Investigation of radiation-enhanced diffusion using first-passage time
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The approach of the first-passage time a certain level by a random process is applied to the description of radiation-enhanced diffusion. This is an integral approach to describing the problem of radiation-enhanced diffusion, which does not specify the details of the process, but takes into account the overall change in entropy during the course of the process. Two models are considered: the drift-diffusion process and the Feller process. In the first case, arbitrary influences on the system, including radiation, only reduce the time of the first reaching the boundary, accelerating the diffusion process. In the second case, influences are possible under which the diffusion process slows down. It is noted that there are a large number of diffusion models. The task of comparing mathematical and physical models is topical.

1. Introduction

Radiation-enhanced diffusion (RED) is a fundamental process that determines the evolution of the microstructure in materials under radiation exposure. For real structural materials of nuclear power plants, including austenitic chromium-nickel steels, RED is a limiting process of radiation damage: radiation-induced segregation, pore formation and swelling, effects of radiation creep and growth, etc. [1]. For polycrystalline materials with impurities, the RED process is enhanced by the contribution of radiation-stimulated boundary diffusion and the formation of rapidly diffusing complexes of impurity atoms with point defects at grain boundaries. The phenomenon of radiation-enhanced diffusion has been known for a long time and was studied in a number of works [1-11].

The role of irradiation is manifested in the intensification of diffusion processes due to the formation of point defects that reduce the activation energy of diffusion, in the forced movement of atoms in the crystal lattice due to atom-atom collisions (recoil atoms), in a change in the elemental composition due to nuclear reactions. The formation of point radiation defects in irradiated materials, and primarily vacancies, transfers the material as a thermodynamic system to a new state, more or less stable relative to the existing metastable state.

Under irradiation, radiation-accelerated processes occur, for example, decomposition of solid solutions, ordering, growth of nuclei of the second phase, and radiation-induced processes, for example, separation and segregation of elements, formation of a second phase, shape change (through dissolution) of particles of the second phase, disordering, which are based on are diffusion processes.

In this paper, an integral approach is used to describe the problem of RED, which does not specify the details of the process, but takes into account the overall change in entropy during the course of the process. This approach is based on using the first-passage time a certain level by a random process, (FPT). In [12], the FPT is described, the relationship between the FPT and the change in entropy in the system is considered. Diffusion processes were also considered. In one example (drift-diffusion process), additional changes in entropy, caused, among other things, by
radiative influences, lead only to a decrease in $FPT$, which is consistent with accelerated diffusion, as RED is sometimes called. However, another example, with Feller processes, diffusion processes with constant drift and diffusion coefficients, shows that entropy changes are possible, in which the average first-passage time to reach the level can increase. This situation corresponds not to an acceleration, but to a deceleration of diffusion.

Such phenomena are also observed, for example, in semiconductors [13]. There are also processes of anomalous diffusion, which are not considered in this paper. In general, diffusion, despite its long history of research, is still not fully understood phenomenon. This is due to the variety of diffusion mechanisms and a very large number of heterogeneous materials with different structures and structures in which diffusion occurs. Therefore, an attempt to find general laws that are valid for many substances, media, and physical processes of diffusion, which is undertaken in this work, may be useful.

Results similar to those of the present work for inhomogeneous transport and inference from first-passage statistics were obtained in [14, 15]. But the method of taking into account the effects on the system in [14, 15] differs from the method used in this work. The approach of this work is based on the results of [12]. Another similar approach to the problem under consideration and also different in the way of taking into account the impacts on the process is carried out in [16]. In a simplified form, the relationship between $FPT$ and entropy for a particular case of diffusion processes was considered in [17].

Section 2 considers an example of the drift-diffusion process. In this case, arbitrary actions reduce the average $FPT$ and accelerate diffusion Section 3 considers another diffusion model, Feller processes, and determines the conditions and areas of influence under which the average $FPT$ can increase, which corresponds to a slowing down of diffusion. In conclusion, brief conclusions are presented.

