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1 Introduction

The notion of extriangulated categories was introduced by Nakaoka and Palu in \cite{17} as a simultaneous generalization of exact categories and triangulated categories. Hence many results hold on exact categories and triangulated categories can be unified in the same framework. Let \((\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{E}, s)\) be an extriangulated category. Hu, Zhang and Zhou \cite{14} studied a relative homological algebra in \(\mathcal{C}\) which parallels the relative homological algebra in a triangulated category. By specifying a class of \(\mathcal{E}\)-triangles, which is called a proper class \(\xi\) of \(\mathcal{E}\)-triangles, they introduced \(\xi\)-\(\mathcal{G}\)-projective dimensions and \(\xi\)-\(\mathcal{G}\)-injective dimensions and discussed their properties. He \cite{11} introduced the notion of the \(\xi\)-\(\mathcal{G}\)-projective resolution, and study the relation between \(\xi\)-projective resolution and \(\xi\)-\(\mathcal{G}\)-projective resolution for any object \(A \in \mathcal{C}\).

In the category of modules, Holm \cite{12} introduced the Gorenstein derived functors \(\text{Ext}^{n}_{G}(\cdot, \cdot)\) and \(\text{Ext}^{n}_{G}(\cdot, \cdot)\) of \(\text{Hom}(\cdot, \cdot)\), and proved that the functorial isomorphisms

\[
\text{Ext}^{n}_{G}(M, N) \cong \text{Ext}^{n}_{G}(\xi)(M, N)
\]

hold over arbitrary rings \(R\), provided that \(\text{Gpd}_R M < \infty\) and \(\text{Gid}_R N < \infty\). This result generalized that of Enochs and Jenda.

Inspired by Holm, Ren and Liu \cite{18} defined \(\xi\)-Gorenstein derived functors \(\mathcal{G}\text{xt}^{\mathcal{G}}(\cdot, \cdot)\) of \(\text{Hom}(\cdot, \cdot)\) in the triangulated categories, and they further studied Gorenstein homological dimensions for triangulated categories with \(\xi\)-Gorenstein derived functors, and proved that the functorial isomorphisms

\[
\xi \text{xt}_{\mathcal{G}P(\xi)}(M, N) \cong \xi \text{xt}_{\mathcal{G}I(\xi)}(M, N)
\]
with $\xi\text{-}\text{Gpd}M < \infty$ and $\xi\text{-}\text{Gid}N < \infty$.

What is more, Hu, Zhang and Zhou [15] gave some characterizations of $\xi\text{-}\text{Gprojective}$ dimension by using derived functors in $C$. Let $\mathcal{P}(\xi)$ (resp. $\mathcal{I}(\xi)$) be a generating (resp.cogenerating) subcategory of $C$. They proved that the following equality holds under some assumptions:

$$\sup\{\xi\text{-}\text{Gpd}M \mid \text{for any } M \in C\} = \sup\{\xi\text{-}\text{Gid} M \mid \text{for any } M \in C\},$$

where $\xi\text{-}\text{Gpd}M$ (resp. $\xi\text{-}\text{Gid}M$) denotes $\xi\text{-}\text{Gprojective}$ (resp. $\xi\text{-}\text{Ginjective}$) dimension of $M$. And they also pointed that

1. if $M$ is an object in $\mathcal{I}(\xi)$, then $\xi\text{-}\text{Gpd}M = \xi\text{-}\text{pd}M$;
2. if $M$ is an object in $\mathcal{P}(\xi)$, then $\xi\text{-}\text{Gid} M = \xi\text{-}\text{id}M$.

This paper is devoted to further study Gorenstein homological properties for extriangulated categories proposed by [15]. More precisely, we introduce the notion of the proper $\xi\text{-}\text{Gprojective}$ resolution for any object in $C$, then show the Horseshoe Lemma and Comparison Theorem of the proper $\xi\text{-}\text{Gprojective}$ resolution. Inspired by [12] and [13], we define the functors $\xi\text{xt}_{\mathcal{G}\mathcal{P}(\xi)}$ and $\xi\text{xt}_{\mathcal{G}\mathcal{I}(\xi)}$, then there exist the long exact sequences of them. If $A \in \mathcal{G}\mathcal{P}^*(\xi), B \in \mathcal{G}\mathcal{I}^*(\xi)$, we have proved that

$$\xi\text{xt}_{\mathcal{G}\mathcal{P}(\xi)}^n(A, B) = \xi\text{xt}_{\mathcal{G}\mathcal{I}(\xi)}^n(A, B).$$

In this situation we get the $\xi$-Gorenstein derived functors $\text{G}\text{xt}_{\xi}^n(-, -)$ of $C(-, -)$, where the first argument is for objects in $\mathcal{G}\mathcal{P}^*(\xi)$ and the second argument is for objects in $\mathcal{G}\mathcal{I}^*(\xi)$). Moreover, We give some equivalent characterizations for any object in $\mathcal{G}\mathcal{P}^*(\xi)$ with finite $\xi\text{-}\text{Gprojective}$ dimension and any object in $\mathcal{G}\mathcal{I}^*(\xi)$ with finite $\xi\text{-}\text{Ginjective}$ dimension.

Note that module categories and triangulated categories can be viewed as extriangulated categories. As an application, our main results generalize their work by Ren-Liu.

## 2 Preliminaries

In this section, we briefly recall some basic definitions of extriangulated categories from [17]. We omit some details here, but the reader can find them in [17].

**Definition 2.1.** [17, Definition 2.1] Suppose that $C$ is equipped with an additive bifunctor $\mathbb{E} : C^{op} \times C \to \text{Ab}$. For any pair of objects $A, C$ in $C$, an element $\delta \in \mathbb{E}(C, A)$ is called an $\mathbb{E}$-extension. Thus formally, an $\mathbb{E}$-extension is a triplet $(A, \delta, C)$. Since $\mathbb{E}$ is a functor, for any $a \in C(A, A')$ and $c \in C(C, C)$, we have $\mathbb{E}$-extensions $\mathbb{E}(c, a)(\delta) \in \mathbb{E}(C, A')$ and $\mathbb{E}(c, A)(\delta) \in \mathbb{E}(C', A)$. We abbreviately denote them by $a_\ast\delta$ and $c^\ast\delta$ respectively. In this terminology, we have

$$\mathbb{E}(c, a)(\delta) = c^\ast a_\ast\delta = a_\ast c^\ast\delta$$

in $\mathbb{E}(C', A')$. For any $A, C \in C$, the zero element $0 \in \mathbb{E}(C, A)$ is called the split $\mathbb{E}$-extension.

**Definition 2.2.** [17, Definition 2.7] Let $A, C \in C$ be any pair of objects. Two sequences of morphisms $A \xrightarrow{x} B \xrightarrow{y} C$ and $A \xrightarrow{x'} B' \xrightarrow{y'} C$ in $C$ are said to be equivalent if there exists an isomorphism $b \in C(B, B')$ which makes the following diagram commutative.

$$
\begin{array}{c}
A \xrightarrow{x} B \xrightarrow{y} C \\
\downarrow b \quad \quad \quad \downarrow b \\
A \xrightarrow{x'} B' \xrightarrow{y'} C
\end{array}
$$
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We denote the equivalence class of $A \xrightarrow{x} B \xrightarrow{y} C$ by $[A \xrightarrow{x} B \xrightarrow{y} C]$.

**Definition 2.3.** (Definition 2.8) (1) For any $A, C \in \mathcal{C}$, we denote as

$$0 = [A \xrightarrow{[1]} A \oplus C \xrightarrow{[0]} C].$$

(2) For any $[A \xrightarrow{x} B \xrightarrow{y} C]$ and $[A' \xrightarrow{x'} B' \xrightarrow{y'} C']$, we denote as

$$[A \xrightarrow{x} B \xrightarrow{y} C] \oplus [A' \xrightarrow{x'} B' \xrightarrow{y'} C'] = [A \oplus A' \xrightarrow{x \oplus x'} B \oplus B' \xrightarrow{y \oplus y'} C \oplus C'].$$

**Definition 2.4.** (Definition 2.9) Let $\mathcal{E}$ be a correspondence which associates an equivalence class $\mathcal{E}(\delta) = [A \xrightarrow{\delta} B \xrightarrow{\delta'} C]$ to any $\mathcal{E}$-extension $\delta \in \mathcal{E}(C, A)$.

This $\mathcal{E}$ is called a realization of $\mathcal{E}$, if for any morphism $(a, c) : \delta \to \delta'$ with $\mathcal{E}(\delta) = [A \xrightarrow{\delta} B \xrightarrow{\delta'} C]$ and $\mathcal{E}(\delta') = [A' \xrightarrow{\delta'} B' \xrightarrow{\delta'} C']$, there exists $b \in C$ which makes the following diagram commutative:

![Diagram](attachment:diagram.png)

In the above situation, we say that the triplet $(a, b, c)$ realizes $(a, b)$.

**Definition 2.5.** (Definition 2.10) Let $\mathcal{E}$ be as above. A realization $\mathcal{E}$ of $\mathcal{E}$ is said to be additive if it satisfies the following conditions:

(a) For any $A, C \in \mathcal{C}$, the split $\mathcal{E}$-extension $0 \in \mathcal{E}(C, A)$ satisfies $\mathcal{E}(0) = 0$.

(b) $\mathcal{E}(\delta \oplus \delta') = \mathcal{E}(\delta) \oplus \mathcal{E}(\delta')$ for any pair of $\mathcal{E}$-extensions $\delta$ and $\delta'$.

**Definition 2.6.** (Definition 2.12) A triplet $(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E})$ is called an extriangulated category if it satisfies the following conditions:

(ET1) $\mathcal{E} : \mathcal{C}^{\text{op}} \times \mathcal{C} \to \text{Ab}$ is a biadditive functor.

(ET2) $\mathcal{E}$ is an additive realization of $\mathcal{E}$.

