The localization of waves in disordered media, also known as Anderson localization, is a universal phenomenon predicted and observed in a variety of classical and quantum wave systems [1]. Recent renewed interest to this phenomenon is driven by a series of experimental demonstrations in optics [2] and Bose-Einstein condensates [3]. One of the important issues risen in these studies is that the disordered systems can be inherently nonlinear, so that an intriguing interplay of nonlinearity and disorder could be studied experimentally.

Nonlinear interaction between the propagating waves and disorder can significantly change the interference effects, thus fundamentally affecting localization [4, 5]. However, most of the studies of the localization in random nonlinear media deal with the ensemble-averaged characteristics of the field, such as the mean field and intensity, correlation functions, etc. These quantities describe the averaged, typical behavior of the field, but they do not contain information about individual localized modes (resonances), which exist in the localized regime in contrast to regular resonant cavities, the Anderson modes occur in a statistically-homogeneous media because of the interference of the multiply scattered random fields. Although the disorder-induced resonances in linear random samples have been the subject of studies for decades, the resonance properties of nonlinear disordered media have not been explored so far.

In this Letter we study the effect of nonlinearity on the Anderson localized states in a one-dimensional random medium. As a result of interplay of nonlinearity and disorder, the bistability and nonreciprocity appear upon resonant wave tunnelling and excitation of disorder-induced localized modes in a manner similar to that for regular cavity modes. At the same time, weak nonlinearity does not change the typical exponentially small transmission in the regime of the Anderson localization, it affects dramatically the disorder-induced localized states excited inside the medium leading to bistable and nonreciprocal resonant transmission. Our numerical modelling shows an excellent agreement with theoretical predictions based on the concept of a high-Q resonator associated with each localized state. This offers a new way of all-optical light control employing statistically-homogeneous random media without regular cavities.
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weak nonlinearity takes the form:

\[ a \text{ function of the output intensity, which in the case of unambiguously connected, the resonant wave number is} \]

the standard Lorentzian dependence \([7, 12]\):

\[ T(k) = \frac{T_{\text{res}}}{1 + [2Q(k/k_{\text{res}0} - 1)]^2}, \quad (2) \]

where \( T_{\text{res}} = T(k_{\text{res}0}) \).

Let us account now for the effect of weak nonlinearity, which is proportional to the wave intensity: \( \chi |\psi|^2 \ll 1 \). The nonlinearity becomes noticeable, first of all, at the intensity-dependent shift of the resonant wave number: \( k_{\text{res}0} \rightarrow k_{\text{res}}(I_{\text{peak}}) \). Since the values of \( I_{\text{peak}} \) and \( I_{\text{out}} \) are unambiguously connected, the resonant wave number is a function of the output intensity, which in the case of weak nonlinearity takes the form:

\[ k_{\text{res}}(I_{\text{out}}) \approx k_{\text{res}0} + \frac{d k_{\text{res}}}{d I_{\text{out}}}
\bigg|_{I_{\text{out}}=0} I_{\text{out}}. \quad (3) \]

Substitution Eq. (3) into the Lorentzian (2) yields

\[ T(k, I_{\text{out}}) \equiv \frac{I_{\text{out}}}{I_{\text{in}}} = \frac{T_{\text{res}}}{1 + [A\chi I_{\text{out}} + \delta]^2}. \quad (4) \]

Here we introduced two dimensionless parameters \( A \) and \( \delta \), which characterize, respectively, the strength of the nonlinear feedback and the detuning from the resonant wave number:

\[ A = \frac{2Q}{\chi} \frac{d \ln k_{\text{res}}}{dT_{\text{out}}}
\bigg|_{I_{\text{out}}=0}, \quad \delta = 2Q \left(1 - \frac{k}{k_{\text{res}0}}\right). \quad (5) \]

Equation (4) establishes relation between the input and output wave intensities, which is given by a cubic equation with respect to \( I_{\text{out}} \). It has a universal form typical for nonlinear resonators possessing optical bistability [13]. From Eq. (5) it follows that in the region of parameters:

\[ A\delta < 0, \quad \delta^2 > 3, \quad |\chi|I_{\text{in}} > \frac{8}{3\sqrt{3}|A|T_{\text{res}}} \quad (6) \]

the dependence \( I_{\text{out}}(I_{\text{in}}) \) is of the S-type and the stationary transmission spectrum \( T(k) \) is a three-valued function. In most cases, one of the solutions is unstable, whereas the other two form a hysteresis loop in the \( I_{\text{out}}(I_{\text{in}}) \) dependence (see Figs. 2 and 3).