## 2. Drift-diffusion process. Wald’s distribution

Consider first the case of the drift-diffusion process for $FPT$ level $L$ in drift-diffusion process. The Langevin equation for drift-diffusion process

$$\frac{dX(t)}{dt} = v + \zeta(t),$$

where $X(t)$ from (1) is the coordinate, $v = F/\varsigma$ is the drift velocity, $F$ is an external force, $\varsigma$ is a friction coefficient, and $\zeta(t)$ is a Gaussian white noise with zero mean $\langle \zeta(t) \rangle = 0$ and with autocorrelation $\langle \zeta(t)\zeta(t') \rangle = D\delta(t-t')$, $D = k_B T/\varsigma$ is the diffusion coefficient. We consider a system containing $N$ different constituents labeled $k=1,2,...,N$ with mass density $\rho_k$ and velocity $v_k$;

$$\rho = \sum_{k=1}^N \rho_k$$

is total density and the center-of-mass velocity is $\rho v = \sum_{k=1}^N \rho_k v_k$, $J_k$ is diffusion flux. In [18] the $FPT$ distribution for $X$ to pass at time $T_F = t$ for the $FPT$ the threshold $L>0$, starting from the initial condition $X(0) = 0$, is given by Wald’s distribution [18, 19]

$$F_{T_F}(t) = \sqrt{\frac{|t|}{4\pi D t}} \exp[-(L-v t)^2 / (4D t)].$$

B (2) $\langle t \rangle = L/v$, $a = v^2 / D$. The initial value of the diffusing particle at point 0. Reaching the limit at point $L$. For the deviation of entropy from the equilibrium value during diffusion in the time $T_F$ can write the expression from extended irreversible thermodynamics [20]

$$\Delta = s_{eq} - s = s\overline{T}_F / \rho, \quad \dot{s} = \sum_{k=1}^N J_k / T, \quad J_k = \rho_k (v_k - v),$$
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\[ Z_{J} = \int_{0}^{T} e^{-\beta F_{\text{bnd}}(t)} dt = \exp\left\{ \frac{a T_{J0}}{2} (1 - \sqrt{1 + 4\beta / a}) \right\}, \quad a = J_{\text{she}} \sigma, \quad (4) \]

\[ \bar{T}_{J} = -\frac{\partial \ln Z_{J}}{\partial \gamma} = \frac{T_{J0}}{\sqrt{1 + 4\beta / a}}, \quad T_{J0} = \langle t \rangle. \quad (5) \]

gde \( \mu_{k} \) is the chemical potential. Expression for \( \bar{T} \) we write from (4) - (5), (2). From the ratio [12]

\[ \beta u_{y} + \gamma \bar{T}_{y} + \ln Z_{y} = -\Delta = -\delta \bar{T}_{y} / \rho \]

we get an expression for \( \gamma(\Delta) \).

B (4)-(5)

\[ Z(\beta, \gamma) = \int e^{-\beta u - \gamma \bar{T}}, dz = \int\int du dT_{y} \omega(u, T_{y})e^{-\beta u - \gamma T_{y}} \]

is the partition function, \( \beta \) and \( \gamma \) are the Lagrange multipliers satisfying the following expressions for the averages:

\[ \langle u \rangle = -\frac{\partial \ln Z(\beta, \gamma)}{\partial \beta}, \quad \langle T_{y} \rangle = -\frac{\partial \ln Z(\beta, \gamma)}{\partial \gamma}, \quad (10) \]

\[ -\beta u_{y} = \frac{1}{2} (1 - \sqrt{1 + 4\gamma / a}) \beta(T_{y0} \frac{\partial a}{\partial \beta} + a \frac{\partial T_{y0}}{\partial \beta} + \beta \frac{\partial a}{\partial \beta} a(\sqrt{1 + 4\gamma / a})). \quad (11) \]

The internal energy is equal to the sum of the equilibrium and non-equilibrium parts; non-equilibrium internal energy \( u_{y} \) is equal to zero in equilibrium:

\[ \bar{u} = -\frac{\partial \ln Z}{\partial \beta} = u_{\beta} + u_{y}, \quad u_{\beta} = -\frac{\partial \ln Z_{\beta}}{\partial \beta} \quad \text{and} \quad u_{y} = -\frac{\partial \ln Z_{y}}{\partial \gamma} = \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\beta v} \langle \frac{\partial f(T_{y})}{\partial \beta} \rangle dT_{y} \frac{1}{Z_{y}}, \]

\[ Z(\beta, \gamma) = Z_{\beta} Z_{y}, \quad Z_{y} = \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\beta v} f(T_{y})dT_{y}, \quad (12) \]

where \( f(T_{y}) \) is the probability density of the distribution of the FPT.