(ET3) Let $\delta \in \mathcal{E}(C, A)$ and $\delta' \in \mathcal{E}(C', A')$ be any pair of $\mathcal{E}$-extensions, realized as

$$\mathcal{E}(\delta) = [A \xrightarrow{x} B \xrightarrow{y} C] \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{E}(\delta') = [A' \xrightarrow{x'} B' \xrightarrow{y'} C'].$$

For any commutative square

![Diagram](attachment:diagram.png)

in $\mathcal{C}$, there exists a morphism $(a, c) : \delta \to \delta'$ which is realized by $(a, b, c)$.

(ET3)$^{\text{op}}$ Dual of (ET3).

(ET4) Let $\delta \in \mathcal{E}(D, A)$ and $\delta' \in \mathcal{E}(F, B)$ be $\mathcal{E}$-extensions respectively realized by

$$A \xrightarrow{f} B \xrightarrow{f'} D \quad \text{and} \quad B \xrightarrow{g} C \xrightarrow{g'} F.$$

Then there exist an object $E \in \mathcal{C}$, a commutative diagram

![Diagram](attachment:diagram.png)
in $C$, and an $E$-extension $\delta'' \in E(E, A)$ realized by $A \xrightarrow{h} C \xrightarrow{h'} E$, which satisfy the following compatibilities.

(i) $D \xrightarrow{d} E \xrightarrow{e} F$ realizes $f'_e \delta'$,
(ii) $d^* \delta'' = \delta$,
(iii) $f_e \delta'' = e^* \delta$.

(ET4) Dual of (ET4).

For examples of extriangulated categories, see [17, Example 2.13] and [14, Remark 3.3]. We will use the following terminology.

**Definition 2.7.** [17, Definition 2.15 and 2.19] Let $(C, E, s)$ be an extriangulated category.

(1) A sequence $A \xrightarrow{x} B \xrightarrow{y} C$ is called **conflation** if it realizes some $E$-extension $\delta \in E(C, A)$. In this case, $x$ is called an **inflation** and $y$ is called a **deflation**.

(2) If a conflation $A \xrightarrow{x} B \xrightarrow{y} C$ realizes $\delta \in E(C, A)$, we call the pair $(A \xrightarrow{x} B \xrightarrow{y} C, \delta)$ an $E$-**triangle**, and write it by $A \xrightarrow{x} B \xrightarrow{y} C \xrightarrow{\delta}$. We usually don’t write this $\delta$ if it not used in the argument.

(3) Let $A \xrightarrow{x} B \xrightarrow{y} C \xrightarrow{\delta} \xrightarrow{\delta}$ and $A' \xrightarrow{x'} B' \xrightarrow{y'} C' \xrightarrow{\delta'}$ be any pair of $E$-triangles. If a triplet $(a, b, c)$ realizes $(a, c) : \delta \rightarrow \delta'$, then we write it as

\[
\begin{array}{c}
A_a \xrightarrow{x} B_b \xrightarrow{y} C_c \xrightarrow{\delta} \\
\downarrow \quad \downarrow \quad \downarrow \\
A'_{a'} \xrightarrow{x'} B'_{b'} \xrightarrow{y'} C'_{c'} \xrightarrow{\delta'}
\end{array}
\]

and call $(a, b, c)$ a morphism of $E$-triangles.

(4) An $E$-triangle $A \xrightarrow{x} B \xrightarrow{y} C \xrightarrow{\delta}$ is called split if $\delta = 0$.

Following lemmas will be used many times in this paper.

**Lemma 2.8.** [17, Corollary 3.5] Assume that $(C, E, s)$ satisfies (ET1), (ET2), (ET3) and (ET4)op. Let

\[
\begin{array}{c}
A \xrightarrow{x} B \xrightarrow{y} C \xrightarrow{\delta} \\
\downarrow a \quad \downarrow b \quad \downarrow c \\
A' \xrightarrow{x'} B' \xrightarrow{y'} C' \xrightarrow{\delta'}
\end{array}
\]

be any morphism of $E$-triangles. Then the following are equivalent.

(1) $a$ factors through $x$.
(2) $a^* \delta = c^* \delta' = 0$.
(3) $c$ factors through $y'$.

In particular, in the case $\delta = \delta'$ and $(a, b, c) = (1_A, 1_B, 1_C)$, we have

$x$ is a section $\iff \delta$ is split $\iff y$ is a retraction.

**Lemma 2.9.** [17, Proposition 3.15] Let $(C, E, s)$ be an extriangulated category. Then the following hold.
(1) Let $C$ be any object, and let $A_1 \xrightarrow{x_1} B_1 \xrightarrow{y_1} C \xrightarrow{\delta_1} A_2 \xrightarrow{x_2} B_2 \xrightarrow{y_2} C \xrightarrow{\delta_2}$ be any pair of $E$-triangles. Then there is a commutative diagram in $C$

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
A_2 & \xrightarrow{m_2} & A_2 \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
A_1 & \xrightarrow{m_1} & M & \xrightarrow{e_1} & B_2 \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
A_1 & \xrightarrow{x_1} & B_1 & \xrightarrow{y_1} & C
\end{array}
\]

which satisfies $s(y_2^*\delta_1) = [A_1 \xrightarrow{m_1} M \xrightarrow{e_1} B_2]$ and $s(y_1^*\delta_2) = [A_2 \xrightarrow{m_2} M \xrightarrow{e_2} B_1]$.

(2) Let $A$ be any object, and let $A \xrightarrow{x_1} B_1 \xrightarrow{y_1} C_1 \xrightarrow{\delta_1} A \xrightarrow{x_2} B_2 \xrightarrow{y_2} C_2 \xrightarrow{\delta_2}$ be any pair of $E$-triangles. Then there is a commutative diagram in $C$

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
A \xrightarrow{x_1} B_1 & \xrightarrow{m_1} & M & \xrightarrow{e_1} & C_1 \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
B_2 & \xrightarrow{m_2} & M & \xrightarrow{e_2} & C_1 \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
C_2 & \xrightarrow{y_2} & C_2
\end{array}
\]

which satisfies $s(x_2^*\delta_1) = [B_2 \xrightarrow{m_1} M \xrightarrow{e_1} C_1]$ and $s(x_1^*\delta_2) = [B_1 \xrightarrow{m_2} M \xrightarrow{e_2} C_2]$.

Now we are in the position to introduce the concept for the proper classes of $E$-triangles following [14]. We always assume that $(C,E,s)$ is an extriangulated category.

**Definition 2.10.** Let $\xi$ be a class of $E$-triangles. One says $\xi$ is closed under base change if for any $E$-triangle

\[
A \xrightarrow{x} B \xrightarrow{y} C \xrightarrow{-} \in \xi
\]

and any morphism $c : C' \rightarrow C$, then any $E$-triangle $A \xrightarrow{x'} B' \xrightarrow{y'} C' \xrightarrow{-} \in \xi$ belongs to $\xi$.

Dually, one says $\xi$ is closed under cobase change if for any $E$-triangle

\[
A \xrightarrow{x} B \xrightarrow{y} C \xrightarrow{-} \in \xi
\]

and any morphism $a : A \rightarrow A'$, then any $E$-triangle $A' \xrightarrow{x'} B' \xrightarrow{y'} C \xrightarrow{a^*\delta} \in \xi$ belongs to $\xi$.

**Definition 2.11.** A class of $E$-triangles $\xi$ is called saturated if in the situation of Lemma 2.9(1), when $A_2 \xrightarrow{x_2} B_2 \xrightarrow{y_2} C \xrightarrow{-} \in \xi$ and $A_1 \xrightarrow{m_1} M \xrightarrow{m_1} B_2 \xrightarrow{-} \in \xi$, then the $E$-triangle $A_1 \xrightarrow{x_1} B_1 \xrightarrow{y_1} C \xrightarrow{-} \in \xi$.

We denote the full subcategory consisting of the split $E$-triangle by $\Delta_0$.

**Definition 2.12. [14, Definition 3.1]** Let $\xi$ be a class of $E$-triangles which is closed under isomorphisms. $\xi$ is called a proper class of $E$-triangles if the following conditions holds:

(1) $\xi$ is closed under finite coproducts and $\Delta_0 \subseteq \xi$.

(2) $\xi$ is closed under base change and cobase change.

(3) $\xi$ is saturated.
Definition 2.13. [14, Definition 4.1] An object $P \in C$ is called $\xi$-projective if for any $E$-triangle

$$
A \xrightarrow{x} B \xrightarrow{y} C \xrightarrow{\delta} \nabla
$$

in $\xi$, the induced sequence of abelian groups

$$0 \rightarrow C(P, A) \rightarrow C(P, B) \rightarrow C(P, C) \rightarrow 0$$

is exact. Dually, we have the definition of $\xi$-injective.

We denote $P(\xi)$ (resp. $I(\xi)$) the class of $\xi$-projective (resp. $\xi$-injective) objects of $C$. An extriangulated category $(C, E, s)$ is said to have enough $\xi$-projectives (resp. enough $\xi$-injectives ) provided that for each object $A$ there exists an $E$-triangle $K \rightarrow P \rightarrow A \rightarrow$ (resp. $A \rightarrow I \rightarrow K \rightarrow$) in $\xi$ with $P \in P(\xi)$ (resp. $I \in I(\xi)$).

Lemma 2.14. [14, Lemma 4.2] If $C$ has enough $\xi$-projectives, then an $E$-triangle $A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C \rightarrow$ in $\xi$ if and only if induced sequence of abelian groups

$$0 \rightarrow C(P, A) \rightarrow C(P, B) \rightarrow C(P, C) \rightarrow 0$$

is exact for all $P \in P(\xi)$.

