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Abstract

Image motion blur usually results from object motions or camera shakes. Such blur is generally directional and non-uniform. Some previous research efforts attempted to solve non-uniform blur by using self-recurrent multi-scale, multi-patch, or multi-temporal architectures accompanying self-attention and obtained decent results. However, using self-recurrent frameworks typically leads to a longer inference time, while inter-pixel or inter-channel self-attention may cause excessive memory usage. This paper proposes a Blur-aware Attention Network (BANet), that accomplishes accurate and efficient deblurring via a single forward pass. Our BANet utilizes region-based self-attention with multi-kernel strip pooling to disentangle blur patterns of different magnitudes and orientations and cascaded parallel dilated convolution to aggregate multi-scale content features. Extensive experimental results on the GoPro and RealBlur benchmarks demonstrate that the proposed BANet performs favorably against the state-of-the-arts in blurred image restoration and can provide deblurred results in real-time.

1. Introduction

Dynamic scene deblurring or blind motion deblurring aims to restore a blurred image with little knowledge about the blur kernel. Scene blur caused by camera shakes, object motions, low shutter speeds, or low frame rates not only degrades the quality of taken images/videos but also results in information loss. Therefore, removing such blurring artifacts to recover image details becomes essential to many downstream vision applications, such as facial detection [33, 40], text recognition [18], moving object segmentation [24], etc., where clean and sharp images are appreciated. Although significant progress has been made in conventional and deep-learning-based approaches [38, 21, 43, 25], we observe a compromise between accuracy and speed. Owing to this observation, we target to develop an efficient and effective algorithm in this paper for blurred image restoration with its current performance in accuracy and speed shown in Fig. 1.

Deep-learning-based approaches usually reach superior results, given their better feature representation capability toward dynamic scenes. Among the state-of-the-art architectures for deblurring, self-recurrent models have been widely adopted to leverage blurred image repeatability in either multiple scales (MS) [7, 21, 32, 1], multiple patch levels (MP) [42, 31, 43], or multiple temporal behaviors (MT) [25], as shown in Fig. 2(a)–(c). Specifically, the MS models distill multi-scale blur information in a self-recurrent manner and restore blurred images based on the extracted coarse-to-fine features [7, 21, 32]. However, scaling a blurred image to a lower resolution often results in losing edge information [25]. In contrast, the MP models split a blurred input image into multiple patches to estimate and then remove motion blurs of different scales [31, 43]. However, splitting the blurred input and features into equal-
sized non-overlapping patches may cause context information discontinuity, sub-optimal for handling non-uniform blur in dynamic scenes. In [25], a self-recurrent MT structure is proposed to eliminate non-uniform blur progressively over multiple iterations. Each iteration would gradually reduce the blurring degree of the input blurred image until it becomes sharp. However, due to its inflexible incremental training and inference process, it may not generalize well for a blurred image with local regions of different blurring degrees. Besides, these existing self-recurrent models, including MS, MP, and MT, cannot achieve high-quality deblurring in real-time (say, 30 HD frames per second).

In addition to model architectures, recent research studies [27, 31] further exploit self-attention to address blur non-uniformity. Suin et al. [31] utilize MP-based processing with self-attention to extract features for areas with global and local motions. However, using a self-recurrent mechanism to generate multi-scale features often leads to a significantly longer inference time. To shorten the latency, Purohit and Rajagopalan [27] selectively aggregate features through learnable pixel-wise attention [44] enabled by deformable convolutions for modeling local blurs in a single forward pass. Despite its effectiveness, self-attention exploring pixel-wise or channel-wise correlations via trainable filters often causes high memory usage, thus only applicable to small-scale features [27]. Furthermore, motion blurs coming from object motions manifest smeared effects and produce directional and local averaging artifacts, which cannot be handled well by inter-pixel/channel correlations.

