A note on 1-2-3 and 1-2 Conjectures for 3-regular graphs
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Abstract: The 1-2-3 Conjecture, posed by Karoński, Łuczak and Thomason, asked whether every connected graph G different from K₂ can be 3-edge-weighted so that every two adjacent vertices of G get distinct sums of incident weights. The 1-2 Conjecture states that if vertices also receive colors and the vertex color is added to the sum of its incident edges, then adjacent vertices can be distinguished using only {1, 2}. In this paper we confirm 1-2 Conjecture for 3-regular graphs. Meanwhile, we show that every 3-regular graph can achieve a neighbor sum distinguishing edge coloring by using 4 colors, which answers 1-2-3 Conjecture positively.
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1 Introduction

All considered graphs are finite, undirected, simple and connected. Let N(u) denote the set of neighbors of vertex u and 0 ≤ s < t. Let d_G(v) be the degree of vertex v of G. For general theoretic notations, we follow [3].

Karónski et al.[7] introduced and investigated a coloring of the edges of a graph with positive integers so that adjacent vertices have different sums of incident edge colors. More precisely, let f : E → [k] = {1, 2, . . . , k} be an edge coloring of G (such a coloring is also called a k-coloring of G). For x ∈ V, set

\[ \sigma(x) = \sum_{e \ni x} f(e). \]

A k-coloring f of G is called neighbor sum distinguishing edge coloring if \( \sigma(x) \neq \sigma(y) \) for every edge xy ∈ E(G). In other words, the vertex coloring \( \sigma \) induced by f in the above described way must be proper. The minimum integer \( k \) for which there is a neighbor sum distinguishing edge coloring of a graph G will be denoted by \( \text{gnd}_2(G) \).

In [7] Karónski, Łuczak and Thomason posed the following elegant problem, known as the 1-2-3 Conjecture.

Conjecture 1. Let G be a connected graph and G ≠ K₂. Then \( \text{gnd}_2(G) \leq 3. \)
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Toward the Conjecture 1, Kalkowski, Karoński and Pfender [6] showed that if \( G \) is a \( k \)-colorable graph with \( k \) odd then \( G \) admits a vertex-coloring \( k \)-edge-weighting. So, for the class of 3-colorable graphs, including bipartite graphs, the answer is affirmative. However, in general, this question is still open. Addario-Berry et al.[1] showed that every graph without isolated edges has a proper \( k \)-weighting when \( k = 30 \). After improvements to \( k = 15 \) in [2] and \( k = 13 \) in [10], Kalkowski, Karoński, and Pfender [6] showed that every graph without isolated edges has a proper 5-weighting. Przybyło [9] showed that every \( d \)-regular graph with \( d \geq 2 \) admits a vertex-coloring edge 4-weighting and every \( d \)-regular graph with \( d \geq 10^8 \) admits a vertex-coloring edge 3-weighting.

In [8] the following problem related to 1-2-3 Conjecture was introduced. Let \( f : E \cup V \rightarrow [k] = \{1,2,\ldots,k\} \) be a total k-coloring of a graph \( G = (V,E) \). For every vertex \( v \), let

\[
t(v) := f(v) + \sum_{u \in N(v)} f(uv) = f(v) + \sigma(v),
\]

Thus, \( t(v) \) is the sum of incident colors of \( v \) and the color of \( v \). We say that \( f \) is a neighbor total sum distinguishing total coloring of \( G \) if \( t(u) \neq t(v) \) for all adjacent vertices \( u, v \) in \( G \). Similarly as above, the minimum value of \( k \) for which there exists a total neighbor sum distinguishing coloring of a graph \( G \) will be denoted by \( tgndi_G(G) \).

In [8] Przybyło and Wóźniak posed the following problem, known as the 1-2 Conjecture.

**Conjecture 2.** Let \( G \) be a connected graph. Then \( tgndi_G(G) \leq 2 \).

Up to now, it is known that for every graph \( G \), \( tgndi_G(G) \leq 3 \) (see [5]).

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we offer an important structural lemma that every connected graph \( G \) contains a \( m \)-partite spanning subgraph \( H \) such that \((1 - \frac{1}{m})d_G(v) \leq d_H(v)\) for all \( v \in V(G) \), where \( m \) is a positive integer and \( m \leq n \).