The $\xi$-projective dimension $\xi$-pd$A$ of an object $A$ is defined inductively. When $A = 0$, put $\xi$-pd$A = -1$. If $A \in P(\xi)$, then define $\xi$-pd$A = 0$. Next by induction, for an integer $n > 0$, put $\xi$-pd$A \leq n$ if there exists an $E$-triangle $K \rightarrow P \rightarrow A \rightarrow$ in $\xi$ with $P \in P(\xi)$ and $\xi$-pd$K \leq n - 1$. We say $\xi$-pd$A = n$ if $\xi$-pd$A \leq n$ and $\xi$-pd$A \not< n - 1$. If $\xi$-pd$A \not= n$, for all $n \geq 0$, we set $\xi$-pd$A = \infty$. Dually we can define the $\xi$-injective dimension $\xi$-id$A$ of an object $A \in C$.

We use $P(\xi)$ (resp. $I(\xi)$) to denote the full subcategory of $C$ whose objects have finite $\xi$-projective (resp. $\xi$-injective) dimension.

Definition 2.15. [14, Definition 4.4] An complex $X$ is called $\xi$-exact if $X$ is a diagram

$$\cdots \rightarrow X_1 \xrightarrow{d_1} X_0 \xrightarrow{d_0} X_{-1} \rightarrow \cdots$$

in $C$ such that for each integer $n$, there exists an $E$-triangle $K_{n+1} \xrightarrow{g_n} X_n \xrightarrow{f_n} C \xrightarrow{\delta_n} \nabla$ in $\xi$ and $d_n = g_{n-1}f_n$. These $E$-triangles are called the resolution $E$-triangles of the $\xi$-exact complex $X$.

Definition 2.16. [14, Definition 4.5, 4.6] Let $W$ be a class of objects in $C$. An $E$-triangle $A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C \rightarrow$ in $\xi$ is called to be $C(-, W)$-exact (respectively $C(W, -)$-exact) if for any $W \in W$, the induced sequence of abelian group $0 \rightarrow C(C, W) \rightarrow C(B, W) \rightarrow C(A, W) \rightarrow 0$ (respectively $0 \rightarrow C(W, A) \rightarrow C(W, B) \rightarrow C(W, C) \rightarrow 0$) is exact in $\text{Ab}$.

A complex $X$ is called $C(-, W)$-exact (respectively $C(W, -)$-exact) if it is a $\xi$-exact complex with $C(-, W)$-exact resolution $E$-triangles (respectively $C(W, -)$-exact resolution $E$-triangles).

A $\xi$-exact complex $X$ is called complete $P(\xi)$-exact if it is $C(-, P(\xi))$-exact.

Definition 2.17. An $\xi$-projective resolution of an object $A \in C$ is a $\xi$-exact complex

$$\cdots \rightarrow P_n \rightarrow P_{n-1} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow P_1 \rightarrow P_0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow 0$$

in $C$ with $P_n \in P(\xi)$ for all $n \geq 0$. 
Definition 2.18. [14, Definition 4.7, 4.8] A complete $\xi$-projective resolution is a complete $\mathcal{P}(\xi)$-exact complex

$$\cdots \to P_1 \xrightarrow{d_1} P_0 \xrightarrow{d_0} P_{-1} \to \cdots$$

in $\mathcal{C}$ such that $P_n$ is projective for each integer $n$. And for any $P_n$, there exists a $\mathcal{C}(-, \mathcal{P}(\xi))$-exact $\mathcal{E}$-triangle $\xymatrix{K_{n+1} \ar[r]^-{g_n} & P_n \ar[r]^-{f_n} & K_n \ar[r]^-{\delta_n} &}$ in $\xi$ which is the resolution $\mathcal{E}$-triangle of $P$. Then the objects $K_n$ are called $\xi$-$\mathcal{P}$projective for each integer $n$.

Dually we can define the $\xi$-$\mathcal{P}$injective objects. We denote by $\mathcal{G}\mathcal{P}(\xi)$ (resp. $\mathcal{G}\mathcal{I}(\xi)$) the subcategory of $\xi$-$\mathcal{P}$projective ($\xi$-$\mathcal{P}$injective) objects in $\mathcal{C}$.

Definition 2.19. [14, Definition 3.18] A $\xi$-$\mathcal{P}$projective resolution of an object $A \in \mathcal{C}$ is a $\xi$-exact complex

$$\cdots \to G_n \to G_{n-1} \to \cdots \to G_1 \to G_0 \to A \to 0$$

in $\mathcal{C}$ such that $G_n \in \mathcal{G}\mathcal{P}(\xi)$ for all $n \geq 0$. $\xi$-$\mathcal{P}$injective coresolution of an object $A \in \mathcal{C}$ is defined by dual.

The $\xi$-$\mathcal{P}$projective dimension $\xi$-$\mathcal{P}$dim $A$ of an object $A$ is defined inductively. When $A = 0$, put $\xi$-$\mathcal{P}$dim $A = -1$. If $A \in \mathcal{G}\mathcal{P}(\xi)$, then define $\xi$-$\mathcal{P}$dim $A = 0$. Next by induction, for an integer $n > 0$, put $\xi$-$\mathcal{P}$dim $A \leq n$ if there exists an $\mathcal{E}$-triangle $K \to G \to A \to$ in $\xi$ with $G \in \mathcal{G}\mathcal{P}(\xi)$ and $\xi$-$\mathcal{P}$dim $K \leq n - 1$. We say $\xi$-$\mathcal{P}$dim $A = n$ if $\xi$-$\mathcal{P}$dim $A \leq n$ and $\xi$-$\mathcal{P}$dim $A \leq n - 1$. If $\xi$-$\mathcal{P}$dim $A \neq n$, for all $n \geq 0$, we set $\xi$-$\mathcal{P}$dim $A = \infty$. Dually we can define the $\xi$-$\mathcal{P}$injective dimension $\xi$-$\mathcal{P}$id $A$ of an object $A \in \mathcal{C}$.

We use $\mathcal{G}\mathcal{P}(\xi)$ (resp. $\mathcal{G}\mathcal{I}(\xi)$) to denote the full subcategory of $\mathcal{C}$ whose objects have finite $\xi$-$\mathcal{P}$projective (resp. $\xi$-$\mathcal{P}$injective) dimension.

Here we introduce the weak idempotent completeness condition for an extriangulated categories.

Condition 2.20 (Condition (WIC)). Consider the following conditions.

(1) Let $f \in \mathcal{C}(A, B), g \in \mathcal{C}(B, C)$ be any composable pair of morphisms. If $gf$ is an inflation, then so is $f$.

(2) Let $f \in \mathcal{C}(A, B), g \in \mathcal{C}(B, C)$ be any composable pair of morphisms. If $gf$ is a deflation, then so is $g$.

Proposition 2.21. [14, Proposition 4.13] Let $f \in \mathcal{C}(A, B), g \in \mathcal{C}(B, C)$ be any composable pair of morphisms. We have that

(1) if $gf$ is a $\xi$-inflation, then so is $f$;

(2) if $gf$ is a $\xi$-deflation, then so is $g$.

3 Gorenstein derived functors

Throughout this section, we always assume that $\mathcal{C} = (\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{E}, s)$ is an extriangulated category with enough $\xi$-projectives and enough $\xi$-injectives satisfying Condition(WIC)) and $\xi$ is a proper class of $\mathcal{E}$-triangles in $(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{E}, s)$.

Definition 3.1. [14, Definition 3.2] Let $A$ and $B$ be objects in $\mathcal{C}$.

(1) If we choose a $\xi$-projective resolution $\xymatrix{P \ar[r] & A}$ of $A$, then for any integer $n \geq 0$, the $\xi$-cohomology groups $\xi H^n_{\mathcal{P}(\xi)}(A, B)$ are defined as

$$\xi H^n_{\mathcal{P}(\xi)}(A, B) = H^n(\mathcal{C}(P, B)).$$
(2) If we choose a $\xi$-injective coresolution $B \to I$ of $B$, then for any integer $n \geq 0$, the $\xi$-cohomology groups $\xi x^n_{I(\xi)}(A, B)$ are defined as

$$\xi x^n_{I(\xi)}(A, B) = H^n(C(A, I)).$$

Then there exists an isomorphism $\xi x^n_{P(\xi)}(A, B) \simeq \xi x^n_{I(\xi)}(A, B)$, which is denoted by $\xi x^n_{\xi}(A, B)$.

**Lemma 3.2.** [14, Lemma 3.4] If $A \longrightarrow B \longrightarrow C \longrightarrow$ is an $E$-triangle in $\xi$, then for any objects $X$ in $C$, we have the following long exact sequences in $Ab$

$$0 \longrightarrow \xi x^0_\xi(X, A) \longrightarrow \xi x^0_\xi(X, B) \longrightarrow \xi x^0_\xi(X, C) \longrightarrow \xi x^1_\xi(X, A) \longrightarrow \cdots$$

and

$$0 \longrightarrow \xi x^0_\xi(C, X) \longrightarrow \xi x^0_\xi(B, X) \longrightarrow \xi x^0_\xi(A, X) \longrightarrow \xi x^1_\xi(C, X) \longrightarrow \cdots$$

For any objects $A$ and $B$, there is always a natural map $\delta : C \to \xi x^0_\xi(A, B)$, which is an isomorphism if $A \in P(\xi)$ or $B \in I(\xi)$.

**Lemma 3.3.** [14, Lemma 3.5] Let $M \in C$ and $G \in \mathcal{GP}(\xi)$. If $M \in \mathcal{P}(\xi)$ or $M \in \mathcal{I}(\xi)$, then

$$\xi x^0_\xi(G, M) \simeq C(G, M)$$

and $\xi x^0_\xi(G, M) = 0$ for any $i \geq 1$.

