(H) \cong \text{Perf}(\mathbb{C}[K] \# \mathcal{A'})$.

**Proof.** This follows from Theorem 9.1 and Corollary 7.2. □

10. Generalities on Fukaya categories

This section is devoted to generalities on Fukaya $A_\infty$-categories of compact symplectic surfaces of genus $\geq 2$. We follow [Se1], Sections 6-10.

10.1. The definition. Let $M$ be a compact oriented surface of genus $g \geq 2$ with symplectic form $\omega$. Denote by $\pi : S(TM) \to M$ the bundle of unit circles in the tangent bundle (it does not depend on the choice of Riemannian metric). Fix a 1-form $\theta$ on $S(TM)$, such that $d\theta = \pi^*\omega$. In the definition of Fukaya $A_\infty$-category $\mathcal{F}(M)$, we need to fix the class of $\theta$ modulo exact 1-forms.

Consider some connected Lagrangian submanifold in $M$, i.e. just a connected closed curve $L \subset M$. Denote by $\sigma : L \to S(TM)|_L$ the natural section, corresponding to some
choice of orientation on \( L \). A curve \( L \) is called balanced if \( \int_L \sigma^* \theta = 0 \). This property does not depend on the choice of orientation on \( L \). All contractible curves are not balanced. Further, if \( L \) is not contractible, then it is isotopic to some balanced curve \( L' \). Moreover, such \( L' \) is unique up to Hamiltonian isotopy.

Fix some countable set \( \mathcal{L} \) of balanced curves in \( M \), such that

1) In each non-trivial isotopy class there is at least one curve from \( \mathcal{L} \);

2) Any two distinct curves in \( \mathcal{L} \) intersect transversally, and any three of them do not have common points.

The object of Fukaya \( A_\infty \)-category \( \mathcal{F}(M) \) are oriented balanced curves \( L \in \mathcal{L} \), equipped with a \( \text{Spin} \) structure (there are only two \( \text{Spin} \) structures on a circle: trivial and non-trivial).

Now let \( L_0, L_1 \) be objects of \( \mathcal{F}(M) \), such that the underlying curves intersect transversally (i.e. are distinct). We put

\[
\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{F}(M)}(L_0, L_1) = CF^*(L_0, L_1) = \bigoplus_{x \in L_0 \cap L_1} \mathbb{C}x.
\]

The \( \mathbb{Z}/2 \)-grading on \( x \in L_0 \cap L_1 \) is even (resp. odd), if the local intersection number \( L_0 \cdot L_1 \) at \( x \) equals to \(-1 \) (resp. \( 1 \)).

Now we are going to define the higher products in \( \mathcal{F}(M) \) on the transversal sequences. Take objects \( L_0, \ldots, L_d \) in \( \mathcal{F}(M) \) (for some \( d \geq 1 \)) with pairwise distinct underlying curves. Choose some points \( x_k \in M \), defining basis elements in \( CF^*(L_{k-1}, L_k) \), \( 1 \leq k \leq d \). Then we have

\[
\mu^d(x_d, \ldots, x_1) = \sum_{x_0 \in L_0 \cap L_d} m(x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_d) x_0,
\]

where \( m(x_0, \ldots, x_d) \) are integers defined in the following way.

Fix a complex structure on \( M \), which is compatible with the orientation induced by symplectic form. Denote by \( D \) the closed two-dimensional disk with standard complex structure. For given distinct points \( \zeta_0, \ldots, \zeta_d \in \partial D \), ordered anti-clockwise, denote by \( \partial_i D \) the open part of the boundary between \( \zeta_i \) and \( \zeta_{i+1} \), where we put \( \zeta_{i+1} := \zeta_i \). Consider holomorphic maps \( u : D \setminus \{\zeta_0, \ldots, \zeta_d\} \to M \) (where \( \zeta_0, \ldots, \zeta_d \) depend on \( u \), such that \( u(\partial_i D) \subset L_i \) for \( 0 \leq i \leq d \), and \( u \) can be extended to a continuous map \( D \to M \), which sends \( \zeta_k \) to \( x_k \) for \( 0 \leq k \leq d \). Further, two maps \( u : D \setminus \{\zeta_0, \ldots, \zeta_d\} \to M \) and \( u' : D \setminus \{\zeta'_0, \ldots, \zeta'_d\} \to M \) are called equivalent if \( u = u' \circ \phi \), where \( \phi : D \to D \) is a holomorphic automorphism such that \( \phi(\zeta'_k) = \zeta_k \). Each such \( u \) has a virtual dimension. Denote by \( \mathcal{M}(x_0, \ldots, x_d) \) the space of equivalence classes of the maps \( u \) of virtual dimension zero. Then each point of this moduli space is regular by \( \text{Se2} \), Lemma 13.2. We define \( m(x_0, \ldots, x_d) \) as a sum of \( \pm 1 \) over all points \( u \in \mathcal{M}(x_0, \ldots, x_d) \), where the signs are defined as follows.
For each object $L$ of $\mathcal{F}(M)$, such that $Spin$ structure on the underlying curve is non-trivial, we choose a point $\circ_L \in L$, which is not the intersection point with any of the curves $L$. We also fix a trivialization of this $Spin$ structure outside of $\circ_L$. Note that each $u$ of virtual dimension zero is an immersion. If the restriction of the map $u$ onto $\partial_i D$ is compatible with orientation on $L_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq d$, and the image of the boundary of $D$ does not contain any of the points $\circ_{L_i}$, then the sign with which $u$ contributes to $m(x_0, \ldots, x_d)$, equals to $+1$. Further, changing of orientation on one of the curves $L_i$, $0 < i < d$, multiplies the sign by $(-1)^{|x_i|}$. Changing of orientation on $L_d$ multiplies the sign by $(-1)^{x_0 + x_d}$. Also, the sign is multiplied by $(-1)^N$, where $N$ is the number of boundary points on $D$, which mapped to one of the points $\circ_{L_i}$.

According to [Se1], the set $\mathcal{M}(x_0, \ldots, x_d)$ is finite, and so the definition of the coefficients $m(x_0, \ldots, x_d)$ is correct.

Now consider the case when the objects $L_0, L_1 \in Ob(\mathcal{F}(M))$ have the same underlying curve $L$. Fix a metric and a Morse function $f$ on $L$, with a unique local minimum, and (hence) a unique local maximum, so that they both do not equal to the points of intersection with other curves in $L$. Denote the local minimum (resp. maximum) by $e$ (resp. $q$). We put

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{F}(M)}(L_0, L_1) = CM^*(f) = \mathbb{C} \cdot e \oplus \mathbb{C} \cdot q$$

— $\mathbb{Z}/2$-graded Morse space of the function $f$. If $Spin$ structures and orientations on $L_0$ and on $L_1$ are the same, then this is a complex with a zero differential, and the grading coincides with the Standard Morse one, i.e. $e$ is an even morphism, and $q$ is an odd morphism. Further, if $Spin$ structures are the same, and orientations are different, then the parities are interchanged. Otherwise, if $Spin$ structures are distinct, then the complex is acyclic.