This paper proposes a Blur-aware Attention Network (BANet) to overcome the abovementioned issues. BANet is an efficient yet effective single-forward-pass model, as illustrated in Fig. 2(d), which achieves state-of-the-art de-blurring performance while working in real-time, as shown in Fig. 1. Specifically, our model stacks multiple layers of the Blur-Aware Module (BAM) for removing motion blurs. BAM separates the deblurring process into two branches, Blur-aware Attention (BA) and Cascaded Parallel Dilated Convolution (CPDC), where BA locates region-wise blur orientations and magnitudes while CPDC adaptively removes blurs based on the attended blurred features. Based on an observation of directional and regional averaging artifacts caused by dynamic blurs, the proposed BA derives region-wise attention by using computationally inexpensive regional averaging to capture blurred patterns of different orientations and magnitudes globally and locally. To derive the orientations and magnitudes of different blurred patterns in an image, we reassemble horizontal and vertical blurred responses to catch irregular blur orientations and utilize multi-scale kernels to learn the magnitudes. CDPC leverages two cascaded multi-scale dilated convolutions to un-blur image features. As a result, BANet possesses the superior deblurring capability and can support subsequent real-time applications superbly. In short, our contributions are two-fold. First, BANet is featured with a novel BAM module that exploits region-wise attention to capture blur orientations and magnitudes, making BANet capable of disentangling blur contents of different degrees in dynamic scenes. With the disentangled region-wise blurred patterns, it then utilizes cascaded multi-scale dilated convolution to restore blurred features. Second, our efficient single-forward-pass deep networks perform favorably against state-of-the-art methods with fast inference time.
Figure 3. Architecture of the proposed blur-aware attention networks (BANet). The blur-aware modules (BAM) serve as the building blocks of BANet. The first BAM is detailed in the purple dotted box while the rest are represented by solid purple boxes.

2. Related Work

2.1. Conventional Methods

Dynamic Scene image deblurring is a highly ill-posed problem since blurs stem from various factors in the real world. Conventional image deblurring studies often make different assumptions, such as uniform [4, 6, 37, 29] or non-uniform [8, 9, 10, 14, 36] blurs, and image priors [2, 13, 22, 23, 39], to model blur characteristics. Namely, these methods impose different constraints on the estimated blur kernels, latent images, or both with handcrafted regularization terms for blur removal. Nevertheless, these methods often attempt to solve a non-convex optimization problem and involve heuristic parameter tuning that is entangled with the camera pipeline; thus, they cannot generalize well to complex real-world examples.

2.2. Deblurring via Learning

Learning-based approaches with self-recurrent modules gain great success in single-image deblurring. Particularly, the coarse-to-fine schemes can gradually restore a sharp image on different resolutions (MS) [7, 21, 32, 1], fields of view (MP) [31, 43], or temporal characteristics (MT) [25]. Despite the success, self-recurrent models usually lead to longer inference runtime. Recently, non-recurrent methods [15, 16, 27, 41, 19, 5] were proposed for efficient deblurring. For instance, Kupyn et al. [15, 16] suggested using conditional generative adversarial networks to restore blurred images. However, these methods do not well address non-uniform blurs in dynamic scenes, often causing blur artifacts in the deblurred images. To address this issue, Yuan et al. [41] proposed a spatially variant deconvolution network with optical flow estimation to guide deformable convolutions and capture moving objects during model training. Li et al. [19] proposed a depth-guided model for deblurring. However, the optical flow and depth information may not always correlate with blur, which may cause less effective deblurring. Cho et al. [5] proposed a multi-input multi-output multi-scale structure for efficient and effective deblurring. To incorporate multi-scale input images into the model, they used a shallow convolution to turn the images into attention masks and multiply them by the features on the same scales. Nevertheless, its simple feature attention mechanism may not be able to extract blur information comprehensively from the input image, limiting its deblurring performance.

2.3. Self-attention

Self-attention (SA) [34] has been widely adopted to advance the fields of image processing [26, 44] and computer vision [12, 35]. Recent advances [27, 31] revealed that attention is beneficial for learning inter-pixel correlations to emphasize different local features for removing non-uniform blur. Specifically, Purohit et al. [27] proposed to deblur using SA to explore pixel-wise correlation for non-local feature adaptation. However, since SA requires much memory in $O(H^2W^2)$ space, where $H$ and $W$ are the height and width of the input to SA, the method can only apply SA to the smallest-scale features (from a $1280 \times 720$ blurred input to $160 \times 90$ SA’s input), limiting the efficacy of SA. Also, motion blurs cause directional and local averaging artifacts, which merely pixel-wise SA may not address well. Suin et al. [31] proposed an MP architecture with less memory-intensive SA by using global average pooling with space complexity $O(d_a d_c HW)$, where $d_a$ is the channel dimension of the components query and key in SA, $d_c$ is the dimension of the component value, and $d_a d_c < HW$. Despite the method’s less space complexity, compressing pixel information into the channel domain may lose spatial information, thus degrading deblurring performance. In contrast, we propose an efficient and low memory-cost regional averaging SA to capture non-uniform blur information more accurately. It is with space complexity $O(CHW)$, where $C$ is the number of output channels. It can deblur high-resolution input images and achieve superior performance in real-time.
3. Proposed Approach

