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Abstract

We prove a conjecture of A. Goncharov concerning strong Suslin reciprocity law. The main idea of the proof is the construction of the norm map on so-called lifted reciprocity maps. This construction is similar to the construction of the norm map on Milnor $K$-theory. As an application, we express Chow dilogarithm in terms of Bloch-Wigner dilogarithm. Also, we obtain a new reciprocity law for four rational functions on an arbitrary algebraic surface with values in the pre-Bloch group.

1. Introduction

1.1 Summary

Fix some algebraically closed field $k$ of characteristic zero. We recall that for $x \in X(k)$ and $f, g \in k(X)^	imes$ the tame-symbol of the functions $f$ and $g$ at $x$ is defined by the following formula:

$$(f, g)_x = (-1)^{\text{ord}_x(f)\text{ord}_x(g)} \frac{g^{\text{ord}_x(f)}}{f^{\text{ord}_x(g)}}.$$

We have interchanged $f$ and $g$ in this formula for convenience with the further definitions.

The famous Weil reciprocity law states that for any $f, g \in k(X)^	imes$ the following formula holds:

$$\prod_{x \in X(k)} (f, g)_x = 1.$$

The tame-symbol map induces a well-defined map $\Lambda^2 k(X)^	imes \to k^	imes$, which we denote by $\partial_x^{(2)}$. In a similar way one can define the map $\Lambda^3 k(X)^	imes \to \Lambda^2 k^	imes$, which we denote by $\partial_x^{(3)}$. Unlike the previous case, the total residue map

$$\sum_{x \in X(k)} \partial_x^{(3)}: \Lambda^3 k(X)^	imes \to \Lambda^2 k^	imes$$

is not equal to zero. A. Suslin [Sus79] proved that the image of this map is generated by the elements of the form $c \wedge (1 - c), c \in k^	imes$. This result is called Suslin reciprocity law. Denote the element $c \wedge (1 - c)$ by $\delta_2(c)$. Between elements of the form $\delta_2(c)$ there are a lot of relations. The following proposition is true:

**Proposition 1.1.** For any $x, y \in k \setminus \{0, 1\}, x \neq y$ the following formula holds:

$$\delta_2(x) + \delta_2(y/x) + \delta_2((1 - x)/(1 - y)) = \delta_2(y) + \delta_2\left(\frac{1 - x^{-1}}{1 - y^{-1}}\right),$$

This proposition motivates the following definition:
**Definition 1.2.** For a field $F$ denote by $B_2(F)$ the *pre-Bloch* group of $F$. It is an abelian group generated by the elements $\{x\}_2, x \in \mathbb{P}^1(F)$ modulo the following relations:

$$\{x\}_2 - \{y\}_2 + \{y/x\}_2 - \left(\frac{1-x^{-1}}{1-y^{-1}}\right)_2 + \{(1-x)/(1-y)\}_2 = 0,$$

$$\{0\}_2 = \{1\}_2 = \{\infty\}_2 = 0,$$

where $x, y \in F \setminus \{0, 1\}, x \neq y$.

Suslin reciprocity law implies that there is a map $(\Lambda^3 k(X)^\times) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \rightarrow B_2(k) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ making the following diagram commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
(\Lambda^3 k(X)^\times) \otimes \mathbb{Q} & \xrightarrow{\sum_{x \in X(k)} \partial_x(3)} & \mathbb{Q} \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
B_2(k) \otimes \mathbb{Q} & \xrightarrow{\delta_2} & (\Lambda^2 k^\times) \otimes \mathbb{Q}.
\end{array}$$

This motivates the following definition:

**Definition 1.3.** Let $X$ be a smooth projective curve over $k$. A map $h: (\Lambda^3 k(X)^\times) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \rightarrow B_2(k) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ is called a *lifted reciprocity map* on the field $k(X)$ if the following statements are true:

(i) We have $h(c \wedge f_1 \wedge f_2) = 0$ for any $c \in k^\times$ and $f_1, f_2 \in k(X)^\times$.

(ii) For any $f, g \in k(X)^\times, f \neq 1$ we have the following identity in the group $B_2(k) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$:

$$h(f \wedge (1-f) \wedge g) = \sum_{x \in X(k)} \text{ord}_x(g)\{f(x)\}_2.$$

(iii) For any $a \in \Lambda^3 k(X)^\times$ we have the following identity in the group $(\Lambda^2 k^\times) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$:

$$\delta_2(h(a)) = \sum_{x \in X(k)} \partial_x^{(3)}(a).$$

It is easy to see that the existence of a lifted reciprocity map on the field $k(X)$ implies Suslin reciprocity law for the curve $X$.

Now we can formulate our main result:

**Theorem 1.4.** For any smooth projective curve $X$ over $k$ one can choose a lifted reciprocity map $\mathcal{H}_{k(X)}$ on the field $k(X)$ such that for any non-constant map $\varphi: X \rightarrow Y$ and any $f_1, f_2, f_3 \in k(Y)^\times$ we have $\mathcal{H}_{k(Y)}(f_1 \wedge f_2 \wedge f_3) = (\deg \varphi)^{-1}\mathcal{H}_{k(X)}(\varphi^*(f_1) \wedge \varphi^*(f_2) \wedge \varphi^*(f_3))$. Such a collection of lifted reciprocity maps is unique.

This statement was conjectured by A. Goncharov in [Gon05], and was partially solved by D. Rudenko in [Rud21]. For more details see the next two sections.

### 1.2 The organization of the paper

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we give some basic definitions, and in Section 3 we present our main results. Section 4 has three subsections. In the first subsection, we prove some basic properties of lifted reciprocity maps. In the second subsection, we define strictly regular...
elements and prove for them some version of Parshin reciprocity law. In the third subsection, we
prove some analog of Bass and Tate’s exact sequence for Milnor $K$-theory.

Section 5 takes the most of this paper. In this section, using the results from the previous
two sections, we construct the functorial norm map on lifted reciprocity maps. It has three
subsections. In the first subsection, we define a system of lifted reciprocity maps. In the second
subsection, we prove our key result stating that systems of lifted reciprocity maps on the field
$F(t)$ are in natural bijection with lifted reciprocity maps on the field $F$. As an application of this
result, in the third subsection, we construct the norm map on lifted reciprocity maps. Finally, in
the Section 6 we proves our main results.
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2. Definitions

2.1 Truncated polylogarithmic complexes

Definition 2.1. For $n \geq 2$ define the following complex $\Gamma_2(F, n)$ placed in degrees $[1, 2]$: 
\[ B_2(F) \otimes \Lambda^{n-2}F^\times \xrightarrow{\delta_n} \Lambda^n F^\times. \]

The differential is defined by the formula: $\delta_n(\{\xi_1\} \cdot \xi_3 \cdot \cdots \cdot \xi_n) = \xi_1 \cdot (1 - \xi_1) \cdot \xi_3 \cdot \cdots \cdot \xi_n$.

Up to shift, these complexes coincide with the stupid truncation of the polylogarithmic complexes defined by A. Goncharov in [Gon95 Section 9], see also [Rud21].

Let $(F, \nu)$ be a discrete valuation field. Denote $O_\nu = \{x \in F | \nu(x) \geq 0\}$, $m_\nu = \{x \in F | \nu(x) > 0\}$ and $\overline{F}_\nu = O_\nu/m_\nu$. We recall that an element $a \in F^\times$ is called an uniformiser if $\nu(a) = 1$ and a unit if $\nu(a) = 0$. For $u \in O_\nu$ denote by $\pi$ its residue class in $\overline{F}_\nu$.

Proposition 2.2. Let $(F, \nu)$ be a discrete valuation field and $n \geq 2$. There is a unique morphism of complexes $\partial^{(n)}_\nu : \Gamma_2(F, n) \to \Gamma(\overline{F}_\nu, n - 1)$:
\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
B_2(F) \otimes \Lambda^{n-2}F^\times & \xrightarrow{\delta_n} & \Lambda^n F^\times \\
\downarrow_{\partial^{(n)}_\nu} & & \downarrow_{\partial^{(n)}_\nu} \\
B_2(\overline{F}_\nu) \otimes \Lambda^{n-2}\overline{F}_\nu^\times & \xrightarrow{\delta_{n-1}} & \Lambda^{n-1}\overline{F}_\nu^\times,
\end{array}
\]
satisfying the following conditions:

(i) For any units $u_1, \ldots, u_n$ we have $\partial^{(n)}_\nu(u_1 \cdot \cdots \cdot u_n) = 0$.

(ii) For any uniformiser $\pi$ and units $u_2, \ldots, u_n \in F$ we have $\partial^{(n)}_\nu(\pi \cdot u_2 \cdot \cdots \cdot u_n) = \overline{\pi} \cdot \overline{u_2} \cdot \cdots \cdot \overline{u_n}$.

(iii) For any $a \in F \setminus \{0, 1\}$ with $\nu(a) \neq 0$ and any $b \in \Lambda^{n-2}F^\times$ we have $\partial^{(n)}_\nu(\{a\} \cdot b) = 0$.

(iv) For any unit $u$ and $b \in \Lambda^{n-2}F^\times$ we have $\partial^{(n)}_\nu(\{u\} \cdot b) = \overline{u} \cdot \overline{b}$.

The proof of this proposition can be found in [Rud21 Subsection 2.1], [Gon95 Section 14].
2.2 The category \textbf{Fields}_d

We recall that we have fixed some algebraically closed field \( k \) of characteristic zero. Denote by \textbf{Fields}_d the category of finitely generated extensions of \( k \) of transcendent degree \( d \). Any morphism in this category is a finite extension. For \( F \in \textbf{Fields}_d \), denote by \( \text{dval}(F) \) the set of discrete valuations given by a Cartier divisor on some birational model of \( F \). When \( F \in \textbf{Fields}_1 \) this set is equal to the set of all 1-dimensional valuations that are trivial on \( k \). We denote this set simply by \( \text{val}(F) \).