It is important to emphasize two features of the equations (4) and (5), describing the nonlinear resonant transmission through a localized state. First, they have been derived without any approximations apart from the natural smallness of the nonlinearity and Lorentzian shape of the spectral line. Second, although the resonant transmission, the effect of nonlinearity, and bistability owe their origin to the excitation of the Anderson localized mode inside the sample, equations (4) and (5) contain only quantities which can be found via outside measurements. Indeed, \( T_{\text{res}}, k_{\text{res}0}, \) and \( Q \) are determined from the transmission spectrum in the linear regime, Eq. (2), while the derivative \( \frac{d \ln k_{\text{res}}}{d T_{\text{out}}}
\bigg|_{I_{\text{out}}=0} \) can be retrieved from the shift of the spectral line when the intensity is changed. This enables one to obtain the whole

\[ \text{FIG. 2: (Color online.) Nonlinear deformations of the transmission spectra of the resonances 1 and 2 from Fig. 1 at different intensities of the incident wave. Numerical simulations of the Eq. (1) (curves) and theoretical Eq. (4) (symbols) are shown for the case of defocusing nonlinearity, } \chi > 0. \text{ Light-grey stripes indicate three-valued regions for the high-intensity curves, where only two of them (corresponding to the lower and upper branches) are stable.} \]
dependence $I_{\text{out}}(I_{\text{in}}, k)$ for a given resonance performing external measurements of $T(k)$ at only two different intensities of the incident wave.

Figure 2 shows nonlinear deformations of the resonant transmission spectra $T(k)$ for different values of $I_{\text{in}}$, which exhibit transitions to bistability. The analytical dependence $T(k)$ given by Eqs. (4) and (5) with the parameters $T_{\text{res}}, Q,$ and $A$ found from the numerical experiments are in excellent agreement with the direct numerical solutions of Eq. (1) [14].

The three dimensionless parameters $T_{\text{res}}, Q,$ and $A$, which determine the nonlinear transmission dependence (4) and (5), can also be estimated from a simple resonator model of the Anderson localized states [7, 8, 11]. According to this approach, each localized state can be associated with an effective resonator cavity located near the maximum of the field inside the sample, which is surrounded by two barriers allowing exponentially small penetration with the typical localization exponent. The transparencies of the two barriers, $T_1$ and $T_2$, are estimated through the spatial position of the localized state $x_{\text{res}}$ length of the sample $L$, and the localization length $l_{\text{loc}}$ as

$$T_1 \sim \exp[-2x_{\text{res}}/l_{\text{loc}}], \quad T_2 \sim \exp[-2(L - x_{\text{res}})/l_{\text{loc}}].$$

(7)

The total resonant transmission in the linear regime and the Q-factor are equal to [7, 11]

$$T_{\text{res}} = \frac{4T_1T_2}{(T_1 + T_2)^2}, \quad Q^{-1} \sim \frac{T_1 + T_2}{4k_{\text{res0}}l_{\text{loc}}},$$

(8)

Introducing a weak Kerr nonlinearity into the resonator model, one can also estimate the nonlinear feedback parameter $A$:

$$A \sim Q\sqrt{\bar{n}^2 T_2},$$

(9)

where $\bar{n}^2$ is the mean value of $n^2(x)$.