Now let $L_0, \ldots, L_d$ be objects of $\mathcal{F}(M)$, for which any number of the underlying curves can coincide with each other. Again, choose some basis elements $x_k \in \text{Hom}(L_{k-1}, L_k)$, $k = 1, \ldots, d$, and $x_0 \in \text{Hom}(L_0, L_d)$. We want to define the integers $m(x_0, \ldots, x_d)$ (which are coefficients as above) as a signed counting of points in some set $\mathcal{M}(x_0, \ldots, x_d)$. A point in this set is the following data.

First, this is a planar tree $T$ with $d+1$ semi-infinite edges, in which all the vertices have valency at least 3. There must be fixed a bijection between the connected components of $\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus T$ and the set $\{L_0, \ldots, L_d\}$, which is compatible with a cyclic order. Moreover, it is required that any two connected components separated by some finite edge should correspond to objects with the same underlying curve.

Second, for each vertex $v$ there must be given some points $\zeta_{0,v}, \ldots, \zeta_{|v|-1,v}$ on the boundary of $D$ (the numeration is anti-clockwise), and a holomorphic map $u_v : D \setminus$
\{ζ_{0,v}, \ldots, ζ_{|v|−1,v}\} → M, which maps the boundary components to curves L_i, corresponding to the connected components \( \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus T \), whose closure contains the vertex v. Again it is required that the map u can be extended to a continuous map on the whole disk.

Further, for each finite edge we require the following. Suppose that it separates two areas, corresponding to L_i and L_j, where i < j. Denote by v_± its endpoints, so that the pair of vectors \((v_+ − v_−, W_{ij})\) is a positively oriented basis of \(\mathbb{R}^2\), where \(W_{ij}\) is any vector which is a difference of some point in \(j\)-th area and some point in \(i\)-th area, and these points lie in different half-planes. Further, denote by \(ζ_±, v_±\) the corresponding points on the boundary of D. Denote by \(f_{ij}\) the (fixed) Morse function on the corresponding Lagrangian curve. Then we require that the gradient flow of \(f_{ij}\) maps (for some non-zero time) the point \(u_{v_+}(ζ_{i+,v_+})\) to the point \(u_{v_−}(ζ_{i−,v−})\).

Finally, for a semi-infinite edge with endpoint v and the corresponding boundary point \(ζ_{k,v} ∈ ∂D\) the following is required. Denote by \(x_i\) the corresponding basis element in the morphism space. If the curves corresponding to the areas separated by this edge, are distinct, then \(u_v(ζ_{k,v}) = x_i\) is the corresponding intersection point. If they coincide and are equal to L, then we require that

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{if } & 0 < i ≤ d; \\
\text{then } & u_v(ζ_{k,v}) ∈ \begin{cases} 
W^u(x_i) ⊂ L, \\
W^s(x_0) ⊂ L, \quad i = 0.
\end{cases}
\end{align*}
\]

Here for the point \(x ∈ L\) we denote by \(W^u(x)\) (resp. \(W^s(x)\)) the unstable (resp. stable) submanifold of \(L\) with respect to the gradient flow of the Morse function.

Such a data (points \(ζ_{i,v} ∈ ∂D,\) maps \(u_v\)) has virtual dimension, and we define \(M(x_0,\ldots,x_d)\) as a set of data of virtual dimension zero. It turns out that the moduli space is in general not regular, and in this case the definition should be modified in a suitable way (see discussion in [Se1], Section 7). However, we will need no modifications, except for the definition of the product \(µ^2\) on \(\text{Hom}_F(M)(L, L)\) for an object \(L ∈ \text{Ob}(F(M))\) :

\[
µ^2(e,e) = e, \quad µ^2(q,e) = µ^2(e,q) = −q, \quad µ^2(q,q) = 0.
\]

We will define the signs in those cases in which we are interested in. First note that the general definition becomes simpler if all the underlying curves \(L_0,\ldots,L_d\) are distinct except \(L_{i−1} = L_i\) for some \(0 < i ≤ d\), or \(L_0 = L_d\). In this case there is only one possible tree, and it has only one vertex and \(d + 1\) semi-infinite edges. Then \(m(x_0,\ldots,x_d)\) is a signed count of holomorphic \(d\)-gons with sides on \(L_j\) and with a marked point on one of the edges. Now consider the examples which we need.
Constant triangles. Let \( L_0 \neq L_1 \). Then the constant triangle at any point \( x \in L_0 \cap L_1 \) contributes to the products

\[
\mu^2(e, x), \mu^2(x, e) : CF^*(L_0, L_1) \to CF^*(L_0, L_1);
\]

\[
\mu^2(x, x) : CF^*(L_1, L_0) \otimes CF^*(L_0, L_1) \to CF^*(L_0, L_0).
\]

Non-constant triangles do not contribute to these products, and taking signs into account we get

\[
\mu^2(x, e) = x, \quad \mu^2(e, x) = (-1)^{|x|} x, \quad \mu^2(x, x) = (-1)^{|x|} q.
\]

Analogously, we have

\[
\mu^2(e, e) = e, \quad \mu^2(e, q) = -q, \quad \mu^2(q, e) = q, \quad \mu^2(q, q) = 0.
\]

Non-constant triangles. Here we have to take Spin structures into account. Recall that for a curve \( L \in \mathcal{L} \) with a non-trivial Spin structure we fix a generic point \( \circ \neq e, q \), which does not coincide with any intersection point with any of the curves in \( \mathcal{L} \).

We have already considered the case when the underlying curves \( L_0, \ldots, L_d \) are pairwise distinct.

Another case in which we are interested in is when \( L_0 = L_d \), and the curves \( L_0, \ldots, L_{d-1} \) are pairwise distinct. Let \( u \in \mathcal{M}(e, x_1, \ldots, x_d) \). If the curves \( L_1, \ldots, L_d \) are oriented in accordance with the orientation of \( \partial D \) (anti-clockwise), and the boundary of \( u \) does not meet the points \( \circ \), then the corresponding sign equals to +1. Otherwise, changing of orientations and meeting with the points \( \circ \) has the same affect on the sign as in the case when all the curves are distinct.

10.2. Split-generators in Fukaya categories. Suppose that \( A \) is some \((\mathbb{Z}/2)\)-graded \( A_{\infty} \)-category with weak units, and \( E \in \text{Perf}(A) \) is an object which split-generates \( \text{Perf}(A) \). Then it is well-known that the natural \( A_{\infty} \)-functor \( \text{Hom}(-, E) : \text{Perf}(A) \to \text{Perf}(\text{End}(E)) \) is a quasi-equivalence, see [Ke2].