Let \( j : K \hookrightarrow F \) be an extension from \textbf{Fields}_d and \( \nu \in \text{dval}(K) \). Denote by \( \text{ext}(\nu, F) \) the set of extensions of the valuation \( \nu \) to \( F \). Let \( \nu' \in \text{ext}(\nu, F) \). Denote by \( j_{\nu'|\nu} \) the natural embedding \( K_{\nu} \hookrightarrow F_{\nu'} \). The inertia degree \( f_{\nu'|\nu} \) is defined as \( \deg j_{\nu'|\nu} \). The ramification index \( e_{\nu'|\nu} \) is defined by the formula \( \pi_K = u \pi_F^{e_{\nu'|\nu}} \), where \( \pi_K, \pi_F \) are uniformisers of \( K, F \) and \( u \) is some unit. By [Neu13, Chapter II, §8] the set \( \text{ext}(\nu, F) \) is finite and, moreover, the following formula holds:

\[
\sum_{\nu' \in \text{ext}(\nu, F)} e_{\nu'|\nu} f_{\nu'|\nu} = [F : K].
\] (1)

By Theorem of O. Zariski [ZS13, Chapter VI, §14, Theorem 31] a discrete valuation on \( F \) is divisorial if and only if the corresponding residue field is finitely generated and has transcendence degree 1. It implies that for any \( \nu' \in \text{ext}(\nu, F) \), we have \( \nu' \in \text{dval}(F) \).

For any \( n \geq 0 \) there is the natural map \( j_* : \Lambda^n K^\times \to \Lambda^n F^\times \) given by the formula \( j_*(a) = a \). It is easy to see that for any \( \nu' \in \text{ext}(\nu, F) \) the following formula holds:

\[
\partial_{\nu'|\nu}^{(n)} j_*(a) = e_{\nu'|\nu} \cdot (j_{\nu'|\nu})_*(\partial_{\nu}'^{(n)}(a)).
\] (2)

2.3 Lifted reciprocity maps

The following definition is a reformulation of Definition 1.3.

**Definition 2.3.** Let \( F \in \textbf{Fields}_1 \). A lifted reciprocity map on the field \( F \) is a map \( h : \Lambda^3 F^\times \to B_2(k) \) satisfying the following conditions:

(i) The following diagram is commutative:

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
B_2(F) \otimes F^\times & \xrightarrow{\delta_3} & \Lambda^3 F^\times \\
\sum_{\nu \in \text{val}(F)} \partial_{\nu}'^{(3)} & \downarrow & \sum_{\nu \in \text{val}(F)} \partial_{\nu}'^{(3)} \\
B_2(k) & \xrightarrow{\delta_2} & \Lambda^2(k^\times). \\
\end{array}
\] (3)

(ii) The map \( h \) vanishes on the image of the multiplication map \( \Lambda^2 F^\times \otimes k^\times \to \Lambda^3 F^\times \).

The set of all lifted reciprocity maps has a structure of affine space over \( \mathbb{Q} \) as any set of homotopies.

Denote by \textbf{Set} the category of sets. Define a contravariant functor

\[
\text{LRM} : \textbf{Fields}_1 \to \text{Set}
\]

as follows. For any \( F \in \textbf{Fields}_1 \) the set \( \text{LRM}(F) \) is equal to the set of all lifted reciprocity maps on \( F \). If \( j : K \hookrightarrow F \) then \( \text{LRM}(j)(h_F) \) is defined by the formula \( h_K(a) := \frac{1}{\deg j} h_F(j_*(a)) \). In Section 4.1 we will show that in this way we indeed get a functor.
2.4 Conventions

Everywhere we work over $\mathbb{Q}$. So any abelian group is supposed to be tensored by $\mathbb{Q}$. For example, when we write $\Lambda^2 k^\times$ this actually means $(\Lambda^2 k^\times) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$. All exterior powers and tensor products are over $\mathbb{Q}$.

If $C$ is a chain complex, denote by $C_d$ the elements lying in degree $d$. The symbol $\delta_n$ means the differential in the truncated polylogarithmic complex $\Gamma_2(F, n)$. Although it depends on the field $F$, we will omit the corresponding sign from the notation. In the same way, when $(F, \nu)$ is a discrete valuation field we denote the tame-symbol map $\Gamma_2(F, n) \rightarrow \Gamma_2(F_{\nu}, n - 1)$ by $\partial^{(n)}_{\nu}$.

3. Main results

The following theorem is a reformulation of Theorem 1.4 from Summary. It is a solution of Conjecture 6.2 from $[\text{Gon05}]$.

**Theorem 3.1.** On any field $F \in \text{Fields}_1$ one can choose a lifted reciprocity map $\mathcal{H}_F$ such that for any embedding $j: F_1 \rightarrow F_2$ we have $\text{SRL}(j)(\mathcal{H}_{F_2}) = \mathcal{H}_{F_1}$. Such a collection of lifted reciprocity maps is unique.

**Remark 3.2.** One of the main results of $[\text{Rud21}]$ states that for any field $F \in \text{Fields}_1$ there is a map $\Lambda^3 F^\times \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_2(k)$ satisfying all but the second condition of Definition 2.3. However, it is not clear why this map can be chosen functorial. The functoriality is our new result.

**Remark 3.3.** In $[\text{Gon05}, \text{Section 6}]$, A. Goncharov formulated his conjecture for some quotient $\mathcal{B}_2(k)$ of the group $\mathcal{B}_2(k)$. In this setting he proved that for any elliptic curve $E$ over $k$ there is a $\mathcal{B}_2(k)$-valued lifted reciprocity map on the field $k(E)$. From the proof of Theorem 5.4 it is not difficult to show that his map coincides with $i \circ \mathcal{H}_{k(E)}$, where $i$ is the natural map $\mathcal{B}_2(k) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_2(k)$. Therefore, Theorem 3.1 generalises A. Goncharov’s construction to curves of arbitrary genus.

3.1 Chow dilogarithm

The definition of Chow dilogarithm can be found in Section 6 of $[\text{Gon05}]$. This function associate to any smooth projective curve $X$ over $\mathbb{C}$ and three non-zero rational functions $f_1, f_2, f_3$ on $X$ the value $\mathcal{P}_2(X; f_1, f_2, f_3) \in \mathbb{R}$. Remark after Conjecture 6.2 in loc. cit. implies that Theorem 3.1 has the following corollary:

**Corollary 3.4.** For any smooth projective curve $X$ over $\mathbb{C}$ and three non-zero rational functions $f_1, f_2, f_3$ on $X$ the following formula holds:

$$\mathcal{P}_2(X; f_1, f_2, f_3) = \tilde{L}_2(\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{C}(X)}(f_1 \wedge f_2 \wedge f_3)).$$

Here $\tilde{L}_2: \mathcal{B}_2(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a map given on the generators $\{x\}_2$ by the formula

$$\tilde{L}_2(\{x\}_2) = \mathcal{L}_2(x),$$

where $\mathcal{L}_2$ is Bloch-Wigner dilogarithm.

**Remark 3.5.** This statement coincides with Corollary 1.5 from $[\text{Rud21}]$. However the proof from loc. cit. is not correct: it relies on remark after Conjecture 6.2 from $[\text{Gon05}]$, which uses the functorial property. So Corollary 3.4 is new.

3.2 Two-dimensional reciprocity law

From the proof of Theorem 3.1 we get the following corollary:
Corollary 3.6. Let $L \in \text{Fields}_2$. For any $b \in \Lambda^4 L^\times$ and all but finite number $\nu \in \text{dval}(L)$ we have $\mathcal{H}_{\nu} \partial^{(4)}_\nu (b) = 0$. Moreover, the following sum is equal to zero:

$$\sum_{\nu \in \text{dval}(L)} \mathcal{H}_{\nu} \partial^{(4)}_\nu (b) = 0. \quad (4)$$

Applying $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_2$ to both sides of (4) and using Corollary 3.4, we recover the functional equation for Chow dilogarithm proved by A. Goncharov in [Gon05, Section 1.4], see also [BGKLL18]. Actually Corollary 3.6 was our motivation behind the construction of the map $\mathcal{H}$.

3.3 The norm map

The proof of Theorem 3.1 takes most of this paper. While the proof of uniqueness follows from standard arguments, the proof of existence is non-trivial. On the field $k(t)$ there is a unique lifted reciprocity map, which we denote by $\mathcal{H}_{k(t)}$. To construct the lifted reciprocity map $\mathcal{H}_F$, for any embeddings of fields $j: F_1 \hookrightarrow F_2$, we define the canonical norm map $N_{F_2/F_1}: \text{LRM}(F_1) \to \text{LRM}(F_2)$. We prove the following theorem:

Theorem 3.7. The map $N$ satisfies the following properties:

(i) Let $j: F_1 \hookrightarrow F_2$ be an embedding. We have $\text{SRL}(j) \circ N_{F_2/F_1} = \text{id}$.

(ii) If $F_1 \subset F_2 \subset F_3$ is a tower of extension from $\text{Fields}_1$ then $N_{F_3/F_2} = N_{F_3/F_1} \circ N_{F_2/F_1}$.

(iii) Let $F \in \text{Fields}_1$. For any $a \in F \setminus k$ we have a finite extension $k(a) \subset F$. The element $\mathcal{H}_F := N_{F/k(a)}(\mathcal{H}_{k(a)}) \in \text{LRM}(F)$ does not depend on $a$.

Existence in Theorem 3.1 follows immediately from the above theorem. The proof of Theorem 3.7 is similar to the construction of the norm map on Milnor $K$-theory [Mil70, BT73, Sus79, Kat80].