Equations (7)–(9) enable one to estimate the values of the parameters describing the nonlinear resonant wave tunnelling in Eqs. (4) and (5) by knowing only the basic parameters of the localization – the localization coordinate and the localization length. In particular, substituting Eqs. (7)–(9) into Eq. (6) and taking into account that the most pronounced transmission peaks correspond to the localized states with $x \approx L/2$ and $T_1 \sim T_2$, we estimate the incident power needed for bistability of localized states:

$$|\chi|I_{\text{in}} \gtrsim \frac{\exp(-2L/l_{\text{loc}})}{k_{\text{res0}}l_{\text{loc}}}. \quad (10)$$

For the parameters used in our simulations this gives quite reasonable value $|\chi|I_{\text{in}} \gtrsim 10^{-5}$. If we increase the length of the sample, the Q-factors of the resonances grow, and the incident power needed to observe the bistability becomes smaller.

To demonstrate temporal dynamics upon the bistable resonant tunnelling, we implemented an explicit iterative nonlinear finite-difference time-domain (FDTD) scheme for modelling pulse propagation through the disordered nonlinear sample. For precise modelling of the spectra of narrow high-Q resonances, we employed fourth-order accurate algorithm, both in space and in time [13], as well as the Mur boundary conditions to simulate open boundaries and total-field/scattered-field technique for exciting the incident wave. Sufficient accuracy was achieved by creating a dense spatial mesh of 300 points per wavelength ($dx = \lambda/300$). To assure stability of the method in nonlinear regime, we used the time step of $dt = dx/3c$, and each simulation ran for $N = 2 \times 10^8$ time steps. To compare the results of the FDTD simulations with the steady-state theory, we consider transmission of long Gaussian pulses with central frequencies and amplitudes satisfying conditions (6), see Fig. 3(d). In all cases we observe hysteresis loops in the $I_{\text{out}}(I_{\text{in}})$ dependences which are in excellent agreement with stationary calculations, as shown in Figs. 3(a–c). Characteristic transitional oscillations accompany jumps between two stable branches, and strong reshaping of the transmitted pulse evidences switching between the two regimes of transmission, Fig. 3(d).

In addition to the bistability, the resonant wave tunnelling through a nonlinear disordered structure is nonreciprocal. As is known for regular systems, nonsymmetric and nonlinear systems may possess nonrecipro-
nonlinear transmission properties, resembling the operation of a diode. An all-optical diode is a device that allows unidirectional propagation of a signal at a given wavelength, which may become useful for many applications \[16\]. A disordered structure is naturally asymmetric in the generic case, and one may expect a nonreciprocal resonant transmission in the nonlinear case. To demonstrate this, we modelled propagation of an electromagnetic pulse impinging the same sample from different sides and monitor the transmission characteristics. One case of such nonreciprocal resonant transmission is shown in Fig. 4(a). We observe considerably different transmission properties in opposite directions with the maximal intensity contrast between two directions \(7.5:1\). Moreover, the threshold of the bistability is also significantly different for two directions: there is a range of incident powers, for which the wave incident from one side of the sample is bistable, while there is no signs of bistability for the incidence from the other side. Figure 4(b) shows the pulse reshaping for incidence from opposite sides of the structure.

To conclude, we have studied the wave transmission through a weakly-nonlinear statistically homogeneous one-dimensional random medium and demonstrated novel manifestations of the interplay between nonlinearity and disorder. We have shown that even weak nonlinearity affect dramatically the resonant transmission associated with the excitation of the Anderson localized states leading to bistability and nonreciprocity. Despite random character of the appearance of Anderson modes, their behavior and evolution are rather deterministic, and, therefore, these modes can be used for efficient control of light similar to regular cavity modes. Numerical modelling shows an excellent agreement with theoretical analysis based on the concept of a high-Q resonator associated with each localized state. Our results demonstrate that, unlike infinite systems, the Anderson localization in finite samples is not destroyed by weak

The authors acknowledge a support of the Australian Research Council (Linkage International and Discovery projects), European Commission (Marie Curie fellowship), and the Science Foundation Ireland.


\[14\] A minor discrepancy between the theoretical model and exact numerical simulations can be seen for high incident power for the first resonance. This is because at high intensity the shape of the resonance line changes, which is not taken into account by the model.