Let \( L_0, L_1 \) be two objects of the Fukaya category \( \mathcal{F}(M) \), and the Spin structure on \( L_1 \) is non-trivial. The Dehn twist \( \tau_{L_1} \) is a balanced symplectic automorphism of \( M \), hence \( \tau_{L_1}(L_0) \) is again balanced. According to [Se1] and [Se2], we then have the following exact triangle in \( D^\pi \mathcal{F}(M) \):

\[
HF^*(L_1, L_0) \otimes L_1 \to L_0 \to \tau_{L_1}(L_0).
\]

We will need the following two Lemmas from [Se1], which we will use to prove that a given object is a generator of \( D^\pi \mathcal{F}(M) \).
Lemma 10.1. ([Sel], Lemma 6.4) Let $L_1, \ldots, L_r$ be objects of $\mathcal{F}(M)$ whose Spin structures are non-trivial. Suppose that $L_0$ is another object, and $\tau_{L_r} \cdots \tau_{L_1}(L_0) \cong L_0[1]$. Then $L_0$ is split-generated by $L_1, \ldots, L_r$.

Lemma 10.2. ([Sel], Lemma 6.5) Let $L_1, \ldots, L_r$ be objects of $\mathcal{F}(M)$ whose Spin structures are non-trivial and which are such that $\tau_{L_r} \cdots \tau_{L_1}$ is isotopic to the identity. Then they split-generate $D^\omega(\mathcal{F}(M))$.

10.3. Additional $\mathbb{Z}$-gradings. Since $M$ is not Calabi-Yau, the $(\mathbb{Z}/2)$-grading on $M$ cannot be improved to $\mathbb{Z}$-gradings. However, it turns out that one can still put some $\mathbb{Z}$-grading for some Lagrangians, and then control the $\mathbb{Z}$-homogeneous components of higher products.

Fix a complex structure on $M$. Take a meromorphic section $\eta^r$ of the line bundle $\omega \otimes \tau^r T^*M$. Let $D$ be its divisor. For any oriented $L \subset M \setminus \text{Supp}(D)$, our section $\eta^r$ gives a map

$$L \to S^1, \quad x \mapsto \frac{\eta^r(X \otimes r)}{|\eta^r(X \otimes r)|},$$

where $X$ is a tangent vector to $L$ at $x$, which points in the positive direction.

We define an $\frac{1}{r^2}$ grading on $L$ as a lift $L \to \mathbb{R}$ of the map (10.8). Let $\mathcal{F}(M,D)$ be a version of Fukaya category, with the only difference that Lagrangian submanifolds $L$ should lie in $M \setminus \text{Supp}(D)$, and to be equipped with $\frac{1}{r^2}$ grading. In particular, we have full and faithful $A_{\infty}$-functor $\mathcal{F}(M,D) \to \mathcal{F}(M)$.

Suppose that two objects $L_0, L_1$ of $\mathcal{F}(M,D)$ have only transversal intersection. Then each $x \in L_0 \cap L_1$, is equipped with an integer $i^r(x)$. Namely, let $\alpha \in (0, \pi)$ be the angle counted clockwise from $TL_{0,x}$ to $TL_{1,x}$. Let $\alpha_0(x), \alpha_1(x)$ be the values of $\frac{1}{r^2}$-gradings of $L_0$ and $L_1$ at $x$ respectively. Then

$$i^r(x) := \frac{r\alpha + \alpha_1(x) - \alpha_0(x)}{\pi}.$$  

If $r$ is odd, then $i^r(x) \mod 2$ coincides with the value of $(\mathbb{Z}/2)$-grading on $x$. Further, if $L_0 = L_1$, then $i^r(e) = 0$, $i^r(q) = r$.

Let $u \in \mathcal{M}(x_0, \ldots, x_d)$ be a perturbed pseudo-holomorphic polygon of virtual dimension zero, hence contributing to the higher product. For each $z \in \text{Supp}(D)$, denote by $\text{deg}(u,z)$ the multiplicity with which $u$ hints $z$. Then it follows from the index formula that

$$i^r(x_0) - i^r(x_1) - \cdots - i^r(x_d) = r(2 - d) + 2 \sum_{z \in \text{Supp}(D)} \text{ord}(\eta^r, z) \text{deg}(u,z).$$

Now suppose that for all points $z \in \text{Supp}(D)$ the order $\text{ord}(\eta^r, z)$ is the same positive integer $m > 0$. With respect to our $\mathbb{Z}$-gradings $i^r(x)$, the higher operations $\mu^i$ will...
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decompose into the sum

\[ \mu^i = \mu^i_0 + \mu^i_1 + \ldots, \]

where \( \mu^i_k, \ k \geq 0, \) are homogeneous maps of degree \( r(2 - d) + 2mk. \) Note that in section 5 we considered precisely these conditions on gradings, with \( r = 3 \) and \( m = 2g - 2. \)

10.4. Fukaya categories of orbifolds. Suppose that finite group \( \Gamma \) acts on \( M \) by holomorphic (with respect to the chosen complex structure) diffeomorphisms. Take the quotient \( \bar{M} := M/\Gamma, \) and consider it as an orbifold. Denote by \( \pi : M \to \bar{M} \) the projection, and by \( \bar{D} \subset \bar{M} \) the set of orbifold points. Suppose that the 2-form \( \omega \) on \( M \) and 1-form \( \theta \) on \( S(TM) \) are equivariant with respect to \( \Gamma. \)

Let \( \bar{L} \subset \bar{M} \) be an embedded closed curve with transversal self-intersections, such that \( \pi^{-1}(L) \subset M \) is a union of \( |\Gamma| \) curves, which are in general position. Denote by \( L \subset M \) one of these curves, and assume that all curves \( g(L), \ g \in \Gamma, \) are contained in our countable set \( \mathcal{L}. \) Further, suppose that \( L \) is equipped with orientation, \( Spin \) structure, Riemannian metric and a Morse function \( f. \) Then we have the same data on each of the curves \( g(L), \ g \in \Gamma. \)

Define an \( A_{\infty} \)-algebra \( \text{End}(\bar{L}). \) On the level of super-vector spaces, we put:

\[ \text{End}(\bar{L}) := CM^f(f) \oplus \bigoplus_{g \in \Gamma \setminus \{1\}} CF^*(L, g(L)). \]

For convenience, denote the summands by direct summands by \( \text{End}^0(L), \) where \( \text{End}^1(L) \) is the summand \( CM^f(f). \) In other words, the basis of \( \text{End}(\bar{L}) \) is formed by the generators of Morse complex, and the points of self-intersections of \( \bar{L}. \) Moreover, each such point gives two basis elements: even and odd.