From the ratio (6) we obtain a quadratic equation for \( \gamma \) with the solution

\[ \gamma = \frac{1}{M_{1}} \left\{ c_{1} \beta (\partial T_{0} / \beta) \right\} \left( 1 - \sqrt{1 + \frac{2T_{0}}{\rho c_{1} \beta^{2} (\partial T_{0} / \partial \beta)^{2}} M_{1} (M_{1} + \beta (\partial T_{0} / \partial \beta)) + \frac{\hat{s}}{\rho} \hat{T}_{0} \right), \]

\[ T_{0} = \hat{T}_{0} = \bar{T}_{y \mid v = 0}, \quad c_{1} = \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \frac{a T_{0}}{2} - \beta \frac{\partial (a T_{0})}{\partial \beta} \right\}, \quad M_{1} = T_{0} (1 - \frac{\beta \frac{\partial a}{\partial \beta} - 2 \beta \frac{\partial (a T_{0})}{\partial \beta}) \right\}. \quad (13) \]

At small \( \hat{s} \), when

\[ \sqrt{1 + \frac{\hat{s}}{\rho c_{1} \beta^{2} (\partial T_{0} / \partial \beta)^{2}}} M_{1} (M_{1} + \beta (\partial T_{0} / \partial \beta)) \approx 1 + \frac{\hat{s}}{\rho c_{1} \beta^{2} (\partial T_{0} / \partial \beta)^{2}} M_{1} (M_{1} + \beta (\partial T_{0} / \partial \beta)) \]

\[ \gamma \approx -\frac{\hat{s}}{\rho \beta (\partial T_{0} / \partial \beta)}. \]

If we assume that the energy of the diffusing particle is equal \( u = m v^{2} / 2 = u_{\beta} \), then \( u_{y} = 0 \).

Derivatives of \( v \) and \( a \) are equal

\[ \frac{\partial v}{\partial \beta} = -T_{0} \frac{\partial v}{\partial \beta}, \quad \frac{\partial a}{\partial \beta} = a \frac{2 \frac{\partial v}{\partial \beta} - \frac{1}{v} \frac{\partial D}{\partial \beta}}{\frac{\partial D}{\partial \beta} \approx -E_{a} D}, \quad E_{a} \text{is the activation energy. From the condition } u_{y} = 0 \text{ we find}

\[ \frac{1}{v} \frac{\partial v}{\partial \beta} = -E_{a} \frac{\sqrt{1 + 4\gamma / a - 1 - 2 \gamma / a}}{\sqrt{1 + 4\gamma / a - 1}}. \quad (14) \]

By \( \gamma \to 0, \partial v / \partial \beta \to 0; \)
\[
\frac{1}{T_0} \frac{\partial T_0}{\partial \beta} = E_a \sqrt{1 + 4\gamma / a - 1 - 2\gamma / a}, \quad \frac{1}{a} \frac{\partial a}{\partial \beta} = -E_a \frac{\sqrt{1 + 4\gamma / a - 1 - 4\gamma / a}}{\sqrt{1 + 4\gamma / a - 1}}, \quad M_1 = T_0 (1 - \beta E_a) .
\] (15)

For small \( \gamma \), \( \frac{1}{T_0} \frac{\partial T_0}{\partial \beta} \approx -E_a \frac{2\gamma}{a}, \quad \gamma^2 \approx \frac{\dot{\gamma}}{\rho} 2\beta E_a .
\]

Substitution of (13) into (5) gives the relation
\[
\bar{T}_\gamma = \frac{T_0}{\sqrt{1 + \frac{4}{aM_1} \left[ \frac{c_1 \beta (\partial T_0 / \partial \beta)}{M_1} \right]^2 (1 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + \frac{2\gamma \dot{T}_0}{\rho \alpha} (\partial T_0 / \partial \beta)^2 M_1 + (M_1 + \beta (\partial T_0 / \partial \beta))} + \frac{\dot{\gamma}}{\rho} \bar{T}_0 )]}}.
\] (16)

For molecular diffusion in perfect fluid mixtures in [20] the expressions for the Helmholtz’s free energy \( \Psi = u - TS \) were obtained \( \Psi_{eq} - \Psi = -\frac{1}{2} \tau L J J = -K \), where \( J = J_1 \) is the diffusion flux of the first component, \( \tau \) is the relaxation time of \( J \). Using expressions (6), (12), we find \( \Psi_{eq} - \Psi = u_{eq} - u - T(s_{eq} - s) = -u - T \Delta = -K \), \( \gamma \bar{T}_\gamma + \ln Z_\gamma + \beta K = 0 \). Solving this equation for \( \gamma \), we find
\[
\gamma = R \left[ \frac{2R}{a T^2} + \frac{1}{T_0} \left[ \sqrt{1 + \frac{4R^2}{(a T_0)^2}} \right] \right], \quad R = \sqrt{K(K + a T_0)}, \quad \bar{T}_\gamma = \frac{T_0}{\sqrt{1 + \frac{4}{a T_0} \left[ \frac{2R}{a T_0} + \frac{1}{a T_0} \left[ \sqrt{1 + \frac{4R^2}{(a T_0)^2}} \right] \right]}}.
\] (17)

The described approach is applicable to multiple problems with FPT concept. For example, in [21], using FPTs, problems such as the thermal motion of a small tracer in a viscous medium, adhesion bond dissociation under mechanical stress, algorithmic trading, first crossing of a moving boundary by Brownian motion, quadratic double-well potential are considered. In [21] studied the random variable \( \tau = \inf\{t > a: X(t) > L\} \), i.e. the first exit time of the process \( X(t) \) from an interval \([ -L, L ] \) when started from \( x_0 \) at \( t_0 = 0 \).