4. The preliminary results

4.1 Lifted reciprocity maps

Proposition 4.1. LRM is indeed a functor.

Proof. If $j_1, j_2$ are some embeddings from $\text{Fields}_1$ then the formula $\text{LRM}(j_2 \circ j_1) = \text{LRM}(j_1) \circ \text{LRM}(j_2)$ follows from the fact that the ramification index is multiplicative. So it is enough to show that for any embedding $j: K \hookrightarrow F$ and $h_F \in \text{SRL}(F)$ the map $h_K := \text{LRM}(j)(h_F): \Lambda^3 K^\times \to B_2(k)$ is a lifted reciprocity map on $K$.

The statement that $h_K$ is zero on the image of the map $K^\times \otimes \Lambda^2 k^\times \to \Lambda^3 K^\times$ is obvious. Let us prove that diagram (3) is commutative.

For any $\nu \in \text{val}(K)$ and any $\nu' \in \text{ext}(\nu, F)$ we have $f_{\nu'|\nu} = 1$. Therefore, formula (1) becomes

$$\sum_{\nu' \in \text{ext}(\nu, F)} e_{\nu'|\nu} = [F : K].$$

Since in our case $\mathcal{R}_\nu \cong \mathcal{R}_{\nu'} \cong k$, the formula (2) takes the form

$$e_{\nu'|\nu} \partial^{(3)}_{\nu'} (a) = \partial^{(3)}_{\nu} j_*(a).$$

For any $a \in \Lambda^3 K^\times$, we have:
\[
\delta_2(h_K(a)) = \frac{1}{[F : K]} \delta_2(h_F(j_*(a))) = \frac{1}{[F : K]} \sum_{\nu \in \text{val}(F)} \delta^{(3)}_{\nu}(j_*(a)) = \\
= \frac{1}{[F : K]} \sum_{\nu \in \text{val}(K)} \sum_{\nu' \in \text{ext}(\nu, F)} e_{\nu'|\nu} \delta^{(3)}_{\nu}(a) = \sum_{\nu \in \text{val}(K)} \delta^{(3)}_{\nu}(a).
\]

Here in the fourth equality we have used the formula \(\delta^{(3)}_{\nu}(j_*(a)) = e_{\nu'|\nu} \delta^{(3)}_{\nu}(a)\) and in the last formula we have used the formula \(\sum_{\nu' \in \text{ext}(\nu, F)} e_{\nu'|\nu} = [F : K]\). So the lower right triangle is commutative. The commutativity of the upper left triangle is similar. \(\square\)

**Proposition 4.2.** On the field \(k(t)\) there is a unique lifted reciprocity map. We will denote it by \(\mathcal{H}_{k(t)}\)

**Proof.** Elementary calculation shows that the group \(\Lambda^3 k(t)^{\times}\) is generated by the image of the multiplication map \(k(t)^{\times} \otimes \Lambda^2 k^{\times} \to \Lambda^3 k(t)^{\times}\) and by the image of \(\delta_3\). Uniqueness follows from this statement. Existence was proved in [Gon95, Theorem 6.5]. We remark that although the proof of Proposition 6.6 from [Gon95] uses rigidity argument, this proposition can be easily deduced from [DP82], where it was proved that \(B_2(k(t))\) is generated by elements of the form \(\{at + b\}_2, a, b \in k\). \(\square\)

### 4.2 Parshin reciprocity law

**Definition 4.3.** Let \(X\) be a smooth algebraic variety of dimension \(n\) and \(x \in X\). A Cartier divisor \(D\) on \(X\) is called supported on a simple normal crossing divisor if there is some open affine neighborhood of the point \(x\) such that \(D\) is cut out by the function \(\prod_{i=1}^n x_i^{n_i}\), where \(n_i \geq 0\) and \(x_i\) is a regular system of parameters at \(x\).

We have the following statement [Kol09]:

**Theorem 4.4.** Let \(X\) be a variety over an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero and \(D\) an effective Weil divisor on \(X\). There is a birational morphism \(f: \bar{X} \to X\) such that \(\bar{X}\) is smooth and \(f^*(D)\) is supported on a simple crossing divisor at all points of \(\bar{X}\).

**Definition 4.5.** Let \(\xi \in k(X)\). Write \(D_0(\xi), D_\infty(\xi)\) for the divisors of zeros and poles of \(\xi\) and let \(|\xi| = D_0(\xi) + D_\infty(\xi)\). An element \(\Gamma_2(k(X), 4)_1\) (resp. \(\Gamma_2(k(X), 4)_2\)) is called strictly regular at \(x \in X\) if it can be represented as a linear combination of elements of the form \(\xi_1 \wedge \xi_2 \wedge \xi_3 \wedge \xi_4\) (resp. \(\{\xi_1\}_2 \otimes \xi_3 \wedge \xi_4\)) such that all the divisors \(|\xi_1| + |\xi_2| + |\xi_3| + |\xi_4|\) (resp. \(|\xi_1| + |\xi_3| + |\xi_4|\) are supported on a simple crossing divisor at \(x\).

**Theorem 4.4** has the following corollary:

**Corollary 4.6.** Let \(S\) be a smooth surface and \(j \in \{1, 2\}\). For any element \(a \in \Gamma_2(k(S), 4)_j\) there is a birational morphism \(p: \bar{S} \to S\) such that the element \(p^*(a)\) is strictly regular at all points.
The following lemma characterises strictly regular elements:

**Lemma 4.7.** Let $S$ be a smooth algebraic surface and $x \in S$.

(i) The subgroup of strictly regular elements of $\Gamma_2(k(S),4)_1$ is generated by elements of the following form:
   - $\{\pi_1^n \pi_2^m \xi_1\} \otimes \pi_1 \wedge \pi_2$.
   - $\{\pi_1^n \pi_2^m \xi_1\} \otimes \pi_1 \wedge \xi_4$.
   - $\{\pi_1^n \pi_2^m \xi_1\} \otimes \xi_3 \wedge \xi_4$.

Here all the functions $\xi_i$ take non-zero values at $x$ and $\pi_i$ is a regular system of parameters.

(ii) The subgroup of strictly regular elements of $\Gamma_2(k(S),4)_2$ is generated by elements of the following form:
   - $\pi_1 \wedge \pi_2 \wedge \xi_3 \wedge \xi_4$.
   - $\pi_1 \wedge \xi_2 \wedge \xi_3 \wedge \xi_4$.
   - $\xi_1 \wedge \xi_2 \wedge \xi_3 \wedge \xi_4$.

The functions $\xi_i, \pi_i$ satisfy the same conditions as in item (i).

**Proof.** Follows from the fact that if $\pi_1, \pi_2$ is a regular system of parameters at $x$ then any function $f \in k(S)$ can be written in the form $\pi_1^n \pi_2^m \xi$, where $n, m \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\xi$ is a regular function at $x$ such that $\xi(x) \neq 0$.

The following result is a version of the classical Parshin reciprocity law for strictly regular elements (see [Par75, HK14, OZ11]).

**Theorem 4.8.** Let $S$ be a surface smooth at some point $x \in S$ and $j \in \{1, 2\}$. For any strictly regular element $b$ of the group $\Gamma_2(k(S),4)_j$ at $x$ the following sum is equal to zero:

$$\sum_{C \subset S, C \ni x} \partial^{(3)}_{\nu_{x,C}} \partial^{(4)}_{\nu_{C}}(b) = 0.$$  

Here the sum is taken over all irreducible curves $C \subset S$ containing the point $x$ and smooth at it, $\nu_C$ is the valuation corresponding to $C$ and $\nu_{x,C}$ is a valuation of the residue field $k(S)_{\nu_C} \cong k(C)$ corresponding to $x \in C$.

**Proof.** It is enough to prove this theorem for any of the generators from Lemma 4.7. We will only consider the most interesting case $(i), (a)$. We can assume that $S$ is a smooth surface, $x \in S$ and $\pi_1, \pi_2$ is a system of regular parameters at $x$. Passing to some open affine neighborhood of the point $x$, we can assume that the following conditions hold:

(i) the function $\xi_1$ is invertible and regular,

(ii) the functions $\pi_i$ are regular,

(iii) for any $i \in \{1, 2\}$ the divisor of the function $\pi_i$ is equal to some irreducible curve $C_i$ passing through $x$.

In general, if $X$ is a subvariety of an algebraic variety $Y$ and $f$ is a regular function on $Y$ we denote its restriction to $X$ by $f|_X$. Let $b = \{\pi_1^n \pi_2^m \xi_1\} \otimes \pi_1 \wedge \pi_2$. Obviously the only curves on $S$ satisfying $\partial^{(4)}_{\nu_C}(b) \neq 0$ are $C_1$ and $C_2$. Consider the following cases:

**Case** $n, m \neq 0$: In this case both of the tame-symbols $\partial^{(4)}_{\nu_{C_1}}(b)$ and $\partial^{(4)}_{\nu_{C_2}}(b)$ vanish and the statement is obvious.
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**Case** \( n \neq 0, m = 0 \) or \( m \neq 0, n = 0 \): Consider, say, the first case. Obviously,

\[
\partial^{(4)}_{\nu_{c_1}}(b) = 0.
\]

So it is enough to prove that \( \partial^{(3)}_{\nu_{c_2}c_2} \partial^{(4)}_{\nu_{c_2}}(b) = 0 \). This follows the following formula:

\[
\text{ord}_x((\pi^n_1 \xi_1)|_{c_2}) = n \neq 0.
\]

**Case** \( n = m = 0 \): In this case the statement follows from the following formula:

\[
\partial^{(3)}_{\nu_{c_1}c_1} \partial^{(4)}_{\nu_{c_1}}(b) = \partial^{(3)}_{\nu_{c_2}c_2} \partial^{(4)}_{\nu_{c_2}}(b) = \xi_1(x).
\]