Now, an \( A_{\infty} \)-structure on \( \text{End}(\bar{L}) \) is defined as follows. Let \( \bar{x}_0, \ldots, \bar{x}_d \) be basis elements of \( \text{End}(\bar{L}) \), and let \( x_i \in M \) be their lifts onto \( M. \) Suppose that \( \bar{x}_i \in \text{End}^0(\bar{L}). \) If \( g_0 \neq g_1 \ldots g_d, \) then the corresponding coefficient \( m(\bar{x}_0, \ldots, \bar{x}_d) \) equals to zero. Otherwise, we put

\[ m(\bar{x}_0, \ldots, \bar{x}_d) := m(x_0, x_1, g_1(x_2), \ldots, g_1 \ldots g_{d-1}(x_d)). \]

Higher products are then defined by the formula (10.2).

Now suppose that the group \( \Gamma \) is abelian, and denote by \( G \) its dual group \( \text{Hom}(\Gamma, \mathbb{C}^*). \) We have the action of \( G \) on the super-vector space \( \text{End}(\bar{L}) : \) the element \( h \in G \) acts on the summand \( \text{End}^0(\bar{L}) \) as multiplication by \( h(g). \) This action is compatible with \( A_{\infty} \)-structure, because

\[ \mu^d(CF^*(\bar{L}, \bar{L})^{\gamma_d} \otimes \cdots \otimes CF^*(\bar{L}, \bar{L})^{\gamma_1}) \subset CF^*(\bar{L}, \bar{L})^{\gamma_d \ldots \gamma_1}. \]
Tautologically, we have an $A_\infty$-isomorphism

$$\mathbb{C}[G][\# \text{End}(\bar{L}) \cong \bigoplus_{g_1, g_2 \in \Gamma} \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{F}(M)}(g_1(L), g_2(L)).$$

11. Fukaya category of a genus $g \geq 3$ curve

It is convenient to represent the genus $g \geq 3$ curve $M$ as a 2-fold covering of $\mathbb{C}P^1$, branched at $(2g+2)$ points: $(2g+1)$-th roots of unity and 0. Take the curves $L_1, \ldots, L_{2g+1}$, which are preimages of intervals $[\zeta^0, \zeta^1], [\zeta^1, \zeta^2], \ldots, [\zeta^{2g-1}, \zeta^0], [\zeta^{2g}, \zeta^1]$ respectively, where $\zeta = \exp\left(\frac{2\pi i}{2g+1}\right)$. The special case $g = 3$ is shown in Figure 4.
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**Lemma 11.1.** The curves $L_1, \ldots, L_{2g+1}$, equipped with non-trivial Spin structures, split-generate $D^\pi \mathcal{F}(M)$.

**Proof.** Take the curves $K_1, \ldots, K_{2g}$, which are preimages of intervals $[\zeta^0, \zeta^1], [\zeta^1, \zeta^2], \ldots, [\zeta^{2g-1}, \zeta^0]$ respectively (the special case $g = 3$ is illustrated in Figure 5). Then by [Ma] we have $(\tau_{K_{2g}} \ldots \tau_{K_1})^{4g+2} \sim \text{id}$. From Lemma [10.2] it follows that the curves $K_1, \ldots, K_{2g}$, equipped with non-trivial spin structures, split-generate $D^\pi \mathcal{F}(M)$. Further, it is straightforward to check that $\tau_{L_{2g+1}} \ldots \tau_{L_1}(K_1)$ is isotopic to $K_1[1]$. Thus, it follows from Lemma [10.1] that $K_1$ is split-generated by $L_1, \ldots, L_{2g+1}$.

Analogously, all the other $K_i$ are split-generated by $L_1, \ldots, L_{2g+1}$. Hence, $L_1, \ldots, L_{2g+1}$ split-generate $D^\pi \mathcal{F}(M)$. □

We now compute partially the $A_\infty$-algebra $\bigoplus_{1 \leq i, j \leq 2g+1} CF^*(L_i, L_j)$. Our computation is in fact analogous to the computations in [Se1], Section 10.

Take a natural $\Sigma = \mathbb{Z}/(2g + 1)$-action on $M$ which lifts the rotational action on $\mathbb{C}P^1$. The quotient $M/\Sigma$ is a sphere $\bar{M}$ with 3 orbifold points. Denote the set of orbifold points by $\bar{D}$.

Explicitly, the hyperelliptic curve $M$ is given (in affine chart) by the equation

$$y^2 = z(z^{2g+1} - 1).$$
The generator of \( \Sigma \) acts by the formula

(11.2) \[(y, z) \rightarrow (\zeta^{g+1}y, \zeta z).\]

We have that \( \mathbb{C}(M)^\Sigma \cong \mathbb{C}(y,\frac{y}{z^{g+1}}) \), hence \( t = \frac{y}{z^{g+1}} \) is a coordinate on an affine chart \( \mathbb{C} \subset \mathbb{C}P^1 \cong \mathcal{M} \). The set \( \mathcal{D} \) consists of the points \( t = 1, \ t = -1, \) and \( t = \infty \).

Each of the curves \( L_i \) projects to the same curve \( \bar{L} \subset \mathcal{M} \). It lies in \( \mathbb{C} \setminus \{ -1, 1 \} \subset \bar{M} \) and has the same isotopy type for all \( g \geq 3 \). The case \( g = 3 \) is shown in Figure 6. We have natural \( A_{\infty} \)-isomorphism, as in (10.13):

(11.3) \[\bigoplus_{1 \leq i, j \leq 2g+1} CF^*(L_i, L_j) \cong \mathbb{C}[K] \# CF^*(\bar{L}, \bar{L}),\]

where \( K = \text{Hom}(\Sigma, \mathbb{C}^*) \). This is actually the same \( K \) as in the end of section 7.

The super vector space \( CF^*(\bar{L}, \bar{L}) \) has 8 generators: two standard \( e \) (even) and \( q \) (odd), together with three pairs \( (\bar{x}_i, \text{even}) \), \( (x_i, \text{odd}) \), \( 1 \leq i \leq 3 \), coming from each self-intersection point of \( \bar{L} \) (see Figure 6). Take \( \Gamma = \pi_{orb}^1(M) \), and put \( \Gamma = [\bar{\Gamma}, \bar{\Gamma}] \). Then \( \Gamma \) is naturally the quotient of \( (\mathbb{Z}/(2g+1))^3 \) by the diagonal subgroup \( \mathbb{Z}/(2g+1) \). The class of our immersed curve \( \bar{L} \) in \( \Gamma \) is trivial, hence the generators of \( CF^*(L, L) \) are labelled by the weights which are elements of \( \Gamma \).