**Proof** Let \( H \) be a maximal \( m \)-partite spanning subgraph of \( G \) with the greatest possible number of edges. Let \( \{V_1,V_2,\ldots,V_m\} \) be the \( m \)-partition of \( V(H) \) and let \( v \in V_1 \), \( d_{V_i}(v) = |N_{V_i}(v)| \), \( N_{V_i}(v) = \{u : u \in V_i, uv \in E(G)\} \), \( i = 1,2,\ldots,m \). Then \( d_{V_i}(v) \leq d_{V_i}(v) \), \( i = 1,2,\ldots,m \). Otherwise, it exists an \( i_0 \) such that \( d_{V_i}(v) > d_{V_{i_0}}(v) \), and we use \( V_1 \setminus \{v\} \), \( V_{i_0} \cup \{v\} \) instead of \( V_1, V_{i_0} \), respectively, and then it generates a new maximal \( m \)-partite spanning subgraph \( H' \) of \( G \). Obviously, \( \varepsilon(H') > \varepsilon(H) \), a contradiction. Therefore,

\[
(m - 1)d_{V_i}(v) \leq \sum_{i=2}^{m} d_{V_i}(v) = d_H(v),
\]

where \( d_H(v) = |N_H(v)| \).

Let \( d_G(v) = |N_G(v)| \). Then
for all $v \in V(G)$. ■

By Lemma 3, every 3-regular graph $G$ contains a maximal bipartite spanning subgraph $H$ such that $G - E(H)$ is either isolated vertices or isolated edges. Via the structural between $H$ and $G - E(H)$, we further study $gndi_{22}(G)$ and $tgd_{22}(G)$ of 3-regular graphs $G$.

For the sake of narrative, we fix some notation. Let $G = (V_X, V_Y, E_X, E_Y, E_H)$ be a 3-regular graph with vertex partition $(V_X, V_Y)$ and edge partition $(E_X, E_Y, E_H)$, where $E_X, E_Y$ and $E_H$ represent the edge sets in $V_X, V_Y$ and $H$, respectively. The maximal spanning bipartite graph $H$ is the graph with vertex set $\{x_i : 1 \leq i \leq m\} \cup \{y_i : 1 \leq i \leq n\}$ and edge set $\{x_iy_i : 1 \leq i \leq m, 1 \leq i \leq n\}$. We use $a_1$ and $b_1$ to denote the number of vertices with degree 2 and 3 in $X$, respectively. Let $a_2$ and $b_2$ denote the number of vertices with degree 2 and 3 in $Y$, respectively. We use $e_{x_i}$ for $i = 1, 2, \ldots, \frac{m}{2}$ to represent the edge with two endpoints $v_{x_i}, v'_{x_i}$ in $X$. Let $e_{y_j}$ for $j = 1, 2, \ldots, \frac{n}{2}$ to represent the edge with two endpoints $v_{y_j}, v'_{y_j}$ in $Y$. In the bipartite graph $H$, let $v_2(x)$ and $v_3(x)$ be the vertex with degree 2 and 3 in $X$, respectively. Similarly, $v_2(y)$ and $v_3(y)$ denote the vertex with degree 2 and 3 in $Y$, respectively. The edge between $v_2(x)$ and $v_2(y)$ is denoted by $e_2$, and the edge connects $v_2(x)$ (or $v_3(x)$) and $v_3(y)$ (or $v_2(y)$) is denoted by $e_2-3$.

3 \ gndi_{22}(G) \text{ for 3-regular graphs}

**Theorem 4.** For any 3-regular graph $G$, \( gndi_{22}(G) \leq 4 \).

**Proof**

**Case 1** $a_1 = a_2 = 0$.

This case implies that $G$ is a 3-regular complete bipartite graph. This result has been proved by Chang et al. [4].

**Case 2** $a_1 = b_2 = 0$ or $b_1 = a_2 = 0$.

Suppose that $a_1 = b_2 = 0$. We color all edges in $E_H$ and $E_Y$ with 1 and 2, respectively. Then $\sigma(v_{x_j}) = 3$, $\sigma(v_{y_j}) = 4$. To assure that $\sigma(v_{x_j}) \neq \sigma(v'_{x_j})$, recolor an incident edge of $v_{x_j}$ (or $v'_{x_j}$) with 3. Then $\sigma(v_{x_j})$ belongs to $\{3, 5, 7, 9\}$ and $\sigma(v_{y_j})$ belongs to $\{4, 6\}$.

