INFINITELY STABLY EXTENDABLE VECTOR BUNDLES ON PROJECTIVE SPACES

IUSTIN COANDA

Abstract. According to Horrocks (1966), a vector bundle $E$ on the projective $n$-space extends stably to the projective $N$-space, $N > n$, if there exists a vector bundle on the larger space whose restriction to the smaller one is isomorphic to $E$ plus a direct sum of line bundles. We show that $E$ extends stably to the projective $N$-space for every $N > n$ if and only if $E$ is the cohomology of a free monad (with three terms). The proof uses the method of Coanda and Trautmann (2006). Combining this result with a theorem of Mohan Kumar, Petersen and Rao (2003), we get a new effective version of the Babylonian tower theorem for vector bundles on projective spaces.

Let $P^n$, $n > 2$, be the projective $n$-space over an algebraically closed field $k$ of arbitrary characteristic, and let $S = k[X_0; \ldots; X_n]$ be the projective coordinate ring of $P^n$. For an $m > 1$, let $R = k[X_0; \ldots; X_{n+m}]$ be the projective coordinate ring of $P^{n+m}$ and embed $P^n$ into $P^{n+m}$ as the linear subspace $L$ of equations $X_{n+1} = \ldots = X_m = 0$. One says that a vector bundle (= locally free coherent sheaf) $E$ on $P^n$ extends to $P^{n+m}$ if there exists a vector bundle $F$ on $P^{n+m}$ such that $F \mid P^n = E$. The Babylonian tower theorem of Barth and Van de Ven [2], Tyurin [12], and E. Sato [10], [11] (extended by Flener [4] to vector bundles on the punctured spectrum of a regular local ring) asserts that a vector bundle on $P^n$ can be extended to $P^{n+m}$ if and only if it is a direct sum of line bundles. This result was (implicitly) conjectured by Horrocks [5]. In order to attack this conjecture by using the techniques developed in [5], Horrocks introduced the following definition: $E$ extends stably to $P^{n+m}$ if there exists a vector bundle $F$ on $P^{n+m}$ and a (finite) direct sum of line bundles $A$ on $P^n$ such that $F \mid P^n = E + A$, and proved the following result:

0. Theorem. (Horrocks [5], Theorem 1(ii)) If $H^1(E) = 0$ and $H^1(E^m) = 0$ and if $E$ extends stably to $P^{n+3}$ then $E$ is a direct sum of line bundles.

Recall that, for $0 \leq i \leq n$, $H^i(E)$ denotes the graded $S$-module $H^i(E(d)).$ Notice, also, that, by Serre duality, the condition $H^1(E_0) = 0$ is equivalent to the condition $H^{n-1}(E) = 0$.

The aim of this note is to characterize the vector bundles on $P^n$ which are in nitely stably extendable. This means that, for $m > 1$, there exists a vector bundle $E^m$ on $P^{n+m}$...
and a direct sum of line bundles $A^{(m)}$ on $P^n$ such that $E^{(m)} \otimes P^n = E \otimes A^{(m)}$. An example of such a bundle is the cotangent bundle $\frac{i}{P^n}$ of $P^n$. The above mentioned characterisation is the content of the following:

1. **Theorem.** For a vector bundle $E$ on $P^n$, the following conditions are equivalent:
   (i) $H^i(E) = 0$ for $1 < i < n - 1$,
   (ii) $E$ is the cohomology of a free monad on $P^n$,
   (iii) $E$ is in nicely stably extendable.

   Recall that a free monad on $P^n$ is a complex $K$ consisting of (finite) direct sums of line bundles on $P^n$, with $K^i = 0$ for $i < 1$ and for $i > 1$, with $d_k : K^1 \rightarrow K^0$, a locally split monomorphism, and with $d_k^0 : K^0 \rightarrow K^1$ an epimorphism. The only non-zero cohomology sheaf $H^0(K)$ of $K$ is a vector bundle on $P^n$.

   Using Theorem 1 and the main result of the paper of Mohan Kumar, Peterson and Rao [4], which asserts that a vector bundle satisfying condition (i) of Theorem 1 has rank $n - 1$ unless it is a direct sum of line bundles, we shall obtain, in Theorem 7 below, a new effective version of the Babylonian tower theorem.

   In order to prove Theorem 1, we shall use Horrocks' approach (which is very elementary) and the method developed by G. Trautmann and the author in [3] for the proof of an effective version of the Babylonian tower theorem (which proves the estimate of Flammenkamp [2]). Horrocks' proof of Theorem 0 is based on the following:

2. **Lemma.** Assume that the vector bundle $E$ on $P^n$ extends to a vector bundle $F$ on $P^{n+1}$. Then, for each $1 < i < n + 1$, $H^i(E) = 0$ if and only if $H^i(F) = 0$ and $H^{i+1}(F) = 0$.