Further, take a meromorphic section \( \eta^3 \) of \( (T^*\bar{M})^\otimes 3 \), having double pole at each point of \( \mathcal{D} \). Explicitly,

(11.4) \[\eta^3 = \frac{(dt)^{\otimes 3}}{(t-1)^2(t+1)^2}.\]

Each generator of \( CF^*(\bar{L}, \bar{L}) \) is equipped with additional integer grading, together with weight in \( \Gamma \):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>generator</th>
<th>( e )</th>
<th>( x_1 )</th>
<th>( x_2 )</th>
<th>( x_3 )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>weight</td>
<td>((0,0,0))</td>
<td>((1,0,0))</td>
<td>((0,1,0))</td>
<td>((0,0,1))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>index</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(11.5) | generator | \( \bar{x}_1 \) | \( \bar{x}_2 \) | \( \bar{x}_3 \) | \( q \) |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>weight</td>
<td>((0,1,1))</td>
<td>((1,0,1))</td>
<td>((1,1,0))</td>
<td>((1,1,1))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>((0,-1,0))</td>
<td>((0,0,-1))</td>
<td>((0,0,0))</td>
<td>((0,0,0))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>index</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 6.

Since the $A_\infty$-structure is homogeneous with respect to $\Gamma$ by (10.12) we have that $\mu^1 = 0$.

Further, the inverse image of $\eta^3$ on $M$ has three poles of order $(2g - 2)$. Therefore, according to (10.11), we have a decomposition $\mu^i = \mu^i_0 + \mu^i_1 + \ldots$, where $\mu^i_k$ has degree $6 - 3i + (4g - 4)k$.

For degree reasons, $\mu^2_k$ vanishes for $k > 0$. Further, according to (10.5), (10.6), we have

\[
\mu^2(x_i, e) = x_i = -\mu^2(e, x_i), \quad \mu^2(\bar{x}_i, e) = \bar{x}_i = \mu^2(e, \bar{x}_i), \quad \mu^2(q, e) = q = -\mu^2(e, q),
\]

\[
\mu^2(q, q) = 0, \quad \mu^2(x_i, \bar{x}_i) = q = -\mu^2(\bar{x}_i, x_i).
\]

Further, there are only six (taking into account the ordering of the vertices) non-constant triangles which avoid $\bar{D}$. To determine the sign of their contributions, choose generic points $\circ$ on $\bar{L}$, as in Figure 6 (where $\ast$ denotes the point $e$). Then we have

\[
\mu^2(x_1, x_2) = \bar{x}_3 = -\mu^2(x_2, x_1);
\]

\[
\mu^2(x_2, x_3) = \bar{x}_1 = -\mu^2(x_3, x_2);
\]

\[
\mu^2(x_3, x_1) = \bar{x}_2 = -\mu^2(x_1, x_3).
\]
Further, one of the triangles (passing through \( \ast \)) can be thought as a four-pointed disc with one of the vertex being \( \ast \). It gives contribution to
\[
\mu_0^3(x_3, x_2, x_1) = -e.
\]

Further, \( \mu_0^3(x_{i_1}, x_{i_2}, x_{i_3}) = 0 \) for \( (i_1, i_2, i_3) \neq (3, 2, 1) \), since such an expression is a multiple of \( e \) (for degree reasons), and all the relevant spaces \( \mathcal{M}(e, x_{i_1}, x_{i_2}, x_{i_3}) \) are empty.

There are six holomorphic \((2g + 1)\)-gons in our picture. Namely, each point \( x_i \in \bar{L} \) breaks the curve \( \bar{L} \) into two loops \( \gamma', \gamma'' \). Choose the orientations on them in such a way that they go anti-clockwise around the corresponding orbifold point \( t_{\gamma'} = t_{\gamma''} \). Then for each such loop \( \gamma_j \) we have a bi-holomorphic map \( v_j : S \to \bar{M} \), where \( S \) is a 1-pointed disk. The image of \( v_j \) is the area bounded by \( \gamma_j \) and containing the orbifold point \( t_{\gamma_j} \). Also require \( v_j \) to map the center of \( S \) to \( t_{\gamma_j} \) and the marked point to the corresponding \( x_i \). Further, define \( u_j \) to be the composition of \( v_j \) with the map \( z \to z^{2g+1} \). Then \( u_j \) maps the \((2g + 1)\)-th roots of unity to \( x_i \).

Further, each \( u_j \) hits exactly one of the points of \( \bar{D} \) and has \((2g + 1)\)-fold ramification there, and no ramification elsewhere, which means that it lifts to a genuine immersed \((2g + 1)\)-gon in \( M \). We take the three \((2g + 1)\)-gons that go through \( \ast \), and determine their contributions to \( \mu_1^{2g+1} \), namely:
\[
\mu_1^{2g+1}(x_i, \ldots, x_i) = e.
\]

Now identify \( CF'(\bar{L}, \bar{L}) \) with \( \Lambda(V) \), \( V = \mathbb{C}^3 \), mapping \( e \) to 1, \( x_i \) to \( \xi_i \), \( \bar{x}_1 \) to \( \xi_2 \wedge \xi_3 \) and analogously for other \( \bar{x}_i \), and \( q \) to \( -\xi_1 \wedge \xi_2 \wedge \xi_3 \). Then, it follows from the above computations and Theorem 5.2 that the resulting \( A_{\infty} \)-structure on \( \Lambda(V) \) is \( G \cong Hom(\Gamma, \mathbb{C}^*) \)-equivariantly \( A_{\infty} \)-isomorphic to \( \mathcal{A}' \) from the end of the section 5. The covering \( M \to \bar{M} \) is classified by the surjective homomorphism \( \Gamma \to \Sigma \), which is dual to the inclusion \( K \subset G \). Combining this with Lemma 11.1 and (10.13), we obtain the following

**Corollary 11.2.** We have an equivalence \( D^\pi F(M) \cong Perf(\mathbb{C}[K]\#\mathcal{A}') \).

Corollaries 9.2 and 11.2 imply the main

**Theorem 11.3.** There is an equivalence \( \overset{n}{D_{sg}}(H) \cong D^\pi F(M) \).

12. **Appendix**

Here we prove the statement of Lemma 2.6. It is in fact standard, but we could not find a reference. Fix some basic field \( k \) of characteristic zero.
In fact one can define, following Getzler [Ge] a simplicial set \( MC_\bullet(g) \) for any pro-nilpotent \( L_\infty \)-algebra, such that \( \pi_0(|MC_\bullet(g)|) \) is the set of equivalence classes of MC solutions in \( g \). Further, one can prove that filtered \( L_\infty \)-quasi-isomorphism \( \Phi : g \to h \) induces a homotopy equivalence of these simplicial sets. However, we prove in this Appendix precisely what we need.

Let \( g \) be a nilpotent (in the standard sense) DG Lie algebra. Denote by \( MC(g) \) the set of MC solutions. We have the nilpotent group \( \exp(g^0) \), which acts on \( MC(g) \) as it is described in Section 2.

Now let \( h \subset g \) be a DG ideal such that \([g, h] = 0\).