We present the blur-aware attention network (BANet) to address the potential issues in two commonly used techniques for deblurring: self-recurrence and self-attention. Self-recurrent algorithms result in longer inference time due to repeatedly accessing input blurred images. Self-attention based on inter-pixel or inter-channel correlations is memory intensive and cannot explicitly capture regional blurring information. Instead, the proposed BANet is a one-pass residual network consisting of a series of stacked blur-aware modules (BAMs), which serve as the building blocks, to disentangle different patterns of blurriness and remove blurs based on the attended blurred features.

As illustrated in Fig. 3, BANet starts with two convolutional layers, which contain a stride of 2 to downsample the input image to half resolution. BANet employs one transposed convolutional layer to upsample features to the original size. In between, we stack a set of BAMs to correlate regions with similar blur and extract multi-scale content features. A BAM consists of two components, BA and CPDC, where BA distills global and local blur orientations and magnitudes, and CPDC captures multi-scale blurred patterns to eliminate blurs adaptively. Combining BA and CPDC, BAM is a residual-like architecture that derives both global and local multi-scale blurring features in a learnable manner. We detail the two key components, BA and CPDC, in the following.

3.1. Blur-aware Attention (BA)

To accurately restore the motion area displaying directional and averaging artifacts caused by object motions and camera shakes, we propose a region-based self-attention module, called BA, to capture such effects in the global (image) and local (patch) scales. As shown in Fig. 4, BA contains two cascaded parts: multi-kernel strip pooling (MKSP) and attention refinement (AR). MKSP catches multi-scale blurred patterns of different magnitudes and orientations, followed by AR to refine them locally.

**Multi-Kernel Strip Pooling (MKSP)** Hou et al. [11] presented an SP (strip pooling) method that uses horizontal and vertical one-pixel long kernels to extract long-range band-shape context information for scene parsing. SP averages the input features within a row or a column individually and then fuses the two thin-strip features to discover global cross-region dependencies. Let the input feature maps $x = [x_{i,j,c}] \in R^{H \times W \times C}$, where $C$ denotes the number of channels. Applying SP to $x$ generates a vertical and a horizontal tensor followed by a 1D convolutional layer with a kernel size of 3. This produces a vertical tensor $y^v = [y^v_{i,c}] \in R^{H \times C}$ and a horizontal tensor $y^h = [y^h_{i,c}] \in R^{W \times C}$, where $y^v_{i,c} = \frac{1}{W} \sum_{j=0}^{W-1} x_{i,j,c}$ and $y^h_{i,c} = \frac{1}{H} \sum_{i=0}^{H-1} x_{i,j,c}$. The SP operation, after a convolution layer, fuses the two tensors into $y = [y_{i,j,c}] \in R^{H \times W \times C}$, where $y_{i,j,c} = y^v_{i,c} + y^h_{i,c}$, and then turns the fused tensor into an attention mask $M_{sp}$ as

$$M_{sp} = \sigma_{sig}(f_1(y)),$$

where $f_1$ is a $1 \times 1$ convolutional layer and $\sigma_{sig}(\cdot)$ is the sigmoid function. Although SP has shown its effects on segmenting band-shape objects for scene parsing, it is unsuitable to directly apply SP to an image deblurring task, aiming to locate blurred patterns that tend to involve different orientations and magnitudes, and restore a sharp image.

Motivated by SP, we propose MKSP that adopts strip pooling with different kernel sizes to discover regional and
MKSP combines and compares multiple sizes/scales of averaging results followed by concatenation and convolution to catch blurred patterns of different magnitudes and orientations. The idea behind our design is to reassemble different orientations by horizontal and vertical operations on multi-scale results, e.g., the difference between consecutive kernel sizes, and reveal the scales of blurred patterns. We apply convolutional layers to automatically discover these blur-aware operations on the feature level to learn irregular attended features rather than a fixed cropping method on the image level used in MP methods [31, 43]. MKSP averages the input tensors within rows and columns by adaptive averaging results followed by concatenation and convolution. The first layer uses the ReLU activation function, and the second uses a sigmoid function. As shown in Fig. 5, the proposed MKSP can generate attention masks that better fit objects or local scenes than those by using SP with only $H \times 1$ and $1 \times W$ kernels used, which yields rough band-shape masks.