   For the proof of Lemma 2 one uses the short exact sequence (of sheaves on $P^{n+1}$): $0 \rightarrow F(1) \rightarrow F \rightarrow E \rightarrow 0$, the fact that $H^i(F(1)) = 0$ for $d < 0$ and $H^{i+1}(F(1)) = 0$ for $d > 0$. With the same kind of argument one can prove:

3. **Lemma.** Under the hypothesis of Lemma 2, $H^i(F) = 0$ if and only if $H^{i+1}(F) = 0$.

   Horrocks' argument is repeated in the proof of the following:

4. **Lemma.** Assume that the vector bundle $E$ on $P^n$, $n = 4$, extends to a vector bundle $F$ on $P^{n+m}$, for some $m \geq 3$. If there exists an $(n + 1)$-dimensional linear subspace $P$ of $P^{n+m}$, containing $L = P^n$, such that the morphisms $H^1(F \otimes P)$! $H^1(E)$ and $H^1(F \otimes P)$! $H^1(E)$ are surjective, then $H^i(E) = 0$ for $1 < i < n - 1$.

   **Proof.** Applying Lemma 3 to $E$ and $F \otimes P$ one gets that $H^2(F \otimes P) = 0$ and $H^2(F \otimes P) = 0$ hence, by Serre duality, $H^{n-1}(F \otimes P) = 0$. Considering $\text{ag} P = P^1 \otimes P^2$
\( P^m = P^{n+m} \) of linear subspaces of \( P^{n+m} \) and applying Lemma 2 upstairs, one deduces that \( H^1(F) = 0 \), for all \( i n + m \). 2. Considering, now, the case \( P^{n+m} = P^m \)

\( P^1 = P \mid L = P^n \) and applying Lemma 2 downstairs, one obtains that \( H^1(E) = 0 \), for all \( i n + 2 \).

In order to prove the existence of a linear subspace \( P \) satisfying the hypothesis of Lemma 4, we use the method developed in [3]. Let \( L^0 \) be the linear subspace of \( P^{n+m} \) of equations \( X_0 = \cdots = X_n = 0 \), with coordinate ring \( S^0 = k[X_{n+1}, \ldots; X_{n+m}] \), and let \( :P^{n+m} \ni \) \( L = P^n \) be the linear projection. Let \( L_i \) denote the \( i \)th in minimal neighborhood of \( L \) in \( P^{n+m} \), denoted by the ideal \( \mathcal{I}_{L_i}^1 \). Let \( L \) be a retract of the inclusion \( L \subseteq L_i \) and endows \( O_{L_i} \) with the structure of an \( O_L \)-algebra. As an \( O_L \)-module:

\[
O_{L_i} = O_L \bigotimes_{O_L(i)}^k \mathcal{S}_{L_i}^0
\]

Now, using the method from [3], we can prove the following result, which is the key point of the proof of Theorem 1:

5. Lemma. Let \( E \) be a vector bundle on \( P^n \), and assume that \( E \) can be extended to a vector bundle \( F \) on \( P^{n+m} \), for some \( m \geq 1 \). Let \( J \) be a fixed element of \( \mathcal{H}(E) \). Then there exists a homogeneous ideal \( J \bigotimes_{J_{L_i}}^k S_{L_i}^0 \), with at most \( h^2(E, JE) = \dim_k H^2(E(J)) \) minimal homogeneous generators in degree \( i \), such that if \( p \) is a point of the subspace of \( L \) defined by \( J \), and if \( P \) is the linear subspace of \( P^{n+m} \) spanned by \( L \) and \( p \), then \( P \) can be lifted to \( H^1(F, p) \).

Proof. We shall construct recursively the homogeneous components \( J_0 = (0); J_1; \ldots; J_i; \ldots \) of \( J \). Assume that we have constructed \( J_0 = (0); \ldots; J_i \) such that, if \( Y_i \) is the closed subspace of \( L_i \) defined by the ideal:

\[
O_{L_i} = O_L \bigotimes_{O_L(i)}^k J_i
\]

Then \( H^1(E) \) lifts to an element in \( \mathcal{H}(E, Y_i) \). Let \( Y^0_{L_i+1} \) be the closed subspace of \( L_{i+1} \) defined by the ideal:

\[
O_{L_{i+1}} = O_L \bigotimes_{O_L(i)}^k J_{i+1}
\]

Tensorizing by \( F \) the exact sequence:

\[
0 \to O_L(i) \to (S_{L_i+1}^0 = S_{L_i+1}^0 J_i) \to O_{Y_{L_i+1}} \to 0
\]

and taking cohomology, one deduces an exact sequence:

\[
H^1(F, Y_{L_i+1}^0) \to H^1(F, Y_{L_i+1}) \to H^2(E(i)) \to (S_{L_{i+1}}^0 = S_{L_{i+1}}^0 J_i)
\]