We have natural maps \( \pi : g \to g/h \) and \( \pi_* : MC(g) \to MC(g/h) \). Then one has the following obstruction theory.

**Proposition 12.1.** 1) There is a natural map \( o_2 : MC(g/h) \to H^2(h) \) satisfying the following property: if \( \alpha \in MC(g/h) \), then the following are equivalent:

(i) The set \( \pi_*^{-1}(\alpha) \) is non-empty.

(ii) \( o_2(\alpha) = 0 \).

Moreover, if \( \alpha, \beta \in MC(g/h) \) are equivalent then \( o_2(\alpha) = 0 \) iff \( o_2(\beta) = 0 \).

2) Suppose that \( \alpha \in MC(g/h) \) is such that the set \( \pi_*^{-1}(\alpha) \) is not empty. Then there is a natural simply transitive \( Z^1(h) \)-action on the set \( \pi_*^{-1}(\alpha) \).

3) Let \( \alpha, \beta \in MC(g/h) \) and \( X \in (g/h)^0 \) be such that \( \exp(X)(\alpha) = \beta \). Suppose that the set \( \pi_*^{-1}(\alpha) \) (and hence also \( \pi_*^{-1}(\beta) \)) is non-empty. Take a \( Z^1(h) \)-action on \( \pi_*^{-1}(\beta) \) as in 2) and on \( \pi_*^{-1}(\alpha) \) inverse to the action in 2). Then there exists a natural \( Z^1(h) \)-equivariant map

\[
o_1^X : (\pi_*^{-1}(\alpha) \times (\pi_*^{-1}(\beta) \to H^1(h)
\]

satisfying the following property: if \( \tilde{\alpha} \in (\pi_*^{-1}(\alpha), \tilde{\beta} \in (\pi_*^{-1}(\beta) \) then the following are equivalent:

(iii) there exists an element \( \tilde{X} \in g^0 \) such that \( \pi(\tilde{X}) = X \) and \( \exp(\tilde{X})(\tilde{\alpha}) = \tilde{\beta} \).

(iv) \( o_1^X(\alpha, \beta) = 0 \).

4) Let \( \alpha, \beta \in MC(g) \), and let \( X \in (g/h)^0 \) be such that \( \exp(X)(\pi_*(\alpha)) = \pi_*(\beta) \). Suppose that the set \( \pi_*^{-1}(X) = \{ \tilde{X} \in g^0 | \exp(\tilde{X})(\alpha) = \beta \} \) is non-empty. Then there is a natural simply transitive action of \( Z^0(h) \) on the set \( \pi_*^{-1}(X) \).

**Proof.** 1) Let \( \alpha \in MC(g/h) \). Take some \( \tilde{\alpha} \in g^1 \) such that \( \pi(\tilde{\alpha}) = \alpha \). Then it is easy to check that

\[
\mathcal{F}(\tilde{\alpha}) := \partial \tilde{\alpha} + \frac{1}{2}[\tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{\alpha}] \in Z^2(g).
\]

Define \( o_2(\alpha) \) to be the class of \( \mathcal{F}(\tilde{\alpha}) \).
Check that this is well defined. Take some other lift $\tilde{\alpha}' \in \mathfrak{g}^1$ of $\alpha$. Since $\alpha - \alpha' \in \mathfrak{h}$ is central, we have that $F(\tilde{\alpha}) - F(\tilde{\alpha}') = \partial(\tilde{\alpha} - \tilde{\alpha}')$. Therefore, $o_2(\alpha)$ is well defined. Now we prove that (i) $\iff$ (ii).

(i) $\implies$ (ii). Let $\tilde{\alpha} \in MC(\mathfrak{g})$ be such that $\pi_*(\tilde{\alpha}) = \alpha$. Then $F(\tilde{\alpha}) = 0$, hence $o_2(\alpha) = 0$.

(ii) $\implies$ (i). Let $\tilde{\alpha} \in \mathfrak{g}^1$ be such that $\pi(\tilde{\alpha}) = \alpha$. Since $o_2(\alpha) = 0$, there exists $u \in \mathfrak{h}^1$ such that $F(\tilde{\alpha}) = \partial(u)$. Then $\tilde{\alpha} - u \in MC(\mathfrak{g})$ and $\pi_*(\tilde{\alpha} - u) = \alpha$.

Now, suppose that $\alpha, \beta \in MC(\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h})$ are equivalent, and $X \in (\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h})^0$ is such that $exp(X)(\alpha) = \beta$. Suppose that $o_2(\alpha) = 0$. Take some lift $\tilde{\alpha} \in MC(\mathfrak{g})$ of $\alpha$, and a lift $\tilde{X} \in \mathfrak{g}^0$ of $X$. Then $exp(\tilde{X})(\tilde{\alpha}) \in MC(\mathfrak{g})$ is a lift of $\beta$, hence $o_2(\beta) = 0$. Analogously, vanishing of $o_2(\beta)$ implies vanishing of $o_2(\alpha)$.

2) The desired action is just the translation one. It is obviously simply transitive.

3) Let $\tilde{\alpha} \in (\pi_*)^{-1}(\alpha)$, $\tilde{\beta} \in (\pi_*)^{-1}(\beta)$. Take some lift $\tilde{X} \in \mathfrak{g}^0$ of $X$. Define $o_1^X(\tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{\beta})$ to be the class of $\tilde{\beta} - exp(\tilde{X})(\alpha)$ in $H^1(\mathfrak{h})$.

First check that this is well defined. Let $\tilde{X}' \in \mathfrak{g}^0$ be another lift of $\tilde{X}$. Then we have that

\begin{equation}
(12.2) \quad (\tilde{\beta} - exp(\tilde{X})(\alpha)) - (\tilde{\beta} - exp(\tilde{X}')(\alpha)) = \partial(\tilde{X} - \tilde{X}').
\end{equation}

Therefore, the map $o_1^X$ is well defined. It is clear that it is $Z^1(\mathfrak{h})$-equivariant. Now prove that (iii) $\iff$ (iv).

(iii) $\implies$ (iv). It suffices to choose $\tilde{X} \in \mathfrak{g}^0$ such that $exp(\tilde{X})(\tilde{\alpha}) = \tilde{\beta}$.

(iv) $\implies$ (iii). Choose some lift $\tilde{X} \in \mathfrak{g}^0$ of $X$. Since $o_1^X(\tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{\beta}) = 0$, there exists $u \in \mathfrak{h}^0$ such that $\tilde{\beta} - exp(\tilde{X})(\alpha) = \partial u$. Then $exp(\tilde{X} - u)(\alpha) = \beta$ and $\pi(\tilde{X} - u) = X$.

4) The desired action is just the translation one. It is obviously simply transitive. \hfill \Box

Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be some pro-nilpotent DG Lie algebra.