We have the following corollary:

**Corollary 4.9.** Let \( L \in \textbf{Fields}_2 \) and \( j \in \{1, 2\} \). For any \( b \in \Gamma_2(L, 4)_j \) and all but finite \( \mu \in \text{dval}(L) \) the following sum is zero:

\[
\sum_{\mu' \in \text{val}(L)} \partial^{(3)}_{\mu'} \partial^{(4)}_{\mu}(b) = 0.
\]

Moreover the following sum is zero:

\[
\sum_{\mu \in \text{dval}(L)} \sum_{\mu' \in \text{val}(L)} \partial^{(3)}_{\mu'} \partial^{(4)}_{\mu}(b) = 0.
\]

This corollary can be interpreted as the statement that the composition of the vertical arrows in the following diagram is zero:

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\Gamma_2(L, 4)_1 & \xrightarrow{\delta_4} & \Gamma_2(L, 4)_2 \\
\oplus \Gamma_2(\mathcal{T}_\mu, 3)_1 & \xrightarrow{\delta_3} & \bigoplus \Gamma_2(\mathcal{T}_\mu, 3)_2 \\
\Gamma_2(k, 2)_1 & \xrightarrow{\delta_2} & \Gamma_2(k, 2)_2.
\end{array}
\]

**Proof.** Let \( S \) be an algebraic surface with \( k(S) \cong L \). Denote by \( \text{dval}(L)_S \) the subset of divisorial valuations coming from divisors on \( S \). Choose \( S \) in such a way that \( b \) would be strictly regular at all points of \( S \). Theorem 4.8 implies the following formula:

\[
\sum_{\mu \in \text{dval}(L)_S} \sum_{\mu' \in \text{val}(\mathcal{T}_\mu)} \partial^{(3)}_{\mu'} \partial^{(4)}_{\mu}(b) = 0.
\]

It remains to prove that for any \( \mu \in \text{dval}(L) \setminus \text{dval}(L)_S \) the following sum vanishes:

\[
\sum_{\mu' \in \text{val}(\mathcal{T}_\mu)} \partial^{(3)}_{\mu'} \partial^{(4)}_{\mu}(b) = 0.
\]

There is a birational morphism \( p: \tilde{S} \rightarrow S \) such that \( \mu \) is given by a divisor on \( \tilde{S} \) contracted under \( p \). The morphism \( p \) is a sequence of blow-ups \( p_m \circ \cdots \circ p_1, p_i: S_i \rightarrow S_{i-1}, S_m = \tilde{S}, S_0 = S \). Let \( D_i \subset S_i \) be the corresponding exceptional curve. Denote by \( \mu_i \) the corresponding valuation. It is
enough to show that for any $i$ the following formula holds:

$$\sum_{\mu' \in \val(L_{\mu i})} \partial_{\mu'}^{(3)} \partial_{\mu i}^{(4)}(b) = 0.$$ 

This formula follows from Theorem 4.8 for the element $b$ and the surfaces $S_i$ and $S_{i-1}$. \qed

### 4.3 Results of D.Rudenko

Results of this section in a different form are contained in [Rud21].

Let $F \in \textbf{Fields}_1$. A valuation $\nu \in \text{dval}(F(t))$ is called \textit{general} if it corresponds to some irreducible polynomial over $F$. The set of general valuations are in bijection with the set of all closed points on the affine line over $F$, which we denote by $\mathbb{A}^1_{F,(0)}$. A valuation is called \textit{special} if it is not general. Denote the set of general (resp. special) valuations by $\text{dval}(F(t))_{\text{gen}}$ (resp. $\text{dval}(F(t))_{\text{sp}}$). Denote by $\Gamma_2^sp(F(t), 4)_1$ the subgroup of $\Gamma_2(F(t), 4)_1$ lying in the kernel of all the maps $\partial_{\nu}^{(4)}$, where $\nu \in \text{dval}(F(t))_{\text{gen}}$.

The following theorem is the main result of this subsection:

**Theorem 4.10.** The following sequence is exact:

$$\Lambda^4 F^\times \oplus \Gamma_2^sp(F(t), 4)_1 \to \Lambda^4 F(t)^\times \to \bigoplus_{\nu \in \text{dval}(F(t))_{\text{gen}}} \Lambda^3 F(t)^\times_{\nu} \to 0. \quad (6)$$

Here the first component of the first map is the natural embedding, the second component is induced by $\delta_1$ and the second map is given by $(\partial_{\nu}^{(4)})_{\nu \in \text{dval}(F(t))_{\text{sp}}}$.

For a point $p \in \mathbb{A}^1_{F,(0)}$ denote by $f_p$ the corresponding monic irreducible polynomial over $F$. By definition, $\deg p = \deg f_p$. Denote by $F(p)$ its residue field and by $\nu_p$ the corresponding valuation.

**Lemma 4.11.** Let $m \geq 3$ be an integer. The following map is surjective:

$$\Gamma_2(F(t), m) \xrightarrow{\partial^{(m)}_{\nu_p}} \bigoplus_{p \in \mathbb{A}^1_{F,(0)}} \Gamma_2(F(p), m - 1).$$

The proof of this lemma is completely similar to the proof of surjectivity in the Bass-Tate exact sequence for Milnor $K$-theory [Mil70, BT73].

**Proof.** Denote by $\Gamma_2(F(t), m)_{\leq d}$ the set of elements lying in the kernels of all the maps $\partial^{(m)}_{\nu_p}$ with $\deg P > d$. It is enough to prove that for any $d \geq 0$ the following map is surjective:

$$\Gamma_2(F(t), m)_{\leq d} \to \bigoplus_{p \in \mathbb{A}^1_{F,(0)} \atop \deg p \leq d} \Gamma_2(F(p), m - 1).$$

The proof is by induction on $d$. The case $d = -1$ is trivial. Let us prove the inductive step. It is enough to show that for any $a \in \Gamma_2(F(p), m - 1)$, $j \in \{1, 2\}$ there is an element $\tilde{a} \in \Gamma_2(F(x), m)_{j}$ with the following properties:

(i) for any $p' \neq p$ with $\deg p' \geq \deg p$ we have

$$\partial^{(m)}_{\nu_{p'}}(\tilde{a}) = 0.$$
(ii) We have $\partial^{(m)}_{v_p}(\tilde{a}) = a$.

For an element $\xi \in F(p)$ there is a unique polynomial $l_p(\xi)$ of degree $< \deg p$ such that the image of $l_p(\xi)$ under the natural projection $F[x] \to F[x]/f_p \cong F(p)$ is equal to $\xi$.

The following formulas for $\tilde{a}$ are taken from [Rud21, Section 5.2].

**Case** $j = 1$ Choose a representation $a = \sum_{\alpha} n_{\alpha} \cdot (\{l_P(\xi_1^\alpha)\}_2 \otimes \xi_3^\alpha \wedge \cdots \wedge l_P(\xi_{m-1}^\alpha))$. Define the element $\tilde{a}$ by the formula

$$\tilde{a} = \sum_{\alpha} n_{\alpha} \cdot (\{l_P(\xi_1^\alpha)\}_2 \otimes f_p \wedge l_P(\xi_3^\alpha) \wedge \cdots \wedge l_P(\xi_{m-1}^\alpha)).$$

**Case** $j = 2$ Choose a representation $a = \sum_{\alpha} n_{\alpha} \cdot (\xi_1^\alpha \wedge \cdots \wedge \xi_{m-1}^\alpha)$. The element $\tilde{a}$ is defined by the formula

$$\tilde{a} = \sum_{\alpha} n_{\alpha} \cdot (f_p \wedge l_P(\xi_1^\alpha) \wedge \cdots \wedge l_P(\xi_{m-1}^\alpha)).$$

It is easy to see that these elements satisfy the conditions stated above.

**Proposition 4.12.** The following sequence is exact for $j = 2$ and exact in the third term for $j = 1$:

$$0 \to H^j(\Gamma(F, 4)) \to H^j(\Gamma(F(t), 4)) \xrightarrow{(\partial^{(4)}_{p})} \bigoplus_{p \in \mathbb{A}_F^1} H^j(\Gamma(F(p), 3)) \to 0. \quad (7)$$

**Proof.** The case $j = 2$ was proven in [Mil70] (see also [BT73]). The exactness in the last term for $j = 1$ is a particular case of the main result of [Rud21].

**The proof of Theorem 4.10.** We need to prove that the following sequence is exact:

$$\Lambda^4 F^\times \oplus \Gamma_2^{sp}(F(t), 4) \to \Lambda^4 F(t)^\times \to \bigoplus_{p \in \mathbb{A}_F^1} \Lambda^3 F(p)^\times \to 0. \quad (8)$$

Consider the following double complex:

$$\begin{array}{c}
\Gamma_2(F, 4) \xrightarrow{\delta_4} \Gamma_2(F, 4) \\
\downarrow \quad \downarrow \\
\Gamma_2(F(t), 4) \xrightarrow{\delta_4} \Gamma_2(F(t), 4) \\
\downarrow \quad \downarrow \\
\bigoplus_{p \in \mathbb{A}_F^1} \Gamma_2(F(p), 3)  \\ \oplus_{p \in \mathbb{A}_F^1} \Gamma_2(F(p), 3). \\
\end{array}$$

Denote by $Tot$ the total complex placed in degrees $[1, 4]$. Using Proposition 4.12, the spectral sequence argument shows that $Tot$ has no cohomology in degree 3. It follows that the sequence (8) is exact in the second term. The exactness in the third term follows from Lemma 4.11 for $m = 4$. \(\square\)
5. The proof of Theorem 3.7

5.1 Systems of lifted reciprocity maps

**Definition 5.1.** Let $L \in \text{Fields}_2$. A pre-system of lifted reciprocity maps $\sigma$ on $L$ is a choice of a lifted reciprocity map $\sigma_\nu$ on the field $L_\nu$ for any $\nu \in \text{dval}(L)$.