**Case 3** $b_1 = b_2 = 0$.

Form Lemma 3, $G$ contains a maximal bipartite spanning subgraph $H$ such that $G - E(H)$ is either isolated vertices or isolated edges. We color all edges in $E_H$ and $E_X$ with 1, and color all edges in $E_Y$ with 2. For any edge $v_{x_i}, v'_{x_i}$ in $X$, select one edge $e_z$ from $E_H$ such that $v_{x_i}$ (or $v'_{x_i}$) is an endpoints of $e_z$ and recolor edge $e_z$ with 3. Assume that $v_{x_i}$ and $v_{y_j}$ are connected by $e_z$ and all adjacent edges (except for $e_z, v_{x_i}, v'_{x_i}, v_{y_j}, v'_{y_j}$) of $v_{x_i}, v'_{x_i}, v_{y_j}, v'_{y_j}$ keep the color 1 as before, and we call these edges being dominated, see Fig.1. Suppose that $v'_{x_i}$ and $v_{y_j}$ are connected by $e_z$. Then $\sigma(v_{x_i}) = 5$, $\sigma(v'_{x_i}) = 3$, $\sigma(v_{y_j}) = 6$, $\sigma(v'_{y_j}) = 4$. Continue this procedure $\frac{n}{2}$ times until the weights of all adjacent vertices in $G$ are distinct. Now we prove it feasibility, namely, it verifies that there exists at least one edge in $E_H$ which can not be dominated after $\frac{n}{2} - 1$ operations. Suppose that all edges in $H$ are dominated after $\frac{n}{2}$ operations, and if there still exists a pair of adjacent vertices $v_{x_k}$ and $v'_{x_k}$ (or $v_{y_k}$ and $v'_{y_k}$) having the same weights, then the four incident edges of $v_{x_k}$ and $v'_{x_k}$ in $H$ must receive the same color 1. By our coloring rule, it is impossible.

**Case 4** $a_1 \neq a_2, b_1 \neq b_2$ and they are positive integers.
We color all edges in $E_H$ with 1, color all edges in $E_X$ with 2 and color all edges in $E_Y$ with 3. By the technique in Case 3, we can distinguish all adjacent vertices whose joined by $\tilde{e}_2$. But we still need to distinguish adjacent vertices whose joined by $e_{2-3}$. Select an incident edge from $e_{2-3}$ and color it with 3, it deduces that $\sigma(v_{x_i}) \neq \sigma(v_{x_i}')$ and $\sigma(v_{y_j}) \neq \sigma(v_{y_j}')$. Moreover, $\sigma(v_2(x)) = 4$ or 6, $\sigma(v_2(y)) = 5$ or 7, $\sigma(v_3(x))$ belongs to set $\{3, 5, 7, 9\}$ and $\sigma(v_3(y))$ belongs to set $\{3, 5, 7, 9\}$. Possibly, there may exist some cases that the weight of adjacent vertices can not distinguish. We deal with it as follows.

Case 4.1 $\sigma(v_3(x)) = 3$.

Case 4.1.1 Vertex $v_3(x)$ connects two vertices of degree 2 and one vertex of degree 3 in $Y$. Let $N(v_3(x)) = \{v_2(y_1), v_2(y_2), v_3(y_3)\}$. Then $\sigma(v_3(x)) = \sigma(v_3(y_3)) = 3$.

1. Vertex $v_2(y_1)$ or $v_2(y_2)$ has weight 7. Assume that $\sigma(v_2(y_1)) = 7$. Recolor $v_3(x)v_2(y_1)$ with 2, then $\sigma(v_3(x)) = 4$, $\sigma(v_2(y_1)) = 8$.

2. $\sigma(v_2(y_1)) = \sigma(v_2(y_2)) = 5$. We may recolor any incident edge of $v_2(y_1)$ or $v_2(y_2)$. Assume that recolor $v_3(x)v_2(y_1)$ with 4, then $\sigma(v_3(x)) = 4$ and $\sigma(v_2(x)) = 8$.

