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C. Shannon introduced the notion of entropy for random sequences. What about their temperature? After discussing some methods for introducing information temperature (IT) for binary random stationary ergodic sequence, we suggest using IT as a characteristic of complexity and intelligence of an agent capable of writing or generating meaningful texts. In particular, we discuss the question of whether the temperature can characterize the academic level of the text, or serve as an indicator of the quality of brain activity of the text author.

I. INTRODUCTION

The description and understanding of the complexity of natural and artificial dynamical systems remains an open problem in science. In connection with the rapid development of neural networks and artificial intelligence, in order to assess progress in the field of AI, the question inevitably arises of the possibility of comparing various models and devices that simulate intelligence and their comparison with the real human intelligence.

Quantitative evaluation of artificial intelligence faces currently several important challenges. A fundamental problem in artificial intelligence is that nobody really knows what intelligence is. There is no standard definition of what exactly constitutes intelligence. We do not have unified models of an artificially intelligent system. Others believe that intelligence encompasses a range of aptitudes, skills, and talents. Despite all these complexities, artificial intelligence systems are improving, and currently well-known examples include self-driving cars, image recognizers and classifiers, translators, chess and Go game simulations, and many others.

Human activity is so multifaceted that it is hardly possible to invent a universal measure of his intellect. It is difficult to compare who is the more gifted and brilliant writer or scientist, designer or artist, actor or director.

On this path, the question inevitably arises about intelligence in what kind of activity? We will consider intelligence as capability to produce meaningful texts. Human activity is so multifaceted that it is hardly possible to invent a universal measure of his intellect. It is difficult to compare who is the more gifted and brilliant writer or scientist, designer or artist, actor or director.

Our measure of intelligence is aimed at finding out the complexity of written text by its informational temperature. More detailed description is contained in paper [25].

In this section we introduce briefly a definition of the information temperature. More detailed description is contained in paper [27].

II. INFORMATION TEMPERATURE

In Section II we provide brief description of the binary exactly solvable Markov chain of order $N \leq 2$ with step-wise memory for which we introduce the information temperature. In Section III we present two simplest examples of the application of the concept of information temperature: the dependence of the entropy and complexity of the system on the parameter of ordering of the system. Section IV contain discussion of the use of the informational temperature to characterize the informational and intellectual complexity of people and artificial intelligence systems assuming that the latter, both human and AI, are represented by written/generated texts.
One of methods introducing the informational temperature (equivalent correspondence (EC)) is based on the fact that every binary Markov chain is equivalent to some binary two-sided chain \[22\] which, in its turn, can be viewed as the Ising sequence at a fixed temperature where the probability of a configuration is given by the Boltzmann distribution.

The second method makes use of the traditional entropy based thermodynamic definition of temperature with direct calculation of the block entropy and energy of Markov chain in the pair-interaction approximation.

Both approaches give the same result for the case of nearest neighbor spin/symbol interaction but the method of correspondence of Markov and Ising chains becomes very cumbersome for longer range of correlations, \(N \geq 3\). Note that while the first way of the temperature introduction is quite natural, the second one can be interpreted as heuristic or axiomatic. We dive here two methods of introducing information temperature in order to show the validity and effectiveness of the method of introducing fictitious energy.

### A. Temperature from equivalence

Consider an infinite random stationary ergodic sequence \(S\) of symbols-numbers \(a_i\),

\[
S = \ldots, a_0, a_1, a_2, \ldots
\]

taken from the binary alphabet \(a_i \in A\):

\[
A = \{0, 1\}, \quad i \in \mathbb{Z} = \{\ldots, -1, 0, 1, 2\ldots\}.
\]

A higher number of states can be handled by binary coding. We use the notation \(a_i\) to indicate a position \(i\) of the symbol \(a\) in the chain and the unified notation \(\alpha^k\) to stress the value of the symbol \(a \in A\).