**Definition 3.4.** A proper $\xi$-$\mathcal{G}$-projective resolution of an object $A$ in $C$ is a $\xi$-exact complex

$$\cdots \longrightarrow G_1 \longrightarrow G_0 \longrightarrow A \longrightarrow 0$$

(for short we write by $G \longrightarrow A$)

such that $G_i \in \mathcal{GP}(\xi)$ and for any $i$, the relevant $E$-triangle $K_{i+1} \longrightarrow G_i \longrightarrow K_i$ is $C(\mathcal{GP}(\xi), -)$-exact. This resolution is said to be of length $n$ if $G_n \neq 0$ and $G_i = 0$ for all $i > n$. And we say that the complex

$$\cdots \longrightarrow G_2 \longrightarrow G_1 \longrightarrow G_0 \longrightarrow 0$$

is the deleted complex for the proper $\xi$-$\mathcal{G}$-projective resolution $G \longrightarrow A$, which denoted by $G^\xi \longrightarrow A$.

Dually, for any object $B \in C$, we can define proper $\xi$-$\mathcal{G}$-injective coresolution $B \longrightarrow H$ and its deleted complex $B \longrightarrow H^\xi$.

**Lemma 3.5 (Horseshoe Lemma*).** Let $A \longrightarrow B \longrightarrow C \longrightarrow$ be a $C(\mathcal{GP}(\xi), -)$-exact $E$-triangle in $\xi$. If $A$ has a proper $\xi$-$\mathcal{G}$-projective resolution $G_A \longrightarrow A$ and $C$ has a proper $\xi$-$\mathcal{G}$-projective resolution $G_C \longrightarrow C$, then there is a proper $\xi$-$\mathcal{G}$-projective resolution $G_B \longrightarrow B$ making the diagram below commutative:

$$G_A \xrightarrow{x^*} G_B \xrightarrow{y^*} G_C \longrightarrow$$

$$\downarrow \quad \downarrow \quad \downarrow$$

$$A \xrightarrow{x} B \xrightarrow{y} C \longrightarrow.$$

Moreover, $G^n_A \xrightarrow{x^n} G^n_B \xrightarrow{y^n} G^n_C \longrightarrow$ is a split $E$-triangle, i.e. $G^n_B \simeq G^n_A \oplus G^n_C$ for any $n \geq 0$. 


Proof. The proof is similar to a part of proof for [14, Theorem 4.16]. For the convenience of readers, we give the proof here.

Since $A$ has a proper $\xi$-$\mathcal{G}$ projective resolution $G_A \to A$, then there exists a $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{G}\mathcal{P}(\xi), -)$-exact $\mathbb{E}$-triangle $K^A_1 \xrightarrow{t^A_1} G^A_0 \xrightarrow{d^A_0} A \xrightarrow{-} \in \xi$ with $G^A_0 \in \mathcal{G}\mathcal{P}(\xi)$. Similarly, there exists a $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{G}\mathcal{P}(\xi), -)$-exact $\mathbb{E}$-triangle $K^C_1 \xrightarrow{t^C_1} G^C_0 \xrightarrow{d^C_0} C \xrightarrow{-} \in \xi$ with $G^C_0 \in \mathcal{G}\mathcal{P}(\xi)$. By Lemma 2.9 there exists following commutative diagram:

\[
\begin{array}{c}
K^C_1 \xrightarrow{t^C_1} K^C_0 \\
\downarrow \quad \downarrow \\
A \xrightarrow{x} M \xrightarrow{G^C_0} C \xrightarrow{-} \\
\downarrow y \quad \downarrow \delta^C_0 \\
A \xrightarrow{x} B \xrightarrow{y} C \xrightarrow{-} \delta^C_0.
\end{array}
\]

Since $A \xrightarrow{x} B \xrightarrow{y} C \xrightarrow{-} \delta^C_0$ is $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{G}\mathcal{P}(\xi), -)$-exact, there is an exact sequence

\[0 \to \mathcal{C}(G^C_0, A) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{C}(G^C_0, x)} \mathcal{C}(G^C_0, B) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{C}(G^C_0, q)} \mathcal{C}(G^C_0, C) \to 0\]

in $\text{Ab}$. So we have that $d^C_0$ factors through $y$. This implies $(d^C_0)^* \delta = (d^A_0)_* 0 = 0$ by Lemma 2.8, hence there is a deflation $d^B_0 : G^B_0 := G^A_0 \oplus G^C_0 \to B$ which makes the following diagram commutative

\[
\begin{array}{c}
G^A_0 \xrightarrow{[0]} G^B_0 \xrightarrow{[0]} G^C_0 \xrightarrow{0} \\
\downarrow d^A_0 \quad \downarrow d^B_0 \quad \downarrow d^C_0 \\
A \xrightarrow{x} B \xrightarrow{y} C \xrightarrow{-} \delta^C_0.
\end{array}
\]

by [14, Lemma 4.15(2)]. Hence there exists an $\mathbb{E}$-triangle $K^B_1 \xrightarrow{t^B_1} G^B_0 \xrightarrow{d^B_0} B \xrightarrow{-}$. There exists a commutative diagram

\[
\begin{array}{c}
K^A_1 \xrightarrow{x_1} K^B_1 \xrightarrow{y_1} K^C_1 \xrightarrow{-} \\
\downarrow t^A_1 \quad \downarrow t^B_1 \quad \downarrow t^C_1 \\
G^A_0 \xrightarrow{[0]} G^B_0 \xrightarrow{[0]} G^C_0 \xrightarrow{0} \\
\downarrow d^A_0 \quad \downarrow d^B_0 \quad \downarrow d^C_0 \\
A \xrightarrow{\delta^A_0} B \xrightarrow{\delta^B_0} C \xrightarrow{-} \delta^C_0.
\end{array}
\]

made of $\mathbb{E}$-triangles by [14, Lemma 4.14]. Since $[x_1]$ and $t^A_1$ are $\xi$-inflations, so is $x_1$ by Proposition 2.21(1). So the $\mathbb{E}$-triangle $K^A_1 \xrightarrow{x_1} K^B_1 \xrightarrow{y_1} K^C_1 \xrightarrow{-} \delta^C_0$ is isomorphic to an $\mathbb{E}$-triangle in $\xi$ by [17, Corollary 3.6(3)], hence it is an $\mathbb{E}$-triangle in $\xi$. Applying functor $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{P}(\xi), -)$ to the above commutative diagram, it is easy to prove that the $\mathbb{E}$-triangle $K^B_0 \xrightarrow{t^B_0} G^B_0 \xrightarrow{d^B_0} B \xrightarrow{-}$ is
exists a morphism $f$ in $\xi$ in $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{P}(\xi), -)$-exact by a diagram chasing, hence it is an $\mathcal{E}$-triangle in $\xi$ by Lemma 2.14. Applying functor $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{G}\mathcal{P}(\xi), -)$ to the above commutative diagram, it is not difficult to show that the $\mathcal{E}$-triangles $K_0^B \xrightarrow{t_0^B} G_0^B \xrightarrow{d_0^B} \ldots \xrightarrow{d_n^B} \ldots \xrightarrow{\delta_n^B} B$ and $K_1^A \xrightarrow{x_1} K_1^B \xrightarrow{y_1} K_1^C \xrightarrow{\delta_1} K_1^E$ are $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{G}\mathcal{P}(\xi), -)$-exact by a diagram chasing. Proceeding in this manner, one can get a $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{G}\mathcal{P}(\xi), -)$-exact $\xi$-exact complex

$$\cdots \xrightarrow{d_2} G_2 \xrightarrow{d_1} G_1 \xrightarrow{d_0} G_0 \xrightarrow{d_0} A \xrightarrow{0}$$

which is a proper $\xi$-$\mathcal{G}$-projective resolution of $B$. □

**Theorem 3.6 (Comparison Theorem).** Let $f : A \to A'$ be a morphism in $\mathcal{C}$, and let $A : \cdots \xrightarrow{d_2} G_2 \xrightarrow{d_1} G_1 \xrightarrow{d_0} G_0 \xrightarrow{d_0} A \xrightarrow{0}$ be a $\xi$-$\mathcal{G}$-projective resolution of $A$,

$A' : \cdots \xrightarrow{d_2} G_2' \xrightarrow{d_1'} G_1' \xrightarrow{d_0'} G_0' \xrightarrow{d_0'} A' \xrightarrow{0}$

be a proper $\xi$-$\mathcal{G}$-projective resolution of $A'$. Then

1. there exists a chain map $f^* : A \to A'$ making the diagram below commutative:

$$\cdots \xrightarrow{d_2} G_n \xrightarrow{\delta_n} G_{n-1} \xrightarrow{d_n} \ldots \xrightarrow{d_1} G_1 \xrightarrow{d_0} \ldots \xrightarrow{d_0} A \xrightarrow{0}$$

2. the morphism $f^*$ in $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{C})$ constructed in (1) is unique up to homotopy.

**Proof.** (1) Since $A$ is the $\xi$-$\mathcal{G}$-projective resolution of $A$, then there exists an $\mathcal{E}$-triangle $K_{n+1} \xrightarrow{x_{n+1}} G_n \xrightarrow{y_n} K_n \xrightarrow{\delta_n}$. In $\xi$ for all $n \geq 0$ with $x_n y_n = d_n$, $K_0 = A$, $y_0 = d_0$.

