Implementation of many quantum information protocols require an efficient initialization of the quantum register. In the present report, we optimize a population trapping protocol for initializing a hybrid spin register associated with a single nitrogen vacancy (NV) center in diamond. We initialize the quantum register by polarizing the electronic and the nuclear spins of the NV with a sequence of microwave, radio-frequency and optical pulses. We use a rate equation model to explain the distribution of population under the effect of the optical pulses. The model is compared to the experimental data obtained by performing partial quantum state tomography. To further increase the spin polarization, we propose a recursive protocol with optimized optical pulses.

I. INTRODUCTION

A nitrogen atom replacing one carbon atom of a diamond lattice and an adjacent carbon vacancy site form a nitrogen vacancy (NV) center in diamond, which is an optically active atomic defect center [1,2]. The NV center, having excellent room temperature (RT) quantum properties like long spin coherence time [3], offers a stable spin-photon interface [4-6], coherent optical transitions and so on, which have been successfully applied in a number of aspects of the emerging field of quantum technology [6-8]. For instance, NV centers were used to demonstrate spin-photon entanglement with scalability [9], quantum teleportation [10], efficient implementation of quantum algorithms [11], entanglement distribution over a multimode quantum network [12], photonic quantum repeater [13], quantum sensing platforms with remarkable sensitivity [14-15], and so on.

Having a large band gap (5.4 eV), diamond has an unoccupied conduction band which does not allow interaction of free electrons with the NV centers [16]. Because of its high Debye temperature (≈ 1800 °C), the interaction with phonons is weak at RT [17]. In addition, naturally abundant diamond crystals contain magnetic impurities of very low concentration (1.1% 13C) and growth of ultrapure single crystal diamond contain NVs with slowly dephasing spins [18]. Thus diamond, as a host, ensures that NV centers can be considered as isolated quantum systems, where the long lived electronic and nuclear spins associated with NV can act as a quantum register [19]. Several experiments demonstrated local control of the NV spins with high fidelity through initialization, coherent manipulation and read out using optical, microwave (MW), and radio frequency (RF) pulses [19,22].

Often for quantum operations, hybrid spin register associated with NVs are exploited [19,22,24]. Commonly, these registers include vacancy electronic spins being coupled to the nuclear spins from 14N or neighboring 13C atoms [2]. For efficient implementation of several NV-based quantum information protocols, it is necessary that the initial state of the spin register has high purity. Hence, one needs to optimize methods which simultaneously polarize the electronic and nuclear spins of the NV center. Although it is straightforward to achieve almost complete polarization of the electronic spins through optical pumping, it does not allow polarization of the nuclear spins. Due to having an ultra long spin coherence time, nuclear spins are important candidates for storing and processing quantum information. Hence, to use nuclear spin as a resource in quantum protocols, it is necessary to perform the nuclear polarization deterministically. In this context a number of techniques are reported. Polarization of 15N nuclear spin is performed by accessing the excited state level anti-crossing for a single NV at RT [25]. By means of transferring polarization from electronic spins, hyperpolarization of 13C nuclear spin ensembles is achieved using a sequence of laser and microwave pulses, and by exploiting the fact that the nuclear spin eigenstates do not have same quantization axes in different electron spin manifolds, which occurs because NV electron spin S=1/2 [26]. Moreover, bulk nuclear polarization for NV centers are achieved by applying laser induced dynamic nuclear polarization (DNP) schemes [27,28].