**Attention Refinement (AR)** After obtaining the globally attended features by the element-wise multiplication of attention masks $M_{mksp}$ and input tensor $x$, we further refine these features locally via a simple attention mechanism using $f_{AR}(\cdot)$. The final output of our BA block through the MKSP and AR stages is computed as

$$f_{AR}(\bar{x}) \otimes \bar{x},$$

where $\otimes$ represents element-wise multiplication, and $\bar{x} = M_{mksp} \otimes x$ denotes the global features extracted using MKSP. Figs. 6(c) and (d) demonstrate that cascading MKSP with AR can refine the attended feature maps.

The proposed BA facilitates the attention mechanism applied to deblurring since it requires less memory, i.e., $O(HWC)$, where $C$ represents the channel dimension, than those adopted in [27, 31]. It disentangles blurred contents with different magnitudes and orientations. Fig. 8 showcases three examples of blur content disentanglement using BA, where we witness that background scenes are differentiated from the foreground scenes because those objects closer to the camera move faster, thus more blurred. Fig. 7 shows more examples of attention maps yielded by BA, which implicitly acts as a gate for propagating relevant blur contents.
3.2. Cascaded Parallel Dilated Convolution (CPDC)

Atrous convolution, also called dilated convolution, has been widely applied to computer-vision tasks [3, 20] for enlarging receptive fields and extracting features from objects with different scales without increasing the kernel size. Inspired by this, we design a cascaded parallel dilated convolution (CPDC) block with multiple dilation rates to capture multi-scale blurred objects. Instead of stacking dilated convolutional layers with different rates in parallel, which we call parallel dilated convolution (PDC), our CPDC block cascades two sets of PDC with a single convolutional layer working as a fusion bridge. It can distill patterns more beneficial to deblurring before passing through the second PDC. As an example, Fig. 9(a) shows a PDC block consisting of three $3 \times 3$ dilated convolutional layers with a dilation rate $D$ ($D = 1, 3, \text{and } 5$), each of which outputs features with half the number of input channels. After concatenation, the number of the output channels of the PDC block increases by 1.5 times. As shown in Fig. 9(b), our CPDC block consists of two PDC blocks bridged by a $3 \times 3$ convolutional layer, which would be more effective in aggregating multi-scale content information for deblurring.

3.3. Loss function

In BANet, we utilize the Charbonnier loss as used in [17, 42]:

$$L_{\text{char}} = \sqrt{||R - Y||^2 + \varepsilon^2},$$

(6)

where $R$ and $Y$ denote the restored image and the ground-truth image, and $\varepsilon = 10^{-3}$ as in [17, 42]. In addition, to enhance the restoration performance, we add an FFT loss to supervise the results in the frequency domain, as adopted in MIMO-UNet+ [5]:

$$L_{\text{FFT}} = ||F(R) - F(Y)||_1,$$

(7)

where $F$ represents the fast Fourier transform function. At last, we optimize BANet using the total loss $L$ as

$$L = L_{\text{char}} + \lambda L_{\text{FFT}},$$

(8)

where $\lambda$ is set to 0.01 empirically.

4. Experiments

This section evaluates the proposed method. In the following, we first describe the experimental setup, then compare our method with the state-of-the-arts, and finally conduct ablation studies to analyze the effectiveness of individual components.
4.1. Experimental Setup

We evaluate the BANet on three image deblurring benchmark datasets: 1) GoPro [21] that consists of 3, 214 pairs of blurred and sharp images of resolution $720 \times 1280$, where 2, 103 pairs are used for training, and the rest for testing, 2) HIDE [30] that contains 2, 025 pairs of HD images, all for testing, and RealBlur [28] that consists of 3, 758 pairs for training and 980 pairs for testing. The RealBlur dataset is split into two datasets: RealBlur-R collected from raw images and RealBlur-J from JPEG images. We train our model using Adam optimizer with parameters $\beta_1 = 0.9$ and $\beta_2 = 0.999$. We set the initial learning rate to $10^{-4}$, which will decay to $10^{-7}$ using the cosine annealing strategy. Following [25, 41], we utilize random cropping, flipping, and rotation for data augmentation. Lastly, we implement our model with PyTorch library on a computer equipped with Intel Xeon Silver 4210 CPU and NVIDIA 2080ti GPU.