We have the following lemma:

**Lemma 5.2.** Let $L \in \text{Fields}_2$. For any $b \in \Lambda^4 L^\times$ and all but finite number of $\nu \in \text{dval}(L)$ the element $\partial_\nu^{(4)}(b)$ belongs to the image of the map $\mathcal{T}_\nu^\times \otimes \Lambda^2 k^\times \to \Lambda^3 \mathcal{T}_\nu^\times$.

In particular, if $\sigma$ is a pre-system of lifted reciprocity maps on $L$ then for any $b \in \Lambda^4 L^\times$ and all but finite number of $\nu \in \text{dval}(L)$ we have $\sigma_\nu \partial_\nu^{(4)}(b) = 0$.

**Proof.** Choose a smooth proper algebraic surface $S$ such that $L = k(S)$ and $b$ is strictly regular at all points of $S$. Let $\nu \in \text{dval}(L) \setminus \text{dval}(L)_S$. We claim that $\partial_\nu^{(4)}(b)$ lies in the subgroup indicated by the lemma. Let $p: \tilde{S} \to S$ be a birational morphism, such that $\nu$ correspond to some irreducible divisor $D$ on $\tilde{S}$. Obviously, $D$ is contracted under $p$. Denote by $x \in S$ its image. We use Lemma 4.7 for the surface $S$, the point $x$ and the element $b$. The restriction of all the functions $p^*(\xi_i)$ to $D$ lie in $k^\times$. Now the statement follows from the definition of the tame-symbol. 

It follows from the previous lemma that for any pre-system of lifted reciprocity maps on $L$ and $b \in \Lambda^4 L^\times$ the following sum is well-defined:

$$\sum_{\nu \in \text{dval}(L)} \sigma_\nu \partial_\nu^{(4)}(b).$$

**Definition 5.3.** A pre-system of lifted reciprocity maps is called a system of lifted reciprocity maps if this sum is zero for any $b \in \Lambda^4 L^\times$.

Define a functor

$$\text{SLRM}: \text{Fields}_2 \to \text{Set}.$$ 

On objects it is equal to the set of all systems of lifted reciprocity maps. On morphisms, it is defined as follows. Assume that $j: L \hookrightarrow M$ be an embedding of fields and $\sigma$ is a system of lifted reciprocity maps on $M$. Define a system of lifted reciprocity maps $\text{SLRM}(j)(\sigma)$ on $L$ by the following formula:

$$\text{SLRM}(j)(\sigma)_\nu = \frac{1}{[M : L]} \sum_{\nu' \in \text{ext}(\nu, M)} e_{\nu'|\nu} f_{\nu'|\nu} \text{LRM}(j_{\nu'|\nu})(\sigma_{\nu'}).$$

We recall that $e_{\nu'|\nu}$, $f_{\nu'|\nu}$ and $j_{\nu'|\nu}$ was defined in Subsection 2.2. The proof of the fact that SLRM is indeed a functor is similar to the proof of Proposition 4.1.

Denote by

$$Rf: \text{Fields}_1 \to \text{Fields}_2$$

a functor given by the formula $F \mapsto F(t)$.

Define the natural transformation $\text{res}: \text{SLRM} \circ Rf \to \text{LRM}$ as follows. We need to define a map $\text{res}_F: \text{SLRM}(F(t)) \to \text{LRM}(F)$ for any $F \in \text{Fields}_1$. We set $\text{res}_F(\sigma) = \sigma_{\nu_\infty}$, where $\nu_\infty$ is the valuation corresponding to the point $\infty \in \mathbb{P}^1_F$. It is not difficult to show that $\text{res}$ is indeed a natural transformation.

Here is the main result of this subsection:
5.2 Proof of Theorem 5.4

Lemma 5.5. Let $F \in \text{Fields}_1$. For any lifted reciprocity map $h$ on the field $F$ there is a system of lifted reciprocity maps $\sigma$ on the field $F(t)$ such that $\text{res}(\sigma) = h$.

Set $L = F(t)$. We need to show that for any lifted reciprocity map $h$ on $F$ there is a system of lifted reciprocity maps $\sigma$ on $L$ satisfying $\text{res}_F(\sigma) = h$. First, for any $\nu \in \text{dval}(L)$ we construct a map $\sigma_\nu : \Lambda_3^{T_\nu} \to B_2(k)$. Then we will show that in this way we get a system of lifted reciprocity maps.

Let $\nu$ be special. If $\nu = \nu_\infty$ then define $\sigma_{\nu_\infty} = h$ (here we have used the identification of $T_{\nu_\infty}$ with $F$). In the other case we have $F(t_\nu) \simeq k(t)$. In this case define $\sigma_\nu$ to be a unique lifted reciprocity map from Proposition 4.2.

We have defined $\sigma_\nu$ for any $\nu \in \text{dval}(L)_{sp}$. Define the map $H : \Lambda^4 L^\times \to B_2(k)$ by the following formula:

$$H(b) = - \sum_{\nu \in \text{dval}(L)_{sp}} \sigma_\nu \delta_\nu^{(4)}(b).$$

This sum is well-defined by lemma 5.2.

Lemma 5.6. The map $H$ is zero on the image of the map

$$\Lambda^4 F^\times \oplus \Gamma^{sp}_2(L, 4)_1 \to \Lambda^4 L^\times.$$

Here the first map is the natural embedding and the second map is induced by $\delta_4$.

Proof of lemma 5.6

(i) Direct computation shows that for any $a \in \Lambda^4 F^\times$ and any $\nu \in \text{dval}(L)$ the element $\delta_\nu^{(4)}(a)$ lies in the subgroup $\Lambda_3^k \subset \Lambda_3^{T_\nu}$. It follows that the map $H$ vanishes on the image of the group $\Lambda^4 F^\times$.

(ii) Let us prove that $H$ is zero on the image of the group $\Gamma^{sp}_2(L, 4)_1$. Consider the following commutative diagram:

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
\Gamma_2(L, 4)_1 & \longrightarrow & \Gamma_2(L, 4)_2 \\
\oplus \Gamma_2(T_\nu, 3)_1 & \longrightarrow & \oplus \Gamma_2(T_\nu, 3)_2 \\
\sum \delta^{(3)}_\nu & \longrightarrow & \sum \sigma_\nu & \longrightarrow & \sum \delta^{(3)}_\nu \\
\Gamma_2(k, 2)_1 & \longrightarrow & \Gamma_2(k, 2)_2.
\end{array}
$$

(9)

For any $b \in \Gamma^{sp}_2(L, 4)_1$ we get

$$\sum_{\nu \in \text{dval}(L)_{sp}} \sigma_\nu \delta^{(4)}_\nu \delta_4(b) = \sum_{\nu \in \text{dval}(L)_{sp}} \sum_{\nu' \in \text{val}(T_\nu)} \delta^{(3)}_\nu' \delta^{(4)}_\nu(b).$$

So by definition of $H$, we obtain:
\[ H(\delta_4(b)) = - \sum_{\nu \in \text{dval}(L)_{sp}} \sigma_{\nu} \partial^{(4)}_{\nu} \delta_4(b) = - \sum_{\nu \in \text{dval}(L)_{sp}} \sum_{\nu' \in \text{val}(L_{\nu})} \partial^{(3)}_{\nu'} \partial^{(4)}_{\nu}(b) = \]

\[ = - \sum_{\nu \in \text{dval}(L)} \sum_{\nu' \in \text{val}(L_{\nu})} \partial^{(3)}_{\nu'} \partial^{(4)}_{\nu}(b) = 0. \tag{10} \]

Here the third equality holds because the element \( b \) lies in the group \( \Gamma_{sp}^1(L,4) \) and has residues only to special valuations. The fourth equality follows from Corollary 4.9.

Therefore thanks to Theorem 4.10 we get a well-defined map

\[ \bigoplus_{\nu \in \text{dval}(L)_{gen}} \Lambda_3^{T_{\nu}^\times} \rightarrow B_2(k). \]

For any \( \nu \in \text{dval}(L)_{gen} \), define \( \sigma_{\nu} \) to be the restriction of this map to the subgroup \( \Lambda_3^{T_{\nu}^\times} \).

For \( j \in \{1,2\} \), \( \nu \in \text{dval}(L)_{gen} \) and \( a \in \Gamma_2(T_{\nu},3)_j \) denote by \( L(a) \) the set of elements \( b \in \Gamma_2(L,4)_j \) such that \( \partial^{(4)}_{\nu}(b) = a \) and \( \partial^{(4)}_{\nu'} = 0 \) for \( \nu' \neq \nu, \nu' \in \text{dval}(L)_{gen} \). This set is non-empty by Lemma 4.11.

**Lemma 5.7.** Let \( \nu \in \text{dval}(L)_{gen} \) and \( a \in \Lambda_3^{T_{\nu}^\times} \). For any \( b \in L(a) \) the element \( \sigma_{\nu}(a) \) is equal to \( H(b) \).