Note that $A'$ is the proper $\xi$-$\mathcal{G}$-projective resolution of $A'$, then there exists a $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{G}\mathcal{P}(\xi), -)$-exact $\mathcal{E}$-triangle $K'_{n+1} \xrightarrow{x'_{n+1}} G'_n \xrightarrow{y'_n} K'_n \xrightarrow{\delta'_n}$. In $\xi$ for all $n \geq 0$ with $x'_n y'_n = d'_n$, $K'_0 = A'$, $y'_0 = d'_0$. So for any integer $n \geq 0$ and any $G \in \mathcal{G}\mathcal{P}(\xi)$, one can get a short exact sequence

$$0 \xrightarrow{\mathcal{C}(G, K'_{i+1})} \mathcal{C}(G, G'_i) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{C}(G, y'_i)} \mathcal{C}(G, K'_i) \xrightarrow{0}$$

in $\mathcal{A}b$ for all $i \geq 0$. Take $G = G_0, i = 0$ in $(\ast)$ and $f d_0 \in \mathcal{C}(G_0, A') = \mathcal{C}(G_0, K'_0)$, then there exists a morphism $f_0 \in \mathcal{C}(G_0, G'_0)$ such that

$$f d_0 = \mathcal{C}(G_0, y'_0) f_0 = \mathcal{C}(G_0, d'_0) f_0 = d'_0 f_0.$$
Assume that there exist \( f_0, f_1, \ldots, f_n \) and \( g_0 = f, g_1, \ldots, g_n, g_{n+1} \) which make the diagrams below commutative:

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
K_{i+1} & \xrightarrow{x_{i+1}} & G_i \\
\downarrow g_{i+1} & & \downarrow g_i \\
K'_{i+1} & \xrightarrow{x'_{i+1}} & G'_i \\
\end{array}
\]

for \( i = 0, \ldots, n \). Then one can get that

\[
d'_if_i = x'_iy'_if_i = x'_ig_icy_i = f_{i-1}x_iy_i = f_{i-1}d_i, \quad i = 1, \ldots, n.
\]

Take \( G = G_{n+1}, i = n + 1 \) in (*) and \( g_{n+1}y_{n+1} \in \mathcal{C}(G_{n+1}, K'_{n+1}) \), there exists a morphism \( f_{n+1} \in \mathcal{C}(G_{n+1}, G'_{n+1}) \) such that

\[
d'_{n+1}f_{n+1} = x'_{n+1}y'_{n+1}f_{n+1} = x'_{n+1}g_{n+1}y_{n+1} = f_nx_{n+1}y_{n+1} = f_nd_{n+1}.
\]

By induction, we have proved the existence of the \( f^* \).

(2) Let \( f'^* \) be another chain map from \( A \) to \( A' \). Take \( G = G_0, i = 0 \) in (*) and \( d'_0(f_0 - f'_0) = fd_0 - fd_0 = 0 \), then \( f_0 - f'_0 \in \mathrm{Ker} \ (\mathcal{C}(G_0, d'_0)) = \mathrm{Im} \ (\mathcal{C}(G_0, x'_1)) \). So there exists a morphism \( t_0 \in \mathcal{C}(G_0, K'_1) \) such that \( x'_1t_0 = f_0 - f'_0 \). Take \( G = G_0, i = 1 \) in (*), then there exists a morphism \( s_0 \in \mathcal{C}(G_0, G'_1) \) such that \( t_0 = y'_1s_0 \) and

\[
f_0 - f'_0 = x'_1t_0 = x'_1y'_1s_0 = d'_1s_0.
\]

Let \( h_1 = f_1 - f'_1 - s_0d_1 \in \mathcal{C}(G_1, G'_1) \), then

\[
(\mathcal{C}(G_1, x'_1) \circ \mathcal{C}(G_1, y'_1))(h_1) = x'_1y'_1h_1 = x'_1y'_1(f_1 - f'_1 - s_0d_1) = 0.
\]

Since \( \mathcal{C}(G_1, x'_1) \) is a monomorphism, then \( \mathcal{C}(G_1, y'_1))(h_1) = 0 \ i.e.

\[
h_1 \in \mathrm{Ker} \ (\mathcal{C}(G_1, y'_1)) = \mathrm{Im} \ (\mathcal{C}(G_1, x'_2)).
\]

So there exists a morphism \( t_1 \in \mathcal{C}(G_1, K'_2) \) such that \( h_1 = x'_2t_1 \).

And take \( G = G_1, i = 2 \) in (*), then there exists a morphism \( s_1 \in \mathcal{C}(G_1, G'_2) \) with \( t_1 = \mathcal{C}(G_1, y'_2)(s_1) = y'_2s_1 \). So we have

\[
d'_2s_1 + s_0d_1 = x'_2y'_2s_1 + s_1d_1 = x'_2t_1 + s_0d_1 = h_1 + s_0d_1 = f_1 - f'_1.
\]

Proceeding this manner, one can get \( \{s_n\}_{n \geq 0} \) such that

\[
f_0 - f'_0 = d'_0s_0, \quad f_n - f'_n = d'_{n+1}s_n + s_{n-1}d_n, \quad n \geq 1.
\]

This is enough to say that \( f^* \) is homotopic to \( f'^* \). \( \square \)

**Remark 3.7.** Any two proper \( \xi \)-\( G \)-projective resolution of any object \( A \in \mathcal{C} \) are homotopy equivalent. Dually, Any two proper \( \xi \)-\( G \)-injective coresolution of any object \( A \in \mathcal{C} \) are homotopy equivalent.

We use \( \text{Res}(GP(\xi)) \) (resp. \( \text{Res}(GI(\xi)) \)) to denote the full subcategory of \( \mathcal{C} \) consisting of those objects that have a proper \( \xi \)-\( G \)-projective resolution (resp. proper \( \xi \)-\( G \)-injective coresolution).
Definition 3.8. Let $A$, $B$ be two objects in $C$.

(1) If $A \in \text{Res}(\mathcal{GP}(\xi))$, then $A$ has a proper $\xi$-$\mathcal{G}$-projective resolution $\xymatrix{ \mathcal{G} \ar[r] & A }$. For any $i \geq 0$, we define $\xi xt_{\mathcal{GP}(\xi)}^n(A, B)$ to be the $n$th cohomology of the induced complex $\mathcal{C}(G^\xi, B)$ i.e.

$$\xi xt_{\mathcal{GP}(\xi)}^n(A, B) := H^n(\mathcal{C}(G^\xi, B)), n \geq 0.$$ 

(2) If $B \in \text{Res}(\mathcal{GI}(\xi))$, then $B$ has a proper $\xi$-$\mathcal{G}$-injective coresolution $\xymatrix{ B \ar[r] & H }$. For any $i \geq 0$, we define $\xi xt_{\mathcal{GI}(\xi)}^n(A, B)$ to be the $n$th cohomology of the induced complex $\mathcal{C}(A, H^\xi)$ i.e.

$$\xi xt_{\mathcal{GI}(\xi)}^n(A, B) := H^n(\mathcal{C}(A, H^\xi)), n \geq 0.$$ 

It is easy to see that $\xi xt_{\mathcal{GP}(\xi)}^n(-, -)$ and $\xi xt_{\mathcal{GI}(\xi)}^n(-, -)$ are well defined by Remark 3.7.

Proposition 3.9. Let $X$ be an object in $C$, then

(1) If $G \in \mathcal{GP}(\xi)$, then $\xi xt_{\mathcal{GP}(\xi)}^n(G, X) = 0$ for any $i \geq 1$.

(2) If $Q \in \mathcal{GI}(\xi)$, then $\xi xt_{\mathcal{GI}(\xi)}^n(X, Q) = 0$ for any $i \geq 1$.

Proof. (1) In fact, the $\xi$-exact complex

$$\cdots \rightarrow G \stackrel{1}{\rightarrow} G \stackrel{0}{\rightarrow} G \stackrel{1}{\rightarrow} G \stackrel{0}{\rightarrow} G \stackrel{1}{\rightarrow} G \rightarrow 0$$

is a proper $\xi$-$\mathcal{G}$-projective resolution of $G$, and its deleted complex induced a complex

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(G, X) \stackrel{0}{\rightarrow} \mathcal{C}(G, X) \stackrel{0}{\rightarrow} \mathcal{C}(G, X) \stackrel{1}{\rightarrow} \mathcal{C}(G, X) \rightarrow \cdots$$

in $\text{Ab}$. Then (1) is obvious by definition.

(2) It is the dual of (1).

Proposition 3.10. Let $A$ and $B$ be any objects in $C$, then

(1) If $A \in \mathcal{GP}(\xi)$, then $\xi xt_{\mathcal{GP}(\xi)}^n(A, B) \simeq \xi xt_{\xi}^n(A, B), n \geq 0$;

(2) If $B \in \mathcal{GI}(\xi)$, then $\xi xt_{\mathcal{GI}(\xi)}^n(A, B) \simeq \xi xt_{\xi}^n(A, B), n \geq 0$.

Proof. Since (2) is the dual of (1), so we only need to prove (1).

Let $\xi$-pd$A = n$, then there exists a $\xi$-projective resolution of $A$ with length $n$:

$$P_n \longrightarrow P_{n-1} \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow P_1 \longrightarrow P_0 \longrightarrow A \longrightarrow 0. \quad (***)$$

For the relevant $\mathcal{E}$-triangle $\xymatrix{ K_{i+1} \ar[r] & P_i \ar[r] & K_i \ar[l] }$ (set $K_0 = A$) in $\xi$, all items have finite $\xi$-projective dimension. Let $G$ be any $\xi$-$\mathcal{G}$-projective objects of $C$. There exists a short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(G, K_{i+1}) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(G, P_i) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(G, K_i) \rightarrow 0$$

by Lemma 3.3. This implies that the $\xi$-projective resolution of $A$ is $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{GP}(\xi), -)$-exact, and indeed a proper $\xi$-$\mathcal{G}$-projective resolution. So we have

$$\xi xt_{\mathcal{GP}(\xi)}^n(A, B) \simeq \xi xt_{\xi}^n(A, B)$$

by definition.

Corollary 3.11. Let $A$ and $B$ be any objects in $C$.

(1) If $A \in \mathcal{GP}(\xi)$, there exists a $\mathcal{C}(-, \mathcal{GI}(\xi))$-exact proper $\xi$-$\mathcal{G}$-projective resolution of $A$;

(2) If $B \in \mathcal{GI}(\xi)$, there exists a $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{GP}(\xi), -)$-exact proper $\xi$-injective coresolution of $B$. 