We suppose that the symbolic sequence \(S\) is a **high-order Markov chain**. The sequence \(S\) is a Markov chain if it possesses the following property: the probability of symbol \(a_i\) to have a certain value \(\alpha^k \in A\) under the condition that all previous symbols are fixed depends only on \(N\) previous symbols,

\[
P(a_i = \alpha^k \mid \ldots, a_{i-2}, a_{i-1}) = P(a_i = \alpha^k \mid a_{i-N}, \ldots, a_{i-2}, a_{i-1}).
\]

For a **two-sided random chain** the conditional probability that symbol \(a_i\) is equal to unity, under condition that the *rest* of symbols in the chain are fixed, can be presented of the form \[26\],

\[
P(a_i = 1\mid A_i^-, A_i^+) = \frac{P(a_i = 1\mid A_i^-, A_i^+)}{P(a_i = 1\mid A_i^+, A_i^-) + P(a_i = 0\mid A_i^+, A_i^-)}.
\]

where \(A_i^-\) and \(A_i^+\) are the semi-infinite words, \((\ldots, a_{i-2}, a_{i-1})\) and \((a_{i+1}, a_{i+2}, \ldots)\), surrounding symbol \(a_i\) respectively are,

\[
\ldots, a_{i-2}, a_{i-1}, a_i, a_{i+1}, a_{i+2}, \ldots
\]

\[5\]

Here the two-sided conditional probability \(P(a_i = 1\mid A_i^-, A_i^+)\) is expressed by means of the Markov-like probability functions \(P(a_i, A_i^+\mid A_i^-)\). These probabilities containing semi-infinite one-sided words can be expressed in terms of the conditional probability function of the Markov chain.

For the stationary Markov chain, the probability \(b(a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_N)\) of occurring a certain word \((a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_N)\) satisfies the condition of compatibility for the Chapman-Kolmogorov equation (see, for example, Ref. \[24\]):

\[
b(a_1 \ldots a_N) = \sum_{a=0,1} b(aa_1 \ldots a_{N-1}) P(a_N \mid a, a_1, \ldots, a_{N-1}).
\]

In works \[22\] and \[23\], we have introduced the model Markov chain for which the conditional probability \(p_k\) of occurring the symbol “0" after the \(N\)-symbol words containing \(k\) unit, e.g., after the word \((11 \ldots 1 00 \ldots 0)\),

\[
p_k = P(a_{N+1} = 0 \mid 11 \ldots 1 00 \ldots 0_N) = \frac{1}{2} + \mu(1 - \frac{2k}{N}).
\]

For the ordinary one-step Markov chain with nearest-neighbor interaction using Eq. \[7\] with \(N = 1\), we easily obtain the conditional probabilities

\[
P(a_i = 1, a_{i+1} = 1\mid a_{i-1} = 1) = \left(\frac{1}{2} + \mu\right)^2,
\]

\[
P(a_i = 0, a_{i+1} = 1\mid a_{i-1} = 1) = \left(\frac{1}{2} - \mu\right)^2.
\]

Considering the equivalence of Markov chain to the two-sided random sequence, we apply formula \[21\] to the word \((1, 1, 1)\):

\[
P(a_i = 1\mid a_{i-1}, a_{i+1}) = \frac{P(a_i = 1, a_{i+1}\mid a_{i-1})}{P(a_i = 1, a_{i+1}\mid a_{i-1}) + P(a_i = 0, a_{i+1}\mid a_{i-1})}.
\]

The Boltzmann distribution for the corresponding Ising model gives,

\[
P(a_i = 1\mid a_{i-1} = 1, a_{i+1} = 1) = P(\uparrow\uparrow\uparrow)
\]

\[
= \frac{\exp(2\varepsilon/T)}{\exp(2\varepsilon/T) + \exp(-2\varepsilon/T)}.
\]

\[
(10)
\]
The energy of the spins interaction are $\varepsilon_{\uparrow\uparrow} = \varepsilon_{\downarrow\downarrow} = -\varepsilon$, $\varepsilon_{\uparrow\downarrow} = \varepsilon_{\downarrow\uparrow} = \varepsilon > 0$. Using Eqs. (8) - (10), we have

$$\mu = \frac{1}{2} \tanh \left( \frac{1}{T} \right), \quad \beta = \frac{1}{\tau} = \frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{1 + 2\mu}{1 - 2\mu}. \quad (11)$$

Here we have introduced the informational temperature $\tau = T/\varepsilon$ of the ordinary, one-step, Markov chain and $\beta = \varepsilon/T$ is the inverse info-temperature. So, for $\tau \to \pm \infty$ we have $\varepsilon/T \approx 2\mu \to 0$, and $\tau \to \pm \infty$ when $\mu \to \pm 1/2$. The negative values of $\tau$ describes an anti-ferromagnetic ordering of spines or symbols "0" and "1". We can say that $\tau$ is the temperature $T$ measured in units of energy $\varepsilon$, $\tau = T/\varepsilon$. Note that the informational temperature depends only on the Markov chain parameter $\mu$, and not on the introduced fictitious energy $\varepsilon$.