Case 4.1.2 Vertex $v_3(x)$ connects two vertices of degree 3 and a vertex of degree 2 in $Y$. Let $N(v_3(x)) = \{v_3(y_1), v_3(y_2), v_2(y_3)\}$. Then $v_3(x)$ and its two neighbors all have weight 3 and $\sigma(v_2(y_3)) = 5$ (or 7). Recolor $v_3(x)v_2(y_3)$ with 2 (or 4). Then $\sigma(v_3(x)) = 4$ or 6, $\sigma(v_2(y_3)) = 8$.

Case 4.1.3 Vertex $v_3(x)$ connects three vertices of degree 3 in $Y$. Denoted by $v_3(y_1), v_3(y_2)$ and $v_3(y_3)$, respectively. If three neighbors of $v_3(x)$ have the same weight 3, then recolor all incident edges of $v_3(x)$ with 3, it follows that $\sigma(v_3(x)) = 9$ and $\sigma(v_3(y_1)) = \sigma(v_3(y_2)) = \sigma(v_3(y_3)) = 5$.

Case 4.2 $\sigma(v_3(y)) = 5$.

Vertex $v_3(x)$ connects a vertex of degree 2 with weight 7 in $Y$. Denote the vertex of degree 2 by $v_2(y_0)$. Recolor $v_3(x)v_2(y_0)$ with 4. Then $\sigma(v_3(x)) = 6$ and $\sigma(v_2(y_0)) = 8$.

Case 4.3 $\sigma(v_3(x)) = 7$.

Vertex $v_3(x)$ must connect two vertices of degree 2 in $Y$. Let $N(v_3(x)) = \{v_2(y_1), v_2(y_2), v_k\}$. Here we need not consider the weight of $v_k$. Recolor $v_3(x)v_2(y_1)$ and $v_3(x)v_2(y_2)$ with 4. Then $\sigma(v_3(x)) = 9$ and $\sigma(v_2(y_1)) = \sigma(v_2(y_2)) = 8$. ■

Przybyło [9] showed that every $d$-regular graph with $d \geq 2$ admits a vertex-coloring edge 4-weighting and every $d$-regular graph with $d \geq 10^5$ admits a vertex-coloring edge 3-weighting. From the proof of Theorem 4, we characterize the structural that some edges of 3-regular graphs receive color 4 when $a_1 \neq a_2$ and $b_1 \neq b_2$. In other words, if Conjecture 1 is true for every 3-regular graph, then it requires a method to deal with these edges which are colored by 4.

4 $\text{tgnd}_\Sigma(G)$ for 3-regular graphs

Theorem 5. For any 3-regular graph $G$, $\text{tgnd}_\Sigma(G) \leq 2$.

Proof Case 1 $a_1 = a_2 = 0$. 

\[\text{tgnd}_\Sigma(G) \leq 2.\]
This case implies that $G$ is a 3-regular complete bipartite graph. We color all vertices in $X$ with 1, color all vertices in $Y$ with 2 and color all edges in $E_H$ with 1. Then $t(v_x) = 4$ and $t(v_y) = 5$.

**Case 2** $a_1 = b_1 = 0$ or $b_1 = a_2 = 0$.

Without loss of generality, assume that $a_1 = b_2 = 0$. We color all vertices in $H$ with 1, color all edges in $E(H)$ with 1, and color all edges in $E_Y$ with 2. To assure that $t(v_y) \neq t(v_y')$, recolor $v_{y_i}$ (or $v_{y_i}'$) with 2. Then $t(v_x) = 4$ and $t(v_y) = 5$ or 6.

**Case 3** $b_1 = b_2 = 0$.

We color all vertices in $X$ with 1, color all vertices in $Y$ with 2, color all edges in $E_H$ and $E_X$ with 1, and color all edges in $E_Y$ with 2. For any edge $v_x, v_x'$ in $G$, select one edge $e_z$ from $E_H$ such that $v_{x_i}$ and $v_{x_i}'$ are endpoints of $e_z$. We color all edges in $E$ with 2, meanwhile, all incident edges (except for $e_z, v_x, v_x', v_{y_i}, v_{y_i}'$) of $v_{x_i}, v_{x_i}', v_{y_i}, v_{y_i}'$ keep the color 1 as before, namely they are dominated, see Fig.1. Without loss of generality, assume that $v_{x_i}$ and $v_{y_i}$ are connected by $e_z$. Then $t(v_{x_i}) = 5$, $t(v_{y_i}) = 4$, $t(v_{y_i}') = 6$, $t(v_{y_i}'') = 7$. Continue this procedure $\frac{\beta}{2}$ times until the weights of all adjacent vertices in $G$ are distinct. The feasibility of this method is similar to Case 3 in Theorem 4.