Now we recall the notion of homotopy between two exponents in $exp(\mathfrak{g}^0)$. If $\alpha \in \mathfrak{g}^1$ is an MC solution, then we have the deformed differential $\partial_\alpha(u) = \partial u + [\alpha, u]$.

**Definition 12.2.** Let $\alpha, \alpha' \in \mathfrak{g}^1$ be MC solutions, and let $X, Y \in \mathfrak{g}^0$ be such that $exp(X) \cdot \alpha = exp(Y) \cdot \alpha = \alpha'$. Then an element $H \in \mathfrak{g}^{-1}$ is called a homotopy between $X$ and $Y$ if

\begin{equation}
(12.3) \quad exp(Y) = exp(X) exp(\partial_\alpha H).
\end{equation}

It is clear that for each $X$ and $u$ as in definition there exists precisely one $Y \in \mathfrak{g}^0$ such that $u$ is a homotopy between $X$ and $Y$.

Now we prove the special case of Lemma 2.6.
Proposition 12.3. Let $\Phi : g \to h$ be a DG filtered quasi-isomorphism of pro-nilpotent DG Lie algebras. Then the induced map $\Phi_* : MC(g)/\exp(g^0) \to MC(h)/\exp(h^0)$ is a bijection.

Proof. 1) First we prove that the induced map on the equivalence classes of MC solutions is surjective. Take some $r \geq 1$. Denote by $\pi_1 : g/L_{r+1}g \to g/L_r g$, $\pi_2 : h/L_{r+1}h \to g/L_r h$ the natural projections. Clearly, it suffices to prove the following

Lemma 12.4. Take some $\alpha \in MC(g/L_r g)$. Suppose that there exists $\beta \in MC(h/L_{r+1}h)$ such that $\pi_{2*}(\beta) = \Phi_* (\alpha)$. Then there exists $\tilde{\alpha} \in MC(g/L_{r+1}g)$ and $X \in L_r h^0/L_{r+1} h^0$, such that $\pi_{1*}(\tilde{\alpha}) = \alpha$, and

$$
\tag{12.4} 
\Phi_* (\tilde{\alpha}) = \exp(X)(\beta) = \beta - \partial X.
$$

Proof. First, we have that $o_2(\Phi_* (\alpha)) = \Phi(o_2 (\alpha))$. Since $\pi_{2*}(\beta) = \Phi_* (\alpha)$, we have by Proposition [12.1] that $o_2(\Phi_* (\alpha)) = 0$. Since $\Phi$ is filtered quasi-isomorphism, we have that $o_2(\alpha) = 0$. Therefore, by Proposition [12.1], there exists some $\tilde{\alpha} \in MC(g/L_{r+1}g)$, such that $\pi_{1*}(\tilde{\alpha}) = \alpha$.

Let $u \in Z^1(L_r g/L_{r+1}g)$. Then we have that $o_1^0(\Phi_* (\tilde{\alpha} + u), \beta) = o_1(\Phi_* (\tilde{\alpha}), \beta) - \Phi^1(u)$. Again, since $\Phi$ is filtered quasi-isomorphism, we can choose $u$ in such a way that $o_1^0(\Phi_* (\tilde{\alpha} + u), \beta) = 0$. In this case, by Proposition [12.1.3], we have that there exist $X \in L_r h^0/L_{r+1} h^0$, such that $\Phi_* (\tilde{\alpha}) = \exp(X)(\beta)$. Lemma is proved. \hfill \Box

Surjectivity is proved.

2) Now, prove that our map is injective. Take some $r \geq 1$. Denote by $\pi_1 : g/L_{r+1}g \to g/L_r g$, $\pi_2 : h/L_{r+1}h \to g/L_r h$ the natural projections. Clearly, it suffices to prove the following

Lemma 12.5. Let $\alpha, \beta \in MC(g/L_{r+1}g)$, $X \in (g/L_r g)^0$, and $Y \in MC(h/L_{r+1}h)$ be such that $\exp(Y)(\Phi_* (\alpha)) = \Phi_* (\beta)$, $\exp(X)(\pi_{1*}(\alpha)) = \pi_{1*}(\beta)$, and $\Phi(X) = \pi_2(Y)$. Then there exists some $\tilde{X} \in (g/L_{r+1}g)^0$ such that

$$
\tag{12.5} 
\pi_1(\tilde{X}) = X, \quad \exp(\tilde{X})(\alpha) = \beta,
$$

and $\Phi(\tilde{X})$ is homotopic to $Y$ (as a homotopy between $\Phi_* (\alpha)$ and $\Phi_* (\beta)$).

Proof. First, we have that $\Phi(o_1^X(\alpha, \beta)) = o_1^{\Phi(X)}(\Phi_* (\alpha), \Phi_* (\beta))$. By Proposition [12.1.3], we have that $o_1^{\Phi(X)}(\Phi_* (\alpha), \Phi_* (\beta)) = 0$. Since $\Phi$ is filtered quasi-isomorphism, we have that $o_1^X(\alpha, \beta) = 0$. Therefore, by Proposition [12.1.3], there exists some $\tilde{X} \in (g/L_{r+1}g)^0$ such that (12.5) holds. It follows from Proposition [12.1.4] and surjectivity of the map $H^0(L_r g/L_{r+1}g) \to H^0(L_r h/L_{r+1}h)$, that $\tilde{X}$ can be chosen in such a way that $Y - \Phi(\tilde{X}) = \cdots$
∂u for some \( u \in (L_r/h/L_{r+1}h)^{-1} \). Then \( u \) is a homotopy between \( \Phi(\tilde{X}) \) and \( Y \). Lemma is proved.

Injectivity is proved.

To prove Lemma 2.6, we need first to modify the notion of homotopy between MC solutions (so that it generalizes naturally to pro-nilpotent \( L_\infty \)-algebras). Denote by \( \Omega_1 \) the commutative DG algebra of polynomial differential form on the affine line. Denote by \( t \) the parameter on the line. If \( g \) is a DG Lie algebra, then \( g \otimes \Omega_1 \) is also a DG Lie algebra.

In the case when \( g \) is pro-nilpotent, we may and will consider the completed tensor product:

\[
(12.6) \quad g \hat{\otimes} \Omega_1 := \lim_{\leftarrow} (g/L_r g) \otimes \Omega_1.
\]

This is also naturally filtered pro-nilpotent DG Lie algebra. We have natural inclusion \( \iota : g \to g \hat{\otimes} \Omega_1 \) which is a filtered quasi-isomorphism. Further, for each \( t_0 \in k \) we have the evaluation morphism \( ev_{t_0} : g \hat{\otimes} \Omega_1 \to g \), which is left inverse to \( \iota \), and hence is also filtered quasi-isomorphism.

**Proposition 12.6.** Let \( g \) be a pro-nilpotent DG Lie algebra. Take some \( \alpha, \beta \in MC(g) \). Then the following are equivalent:

(i) \( \alpha \) and \( \beta \) are homotopic.