### B. Temperature from the entropy

In this section we present another method of introducing the information temperature based on thermodynamic definition of temperature with direct calculation of the block entropy and energy of Markov chain in the pair-interaction approximation. The blocks are chosen to be $(N+1)$-length subsequences of symbols occurring due to the fact that they encompass all the information about the structure of the $N$-step Markov chain. The probabilities of the blocks/words are defined by the Chapman-Kolmogorov equation.

Using Eqs. (6) and (7) for $N = 2$, we find the probabilities of 2-symbols words and 3-points probabilities of symbols 000 and 111 occurring. Introducing fictive energies of different spin configurations:

$$\varepsilon(\downarrow\downarrow) = \varepsilon(\uparrow\uparrow) = -2\varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_2, \quad (12)$$
$$\varepsilon(\downarrow\uparrow) = \varepsilon(\uparrow\downarrow) = 2\varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_2, \quad (13)$$
$$\varepsilon(\downarrow\uparrow) = \ldots = \varepsilon(\uparrow\downarrow) = \varepsilon_2, \quad (14)$$

we calculate the energy

$$E_3 = -(2\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2) \frac{\mu}{1 - \mu}, \quad (15)$$

and the entropy per two bonds of random elements:

$$H_3 = -2P_{000}\ln P_{000} - 6P_{001}\ln P_{001}. \quad (16)$$

Here we use the well-known definition of entropy of subsequence of symbols of length $L$, see, e.g., Refs. [27, 28],

$$H_L = - \sum_{a_1, \ldots, a_L \in A} P(a_1^L) \log_2 P(a_1^L). \quad (17)$$

Here $P(a_1^L) = P(a_1, \ldots, a_L)$ is the probability to find the $L$-word $a_1^L$ in the sequence and $L = 3$ in the considered here case.

Calculating derivative $dH_3/dE$ as $(dH_3/d\mu)/(dE_3/d\mu)$, we obtain the following result:

$$\frac{1}{\tau} = \frac{1}{4} \ln \frac{1 + 2\mu}{1 - 2\mu}. \quad (18)$$

where

$$\frac{1}{\tau} = \langle \varepsilon \rangle / T, \quad \langle \varepsilon \rangle = \frac{2\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2}{3}. \quad (19)$$

Emerged here average quantity $\langle \varepsilon \rangle$ can be treated formally as the average (fictive) energy of symbols interaction and is completely different from the energy $E_3$, Eq. (15). At the same time, $\langle \varepsilon \rangle$ can be considered as the unity of measurement of the temperature $T$. Putting in Eq. (19) $\varepsilon_1 = \varepsilon_2 = 1$, we obtain natural unity of the information temperature measure, $\tau = T$.

Let us note that the result presented by Eq. (18) is obtained without any reference to the spin chain. Another important point of the above consideration is independence of Eq. (18) from the introduced arbitrary energies $\varepsilon_1$ and $\varepsilon_2$ of the symbols interactions. Thus, despite the fact that the method of matching random sequences seems to be more natural and consistent, it turns out to be more difficult and restrictive in comparison with the entropy method. Note that using the method of this section we can recover the result obtained above for the one-step Markov chain, Eq. (14) and vice versa the result Eq. (15) can be obtained by the method of equivalence of the previous section.