**Proof.** Follows from the definition of \( \sigma_{\nu} \). \[ \square \]

**Lemma 5.8.** Let \( j \in \{1,2\} \), \( \nu \in \text{dval}(L), a \in \Gamma_2(T_{\nu},3)_j \) and \( b \in L(a) \). The following formula holds:

\[ \sum_{\mu' \in \text{val}(T_{\nu})} \partial^{(3)}_{\mu'}(a) = - \sum_{\mu \in \text{dval}(L)_{sp}} \sum_{\mu' \in \text{val}(T_{\mu})} \partial^{(3)}_{\mu'} \partial^{(4)}_{\mu}(b). \]

**Proof.** Corollary 4.9 implies the following formula:

\[ \sum_{\mu \in \text{dval}(L)} \sum_{\mu' \in \text{val}(T_{\mu})} \partial^{(3)}_{\mu'} \partial^{(4)}_{\mu}(b) = 0. \]

From the other side:

\[ \sum_{\mu \in \text{dval}(L)} \sum_{\mu' \in \text{val}(T_{\mu})} \partial^{(3)}_{\mu'} \partial^{(4)}_{\mu}(b) = \]

\[ = \sum_{\mu' \in \text{val}(T_{\nu})} \partial^{(3)}_{\mu'}(a) + \sum_{\mu \in \text{dval}(L)_{sp}} \sum_{\mu' \in \text{val}(T_{\mu})} \partial^{(3)}_{\mu'} \partial^{(4)}_{\mu}(b). \]

The statement of the lemma follows. \[ \square \]

**Lemma 5.9.** For any \( \sigma \in \text{dval}(L), \sigma_{\nu} \) is a lifted reciprocity map.
Proof. Let us show that the following diagram is commutative:

\[ B_2(\mathcal{L}_\nu) \otimes \mathcal{T}_{\nu}^\times \xrightarrow{\delta_3} \Lambda^3 \mathcal{T}_{\nu}^\times \]

\[ B_2(k) \xrightarrow{\delta_2} \Lambda^2(k^\times). \]

The lower right triangle Let \( a \in \Lambda^3 \mathcal{T}_{\nu}^\times \). Choose some \( b \in \mathcal{L}(a) \). We have:

\[
\sum_{\mu' \in \text{val}(\mathcal{T}_{\nu})} \partial^{(3)}_{\mu'}(a) = \sum_{\mu \in \text{dval}(L)_{sp} \atop \mu' \in \text{val}(\mathcal{T}_{\nu})} \partial^{(3)}_{\mu'} \partial^{(4)}_{\mu'}(b) \quad \text{(by Lemma 5.8)}
\]

\[ = - \sum_{\mu \in \text{dval}(L)_{sp}} \delta_2 \sigma_\mu \partial^{(4)}_{\mu}(b) \quad \text{(because \( \sigma_\mu \) is a lifted reciprocity map)}
\]

\[ = - \delta_2 H(b) \quad \text{(follows from the definition of the map \( H \))}
\]

\[ = \delta_2 \sigma_\nu(a) \quad \text{(by Lemma 5.7).} \]

The upper left triangle Let \( a_1 \in \Gamma_2(\mathcal{T}_{\nu}, 3)_1 \). Choose \( b_1 \in \mathcal{L}(a_1) \). We have:

\[
\sum_{\mu' \in \text{val}(\mathcal{T}_{\nu})} \partial^{(3)}_{\mu'}(a_1) = \sum_{\mu \in \text{dval}(L)_{sp} \atop \mu' \in \text{val}(\mathcal{T}_{\nu})} \partial^{(3)}_{\mu'} \partial^{(4)}_{\mu'}(b_1) \quad \text{(by Lemma 5.8)}
\]

\[ = - \sum_{\mu \in \text{dval}(L)_{sp}} \sigma_\mu \delta_3 \partial^{(4)}_{\mu}(b_1) \quad \text{(because \( \sigma_\mu \) is a lifted reciprocity map)}
\]

\[ = - \sum_{\mu \in \text{dval}(L)_{sp}} \sigma_\mu \partial^{(4)}_{\mu} \delta_4(b_1) \quad \text{(because \( \partial^{(4)}_{\mu} \) is a morphism of complexes)}
\]

\[ = H(\delta_4(b_1)) \quad \text{(follows from the definition of the map \( H \))}
\]

\[ = \sigma_\nu \delta_3(a_1) \quad \text{(by Lemma 5.7 and because \( \delta_4(b_1) \in \mathcal{L}(\delta_3(a_1)) \)).} \]

To prove that \( \sigma_\nu \) is a lifted reciprocity map it remains to show that it vanishes on elements of the form \( a \wedge c, a \in \Lambda^2 \mathcal{T}_{\nu}^\times, c \in k^\times \). Lemma 4.11 for \( m = 3 \) in the degree 2 implies that there is \( b \in \Lambda^2 k^\times \) such that \( \partial^{(3)}_{\nu}(b) = a \) and \( \partial^{(3)}_{\nu'}(b) = 0 \) for any \( \nu \neq \nu', \nu' \in \text{dval}(L)_{gen} \). It follows that \( b \wedge c \in \mathcal{L}(a \wedge c) \). Now we have:

\[ \sigma_\nu(a \wedge c) = H(b \wedge c) \quad \text{(by Lemma 5.7 and because \( b \wedge c \in \mathcal{L}(a \wedge c) \))}
\]

\[ = - \sum_{\mu \in \text{dval}(L)_{sp}} \sigma_\mu \partial^{(4)}_{\mu}(b \wedge c) \quad \text{(by the definition of \( H \))}
\]

\[ = - \sum_{\mu \in \text{dval}(L)_{sp}} \sigma_\mu (\partial^{(3)}_{\mu}(b) \wedge c) \quad \text{(by the property of \( \partial^{(4)}_{\mu} \))}
\]

\[ = 0 \quad \text{(because \( \sigma_\mu \) is a lifted reciprocity map).} \]

So we have proved that \( \sigma_\nu \) is a lifted reciprocity map. \( \square \)

Proof of Lemma 5.5. Let us prove that \( \sigma \) is a system of lifted reciprocity maps. We need to show that for any \( b \in \Lambda^4 k^\times \) the following formula holds:

\[
\sum_{\nu \in \text{dval}(L)} \sigma_\nu \partial^{(4)}_{\nu}(b) = 0. \quad (11)
\]
By Theorem 5.10 the group $\Lambda^4 L^\times$ is generated by the subsets $L(a), a = \Lambda^3 T_\nu, \nu \in \text{dval}(L)_{\text{gen}}$. So we can assume that $b \in L(a)$ for some $a = \Lambda^3 T_\nu$. In this case formula (11) follows from the definition of $\sigma_\nu$.

**Proof of Theorem 5.4.** To prove that $\text{res}$ is an isomorphism of functors, we need to show that for any $F \in \text{Fields}_1$ the map

$$\text{res}_F : \text{SLRM}(F(t)) \to \text{LRM}(F)$$

is a bijection. By Lemma 5.5 this map is surjective. So we need to show that it is injective.

Assume that $h$ is a system of lifted reciprocity maps on $F$, and $\sigma, \sigma'$ be two systems of lifted reciprocity maps on $L$ satisfying $\text{res}(\sigma) = \text{res}(\sigma') = h$. We need to show that $\sigma = \sigma'$.

By definition $\sigma_{\nu_\infty} = \sigma'_{\nu_\infty} = h$. If $\nu$ is special valuation different from $\nu_\infty$ then $T_\nu \cong k(t)$, and so by lemma 4.2 there is a unique lifted reciprocity map on $T_\nu$. We conclude that when $\nu$ is special $\sigma_\nu = \sigma'_\nu$.

Let $\nu \in L$ be an arbitrary element of $\text{dval}(L)_{\text{gen}}$. It remains to show that for any $a \in \Lambda^3 T_\nu^\times$ we have $\sigma_\nu(a) = \sigma'_\nu(a)$.

Choose some $b \in L(a)$. By definition of $b$ and the fact that $\sigma$ is a system of lifted reciprocity maps we have:

$$\sigma_\nu(a) + \sum_{\mu \in \text{dval}(L)_{sp}} \sigma_\mu \partial^{(4)}_\mu(b) = 0.$$  

So

$$\sigma_\nu(a) = - \sum_{\mu \in \text{dval}(L)_{sp}} \sigma_\mu \partial^{(4)}_\mu(b).$$

In the same way

$$\sigma'_\nu(a) = - \sum_{\mu \in \text{dval}(L)_{sp}} \sigma'_\mu \partial^{(4)}_\mu(b).$$

The right hand side of the last two formulas coincide because $\sigma$ and $\sigma'$ coincide on special valuations. We conclude that $\sigma_\nu(a) = \sigma'_\nu(a)$ as well.

**5.3 The proof of Theorem 3.7**

In this subsection we will use Theorem 5.4 to construct the norm map on lifted reciprocity maps. We follow ideas from [Sus79 §1] (see also Mil70, BT73, Kat80).

Since $\text{res}$ is an isomorphism of functors, it has an inverse. Denote it by $N$. Let $F \in \text{Fields}_1$ and $\nu \in \text{dval}(F(t))$. Define the norm map $N_\nu : \text{LRM}(F) \to \text{LRM}(F(t)_\nu)$ by the formula $N_\nu(h) = N_F(h)_\nu$.

Let $j : F \hookrightarrow K$ be an extension of some fields from $\text{Fields}_1$. Let $a$ be some generator of $K$ over $F$. Consider a map $F[t] \to K$ given by the formula $p(t) \mapsto p(a)$. The kernel of this map is an irreducible polynomial $p_a$ over $F$. Denote by $\nu_a$ the corresponding valuation. The residue field $F(t)_{\nu_a}$ is canonically isomorphic to $K$. So we get a map $N_{\nu_a} : \text{LRM}(F) \to \text{LRM}(K)$, which we denote by $N_{K/F,a}$. This map is called the norm map. We will show that this map does not depend on $a$.

As a corollary from Theorem 5.4 we get the following lemma:

**Lemma 5.10.** (i) Let $j : F \hookrightarrow K$ be an extension from $\text{Fields}_1$, $\nu \in \text{dval}(F(t))$ and $n = [K : F]$.