In the present case, we initialize the spin register through polarizing the electronic and nuclear spins by applying a sequence of laser, MW and RF pulses. We consider a hybrid two qudit system where the vacancy electron is coupled to the 14N nuclear spin, and perform the spin manipulation in a subspace of the spins. Such a protocol for initialization of the NV spins has been demonstrated earlier [22,24]. However, in the present case we report a detailed optimization of this sequence, specifically the optical pumping procedure. Employing optically detected magnetic resonance (ODMR) spectroscopy techniques we perform partial state tomography and investigate the population dynamics of the relevant quantum states using a rate equation model. We have performed an additional simulation to test if the present sequence, when executed in an iterative way with optimized laser pulses, can enhance the polarization further. Thus, our optimized sequence with known optical pumping parameter can perform NV spin manipulation in a...
FIG. 1. Sequence of microwave (MW), radio frequency (RF) and 532 nm laser pulses used for initialization. The sequence contains three parts: segment 1 and 2 for addressing the nuclear spins $m_I = -1$ and $+1$, and segment 3 measures free induction decay of the electronic spin. The energy levels with the relevant transitions are shown where the population of the states are represented by filled circles.

deterministic way.
The paper is organized as the following. First, we describe the system and the initialization sequence. Then we present the experimental results and demonstrate its comparison with the solution of the formulated rate equation model. Finally, we present the results of the multi-cycle simulation.

II. SYSTEM AND POLARIZATION PROCEDURE

The experiments were performed in a home-built confocal microscope setup integrated with an electronic circuit which generates the necessary MW and RF signals for controlling the electronic and nuclear spins, as described in ref. [22]. We chose the vacancy electronic spin $S = 1$ coupled with the $^{14}$N nuclear spin $I = 1$ of an isolated NV center embedded in a 99.998\% $^{12}$C enriched diamond as the quantum register for our experiments. The system Hamiltonian, when the field is aligned along the NV axis, is

$$H = D S_z^2 - \gamma_e B S_z + \frac{P}{2} I_z^2 - \gamma_n B I_z + A S_z I_z. \quad (1)$$

$S_z$ and $I_z$ are the $z$-components of the electronic and nuclear spins, the zero field splitting is $D = 2.87$ GHz, electronic and nuclear gyromagnetic ratios are $\gamma_e = -28$ GHz/T$^{-1}$ and $\gamma_n = -3.1$ MHz/T$^{-1}$, respectively, the nuclear quadrupole coupling $P = 4.5$ MHz and the hyperfine coupling $A = -2.16$ MHz [22]. We performed the experiments in a magnetic field $B = 6.1$ mT along the symmetry axis of the NV.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Transitions</th>
<th>Transition frequency</th>
<th>Rabi frequency</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$</td>
<td>0, -1\rangle \leftrightarrow</td>
<td>1, -1\rangle$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$</td>
<td>0, +1\rangle \leftrightarrow</td>
<td>1, +1\rangle$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$</td>
<td>-1, -1\rangle \leftrightarrow</td>
<td>1, 0\rangle$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$</td>
<td>-1, +1\rangle \leftrightarrow</td>
<td>1, 0\rangle$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Our initialization procedure relies on a population trapping (PT) protocol where by applying a number of $\pi$ pulses we sequentially accumulate the population into the $m_I = 0$ state. For this purpose, we perform the spin manipulation in a subspace spanned by $m_s = 0, -1$ and $m_I = 0, \pm 1$. We write the states in the notation $|m_s, m_I\rangle$ in this paper. A coherent population trapping (CPT) protocol couples an initial and a target state to an intermediate excited state by applying coherent electromagnetic fields, which trap the population in the superposition of the initial and the target states [29][30]. However, in our case, instead of applying coherent driving fields simultaneously, we sequentially apply MW, RF and optical pulses to drive the population between the initial state $|0, \pm 1\rangle$ and the target state $|0, 0\rangle$ through certain intermediate states. Therefore, we term this method a “population trapping” protocol. Fig 1 schematically represents the PT pulse sequence. We illustrate the PT protocol later in this section. The relevant energy eigenstates of the six level system are shown in Fig 1. The present sequence manipulates the spins associated with the NV$^-$ charge state. The transition frequencies and Rabi frequencies for the electronic and nuclear transitions, which we address in the sequence, are shown in table I. In the beginning, a 5$\mu$s long laser pulse initializes the system into the bright ($m_s = 0$) state of the electron spin while the nuclear spin is fully depolarized. Now we aim to optimally polarize both the electron and the nuclear spins by applying a combination of MW, RF and laser pulses. We perform this task in two segments: through segment(seg1) and seg2, we address the population associated with the $m_I = -1$ and $+1$ states in turn. Seg3 contains the pulses which we use for analysing the resulting state. Seg1 includes a MW and an RF $\pi$ pulse which drive the transitions $|0, -1\rangle \leftrightarrow |1, -1\rangle$ and $| -1, -1\rangle \leftrightarrow | -1, 0\rangle$. Although these pulses polarize the nuclear spin, the electron spin becomes depolarized. To repolarize the electron spin, we apply a second laser pulse. The effect of this laser pulse is primarily a polarization of the electron spin, but it also partly depolarizes the $^{14}$N nuclear spin [22]. We therefore adjust the duration of the laser pulse to maximize the electronic and the nuclear spin polarization. This task is performed by measuring the influence of the laser pulse duration on the population transfer dynamics and by interpreting the results using a rate equation model, which is discussed in the subsequent section.