(ii) There exists some \( A \in MC(g \hat{\otimes} \Omega_1) \) such that \( ev_{t_0}(A) = \alpha \) and \( ev_{t_1}(A) = \beta \).

**Proof.** (ii) \( \Rightarrow \) (i). From Proposition [12.3] we deduce that \( A \) is homotopic both to \( \iota_*(\alpha) \) and \( \iota_*(\beta) \). Again by Proposition [12.3] we have that \( \alpha \) and \( \beta \) are homotopic.

(i) \( \Rightarrow \) (ii). Take \( X \in g^0 \) such that \( \exp(X)(\alpha) = \beta \). Then it suffices to put

\[
(12.7) \quad A = \exp(tX)(\alpha) + X \otimes dt.
\]

From this moment, by a homotopy between MC solutions \( \alpha, \beta \) in the pro-nilpotent DGLA \( g \) we mean an MC solution \( A \in g \hat{\otimes} \Omega_1 \) such that \( ev_{t_0}(A) = \alpha \) and \( ev_{t_1}(A) = \beta \).

We also modify the notion of homotopy between homotopies.

**Definition 12.7.** Let \( A, B \in MC(g \hat{\otimes} \Omega_1) \) be homotopies between \( \alpha, \beta \in MC(g) \). We call \( A \) and \( B \) homotopic if

\[
(12.8) \quad B = \exp(\partial(u)t) \exp(t(1-t)X)(A),
\]

where \( X \in g^0 \hat{\otimes} \Omega_1^0 \), and \( u \in g^{-1} \).
We need to adapt the obstruction theory for our modified homotopies.

**Proposition 12.8.** Let \( \mathfrak{g} \) be a nilpotent DGLA, and \( \mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{g} \) be its central DG ideal, and \( \pi: \mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h} \) the natural projection. Let \( \alpha, \beta \in MC(\mathfrak{g}) \).

1) There is a natural map \( A \mapsto o^1_1(\alpha, \beta) \) which assigns to each homotopy \( A \in MC((\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h}) \otimes \Omega_1) \) between \( \pi_*(\alpha) \) and \( \pi_*(\beta) \), an element \( o^1_1(\alpha, \beta) \in H^1(\mathfrak{h}) \), such that the following are equivalent:
   
   (i) There exists a homotopy \( \tilde{A} \in MC(\mathfrak{g} \otimes \Omega_1) \) between \( \alpha \) and \( \beta \) such that \( \pi_*(\tilde{A}) = A \).
   
   (ii) \( o^1_1(\alpha, \beta) = 0 \).

2) Suppose that \( A \) is the homotopy between \( \pi_*(\alpha) \) and \( \pi_*(\beta) \). Then there is a natural transitive action of \( H^0(\mathfrak{g}) \) on homotopy classes of elements in the set \( (\pi_*)^{-1}(A) \). Here \( (\pi_*)^{-1}(A) \) is the set of homotopies \( \tilde{A} \) between \( \alpha \) and \( \beta \) such that \( \pi_*(\tilde{A}) = A \).

**Proof.** 1) Take some element \( \tilde{A} \in (\mathfrak{g} \otimes \Omega_1)^1 \) such that \( \pi(\tilde{A}) = A \), \( ev_0(\tilde{A}) = \alpha \), \( ev_1(\tilde{A}) = \beta \).
Put \( \mathcal{F}(\tilde{A}) = \partial \tilde{A} + \frac{1}{2}[\tilde{A}, \tilde{A}] \). Then \( \mathcal{F}(\tilde{A}) \) is a cocycle in the complex \( \mathfrak{h} \otimes L \subset \mathfrak{g} \otimes \Omega_1 \), where

\[
L \subset \Omega_1, \quad L^0 = t(1-t)\Omega^1_1, \quad L^1 = \Omega^1_1.
\]

Clearly, we have that \( H^0(L^0) = 0 \), \( H^1(L^1) = k \), and the natural projection \( L^1 \to k \) is given by the formula

\[
\sum_{i=0}^{N} a_i t^i dt \mapsto \sum_{i=0}^{N} \frac{a_i}{i+1}.
\]

We define \( o^1_1(\alpha, \beta) \) to be the class of \( \mathcal{F}(\tilde{A}) \) in \( H^1(\mathfrak{h}) \cong H^2(\mathfrak{h} \otimes L^1) \). The checking of correctness and equivalence (i) \( \iff \) (ii) is analogous to that of Proposition 12.1.1.

2) Suppose that the set \( (\pi_*)^{-1}(A) \) is non-empty (otherwise there is nothing to prove). It is clear from the proof of 1) that there is a simply transitive translation action of the group \( Z^1(\mathfrak{h} \otimes L^1) \) on the set \( (\pi_*)^{-1}(A) \). Further, any coboundary \( b \) in \( \mathfrak{h} \otimes L^1 \) can be represented as \( \partial(\partial u) t + X \), where \( X \in \mathfrak{h} \otimes L^0 \) and \( u \in \mathfrak{h} \). Thus, we have that

\[
\tilde{A} + b = \exp(\partial_\beta(u) t) \exp(X)(\tilde{A})
\]

— homotopic to \( \tilde{A} \). Therefore, we have the desired transitive action of \( H^0(\mathfrak{h}) \). \( \square \)

Now we are able to prove Lemma 2.6.

**Proof of Lemma 2.6.** Proof of surjectivity is the same as in Proposition 12.3.

Now we prove the injectivity. Take some \( r \geq 1 \). Denote by \( \pi_1: \mathfrak{g}/L_{r+1} \mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{g}/L_r \mathfrak{g} \), \( \pi_2: \mathfrak{h}/L_{r+1} \mathfrak{h} \to \mathfrak{g}/L_r \mathfrak{h} \) the natural projections. It suffices to prove the following

**Lemma 12.9.** Let \( \alpha, \beta \in MC(\mathfrak{g}/L_{r+1} \mathfrak{g}) \), \( A \in MC((\mathfrak{g}/L_r \mathfrak{g}) \otimes \Omega_1) \), and \( B \in MC((\mathfrak{h}/L_{r+1} \mathfrak{h}) \otimes \Omega_1) \) be such that \( B \) is the homotopy between \( \Phi_*(\alpha) \) and \( \Phi_*(\beta) \), \( A \)
is the homotopy between $\pi_1^*(\alpha)$ and $\pi_1^*(\beta)$, and $\Phi_* (A) = \pi_2(B)$. Then there exists some homotopy $\tilde{A} \in MC((g/L_r g) \otimes \Omega_1)$ between $\alpha$ and $\beta$ such that $\pi_1^*(\tilde{A}) = A$, and $\Phi_* (\tilde{A})$ is homotopic to $B$.

Proof. This follows from Proposition 12.8, analogously to Lemma 12.5.

Lemma is proved
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