Choosing a basis of the \( k \)-vector space \( H^2(E(i)) \), one can extend a \( k \)-vector space \( J_{L_{i+1}} \), with \( S_{L_{i+1}}^0 = S_{L_{i+1}}^0 J_i \), and with \( \dim_k (J_{L_{i+1}} = S_{L_{i+1}}^0 J_i) = h^2(E(i)) \), such that \( O_{L_{i+1}}(2 H^2(E(i)) \to (J_{L_{i+1}} = S_{L_{i+1}}^0 J_i) \). Let \( Y_{L_{i+1}} \) be the closed subspace of \( L_{i+1} \) defined by the ideal:

\[
O_{L_{i+1}} = O_L \bigotimes_{O_L(i)}^k J_{i+1}
\]
One has $Y_1 \rightarrow Y_{i+1} \rightarrow Y_{i+1}^0$, and from the commutativity of the diagram:

\[
\begin{array}{c}
H^1(\mathbb{F} jY_{i+1}^0) \rightarrow H^1(\mathbb{F} jY_{i+1}) \rightarrow H^2(\mathbb{E}(i\ 1))_{\mathbb{K}}\ \\
\mathbb{Y} \end{array}
\]

one deduces that $(i) = 0$, hence $(i)$ lifts to an element $(i)_{i+1}^2 H^1(\mathbb{F} jY_{i+1})$.

Finally, assume that the above construction of $J$ has been completed and let $p$ be a point of the closed subscheme of $L^0$ determined by $J$. If $J(p) = S^0_i$ is the homogeneous ideal of the point $p$ of $L^0$, $P^n$, then the closed subscheme of $L_1$ determined by the ideal sheaf:

\[
O_{L}(1) \rightarrow J(p)_1 \rightarrow L_{0} i \rightarrow J(p)_i
\]

is exactly $P \setminus L_1$, i.e., the $i$th infinitesimal neighborhood in $P$ of the hyperplane $L$ of $P^n \setminus P^{n+1}$. Since $J(p)$, it follows that $P \setminus L_1$ $Y_i$, hence $2H^2(\mathbb{E})$ lifts to the image $(p)$ of $2H^1(\mathbb{F} jY_i)$ into $H^1(\mathbb{F} jP \setminus L_1)$. Using the exact sequence:

\[
H^1(\mathbb{F} jP) \rightarrow H^1(\mathbb{F} jP \setminus L_1) \rightarrow H^2(\mathbb{F} jP)(i\ 1)
\]

and the fact that $H^2(\mathbb{F} jP)(i\ 1) = 0$ for $i \gg 0$, one deduces that $H^1(\mathbb{F} jP)$ is surjective for $i \gg 0$, hence lifts to an element of $H^1(\mathbb{F} jP)$.

Before proving Theorem 1, we need one more remark, related to Lemma 4:

6. Lemma. Under the hypothesis of Lemma 4, the condition $H^1(\mathbb{F} jP)$ is surjective" is an open condition on the variety parametrizing the $(n+1)$-dimensional linear subspaces $P$ of $P^{n+m}$ containing $L = P^n$.

Proof. Let $\mathbb{F}$ be the subvariety of $P^{n+m}$ consisting of the pairs $(x;p)$ such that $x$ belongs to the linear span of $L$ and $p$. Actually, $\mathbb{F}$ is the blow-up of $L$ in $P^{n+m}$. Consider the incidence diagram:

\[
P^{n+m} \rightarrow \mathbb{F} \rightarrow P^n:
\]

$\mathbb{F}$ is a $P^{n+1}$-bundle over $L^n$ and, by $2P L^n$, maps isomorphically $e^1(p)$ onto the linear span of $L$ and $p$. In this way, $P^n$ parametrizes the linear subspaces $P$ from the statement.

Now, by Lemma 3, $H^1(\mathbb{F} jP)$ is surjective if and only if $H^2(\mathbb{F} jP) = 0$. There exists integers $d_1, d_2$ such that $H^1(\mathbb{E}(d)) = 0$ for $d > d_1$ and $H^2(\mathbb{E}(d)) = 0$ for $d > d_2$. Then, for every $(n+1)$-dimensional linear subspace $P$ of $P^{n+m}$ containing $L = P^n$, $H^2(\mathbb{F} jP)(d) = 0$ for $d > d_1$ and for $d < d_2$. Finally, if there exists a $P_0$ such that $H^2(\mathbb{F} jP_0) = 0$ then a semi-continuity argument applied to $F$ over $L^n$ shows that, for $P$ in a neighborhood of $P_0$, $H^2(\mathbb{F} jP)(d) = 0$ for $d_2 < d < d_1$, hence $H^2(\mathbb{F} jP) = 0$. 
Proof of Theorem 1. (i) (ii) This is the well-known method of Horrocks [7], [8] of "killing cohomology" (see Barth and Hulek [11] for a more accessible reference) combined with the splitting criterion of Horrocks.