Seg2 transfers the population from $|0, +1\rangle$ to $| -1, 0\rangle$ us-
input a MW and an RF $\pi$ pulse which act selectively on the transitions $|0, +1\rangle \leftrightarrow |−1, +1\rangle$ and $|−1, +1\rangle \leftrightarrow |−1, 0\rangle$, and swap the populations between them. Next, in close analogy to seg1, we repolarize the electronic spin with a laser pulse and optimize its duration. A proper optimization of the control pulses maximises the population of the $|00\rangle$ state. The optimization of the laser pulses and the experimental analysis of the final populations of the relevant states are described in the next section.

III. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS AND COMPARISON WITH THE RATE EQUATION MODEL

We implement the proposed initialization protocol and perform the optimization of the $L_1$ and $L_2$ laser pulses in two steps. After polarizing the electronic spin using the 5$\mu$s laser pulse, we test and optimize seg1, and analyze the resulting populations of the states through the measurement protocol of seg3. During this process, we do not implement seg2. To investigate the redistribution of population under the influence of the laser pulse $L_1$, we run seg1 for different values of the laser pulse duration, and perform partial state tomography. This is done by capturing Ramsey type free induction decays (FIDs) of the electronic spin using the control sequence in seg3. In seg3, the FID sequence contains two $\pi/2$ MW pulses which are separated by a free evolution time $\tau$ and are resonant between the $m_s = 0$ and $−1$ levels. The first $\pi/2$ pulse creates a coherent superposition of the $|m_s = 0\rangle$ and $|m_s = −1\rangle$ states, which evolves for a time $\tau$. The coherence is then converted into population difference by the second $\pi/2$ pulse and read out using a laser pulse of 300 ns duration. We apply hard (high power) $\pi/2$ pulses such that all the three nuclear spin-conserving transitions between $m_s = 0$ and $−1$ are excited. A Fourier transform of the time domain FID data allows us to capture spectral amplitudes associated with the $|m_I = 0, ±1\rangle$ states in the frequency domain. Thus the spectra contain three lines whose amplitudes $A_{m_I}$ are proportional to the difference of populations between the $m_S = 0$ and $−1$ states: $A_{m_I} = P_{|0, m_I\rangle} − P_{|−1, m_I\rangle}$.

To explain the laser induced population transfer dynamics among the 6 energy levels of the spin register, we formulate a rate equation model. We define a column matrix representing the populations of the six states and call it the population vector $\vec{P}$. We express the rate equation in the following form:

$$\frac{d\vec{P}}{dt} = M(k_s, k_I)\vec{P}. \tag{2}$$

Since the spin manipulation is performed in the subspace spanned by the $|m_S = 0, −1\rangle \otimes |m_I = 0, ±1\rangle$ states, $m_S = +1$ state remains unoccupied throughout the spin control sequence. The populations of the states in $\vec{P}$ follow the order $(m_s, m_I) = (0, −1; 0; +1; 0; −1; −1; −1; +1; −1, 0)$ which is the same order for the energy levels shown in Fig. 2. The rate matrix $M$ describes the transfer of population between the states due to the effect of the laser pulse and is given in the appendix. We assume that the electronic spin changes from $m_S = −1$ to 0 with a rate of $k_s$ and the nuclear spin changes between any of the states $|m_I = 0, ±1\rangle$ at a rate $k_I$. Assuming that the sum of the populations is
unity, the spectral amplitudes for the $^{14}$N nuclear spins $m_I = 0$ and $\pm 1$ are normalized with reference to the initial state amplitudes experimentally measured after applying the 5$\mu$s long laser pulse, which equally populates all nuclear spin sublevels. Thus, the normalized population vector for this state is $\vec{P} = \frac{1}{3}(1,1,1,0,0,0)$. The MW and RF pulses of seg1 ideally convert this into $\vec{P} = \frac{1}{3}(0,1,1,0,0,1)$, which is the initial condition for the dynamics during the laser pulse. The solution of Eq.2 for this initial condition is given in the appendix.

Fig.2 exhibits 4 experimental spectra measured after the implementation of seg1 with durations of 50, 500, 1700 and 4000 ns of the laser pulse $L_1$. The spectra consist of three lines associated with the nuclear spins states $m_I = 0$ and $\pm 1$ which are separated by the NV hyperfine interaction. The MW and RF pulses in seg1 do not control the population of the $|0, +1\rangle$ state. Thus it maintains its initial population of $1/3$ after seg1. For the shortest duration of the $L_1$ pulse (50 ns), the electron spin does not repolarize significantly. Accordingly, the $m_I = 0$ states in the $m_S = 0$ and $-1$ subspaces have almost equal population, resulting in a very small amplitude $A_0$ of the $m_I = 0$ line in the spectrum. However, with increasing the duration of the $L_1$ pulse, the population of the $|m_I = 0, m_S = 0\rangle$ state increases, as the nuclear spin is mostly unaffected while the electronic spin is transferred from $m_S = -1$ to $m_S = 0$. If the laser pulse duration is increased further, the nuclear spin becomes depolarized. Fig.2 shows that when the duration of $L_1$ is 4$\mu$s, the three lines have equal amplitudes.

The evolution of the amplitudes $A_0$ and $A_{\pm 1}$ and the total population of the three nuclear spin states in the $m_S = 0$ subspace are shown in Fig.3. The amplitudes increase with increasing pulse duration. $A_0$ reaches a maximum when the duration of the $L_1$ pulse is 500 ns. We optimize the laser pulse duration such that we obtain the maximum population of the $|0, 0\rangle$ state. This optimized pulse allows us to obtain the maximum polarization of the electron spin with the minimum loss of the nuclear spin polarization. When the duration of the $L_1$ pulse reaches 4$\mu$s, the total population of the $m_S = 0$ state reaches unity which signifies electronic polarization. $A_0$ and $A_{-1}$ converge to $1/3$ which indicates complete nuclear spin depolarization. $A_{+1}$ maintains a constant value of $1/3$ for all durations of the laser pulse.

Earlier experimental studies of population transfer dynamics [22, 24] have shown that laser induced depolarization of the nuclear spin limits the overall purity. An important contribution to loosing nuclear spin polarization is the charge state conversion dynamics of the NV center. The two charge states have different hyperfine interactions. Thus, switching from one charge state to another can result in nuclear depolarization [22]. This prediction is supported by recent results showing that the NV$^-$ to NV$^0$ conversion rate is reduced if light 594 nm light is used instead of 532 nm [24]. In this section we show that it is possible to enhance the polarization of the hybrid spin register beyond the values obtained for a single cycle in section III, when MW, RF and properly optimized 532 nm laser pulses are applied in an iterative way. We rely on the idea that when we apply the

![Fourier transform of free induction decay of the electronic spin for four different durations of $L_2$. The spectra show three peaks for the three nuclear spin states $m_I = 0$ and $\pm 1$ of $^{14}$N.](image)

**FIG. 4.** Fourier transform of free induction decay of the electronic spin for four different durations of $L_2$. The spectra show three peaks for the three nuclear spin states $m_I = 0$ and $\pm 1$ of $^{14}$N.