Theorem 3.12. Let $A \xrightarrow{x} B \xrightarrow{y} C \xrightarrow{\delta} \to$ be a $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{GP}(\xi), -)$-exact $\mathbb{E}$-triangle in $\xi$ with $A \in \text{Res}(\mathcal{GP}(\xi))$ and $C \in \text{Res}(\mathcal{GP}(\xi))$, then for any object $X \in \mathcal{C}$, there is always a natural connecting homomorphism

$$\partial^n_X : \xi \text{xt}^n_{\mathcal{GP}(\xi)}(A, X) \longrightarrow \xi \text{xt}^{n+1}_{\mathcal{GP}(\xi)}(C, X), \quad n \geq 0$$

making the following sequence

$$0 \to \xi \text{xt}^0_{\mathcal{GP}(\xi)}(C, X) \to \xi \text{xt}^0_{\mathcal{GP}(\xi)}(B, X) \to \xi \text{xt}^0_{\mathcal{GP}(\xi)}(A, X) \xrightarrow{\partial^0_X} \xi \text{xt}^1_{\mathcal{GP}(\xi)}(C, X) \to \cdots$$

exact.

Proof. By Lemma 3.5 there is a short exact sequence of complexes

$$0 \to \hat{G}^A \to \hat{G}^B \to \hat{G}^C \to 0$$

where $\hat{G}^A, \hat{G}^B$ and $\hat{G}^C$ are the deleted complexes of proper $\xi$-$\mathcal{GP}$ projective resolution for $A, B$ and $C$ respectively with $G^B_n = G^A_n \oplus G^C_n, \quad n \geq 0$.

Since $\mathcal{C}(-, X)$ is an additive functor for any $X \in \mathcal{C}$, then we have the following short exact sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}(\hat{G}^C, X) \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}(\hat{G}^B, X) \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}(\hat{G}^A, X) \longrightarrow 0.$$  

By long exact sequence theorem for homology of complex, there is always a natural connecting homomorphism:

$$\partial^n_X : H_n(\mathcal{C}(\hat{G}^A, X)) \longrightarrow H_{n+1}(\mathcal{C}(\hat{G}^C, X))$$

$$\xi \text{xt}^n_{\mathcal{GP}(\xi)}(A, X) \quad \xi \text{xt}^{n+1}_{\mathcal{GP}(\xi)}(C, X)$$

and $H_{-1}(\mathcal{C}(\hat{G}^A, X)) = 0$. So we completed the proof.

Dually, we have the following result.

Theorem 3.13. Let $A \xrightarrow{f} Q \xrightarrow{g} L \xrightarrow{\delta} \to$ be a $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{GI}(\xi), -)$-exact $\mathbb{E}$-triangle in $\xi$ with $A \in \text{Res}(\mathcal{GI}(\xi))$ and $C \in \text{Res}(\mathcal{GI}(\xi))$, then for any object $X \in \mathcal{C}$, there is always a natural connecting homomorphism

$$\partial^n_X : \xi \text{xt}^n_{\mathcal{GI}(\xi)}(X, C) \longrightarrow \xi \text{xt}^{n+1}_{\mathcal{GI}(\xi)}(X, A), \quad n \geq 0$$

making the following sequence

$$0 \to \xi \text{xt}^0_{\mathcal{GI}(\xi)}(X, A) \to \xi \text{xt}^0_{\mathcal{GI}(\xi)}(X, B) \to \xi \text{xt}^0_{\mathcal{GI}(\xi)}(X, C) \xrightarrow{\partial^0_X} \xi \text{xt}^1_{\mathcal{GI}(\xi)}(X, A) \to \cdots$$

exact.

Definition 3.14. Let $A$ be an object in $\mathcal{C}$. The $\mathbb{E}$-triangle $K \xrightarrow{G} A \xrightarrow{-} \to$ in $\xi$ is called a weak $\mathcal{GP}(\xi)$-approximation of $A$, if $G \in \mathcal{GP}(\xi)$ and $\xi$-$\text{id}K < \infty$. Furthermore, if the above $\mathbb{E}$-triangle is $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{GP}(\xi), -)$-exact, then we say it is a $\mathcal{GP}(\xi)$-approximation of $A$.

Dually, the $\mathbb{E}$-triangle $A \xrightarrow{f} Q \xrightarrow{g} L \xrightarrow{\delta} \to$ in $\xi$ is called a weak $\mathcal{GI}(\xi)$-coapproximation of $A$, if $Q \in \mathcal{GI}(\xi)$ and $\xi$-$\text{id}L < \infty$. Furthermore, if the above $\mathbb{E}$-triangle is $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{GI}(\xi), -)$-exact, then we say it is a $\mathcal{GI}(\xi)$-coapproximation of $A$.  
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Remark 3.15. If $C$ is a triangulated category or exact category, then the weak $GP(\xi)$-approximation is equivalent to $GP(\xi)$-approximation and weak $GI(\xi)$-coapproximation is equivalent to $GI(\xi)$-approximation for any object $A \in C$.

Remark 3.16. Let $A$ be any object in $C$.

1. If $\xi \cdot \text{pd} A < \infty$, there is a weak $GP(\xi)$-approximation of $A$;
2. If $\text{Id} A < \infty$, there is a weak $GI(\xi)$-coapproximation of $A$.

Definition 3.17. Let $A$ be any object in $C$. The $\mathbb{E}$-triangle $\xymatrix{ K \ar[r]^f & G \ar[r]^g & A \ar[l]_\delta }$ in $\xi$ is called a $\xi$-successor of $A$ if

1. it is a $GP(\xi)$-approximation of $A$;
2. for any $M \in GI(\xi)$, the morphism $C(g, M)$ is always monomorphism.

Dually, the $\mathbb{E}$-triangle $\xymatrix{ A \ar[r]^f & Q \ar[r]^g & L \ar[l]_\delta }$ in $\xi$ is called a $\xi$-predecessor of $A$ if

1. it is a $GI(\xi)$-coapproximation of $A$;
2. for any $N \in GP(\xi)$, the morphism $C(N, f)$ is always epimorphism.

We use $GP^*(\xi)$ (resp. $GI^*(\xi)$) to denote the full subcategory of $C$ whose objects exist $\xi$-successor (resp. $\xi$-predecessor).

Remark 3.18. $GP(\xi)$ is the full subcategory of $GP^*(\xi)$, and $GI(\xi)$ is the full subcategory of $GI^*(\xi)$.

Proof. For any $G \in GP(\xi)$, $Q \in GI(\xi)$, it is easy to see that the $\mathbb{E}$-triangles

$\xymatrix{ 0 \ar[r] & G \ar[r]^1 & G \ar[l] & }$ and $\xymatrix{ 0 \ar[r] & Q \ar[r]^1 & Q \ar[l] & }$

are the $\xi$-successor of $G$ and $\xi$-predecessor of $Q$ respectively. 

Theorem 3.19. Let $A$ and $B$ be two objects in $C$ with $A \in GP^*(\xi)$, $B \in GI^*(\xi)$. Then $\xi xt_{\text{rd}}(A, B) \simeq \xi xt_{\text{rd}}(A, B)$ for any $n \geq 0$.

Proof. Since $A \in GP^*(\xi)$, there exists a $GP(\xi)$-approximation

$\xymatrix{ K_1 \ar[r]^f & G_0 \ar[r]^g & A \ar[l]_\delta }$.

For any $Q \in GI(\xi)$, there is a $\mathbb{E}$-triangle $\xymatrix{ Q' \ar[r]^x & I \ar[r]^y & Q \ar[l]_\delta }$ in $\xi$, such that $Q' \in GI(\xi)$, $I \in I(\xi)$. Note that $\xi \cdot \text{pd} K_1 < \infty$, by Lemma 3.2 and the dual of Lemma 3.3, we have a short exact sequence

$0 \to C(K_1, Q') \to C(K_1, I) \to C(K_1, Q) \to 0$.

Then for any morphism $\alpha \in C(K_1, Q)$, there exists a morphism $\beta \in C(K_1, I)$ such that $\alpha = y \beta$. Since $I \in I(\xi)$, we can get a short exact sequence

$0 \to C(A, I) \to C(G_0, I) \to C(K_1, I) \to 0$.

So there is a morphism $\gamma \in C(G_0, I)$ such that $\beta = \gamma f$. Thus, we have

$\alpha = y \beta = y \gamma f = C(f, Q)(y \gamma)$.

This implies that $C(f, Q)$ is an epimorphism. And $A$ is in $GP^*(\xi)$, then $C(g, Q)$ is a monomorphism. Therefore, the sequence

$0 \to C(A, Q) \to C(G_0, Q) \to C(K_1, Q) \to 0$.
is exact. There is a $\mathcal{E}$-triangle $K_2 \longrightarrow P_1 \longrightarrow K_1 \rightarrow$ in $\xi$ with $P_1 \in \mathcal{P}(\xi)$ and $\xi$-pd$K_2 < \infty$ since $\xi$-pd$K_1 < \infty$. It follows from Lemma 3.2 and the dual of Lemma 3.3 that the sequences

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(G, K_2) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(G, P_1) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(G, K_1) \rightarrow 0$$

and

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(K_1, Q) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(P_1, Q) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(K_2, Q) \rightarrow 0$$

are exact for any $G \in \mathcal{GP}(\xi)$ and $Q \in \mathcal{G}(\xi)$. Continuing this process, one can get a proper $\xi$-$\mathcal{G}$projective resolution of $A$ which is $\mathcal{C}(-, \mathcal{G}(\xi))-exact$.

Dually, for $B \in \mathcal{G}(\xi)^*$, there exists a proper $\xi$-$\mathcal{G}$injective coresolution of $B$ which is $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{GP}(\xi), -)$-exact. By [3, Proposition 2.3], the desired isomorphism

$$\xi \text{xt}_{\mathcal{GP}(\xi)}^n(A, B) \cong \xi \text{xt}_{\mathcal{G}(\xi)}^n(A, B), \quad n \geq 0$$

holds.