(iii) Let \( K^{0} \) be a free monad on \( P^{n} \). Embed \( P^{n} \) in \( P^{n+1} \) as the hyperplane of equation \( x_{n+1} = 0 \), let \( p \) be the point \([0 : \ldots : 0 : 1]\) of \( P^{n+1} \) and let \( P^{n+1} \) be the linear projection. \( K^{1} \) extends to a direct sum of line bundles \( E_{i}^{1} \) on \( P^{n+1} \) and \( d^{i} \) extends to a morphism \( d^{i} : E_{i}^{1} \to E_{i+1}^{1} \). One can consider on \( P^{n+1} \) the free monad \( K^{0} \), \( K^{1} \) is a free monad.

\[
K^{0} = E_{0}^{1} ; K^{1} = E_{1}^{1} (1) \quad E_{0}^{1} E_{1}^{1} (1) ; K^{1} = E_{1}^{1}
\]
\[
d^{0} = (X_{n+1}^{1} ; 0) ; d^{1} = (0 ; d^{0} ; X_{n+1})
\]

Then: \( H^{0} (K^{0}) \) is a free monad on \( P^{n} \).

(iv) Assume that a vector bundle \( E \) on \( P^{n} \), \( n \neq 4 \), extends stably to a vector bundle \( F \) on \( P^{n+1} \), for some \( m \) large enough (this will be made precise below). We want to show that, in this case, \( H^{i} (E) = 0 \) for \( 1 \leq i < n \). By definition, \( F \) is a direct sum of line bundles. Since \( H^{i} (A) = 0 \) for \( 1 \leq i < n \), it follows that \( H^{i} (E) = 0 \) for \( 1 \leq i < n \).

Let \( i = \dim (H^{i} (E)) = S_{i} H^{i} (E (i + 1)) \) be the number of minimal homogeneous generators of degree \( i \) of the graded \( S \)-module \( H^{i} (E) \). Choosing a minimal system of homogeneous generators of the graded \( S \)-module \( H^{1} (E) \) and applying Lemma 5 to each of these generators, one deduces that, for \( m > \sup_{j} h^{2} (E (j)) \), there exists an \( (n+1) \)-dimensional linear subspace \( P_{0} \) of \( P^{n+m} \), containing \( L = P^{n} \), such that \( H^{1} (F \cap P_{0}) ! H^{1} (E) \) is surjective. It follows now, from Lemma 6, that for the general \( (n+1) \)-dimensional linear subspace \( P \) of \( P^{n+m} \), containing \( L = P^{n}, H^{1} (F \cap P) ! H^{1} (E \cap A) \) is surjective.

Similarly, putting \( i = \dim (H^{i} (E (j)) = S_{i} H^{i} (E (i + 1)) \), it follows that, for \( m > \sup_{j} h^{2} (E (j)) \), for the general \( P \) as above, \( H^{i} (F \cap P) ! H^{1} (E \cap A) \) is surjective.

One deduces now, from Lemma 4, that if:

\[
m > \max \left( P_{j} h^{2} (E (j)); P_{j} h^{2} (E (j)) \right) \quad \text{for} \quad n \neq 4
\]

then \( H^{i} (E \cap A) = 0 \) for \( 1 \leq i < n \), hence \( H^{i} (E) = 0 \) for \( 1 \leq i < n \).

Using the estimate from the last part of the proof of Theorem 1 and the main result of the paper of Mohan Kumar et al. [9], one gets the following version of the Babylonian tower theorem (compare with [2], [12], [10], [11], [4], and [3]):
7. Theorem. Let $E$ be a vector bundle of rank $r$ on $\mathbb{P}^n$, $n \geq 4$. If $E$ extends to a vector bundle $F$ on $\mathbb{P}^{n+m}$, for some $m > \max\left(\sum_{j} h^2(E(j)) ; \sum_{j} h^2(F(j)) ; n + 1\right)$;

(see the proof of Theorem 1 for the notation) then $E$ splits as a direct sum of line bundles.

Proof. By (the proof of) Theorem 1, $H^i(E) = 0$ for $1 < i < n$, hence, by Lemma 2, $H^i(F) = 0$, for $1 < i < n + m$. Since $rk F = r < n + m$, the main result of [3] implies that $F$ is a direct sum of line bundles, hence the same is true for $E \cap F \cap P^n$.

References


Institute of Mathematics of the Romanian Academy, P. O. Box 1-764, RO-014700, Bucharest, Romania

E-mail address: Iustin.Coanda@imar.ro