**FIG. 5.** The optimized pulse allows us to obtain the maximum polarization of the electron spin with the minimum loss of the nuclear spin polarization. When the duration of the $L_1$ pulse reaches 4$\mu$s, the total population of the $m_S = 0$ state reaches unity which signifies complete electronic polarization. $A_0$ and $A_{-1}$ converge to $1/3$ which indicates complete nuclear spin depolarization. $A_{+1}$ maintains a constant value of $1/3$ for all durations of the laser pulse.

The amplitudes simulated using our rate equation model are in excellent agreement with the experimental data. Under our experimental conditions, $k_S$ and $k_I$ are similar to the values reported in ref. [22].

Next, we set the duration of the $L_1$ pulse to 500 ns and proceed with the experiments using the sequence of pulses contained in seg2. In the beginning of seg2, we start with the populations $\vec{P} = (0.07, 0.33, 0.55, 0, 0, 0, 0.05)$. Since we address the $m_I = +1$ state in this stage, the MW and RF pulses create the population vector $\vec{P} = (0.07, 0.05, 0, 0.05, 0.33)$. Using this as the initial condition we solve the rate equation model. The solution gives analytical expression of the population of the six levels as a function of the laser pulse duration which is shown in the appendix.

Four spectra measured for 60, 460, 1410 and 4000 ns duration of the laser pulse $L_2$ are shown in Fig.4. Similar to the earlier case, due to electron spin polarization, an increase in pulse duration increases the population difference between the states $|0, 0\rangle$ and $|-1, 0\rangle$. $A_0$ reaches its maximum for a pulse duration of 460 ns. The evolution of the amplitudes with the laser pulse duration can better be observed in Fig.5. The nuclear spin starts to depolarize, populating the $m_I = \pm 1$ states as the laser pulse duration becomes longer and eventually the nuclear spin depolarizes completely. The rate equation model is well consistent with experimental data as it can be seen in Fig.5.

**IV. ITERATION TO ENHANCE PURITY**
**FIG. 5.** Amplitudes of the three resonance lines corresponding to the populations of $m_I = 0, \pm 1$ as a function of the laser pulse (L2) duration. The simulation performed using the rate equation model (with $1/k_2 = 0.27 \mu s$ and $1/k_1 = 4.76 \mu s$ [22]) are shown by the solid curves.

**TABLE II.** Simulated values of the laser pulse duration and population of the $|0, 0\rangle$ state after each of the three cycles for seg1 and seg2

| Number of cycle | Duration of $L_1$ (ns) | $P_{|0,0\rangle}$ after seg1 (%) | Duration of $L_2$ (ns) | $P_{|0,0\rangle}$ after seg2 (%) |
|-----------------|-------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------------|
| 1               | 500                     | 55                            | 460                     | 70.9                          |
| 2               | 156                     | 72.3                          | 140                     | 73.5                          |
| 3               | 20                      | 74                            | 0                       | 74                            |