The following diagram is commutative:
(ii) Let $j : F_1 \subset K, F_1 \subset F_2$, be an extensions and $F_2 \otimes_{F_1} K = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{m} F_{2,i}$. Denote by $j_i$ the natural embedding $F_2 \hookrightarrow F_{2,i}$. Let $n = [K : F_1]$ and $n_i = [F_{2,i} : F_2]$. Let $a$ be generator of $F_2$ over $F_1$. Denote by $a_i$ the corresponding generators of $F_{2,i}$ over $K$. The following diagram is commutative:

$$
\begin{array}{c}
\text{LRM}(F) \xrightarrow{N_{F_2/F_1,a}} \text{LRM}(F_2) \\
\text{LRM}(j) \downarrow \quad \downarrow \text{SLRM}(j) \\
\text{LRM}(K) \xrightarrow{(N_{F_2/K,a_i})} \bigoplus_{i=1}^{m} \text{LRM}(F_{2,i}).
\end{array}
$$

Proof. (i) Denote by $j'$ the embedding $F(t) \hookrightarrow K(t)$. Since $\mathcal{N}$ is a natural transformation of functors, the following diagram is commutative:

$$
\begin{array}{c}
\text{LRM}(F) \xrightarrow{N_{F}} \text{LRM}(F(t)) \\
\text{LRM}(j) \downarrow \quad \downarrow \text{SLRM}(j') \\
\text{LRM}(K) \xrightarrow{N_{K}} \text{LRM}(K(t)).
\end{array}
$$

So for any $h_K \in \text{LRM}(K)$ and any $\nu \in \text{dval}(F(t))$, we get the following identity:

$$
\mathcal{N}_F(\text{LRM}(j)(h_K))_\nu = \text{SLRM}(j')(\mathcal{N}_{K}(h_K))_\nu.
$$

Writing out, we get:

$$
\mathcal{N}_F(\text{LRM}(j)(h_K))_\nu = N_\nu(\text{LRM}(j)(h_K)),
$$

$$
\text{SLRM}(j')(\mathcal{N}_{K}(h_K))_\nu = \sum_{\nu' \in \text{dval}(K(t))} \frac{e_{\nu'|\nu}f_{\nu'|\nu}}{n} \text{LRM}(j_{\nu'|\nu}) (\mathcal{N}_{K}(h_K))_{\nu'} =
$$

$$
= \sum_{\nu' \in \text{dval}(K(t))} \frac{e_{\nu'|\nu}f_{\nu'|\nu}}{n} \text{LRM}(j_{\nu'|\nu}) (\mathcal{N}_{K}(h_K)).
$$

Here, in the first formula we have used the definition of the functor SLRM. The statement follows.

(ii) Let $p_a$ be the minimal polynomial of $a$ over $F_1$. We apply the previous statement for $F = F_1, K = K$ and $\nu$ corresponding to $p_a$. Let $p_a = \prod_{i}^{l} p_{a,i}$ be the decomposition of $P$ in the field $K(t)$. The set $\text{ext}(\nu, K(t))$ are in bijection with the irreducible factors of $p_a$ in $K(t)$. Denote by $\nu_i \in \text{ext}(\nu, K(t))$ the valuation corresponding to $p_{a,i}$. We have $F_{2,i} \cong K(t)_{\nu_i}$. The embeddings $j_i$ correspond to the embeddings $j_{\nu_i|\nu}$. Since the polynomial $p_a$ is separable, we
have $f_{\nu'|\nu} = 1$, and so $e_{\nu'|\nu} f_{\nu'|\nu} = [F_{2,i} : F_2]$. So the diagram (12) can be identified with (13).

**Lemma 5.11.** We have $\text{LRM}(j) \circ N_{F_2/F_1,a} = id$. In particular if $F_1 = F_2$ then $N_{F_2/F_1,a}$ is identical and for any $j$: $F_1 \hookrightarrow F_2$ the map $\text{LRM}(j)$ is surjective.

**Proof.** Let $h \in \text{LRM}(F_1)$, $\sigma = N_{F_2}(h)$ and $x \in \Lambda^3 F_1$. Consider the element $b = p_a \wedge x \in \Lambda^4 F_1(t)^\times$, where $p_a$ is the minimal polynomial of $a$ over $F_1$. Since $\sigma$ is a system of lifted reciprocity maps, we have:

$$\sum_{\nu \in \text{dval}(F(t))_{\text{gen}}} \sigma_{\nu'} \partial^{(4)}_{\nu}(b) + \sum_{\nu \in \text{dval}(F(t))_{\text{sp}}} \sigma_{\nu} \partial^{(4)}_{\nu}(b) = 0.$$  

We have $\partial^{(4)}_{\nu}(a) = x$ and this this is the only general valuation satisfying $\partial^{(4)}_{\nu}(b) \neq 0$. So by the definition of the norm map, the first term is equal to $N_{F_2/F_1,a}(h)(x)$. From the other side it is easy to see that there is only one special valuation $\nu$ such that $\sigma_{\nu} \partial^{(4)}_{\nu}(b) \neq 0$, namely $\nu_{\infty}$. We have $\partial^{(4)}_{\infty}(b) = -na$. The statement follows.

**Proposition 5.12.** The map $N_{F_2/F_1,a}$ does not depend on $a$. Denote $N_{F_2/F_1,a}$ simply by $N_{F_2/F_1}$.

**Proof.** We need to show that $N_{F_2/F_1,a}$ does not depend on $a$. Let $j$: $F_1 \hookrightarrow K$ be a field extension of $F_1$ satisfying $F_2 \otimes_{F_1} K \cong K^{[F_2,F_1]}$. We apply the second statement of Lemma 5.10. By definition of $K$ for any $n$ we have $F_{2,i} \cong K$. By Lemma 5.11 the maps $N_{F_{2,i}/K,a_i}$ are identical. We conclude that in the diagram from Lemma 5.10 all the maps except maybe $N_{F_2/F_1,a}$ do not depend on $a$. So the map $N_{F_2/F_1,a}$ does not depend on $a$ on the image of $\text{LRM}(j)$. By the previous lemma this image coincides with $\text{LRM}(F_1)$.

**Proposition 5.13.** If $F_1 \subset F_2 \subset F_3$ is a tower of extension from Fields then $N_{F_3/F_1} = N_{F_3/F_2} \circ N_{F_2/F_1}$.

**Proof.** Choose a field extension $j$: $F_1 \hookrightarrow K$. Denote $F_2 \otimes_{F_1} K \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^n F_{2,i}$ and $F_3 \otimes_{F_2} F_{2,i} \cong \bigoplus_{s=1}^n F_{3,i,s}$. By associativity of tensor product we have $F_3 \otimes_{F_2} K \cong \bigoplus_{i,s} F_{3,i,s}$. Denote by $j_{i,s}$ the natural embeddings $F_3 \hookrightarrow F_{3,i,s}$. Let $n_{i,s} = [F_{3,i,s} : F_3]$. Let $n$ be the degree of $F_3$ over $F_1$. Repeated application of Lemma 5.10 together with Proposition 5.12 shows that the following diagram is commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\text{LRM}(F_1) & \xrightarrow{N_{F_3/F_2} \circ N_{F_2/F_1}} & \text{LRM}(F_3) \\
\text{LRM}(j) & & \text{LRM}(j_{i,s}) \\
\text{LRM}(K) & \xrightarrow{(N_{F_{3,i,s}/F_2,j_{i,s}} \circ N_{F_2/F_1})} & \bigoplus_{i,s} \text{LRM}(F_{3,i,s}).
\end{array}$$

Choose $K$ such that $F_3 \otimes_{F_2} K \cong K^{[F_3:F_1]}$. It follows that $F_{3,i,s} \cong F_{2,i} \cong K$. So the bottom maps in the above diagram are identical. Let us compare this diagram with diagram (13) for $F_2 = F_3$. We see that the left, right and bottom maps are the same. Since LRM($j$) is surjective, the statement of the proposition follows.

**Proposition 5.14.** For any $a, b \in F\setminus k$ we have $N_{F(k,a)}(\mathcal{H}_{k(a)}) = N_{F(k,b)}(\mathcal{H}_{k(b)})$. 
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In particular, the element $\mathcal{H}_F := N_{F/k(a)}(\mathcal{H}_{k(a)})$ does not depend on $a \in F \setminus k$.

Proof. Let $a, b \in F \setminus k$ be two functions generating $F$ over $k$. We first prove the statement for the functions satisfying this condition.

Let $p_b \in k(a)[t]$ be the minimal polynomial of $b \in F$ over $k(a)$. Multiplying $p_a$ on some rational function of $a$ we can assume that $p_a$ lies in $k[a, t]$, and that $p_a$ is irreducible as a polynomial in two variables. Denote this polynomial by $h(a, t)$. It is easy to see that the polynomial $h'(b, t)$ given by the formula $h'(b, t) = h(t, b)$ is a minimal polynomial of $a$ over $k(b)$.

Let $A = k[x][y]$ and $L = k(x)(y)$. If we consider the polynomials $h, h'$ as polynomials in $x, y$ they give two elements $\nu, \nu'$ in $\text{dval}(L)$.

By Theorem 5.4 and Theorem 4.2 on the field $L$ there is a unique system of lifted reciprocity maps. Denote it by $\sigma$. Denote by $\lambda$ an automorphism of $L$ interchanging $x$ and $y$. Since $\sigma$ is a unique system of lifted reciprocity maps on $L$, it is invariant under $\lambda$. Since $\lambda$ interchanges $\nu$ and $\nu'$, it induces a map $\lambda : \mathcal{T}_\nu \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_{\nu'}$. Because $\sigma$ is invariant under $\lambda$, we have $LRM(\lambda^{-1})(\sigma_{\nu'}) = \sigma_{\nu}$.