**Case 4** $a_1 \neq a_2, b_1 \neq b_2$ and they are positive integers.

We color all vertices in $X$ with 1, color all vertices in $Y$ with 2, color all edges in $E_H$ with 2, color all edges in $E_X$ with 1 and color all edges in $E_Y$ with 2. Using the technique in Case 3 again, we change the color of $e_z$ from 2 to 1, and change the weight of vertices which are connected only by $e_z$. By this way, we can distinguish all adjacent vertices whose are connected by $e_z$. But we still need to distinguish adjacent vertices whose joined by $e_{x_i}$. Select an incident edge from $e_{x_i}$ and color it with 1, it deduces that $t(v_{x_i}) \neq t(v_{x_i}')$ and $t(v_{y_i}) \neq t(v_{y_i}')$. Meanwhile, $t(v_{y_2}) = 5$ or 6, $t(v_{y_3}) = 7$ or 8. Let $v_{x_i} = 1, \forall v_{x_i}, v_{y_i}$. Suppose that $N(v_{x_i}) = \{v_{y_1}, v_{y_2}, v_{y_3}\}$. Let $v_{x_i}'$ be two neighbors of $v_{x_i}$ and $v_{y_i}'$ be two neighbors of $v_{y_i}$. Then the following cases may appear adjacent vertices having the same weight.

**Case 4.1** $t(v_{x_i}) = 5$. Vertex $v_{x_i}$ must join three vertices of degree 2 in $X$. Recolor $v_{x_i}$ with 1, then $t(v_{x_i}) = 4$ and $t(v_{y_3}) = 5$.

**Case 4.2** $t(v_{y_1}) = 6$. Vertex $v_{x_i}$ must connect two vertices of degree 2 in $X$, denoted by $v_{y_3}(x_1)$ and $v_{y_3}(x_2)$, respectively. Set $v_{y_i} = N(v_{x_i}) - \{v_{y_1}(x_1), v_{y_3}(x_2)\}$. Let $v_{y_3}'$ be the neighbor of $v_{y_3}$.

**Case 4.2.1** $t(v_{y_3}) = t(v_{y_3}') = 6$. Recolor $v_{x_i}(x_1)$, $v_{x_i}(x_2)$, $v_{y_3}$ with 1 and $v_{y_3}$ with 2, then we have $t(v_{y_3}) = 4$ and $t(v_{y_3}) = 6$.

**Case 4.2.2** $t(v_{y_3}) = t(v_{y_3}') = 6$. If $d_H(v_{y_3}) = 2$, then $v_{y_3}$ connects two vertices with degree 3 in $Y$ and denoted by $v_{y_1}(y_1)$ and $v_{y_1}(y_2)$, respectively. Recolor $v_{y_3}$ with 2, then it gets that $t(v_{y_3}) = 7$. If $d_H(v_{y_3}) = 3$, then $v_{y_3}$ connects two vertices of degree 3 in $Y$ and denoted by $v_{y_1}(y_3)$ and $v_{y_1}(y_4)$, respectively. Denote $v_1 \in N(v_{y_3}) - \{v_{y_1}(y_3), v_{y_1}(y_4)\}$. Recolor $v_{y_3}$ and $v_{y_3}'$ with 2, and recolor $v_{y_3}$ with 1. Then $t(v_{y_3}) = 8$ and $t(v_{y_3}) = 7$.

**Case 4.3** $t(v_{x_i}) = 7$. Suppose that $N(v_{x_i}) = \{v_{y_1}, v_{y_2}, v_{y_3}\}$. Let $v_{x_i}, v_{x_i}'$ be two neighbors of $v_{x_i}$ and $v_{x_i}, v_{x_i}'$ be two neighbors of $v_{x_i}$. Then the following cases may appear adjacent vertices having the same weight.

**Case 4.3.1** $t(v_{x_i}) = t(v_{y_3}) = t(v_{y_3}')$ are not equal to 8. Then it exists a vertex of $N(v_{x_i})$ having weight 7 (otherwise, $v_{x_i}$ and its neighbors can be distinguished immediately). Recolor $v_{x_i}$ with 2, it follows that $t(v_{x_i}) = 8$.