4.2. Experimental Results

Quantitative Analysis: We compare our method with eleven latest approaches, including MSCNN [21], SRN [32], DSD [7], DeblurGAN-v2 [16], DMPHN [43], EDSD [41], MTRNN [25], RADN [27], SAPHN [31], MIMO-UNet+ [5], and MPRNet [42], which also handle dynamic deblurring on the GoPro [21] test set. For HIDE [30], we choose nine recent deblurring methods, including DeblurGAN-v2 [16], SRN [32], HAdeblur [30], DSD [7], DMPHN [43], MTRNN [25], SAPHN [31], MIMO-UNet+ [5], and MPRNet [42], according to their availability in released pre-trained weights. For RealBlur [28], we choose four methods that trained on the RealBlur training set, including DeblurGAN-v2 [16], SRN [32], MPRNet [42], and MIMO-UNet+ [5].

To better compare with recent approaches, we generate two versions of our model, BANet and BANet+. The only difference between them is the number of channels in the self-recurrent layers. BANet+ only works comparably to MPRNet [42] since the self-recurrent models, MSCNN [21], SRN [32], DSD [7], MTRNN [25], and MPRNet [42], have relatively longer runtime than the non-recurrent ones, DeblurGAN-v2 [16], RADN [27], MIMO-UNet+ [5], and ours. As reported in Table 1, BANet runs faster with low parameters and GFLOPs as well as achieves better performance than recurrent-based methods, MSCNN [21], SRN [32], DSD [7], DMPHN [43], MTRNN [25], and SAPHN [31] and non-recurrent methods, such as DeblurGAN-v2 [16] and RADN [27] on the GoPro test set. BANet also performs favorably against an efficient multi-scale model, MIMO-UNet+ [5], with the same runtime and a comparable model size. BANet+ outperforms the best competitor, MPRNet [42], by 0.37 dB in PSNR with faster runtime (~113 ms) and lower GFLOPs (~172). Table 2 shows objective results on HIDE [30]. As can be seen, BANet does better than all the compared methods except for MPRNet [42] with a faster inference time. BANet+ only works comparably to MPRNet [42] since MPRNet seems to perform favorably on HIDE [30], particularly, but our model runs much faster. Table 3 lists objective comparisons on the RealBlur test set, which shows that...
Qualitative Analysis: Fig. 10 and Fig. 11 show qualitative comparisons on the GoPro test set and HIDE dataset with previous state-of-the-arts MTRNN [25], DSD [7], DMPHN [43], MIMO-UNet+ [5], and MPRNet [42]. As observed in Fig. 10, MTRNN [25], DSD [7], DMPHN [43], MIMO-UNet+ [5], and MPRNet [42] do not well recover regions with texts or severe blur whereas BANet can restore those regions better. In Fig. 11, MTRNN [25], DSD [7], DMPHN [43], and MIMO-UNet+ [5] do not deblur the striped t-shirt and texts well, while BANet recovers those parts better. Fig. 12 and Fig. 13 demonstrate deblurred results using DeblurGAN-v2 [16], SRN [32], MPRNet [42], MIMO-UNet+ [5], and ours, on the RealBlur [28] test set. As can be seen, although all these models can remove blur, BANet performs favorably on delicate image details.

User Study: We conducted a user study to evaluate the subjective quality of deblurred results on real blurred images chosen from the RealBlur-J test set. We compare our method against four methods, inclusive of MIMO-UNet+ [5], MPRNet [42], SRN [32], and DeblurGAN-v2 [16]. Note that all the methods were trained on the RealBlur-J training set.

In the study, 34 subjects aged from 21 to 40 years participated in the study without any prior knowledge of the experiment. Their vision is either normal or corrected to be normal. We picked 16 blurred images with varying scenes for the experiment and obtained the deblurred results using all the compared approaches. Since each method was compared against our BANet with all the chosen blurred images in the experiment, we have $16 \times 4 = 64$ image pairs in total. Each subject was shown all the image pairs, one at a time, and asked which one was preferred. Each image pair is displayed randomly and placed side by side. Subjects were asked to check images carefully before choosing without a time limit.

Table 4 shows the subjective evaluation results, where the values represent the percentage that our method was chosen over the other compared methods for all the votes.
4.3. Ablation Study

In the ablation studies, we have done all the experiments on BANet (18M) version.