A map $A \rightarrow F$ given by the formula $x \mapsto a, y \mapsto b$ induces an isomorphism $\theta : \mathcal{T}_\nu \rightarrow F$. In the same way a map $A \rightarrow F$ given by the formula $x \mapsto b, y \mapsto a$ induces an isomorphism of $\theta' : \mathcal{T}_{\nu'} \rightarrow F$. Since $\theta = \theta' \circ \lambda$, we have
\[
LRM(\theta^{-1})(\sigma_{\nu'}) = LRM(\lambda^{-1} \circ \theta'^{-1})(\sigma_{\nu'}) = LRM(\lambda^{-1})(\sigma_{\nu'}) = \lambda(\sigma_{\nu}).
\]
Here in the last formula we have used the formula $LRM(\lambda)(\sigma_{\nu'}) = \sigma_{\nu}$. By definition $N_{F/k(a), b} = LRM(\lambda^{-1})(\sigma_{\nu})$ and $N_{F/k(b), a} = LRM(\theta^{-1})(\sigma_{\nu'})$. So we have proved that $N_{F/k(a), b}(\mathcal{H}_{k(a)}) = N_{F/k(b), a}(\mathcal{H}_{k(b)})$.

By Proposition 5.12 we have
\[
N_{F/k(a), b} = N_{F/k(a)}, \quad N_{F/k(b), a} = N_{F/k(b)}.
\]
So we have proved that for any $a, b \in F \setminus k$ generating $F$ over $k$ we have
\[
N_{F/k(a)}(\mathcal{H}_{k(a)}) = N_{F/k(b)}(\mathcal{H}_{k(b)}).
\]
Now the statement follows from the following fact: for any $a, b \in F \setminus k$, there is $c \in F \setminus k$ such that the pairs $(a, c), (b, c)$ generate $F$ over $k$.

Theorem 3.7 follows directly from Lemma 5.11, Proposition 5.12, Proposition 5.13 and Proposition 5.14.

6. The proof of Theorem 3.1 and Corollary 3.6

Proof of Theorem 3.1: Existence Let $F \in \textbf{Fields}_1$. Choose some embedding $j : k(t) \hookrightarrow F$.

Define the element $\mathcal{H}_F$ by the formula $\mathcal{H}_F := N_{F/k(t)}(\mathcal{H}_{k(t)})$. By the third statement of Theorem 3.7 this element does not depend on $j$. We need to show that if $j' : F_1 \rightarrow F_2$ is an embedding, then $LRM(j')(\mathcal{H}_{F_2}) = \mathcal{H}_{F_1}$.

\[
LRM(j')(\mathcal{H}_{F_2}) = \mathcal{H}_{F_1}.
\]
It follows from the first and the second statement of the same theorem:
\[
\text{LRM}(j')(\mathcal{H}_{F_2}) = \text{LRM}(j')N_{F_2/k(t)}(\mathcal{H}_{k(t)}) = \text{LRM}(j')N_{F_2/F_1}N_{F_1/k(t)}(\mathcal{H}_{k(t)}) = (\text{LRM}(j') \circ N_{F_2/F_1})(N_{F_1/k(t)}\mathcal{H}_{k(t)}) = \mathcal{H}_{F_1}.
\]

**Uniqueness** Let \( \mathcal{H}_F, \mathcal{H}'_F, F \in \text{Fields}_1 \) be two family of lifted reciprocity maps such that for any \( j : F_1 \leftrightarrow F_2 \) we have \( \text{LRM}(j)(\mathcal{H}_{F_2}) = \mathcal{H}_{F_1} \) and \( \text{LRM}(j)(\mathcal{H}'_{F_2}) = \mathcal{H}'_{F_1} \). We need to show that \( \mathcal{H}_F = \mathcal{H}'_F \) for any \( F \in \text{Fields}_1 \). First of all it is true when \( F = k(t) \). Let \( F \) be any field. There is a field \( F' \in \text{Fields}_1 \) together with two embeddings \( F \subset F', k(t) \subset F' \) such that \( F'/k(t) \) is Galois. It is enough to prove the statement for \( F' \). Denote by \( G \) the Galois group of \( F' \) over \( k(t) \). Since \( \mathcal{H}_{F'} \) and \( \mathcal{H}'_{F'} \) are invariant under the group \( G \), it is enough to prove that they equal on the subgroup \((\Lambda^3 F'^x)^G\). We know that \((K^M_{3}(F'))^G = K^M_{3}(k(t))\). It follows that \((\Lambda^3 F'^x)^G\) is generated by the Steinberg elements and the elements coming from \( k(t) \). On the Steinberg elements \( \mathcal{H}_{F'} \) and \( \mathcal{H}'_{F'} \) coincide because they are lifted reciprocity maps. On the elements coming from \( k(t) \) they coincide because \( \mathcal{H}_{k(t)} = \mathcal{H}'_{k(t)} \).

\[
\square
\]

Let \( L \in \text{Fields}_2 \). Define the map \( H_L : \Lambda^4 L^x \rightarrow B_2(k) \) by the formula:
\[
H_L(b) = \sum_{\nu \in \text{dval}(L)} \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{T}_\nu} \partial^{(4)}_{\nu}(b).
\]

This formula is well-defined by Lemma 3.2. The following lemma is corollary from Theorem 3.1.

**Lemma 6.1.** If \( j : L \hookrightarrow M \) is an extension of some fields from \( \text{Fields}_2 \) then for any \( b \in \Lambda^4 L^x \) we have \( H_L(b) = \frac{1}{[M : L]} H_M(j_*(b)) \).

**Proof.** Let \( \nu \in \text{dval}(L) \). It is enough to show the following formula:
\[
\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{T}_\nu} \partial^{(4)}_{\nu}(b) = \frac{1}{[M : L]} \sum_{\nu' \in \text{ext}(\nu, M)} \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{M}_{\nu'}} \partial^{(4)}_{\nu'}(j_*(b)).
\]

We have:
\[
\partial^{(4)}_{\nu'}(j_*(b)) = e_{\nu'|\nu} \cdot j_{\nu'|\nu}(\partial^{(4)}_{\nu}(b)).
\]

By Theorem 3.1
\[
\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{M}_{\nu'}} j_{\nu'|\nu} \partial^{(4)}_{\nu'}(b) = f_{\nu'|\nu} \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{T}_\nu} \partial^{(4)}_{\nu}(b).
\]

So we get:
\[
\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{M}_{\nu'}} \partial^{(4)}_{\nu'} j_*(b) = e_{\nu'|\nu} f_{\nu'|\nu} \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{T}_\nu} \partial^{(4)}_{\nu}(b).
\]

Using this formula, we obtain:
\[
\frac{1}{[M : L]} \sum_{\nu' \in \text{ext}(\nu, M)} \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{M}_{\nu'}} \partial^{(4)}_{\nu'} j_*(b) =
\]
\[
= \frac{1}{[M : L]} \sum_{\nu' \in \text{ext}(\nu, M)} e_{\nu'|\nu} f_{\nu'|\nu} \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{T}_\nu} \partial^{(4)}_{\nu}(b) =
\]
\[
= \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{T}_\nu} \partial^{(4)}_{\nu}(b) \frac{1}{[M : L]} \sum_{\nu' \in \text{ext}(\nu, M)} e_{\nu'|\nu} f_{\nu'|\nu} = \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{T}_\nu} \partial^{(4)}_{\nu}(b).
\]
The last equality follows from the formula $\sum_{\nu' \in \text{ext}(\nu, M)} e_{\nu' | \nu} f_{\nu' | \nu} = [M : L]$.

**Proof of Corollary 4.6.** We need to show that $H_L = 0$ for any $L \in \text{Fields}_2$. Let us prove that it is true when $L = k(x)(y)$. By Theorem 5.4 and Proposition 4.2 in this case on $L$ there is a unique system $\sigma$ of lifted reciprocity maps. It is enough to show that for any $\nu \in \text{dval}(L)$ we have $\sigma_\nu = \mathcal{H}_{T_\nu}$. When $\nu$ is special it is true by Proposition 4.2. When $\nu$ is general it follows from the definition of $\mathcal{H}_{T_\nu}$.

Let us prove the statement for an arbitrary $L$. There are finite extensions $j : k(x)(y) \hookrightarrow L'$, $j' : L \hookrightarrow L'$ such that $j$ is a Galois extension. Lemma 6.1 shows that it is enough to prove the statement for $L'$. Denote the Galois group of $j$ by $G$. Since $H_{L'}$ is invariant under $G$, it is enough to prove that $H_{L'}$ is zero on the subgroup $(\Lambda^4 L'^\times)^G$. Since $(K^M_4(L'))^G = K^M_4(k(x)(y))$, $(\Lambda^4 L'^\times)^G$ is generated by the Steinberg elements and by the elements coming from $k(x)(y)$. Vanishing of $H_{L'}$ on the elements coming from $k(x)(y)$ follows from Lemma 6.1 together with the formula $H_{k(x)(y)} = 0$. Let us prove that $H_{L'}$ is zero on the Steinberg elements. For any $b \in B_2(L', 4)_1$ we have

$$H_{L'}(\delta_1(b)) = \sum_{\nu \in \text{dval}(L')} \mathcal{H}_{T_\nu} \partial^{(4)}_\nu \delta_1(b) = \sum_{\nu \in \text{dval}(L')} \mathcal{H}_{T_\nu} \delta_3 \partial^{(4)}_\nu(b) = \sum_{\nu \in \text{dval}(L')} \sum_{\nu' \in \text{dval}(T_\nu)} \partial^{(3)}_{\nu'} \partial^{(4)}_\nu(b) = 0.$$  

Here the second formula is true because $\partial^{(4)}_\nu$ is morphism of complexes, the third formula is true because $\mathcal{H}_{T_\nu}$ is a lifted reciprocity map and the fourth formula follows from Corollary 4.9. \qed
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