### III. TEMPERATURE CHARACTERIZES ENTROPY AND COMPLEXITY

Thus, we have above presented our earlier finding [25] on the informational temperature which should be considered as macroscopic statistical characteristic of stationary ergodic random sequences. The temperature is one of the most important macroscopic parameters in statistical physics, and we believe that the concept of informational temperature should also make deep sense in relation to random sequences. In this section of the work, we present two simplest examples of the application of the concept of information temperature. Earlier, in the works of a number of authors [31–33], the question of the dependence of the entropy and complexity of the system on the parameter of ordering of the system was discussed. This parameter did not have a clear and unambiguous name and definition. It was called either “degree of disorder” of the system [31], or the parameter “order – disorder” [32], or a system parameter ranging “from ideal crystal to ideal gas” [33]. If earlier the answer to the question on what parameters the entropy depends could be interpreted ambiguously, now we give the natural answer to this question – it is the informational temperature.

The entropy per one bond $H_2$ for the ordinary one-step Markov chain considered in Section II A can be found
using the method of Section II B or using the result of paper [32]:

\[ H_2 = -2P_{00}\ln P_{00} - 2P_{01}\ln P_{01} = \ln 2 \]

\[ - (1/2 + \mu)\ln(1/2 + \mu) - (1/2 - \mu)\ln(1/2 - \mu). \] (20)

Here \( P_{ij} \) are the probabilities of the words of the length two occurring:

\[ P_{00} = P_{11} = (1/2 + \mu)/2, \] (21)

\[ P_{01} = P_{10} = (1/2 - \mu)/2, \] (22)

and the parameters \( \mu \) is defined by Eq. (11). As a result we get the entropy as a function of info-temperature,

\[ H_2 = (\ln 2 + \ln(1 + \exp(-2/\tau)))/(1 + \exp(-2/\tau)) + \ln(1 + \exp(2/\tau))/(1 + \exp(2/\tau)). \] (23)

This is a very natural expression that approaches its minimum and maximum values as \( \tau = 1/\beta \) approaches zero or infinity, respectively. This result is presented in Fig. 1.

\[ C(\tau) = \tanh^2(1/\tau) \times \left[ \frac{\ln(1 + \exp(-2/\tau)) + \ln(1 + \exp(2/\tau))}{1 + \exp(-2/\tau) + 1 + \exp(2/\tau)} \right]. \] (25)

This result is presented by the curve in Fig. 2.

\[ C = hD, \quad D = \sum_{ij=0,1} (P_{ij} - 1/4). \] (24)

Here \( h = H_2 - H_1 \) is the Shannon entropy, or the entropy per symbol, \( H_1 = \ln 2 \), \( D \) is the so-called disequilibrium factor, is equal in our case to the value \( D = \mu^2 \), obtained with using Eqs. (21) and (22). The final result for the complexity expressed via the informational temperature \( \tau \) is

\[ C(\tau) = \tanh^2(1/\tau) \times \left[ \frac{\ln(1 + \exp(-2/\tau)) + \ln(1 + \exp(2/\tau))}{1 + \exp(-2/\tau) + 1 + \exp(2/\tau)} \right]. \] (25)

This result is presented by the curve in Fig. 2.

\[ C(\tau) = \tanh^2(1/\tau) \times \left[ \frac{\ln(1 + \exp(-2/\tau)) + \ln(1 + \exp(2/\tau))}{1 + \exp(-2/\tau) + 1 + \exp(2/\tau)} \right]. \]

Figure 2: The dependence of complexity \( C(\tau) \) on the informational temperature for the 1-step Markov chain.

IV. TEMPERATURE IS A PARAMETER OF A TEXT COMPLEXITY

Thus, in the previous section, we have presented two simplest examples of the application of the concept of informational temperature. We have seen that both entropy and information complexity can be considered as temperature dependent functions. Consequently, the temperature itself characterizes the measure of the complexity of the system. We believe that the importance of the concept of informational temperature is not limited to these examples and has a much wider scope.

We suppose that the informational temperature can be used to characterize the informational and intellectual complexity of people and artificial intelligence systems assuming that the latter, both human and AI, are represented by written/generated texts. However, we note the insufficiency of the obtained expressions for their use in applied purposes, since the memory scale can reach several thousand units, while the results obtained are valid only for a simple one-step Markov chain.

D. Tononi [29] indicates the possibility of characterizing a neural network by some \( \Phi \) function. This function...
is not clearly defined and difficult for calculations. Can a neural network be characterized by the temperature of the text that the neural network is able to generate? We suppose that the answer to this question is positive.
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