If $A \in \mathcal{GP}^*(\xi)$, $B \in \mathcal{G}(\xi)^*$, we let $\mathcal{G}(\xi)\text{xt}_{\xi}^n(A, B) = \xi \text{xt}_{\mathcal{GP}(\xi)}^n(A, B) \cong \xi \text{xt}_{\mathcal{G}(\xi)}^n(A, B)$, and we say $\mathcal{G}(\xi)\text{xt}_{\xi}^n(-, -)$ is the $\xi$-Gorenstein derived functor of $\mathcal{C}(\xi, -)$.

At the end of this section, we give some applications of derived functors and Gorenstein derived functors.

**Proposition 3.20.** Let $A$ be any object in $\mathcal{C}$. If $\xi$-$\mathcal{G}$pd$A \leq 1$ and $\xi \text{xt}_{\xi}^1(A, \mathcal{P}(\xi)) = 0$, then $A$ is a $\xi$-$\mathcal{G}$projective object.

**Proof.** Since $\xi$-$\mathcal{G}$pd$A \leq 1$, there exists an $\mathcal{E}$-triangle $K \longrightarrow G \longrightarrow A \rightarrow$ in $\xi$, with $G \in \mathcal{GP}(\xi)$ and $K \in \mathcal{GP}(\xi)$. Note that $\xi \text{xt}_{\xi}^1(A, \mathcal{P}(\xi)) = 0$, by [3, Lemma 3.6].

**Theorem 3.21.** Let $A$ be any object in $\mathcal{C}$. For any integer $n \geq 1$, if $\xi \text{xt}_{\xi}^n(A, A) = 0$, then $A \in n-\mathcal{G}(\xi)$ (see [14, Definition 4.3]) if and only if $A \in \mathcal{P}(\xi)$.

**Proof.** Note that $\mathcal{P}(\xi) \subseteq n-\mathcal{G}(\xi)$, if $A \in \mathcal{P}(\xi)$, then $A \in n-\mathcal{G}(\xi)$ is obvious.

If $A \in n-\mathcal{G}(\xi)$, there exists a complete $\mathcal{P}(\xi)$-exact complex:

$$0 \longrightarrow A \longrightarrow P_{n-1} \longrightarrow P_{n-2} \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow P_1 \longrightarrow P_0 \longrightarrow A \longrightarrow 0$$

where $P_i \in \mathcal{P}(\xi)$, $0 \leq i \leq n-1$. Thus for any $0 \leq i \leq n-1$, we have $\mathcal{C}(-, \mathcal{P}(\xi))$-exact $\xi$-resolution $\mathcal{E}$-triangle $K_i \longrightarrow P_i \longrightarrow K_i \rightarrow$, $K_n = K_0 = A$. So there is a exact sequence

$$\xi \text{xt}_{\xi}^m(P_i, A) \longrightarrow \xi \text{xt}_{\xi}^m(K_{i+1}, A) \longrightarrow \xi \text{xt}_{\xi}^{m+1}(K_i, A) \longrightarrow \xi \text{xt}_{\xi}^{m+1}(P_i, A).$$

Note that for any $m \geq 1$, $\xi \text{xt}_{\xi}^m(P_i, A) = 0$, So $\xi \text{xt}_{\xi}^m(K_{i+1}, A) \cong \xi \text{xt}_{\xi}^{m+1}(K_i, A)$. By dimension shifting

$$\xi \text{xt}_{\xi}^1(K_{n-1}, A) = \xi \text{xt}_{\xi}^n(K_0, A) = \xi \text{xt}_{\xi}^n(A, A) = 0.$$ 

Then for $\mathcal{E}$-triangle $A \longrightarrow P_{n-1} \longrightarrow K_{n-1} \rightarrow$, we get the short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}(K_{n-1}, A) \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}(P_{n-1}, A) \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}(A, A) \longrightarrow 0.$$ 

By Lemma 2.8, this is to say $P_{n-1} \cong A \oplus K_{n-1}$, so $A \in \mathcal{P}(\xi)$.

**Definition 3.22.** Let $\mathcal{X}$ be a class of some object in $\mathcal{C}$, and set

$$\mathcal{X}^\perp = \{B \in \mathcal{C} \mid \xi \text{xt}_{\xi}^n(X, B) = 0, \forall n \geq 1, \forall X \in \mathcal{X}\},$$

$$\perp \mathcal{X} = \{A \in \mathcal{C} \mid \xi \text{xt}_{\xi}^n(A, X) = 0, \forall n \geq 1, \forall X \in \mathcal{X}\}.$$
Remark 3.23. \( GP(\xi) \subseteq \hat{P}(\xi) \) and \( GP(\xi) \subseteq \hat{I}(\xi) \), \( GI(\xi) \subseteq \hat{P}(\xi) \) and \( GI(\xi) \subseteq \hat{I}(\xi) \).

Remark 3.24. Let \( A \) be any object in \( C \). According to [13, Theorem 3.8], if \( A \in \hat{GP}(\xi) \), then
\[
\xi \cdot GpdA = \sup \{ n \in \mathbb{N} \mid \exists P \in \mathcal{P}(\xi) \text{ such that } \xi xt^n(A, P) \neq 0 \} = \sup \{ n \in \mathbb{N} \mid \exists P \in \hat{P}(\xi) \text{ such that } \xi xt^n(A, P) \neq 0 \}.
\]
So we have

Proposition 3.25. \( GP(\xi) = \hat{GP}(\xi) \cap \hat{P}(\xi) = \hat{GP}(\xi) \cap \hat{P}(\xi) \).

Proposition 3.26. Suppose that \( \sup \{ \xi \cdot GidM \mid \forall M \in C \} < \infty \), then for any object \( M \) in \( \text{Res}(GP(\xi)) \cap \hat{GP}(\xi) \), the following are equivalent:
1. \( \xi \cdot GpdM \leq n \).
2. \( \xi xt^n(G_j, P) = 0 \), \( \forall i \geq 1 \), \( \forall N \in C \).
3. \( \xi xt^n(G_j, P) = 0 \), \( \forall i \geq 1 \), \( \forall P \in \mathcal{P}(\xi) \).

Proof. 1) \( \Rightarrow \) 2) \( \Rightarrow \) 3) are obvious, we only need to prove 3) \( \Rightarrow \) 1).

Assume the complex \( \cdots \rightarrow G_1 \rightarrow G_0 \rightarrow M \) is a proper \( Gproj \) resolution of \( M \) with \( C(GP(\xi)), \cdot \)-exact resolution \( \mathbb{E} \)-triangle \( K_{j+1} \rightarrow G_j \rightarrow K_j \rightarrow \cdots \) (Set \( K_0 = M \)) for any integer \( j \geq 0 \). Let \( P \) be any object in \( \mathcal{P}(\xi) \). There is a exact sequence
\[
\cdots \rightarrow \xi xt^n(G_j, P) \rightarrow \xi xt^n(K_{j+1}, P) \rightarrow \xi xt^{n+1}(K_j, P) \rightarrow \xi xt^{n+1}(G_j, P) \rightarrow \cdots
\]
by Lemma 3.3 where \( \xi xt^n(G_j, P) = \xi xt^{n+1}(G_j, P) = 0 \), so
\[
\xi xt^n(K_{j+1}, Q) = \xi xt^{n+1}(K_j, Q), \ m > 0.
\]
By dimension shifting, for any \( i \geq 1 \), \( \xi t^n(K_n, P) = \xi xt^{n+i}(M, P) \). Since \( P \in \mathcal{P}(\xi) \), by [13, Proposition 4.6], \( \xi \cdot \text{id}P = \xi \cdot GidP < \infty \). Thus
\[
\xi xt^n(K_n, P) = \xi xt^{n+i}(M, P) = 0.
\]
i.e. \( \xi xt^n(K_n, P) = \xi xt^{n+i}(M, P) = 0 \), \( i \geq 1 \). Then \( K_n \in GP(\xi) \) by Proposition 3.25. It is enough to say \( \xi \cdot GpdM \leq n \).

Dually, there is following result.

Proposition 3.27. Suppose that \( \sup \{ \xi \cdot GpdM \mid \forall M \in C \} < \infty \), then for any object \( M \) in \( \text{Res}(GI(\xi)) \cap \hat{GI}(\xi) \), the following are equivalent:
1. \( \xi \cdot GidN \leq n \).
2. \( \xi xt^n(GI(\xi), M, N) = 0 \), \( \forall i \geq 1 \), \( \forall M \in C \).
3. \( \xi xt^n(GI(\xi), I, N) = 0 \), \( \forall i \geq 1 \), \( \forall I \in \mathcal{I}(\xi) \).

We Set \( E_\xi := E|_\xi \), that is for any \( A, B \in C \), Let
\[
E_\xi(C, A) = \{ \delta \in E(C, A) \mid \exists A \xrightarrow{x} B \xrightarrow{y} C \xrightarrow{\delta} \in \xi \}
\]
and \( g_\xi := g|_{E_\xi} \). By [14, Theorem 3.2], \( (C, E_\xi, g_\xi) \) is also a extriangulated category. Consider a part of \( E \)-triangle in \( \xi \) which lie on the subcategory \( GP(\xi) \), and set \( \xi GP(\xi) := \xi|_{GP(\xi)} \), i.e. for an \( E \)-triangle \( A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C \rightarrow \in GP(\xi) \) in \( \xi \)
\[
A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C \rightarrow \in GP(\xi) \leftrightarrow A, B, C \in GP(\xi).
\]
Set $E_{GP(\xi)} := E|_{GP(\xi)}$, i.e.

$$E_{GP(\xi)}(C, A) = \{ \delta \in E(C, A) \mid \exists A \xrightarrow{x} B \xrightarrow{y} C - \delta \rightarrow \xi \text{ and } A, B, C \in GP(\xi) \}$$

and $s_{GP(\xi)} := s_{\xi}|_{E_{GP(\xi)}}$.