**BAM with Different Components:** Table 5 shows an ablation on different component combinations in our Blur-Aware Module (BAM) based on the GoPro test set. As can be seen, adding a simple attention refinement (AR) mechanism to PDC (Net1 vs. Net2) can improve PSNR by 0.41 dB, which shows the importance of spatial attention for deblurring. Using MKSP in PDC (Net1 vs. Net4) improves PSNR by 0.72 dB, which has much more performance gain compared to using strip pooling (SP) [11] in Net3 or AR in Net2. Substituting PDC in Net5 with CPDC (Net6), our proposed version of BAM leads to a further performance gain. Thanks to its mechanism for locating blur regions based on both global attention and local convolutions, our BAM attains the best performance while achieving fast inference time.

**Numbers of Stacked BAMS:** Using more layers to enlarge the receptive field may improve performance for computer vision or image processing tasks. Nevertheless, stacking more layers for deblurring does not guarantee better perfor-
Table 6. Performance comparisons of the stacking number of BAMs in BANet on GoPro test set.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>BANet</th>
<th>stack-4</th>
<th>stack-8</th>
<th>stack-10</th>
<th>stack-12</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PSNR</td>
<td>31.36</td>
<td>32.37</td>
<td>32.54</td>
<td>32.55</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 7. Performance comparisons of strip pooling (SP) and MKSP on GoPro test set with PDC.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>BANet</th>
<th>SP</th>
<th>MKSP\textsubscript{135}</th>
<th>MKSP\textsubscript{1357}</th>
<th>MKSP\textsubscript{13579}</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PSNR</td>
<td>31.81</td>
<td>32.03</td>
<td>32.11</td>
<td>32.04</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Effectiveness of MKSP and CPDC: In Table 7, we investigate the effects of kernel combination of MKSP on the GoPro test set. It shows that MKSP outperforms SP, and MKSP with four kernel sizes of 1, 3, 5, and 7 performs the best. In Table 8, we verify that CPDC, which uses a single convolution as a fusion bridge, outperforms PDC. For a fair comparison, we also compare CPDC against a PDC variant that stacks two PDCs in a series, called PDC\textsuperscript{2}, with a similar parameter size, and CPDC still performs better.

4.4. Blur-aware Attention vs. Self-Attention

RADN [27] utilized a similar self-attention (SA) mechanism proposed in [44] for deblurring. It helps connect regions with similar blurs to facilitate global access to relevant features across the entire input feature maps. However, its high memory usage makes applying it to images of high res-
olution infeasible. Thus, SA is usually employed in network layers on a smaller scale like in RADN [27], where important blur information would be lost due to down-sampling. In contrast, our proposed region-based attention is more suitable for correlating regions with similar blur characteristics. Moreover, it can be applied to images with a larger resolution thanks to its low memory consumption. To further demonstrate our BA’s efficacy, we compare the SA [44] with BA using our BANet (stack-4) as a backbone network, as shown in Fig. 14(b). Due to the high memory demand for SA ($O((HW)^2)$) to process $720 \times 1280$ images, we adopt our stack-4 model for training. When testing the networks, we separated the input image into eight sub-images for SA and BA to deblur, each with a single 2080ti GPU. Since our BA requiring lower memory usage ($O(CHW)$) can process the image with the full resolution, we also show its result. In Table 9, $SA^*$ and $BA^*$ represent deblurring an image with its eight sub-images separately, whereas BA for processing the entire image at once. As can be observed, the proposed $BA^*$ works much more efficiently than $SA^*$ with a comparable result. If deblurring the whole image, BA undoubtedly performs the best.

### 5. Conclusion

This paper proposes a novel blur-aware attention network (BANet) for single image deblurring. BANet consists of stacked blur-aware modules (BAMs) to disentangle region-wise blur contents of different magnitudes and orientations and aggregate multi-scale content features for more accurate and efficient dynamic scene deblurring. We have investigated and examined our design through demonstrations of attention masks and attended feature maps, as well as extensive ablation studies and performance comparisons. Our extensive experiments demonstrate that the proposed BANet achieves real-time deblurring and performs favorably against state-of-the-art deblurring methods on the GoPro and RealBlur benchmark datasets.
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**Table 9. Performance comparison between BA and SA [44] using BANet (stack-4) on GoPro test set. ∗ represents deblurring on eight sub-images instead of an entire image.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>SA∗</th>
<th>BA∗</th>
<th>BA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PSNR</td>
<td>31.11</td>
<td>31.09</td>
<td><strong>31.36</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time (ms)</td>
<td>770</td>
<td>16</td>
<td><strong>12</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure 14. Architecture comparisons between (a) our original BAM and (b) BA replaced by SA [44] in BAM.**