**Case 4.3.2**. One of $\{v_{y_1}, v_{y_2}, v_{y_3}\}$ has weight 8 and another two vertices have weight 7. Without loss of generality, suppose that $t(v_{y_3}) = 8$ and $t(v_{y_1}) = t(v_{y_2}) = 7$.

**Case 4.3.2.1** $d_H(v_{y_1}) = d_H(v_{y_2}) = 2$.

1. If $t(v_{x_i}) = t(v_{x_i}) = 5$, recolor $v_{y_3}, v_{x_i}(x_3)$ and $v_{y_3}(x_3)$ with 1, then $t(v_{y_3}) = t(v_{y_3}) = 6, t(v_{y_3}) = 8$ and $t(v_{x_i}) = t(v_{x_i}) = t(v_{x_i}) = 5$. 5
2. Without loss of generality, assume that \( t(v_{x_1}) = 6 \). Recolor \( v_{y_1} \) and \( v_{y_1}v_3(x) \) with 1. Then \( t(v_{y_1}) = 5 \) and \( t(v_3(x)) = 6 \).

**Case 4.3.2.2** \( d_H(v_{y_1}) = 2 \) and \( d_H(v_{y_2}) = 2 \) hold not at the same time. Assume that \( d_H(v_{y_1}) = 3 \) and \( t(v_{x_1}) = 5 \). Recolor \( v_{y_1}, v_{x_1}, v_{y_1}v_3(x) \) and \( v_{y_1} \) with 1, and recolor \( v_{x_1} \) with 2, then \( t(v_{y_1}) = 4, t(v_3(x)) = 6 \) and \( t(v_{x_1}) \) keep the same as before.

**Case 4.3.3** \( \{t(v_{y_1}), t(v_{y_2}), t(v_{y_3})\} = \{6, 7, 8\} \). Assume that \( t(v_{y_1}) = 8, t(v_{y_2}) = 6 \) and \( t(v_{y_3}) = 7 \). Recolor \( v_{y_2}, v_3(x) \) and \( v_{y_2} \) with 1. Then \( t(v_{y_2}) = 4 \) and \( t(v_3(x)) = 6 \).

**Case 4.3.4** Two of \( \{v_{y_1}, v_{y_2}, v_{y_3}\} \) have weights 8 and the remaining one has weight 7. Assume that \( t(v_{y_1}) = 8, t(v_{y_2}) = 7 \) and \( t(v_{y_3}) = 8 \).

1. If \( d_H(v_{y_2}) = 2 \) and \( t(v_{x_2}) = 5 \), recolor \( v_{y_2}v_3(x) \) with 1 and recolor \( v_3(x) \) with 2, then \( t(v_3(x)) = 7 \) and \( t(v_{y_2}) = 6 \).

2. If \( d_H(v_{y_2}) = 2 \) and \( t(v_{x_2}) = 6 \), recolor \( v_{y_2}, v_3(x) \) and \( v_{y_2} \) with 1, then \( t(v_3(x)) = 6 \) and \( t(v_{y_2}) = 5 \).

3. If \( d_H(v_{y_2}) = 3 \), recolor \( v_{y_2}, v_3(x) \) and \( v_{y_2} \) with 1 and recolor \( v_{x_2} \) with 2, then \( t(v_{y_2}) = 4 \), \( t(v_3(x)) = 6 \) and the weight of \( v_{x_2} \) does not change.

Note that Theorem 5 implies that 1-2 Conjecture is valid for 3-regular graphs. By Lemma 3, if \( G \) is 4-regular, then there exists a bipartite graph \( H \) such that \( 2 \leq d_H(u) \leq 4 \) for all \( u \in V(G) \). Let \( v \in V(G - E(H)) \). Then \( 0 \leq d_{G - E(H)}(v) \leq 2 \). Suppose that \( X \) and \( Y \) are the two partitions of \( V(H) \), then the vertex-induced subgraph of \( X \) (or \( Y \)) consists of cycles or isolated vertices or isolated edges or paths. Therefore, it may offer an idea to solve 1-2-3 Conjecture and 1-2 Conjecture for 4-regular graphs.
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