**Lemma 3.28.** $(GP(\xi), E_{GP(\xi)}, s_{GP(\xi)})$ is an extriangulated category.

**Proof.** (ET1), (ET2), (ET3) and (ET3)$^{op}$ are obvious. We only prove the (ET4) and (ET4)$^{op}$.

Let $\delta \in E_{GP(\xi)}(D, A)$ and $\delta' \in E_{GP(\xi)}(F, B)$ are $E_{GP(\xi)}$-extensions respectively realized by

$$A \xrightarrow{f} B \xrightarrow{f'} D \text{ and } B \xrightarrow{g} C \xrightarrow{g'} F.$$ 

Since $(C, E_{\xi}, s_{\xi})$ is an extriangulated category, there exist a commutative diagram in $C$ with $E \in C$

$$
\begin{array}{cccccc}
A & \xrightarrow{f} & B & \xrightarrow{f'} & D \\
& \text{d} & \downarrow{h} & & \text{d} & \\
A & \xrightarrow{h} & C' & \xrightarrow{h'} & E \\
& \text{e} & \downarrow{e} & & \text{e} & \\
F & \xrightarrow{d} & F & \xrightarrow{e} & F
\end{array}
$$

and a $E_{\xi}$-extension $\delta'' \in E_{\xi}(E, A)$ realized by $A \xrightarrow{h} C \xrightarrow{h'} E$ such that

(i) $D \xrightarrow{d} E \xrightarrow{e} F$ realizes $f'_*\delta'$,

(ii) $d^*\delta'' = \delta$,

(iii) $f_*\delta'' = e^*\delta$.

Note that $D \xrightarrow{d} E \xrightarrow{e} F - \delta''$ belongs to $\xi$ with $D, F \in GP(\xi)$. So $E \in GP(\xi)$, then $\delta'' \in E_{GP(\xi)}(E, A)$, $f'_*\delta' \in E_{GP(\xi)}(F, D)$, i.e. (ET4) is satisfied. Similarly, (ET4)$^{op}$ holds.

Thus $(GP(\xi), E_{GP(\xi)}, s_{GP(\xi)})$ is an extriangulated category. \qed

**Lemma 3.29.** $\xi_{GP(\xi)}$ is a proper class of $(GP(\xi), E_{GP(\xi)}, s_{GP(\xi)})$.

**Proof.** It is easy to check $\xi_{GP(\xi)}$ satisfies (1) and (2) of Definition 2.12. Now we show $\xi_{GP(\xi)}$ is saturated.

In the diagram of 2.9.1)

$$
\begin{array}{cccccc}
A_2 & \xrightarrow{m_2} & A_2 \\
& \downarrow{x_2} & & \\
A_1 & \xrightarrow{m_1} & M & \xrightarrow{e_1} & B_2 \\
& \downarrow{x_1} & & \downarrow{y_1} & \\
A_1 & \xrightarrow{x_1} & B_1 & \xrightarrow{y_1} & C
\end{array}
$$

When $E$-triangle $A_2 \xrightarrow{x_2} B_2 \xrightarrow{y_2} C - \delta_1$ and $A_1 \xrightarrow{m_1} M \xrightarrow{m_1} B_2 - \delta_2$ both belong to $\xi_{GP(\xi)}$, we claim the $E$-triangle $A_1 \xrightarrow{x_1} B_1 \xrightarrow{y_1} C - \delta_1$ is in $\xi_{GP(\xi)}$. In fact, regard the diagram as a commutative diagram in $(C, E_{\xi}, s_{\xi})$ Since $A_1 \xrightarrow{x_1} B_1 \xrightarrow{y_1} C - \delta_1$ is in $\xi$ with $A_1, C \in GP(\xi)$, so $B_1 \in GP(\xi)$. Thus $E$-triangle $A_1 \xrightarrow{x_1} B_1 \xrightarrow{y_1} C - \delta_1$ belongs to $\xi_{GP(\xi)}$. Then we can say $\xi_{GP(\xi)}$ is a proper class of the extriangulated category $(GP(\xi), E_{GP(\xi)}, s_{GP(\xi)})$. \qed
We denoted \((\mathcal{GP}(\xi), \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{GP}(\xi)}, s_{\mathcal{GP}(\xi)})\) by \(\mathcal{GP}(\xi)\) for short.

**Theorem 3.30.** If \(M\) is \(\xi\)-projective in \(\mathcal{C}\), then \(M\) is \(\xi_{\mathcal{GP}(\xi)}\)-injective in \(\mathcal{GP}(\xi)\).

**Proof.** For any \(\mathcal{E}\)-triangle \(\xymatrix{A \ar[r] & B \ar[r] & C \ar[r] & \cdot} \in \xi_{\mathcal{GP}(\xi)}\), there exists long exact sequence
\[0 \rightarrow \text{Ext}^0_\mathcal{C}(C, M) \rightarrow \text{Ext}^0_\mathcal{C}(B, M) \rightarrow \text{Ext}^0_\mathcal{C}(A, M) \rightarrow \text{Ext}^1_\mathcal{C}(C, M).\]

Note that \(A, B, C\) are \(\xi\)-projective and \(M \in \mathcal{P}(\xi)\), by \ref{3.3} we have short exact sequence
\[0 \rightarrow C(C, M) \rightarrow C(B, M) \rightarrow C(A, M) \rightarrow 0.\]

So \(M\) is \(\xi_{\mathcal{GP}(\xi)}\)-injective in \(\mathcal{GP}(\xi)\).

**Theorem 3.31.** If \(M\) is \(\xi_{\mathcal{GP}(\xi)}\)-projective in \(\mathcal{GP}(\xi)\), then \(M\) is \(\xi\)-projective in \(\mathcal{C}\).

**Proof.** Since \(\mathcal{C}\) has enough \(\xi\)-projective objects, there exists \(P \in \mathcal{P}(\xi)\) such that \(\mathcal{E}\)-triangle
\[\xymatrix{K \ar[r] & P \ar[r] & M \ar[r] & \cdot} \]
belongs to \(\xi\). Note that \(P\) and \(M\) are both \(\xi\)-projective, then so is \(K\). Then the \(\mathcal{E}\)-triangle \(\xymatrix{K \ar[r] & P \ar[r] & M \ar[r] & \cdot} \in \xi_{\mathcal{GP}(\xi)}\). Since \(M\) is \(\xi_{\mathcal{GP}(\xi)}\)-projective in \(\mathcal{GP}(\xi)\), there is a short exact sequence
\[0 \rightarrow C(M, K) \rightarrow C(M, P) \rightarrow C(M, M) \rightarrow 0.\]

By Lemma \ref{2.8} we get \(P \simeq K \oplus M\), so \(M \in \mathcal{P}(\xi)\).

**Corollary 3.32.** All the \(\xi_{\mathcal{GP}(\xi)}\)-projective objects are \(\xi_{\mathcal{GP}(\xi)}\)-injective objects in \(\mathcal{GP}(\xi)\).

**Definition 3.33.** A extriangulated category \(\mathcal{C}\) with proper class \(\xi\) is called a \(\xi\)-Frobenius category, if there are enough \(\xi\)-projective objects and \(\xi\)-injective objects with \(\mathcal{P}(\xi) = \mathcal{I}(\xi)\).

**Theorem 3.34.** If \(\mathcal{C}\) is a \(\xi\)-Frobenius category, then so is \(\mathcal{GP}(\xi)\).

**Proof.** First, we claim \(\mathcal{GP}(\xi) = \mathcal{GI}(\xi)\) when \(\mathcal{C}\) is a \(\xi\)-Frobenius category. Since \(\mathcal{P}(\xi) = \mathcal{I}(\xi)\), we only need to prove any complete \(\xi\)-projective resolution is a completed \(\xi\)-injective coresolution and any complete \(\xi\)-injective coresolution is a completed \(\xi\)-projective resolution. Let
\[
P: \cdots \rightarrow P_1 \xrightarrow{d_1} P_0 \xrightarrow{d_0} P_{-1} \rightarrow \cdots,
\]
is a completed \(\xi\)-projective resolution. For any integer \(n\), there exists a \(\mathcal{C}(\cdot, \mathcal{P}(\xi))\)-exact \(\xi\)-resolution \(\mathcal{E}\)-triangle
\[
\xymatrix{K_{n+1} \ar[r] & P_n \ar[r] & K_n \ar[r] & \cdot}.
\]
By Lemma \ref{3.2} we can get short exact sequence
\[0 \rightarrow C(I, K_{n+1}) \rightarrow C(I, P_n) \rightarrow C(I, K_n) \rightarrow 0.\]

So \(P\) is a completed \(\xi\)-injective coresolution. Similarly, we can get any complete \(\xi\)-injective coresolution is a completed \(\xi\)-projective resolution.

Second, by Corollary \ref{3.32} and its dual, we have that in category \(\mathcal{GP}(\xi) = (\mathcal{GP}(\xi), \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{GP}(\xi)}, s_{\mathcal{GP}(\xi)})\), all the \(\xi_{\mathcal{GP}(\xi)}\)-projective objects are \(\xi_{\mathcal{GP}(\xi)}\)-injective and in category \(\mathcal{GI}(\xi) = (\mathcal{GI}(\xi), \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{GI}(\xi)}, s_{\mathcal{GI}(\xi)})\), all the \(\xi_{\mathcal{GI}(\xi)}\)-injective objects are \(\xi_{\mathcal{GI}(\xi)}\)-projective. Since \(\mathcal{GP}(\xi) = \mathcal{GI}(\xi)\), the theorem is proved.

On the contrary, by Theorem \ref{3.30} and \ref{3.31} we get

**Theorem 3.35.** If \(\mathcal{GP}(\xi)\) is a \(\xi_{\mathcal{GP}(\xi)}\)-Frobenius category, then the \(\xi\)-projective objects in \(\mathcal{C}\) are consistent with the \(\xi_{\mathcal{GP}(\xi)}\)-projective objects in \(\mathcal{GP}(\xi)\).
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