The present paper demonstrates a detailed optimization procedure for initializing a hybrid quantum spin register by performing the spin manipulation in a reduced subspace of the spins. We apply a series of MW and RF pulses to swap the electronic and nuclear spin polarization, and laser pulses for optically pumping the electronic spin. The duration of the laser pulses are optimized in such a way that we maximize the electronic and nuclear spin polarization. For this purpose, we formulate a rate equation model and investigate the polarization dynamics as a function of the duration of the laser pulse. By performing partial state tomography we experimentally determine the population of the relevant eigenstates. We follow an approach developed in ref. [22] to optimize the laser pulse duration. However, in this case we have applied a different polarization method which is based on a population trapping protocol. With this protocol, we access the subspace spanned by $|m_S = 0, -1\rangle \otimes |m_I = 0, \pm 1\rangle$ states and sequentially populate the target state $|0, 0\rangle$. For this purpose, a number of MW, RF and optical pulses are employed to drive the population from the initial state $|0, \pm 1\rangle$ to the target state through certain intermediate states in $m_S = -1$ subspace. We have optimized...
the optical pumping processes to maximize the spin polarization. To describe the present experimental results, we have used a rate equation model which explains laser-induced redistribution of the population among the six spin-levels. Furthermore, to reduce the nuclear depolarization, we have formulated a recursive method where in each cycle the laser pulses perform the optical pumping in an optimum way. Our simulation shows that when multiple cycles of the control sequence, with each cycle having an optimum laser pulse duration, are implemented, an enhancement of the polarization can be achieved.

Initializing a quantum register to an eigenstate is important for implementation of quantum information tasks. In these protocols, optical control of the spins plays a significant role. The present results of the optimization of the optical control pulses can help developing spin manipulation protocols in deterministic way. One advantage of our method is that the spin control can be performed in an arbitrary magnetic field. We have developed the present method for an NV spin register. However, this spin manipulation approach is general. Hence, for other atomic defect centers in diamond which has optically addressable spin transitions, a similar method can be formulated.
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APPENDIX

During the optical pulse, the redistribution of the populations \( \vec{P} \) can be described as

\[
\frac{d\vec{P}}{dt} = M(k_s, k_I) \vec{P},
\]

where the matrix \( M(k_s, k_I) \) of rate constants is

\[
M(k_s, k_I) = \begin{bmatrix}
-2k_I & k_I & k_I & k_S & 0 & 0 \\
k_I & -2k_I & k_I & 0 & k_S & 0 \\
k_I & k_I & -2k_I & 0 & 0 & k_S \\
0 & 0 & 0 & -k_S & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -k_S & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -k_S
\end{bmatrix}
\]

As discussed in the main text, the basis states are \( (m_s, m_I) = (0, -1; 0, +1; 0, 0, -1, -1; -1, +1; -1, 0) \). The constants \( k_I \) and \( k_S \) describe the rates at which the electronic and nuclear spin polarization are changed. Under our experimental conditions, \( 1/k_S = 0.27 \mu s \) and \( 1/k_I = 4.76 \mu s \).

In Seg1 the solution obtained for the initial condition \( \vec{P}(0) = \frac{1}{4}(1, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0) \) is

\[
\vec{P}_{seg1}(t) = \frac{1}{3} \left[ 1 - k_I(e^{-k_I t} - e^{-3k_I t}) \right],
\]

\[
1 - \frac{(2k_I - k_S)e^{-3k_I t} + k_I e^{-k_I t}}{(3k_I - k_S)},
\]

\[
1 - \frac{(k_I - k_S)e^{-k_I t} + (k_s - k_I)e^{-3k_I t}}{(3k_I - k_S)},
\]

\[
0, 0, e^{-k_I t}.
\]

For initial condition \( \vec{P} = (0.07, 0.55, 0.05, 0.33) \) in seg2, the solution is

\[
\vec{P}_{seg2}(t) = 0.34 + \frac{e^{-3k_I t} (0.26k_S - 0.4k_I) - 0.38k_I e^{-k_I t}}{3k_I - k_S},
\]

\[
0.34 - \frac{e^{-k_I t} (0.38k_I - 0.05k_S) - e^{-3k_I t} (0.63k_I - 0.29k_S)}{3k_I - k_S},
\]

\[
0.34 + \frac{e^{-3k_I t} (1.03k_I - 0.55k_S) - e^{-k_I t} (0.38k_I - 0.33k_S)}{3k_I - k_S},
\]

\[
0, 0.05e^{-k_I t}, 0.33e^{-k_I t}.
\]