Substitution of expressions (14)-(15) into relation (13) leads to an equation for
\[
y = 2\gamma / a(\sqrt{1 + 4\gamma / a}) - 1 - 2\gamma / a, \quad \gamma = ay(y - 1), \text{the form}
\]
\[
\frac{\dot{y}}{\rho} a[1 - y(2 - \beta E_a)] + y^2 (2 - \beta E_a)] - (\frac{\dot{y}}{\rho})^2 = a^2 y(y - 1)^2 [(1 - \beta E_a) y + \beta E_a].
\] (18)

At \( \gamma \to 0 \), \( y \to 1 \). The solution of equation (18) after substitution into (16) leads to an expression for the diffusion coefficient of the form
\[
D = \frac{L^2}{\bar{T}_\gamma} = D_0 \frac{T_0}{\bar{T}_\gamma} = D_0 \sqrt{1 + \frac{4}{aM_1} \left[ \frac{C_1 \beta (\partial T_0 / \partial \beta)}{M_1 + \beta (\partial T_0 / \partial \beta)} \right]^2 + \frac{\dot{\gamma}}{\rho} \left( a T_0 - \beta \frac{\partial (a T_0)}{\partial \beta} \right),} \quad D_0 = \frac{L^2}{T_0} ,
\]
\[
C_1 = \frac{1}{2} (a T_0 - \beta \frac{\partial (a T_0)}{\partial \beta}), \quad M_1 = T_0 (1 - \beta E_a). \] (19)

At small \( \gamma \), when \( y \approx 1 \), write in (18) \( y = 1 + \epsilon \), where \( \epsilon \) is small value. Neglecting powers \( \epsilon \) higher than the second in the resulting equation, we obtain a quadratic equation for \( \epsilon \) with the solution
\[
\epsilon = \frac{1}{2(1 - \beta E_a)(1 - 2\dot{\gamma} / \rho a)} \left[ \frac{\dot{\gamma}}{\rho a} (2 - 3 \beta E_a) + \sqrt{R_0} \right],
\]
\[
R_0 = 4 \frac{\dot{\gamma}}{\rho a} (1 - \beta E_a)^2 + \left( \frac{\dot{\gamma}}{\rho a} \right)^2 (-8 + \beta E_a + (\beta E_a)^2) + 8 \frac{\dot{\gamma}}{\rho a} (1 - \beta E_a),
\]
\[
\gamma = a M_3 (1 + a M_3), \quad M_3 = \epsilon .
\] (20)
The + sign is chosen to $\gamma > 0$. Then expressions (16) and (19) take the form

$$T_\gamma = \frac{T_0}{\sqrt{1 + 4M_s^2(1 + M_s^2)}}, \quad D = D_0\sqrt{1 + 4M_s^2(1 + M_s^2)}.$$  \hfill (21)

Relation (17) can be rewritten as

$$T_\gamma = \frac{T_0}{\sqrt{1 + 2p(p + \sqrt{1 + p^2})}}, \quad D = D_0\sqrt{1 + 2p(p + \sqrt{1 + p^2})}, \quad p = 2R/aT_0.$$  \hfill (22)

The behavior of the diffusion flow $J$ is similar to the behavior of the diffusion coefficient $D$. Thus, in [22], the flow is written as

$$J = J_1 = -2k_BT \frac{dx}{dz} \left[ \frac{1}{x_i} + \frac{\partial \ln \gamma_1}{\partial x_i} \right] + \frac{v_{10} + k_BT \partial \ln \gamma_1 / \partial P}{v_{20} + k_BT \partial \ln \gamma_2 / \partial P} \left[ \frac{1}{1 - x_i} + \frac{\partial \ln \gamma_2}{\partial x_i} \right],$$  \hfill (23)

where $x_i$ is the concentration of a substance that diffuses through the membrane in the direction $z$, $L_1$ is kinetic coefficient, $P$ is pressure, $\mu_{i0} = (\partial \mu_{i0} / \partial P)_{T,i,...,x_0}$ is volume of liquid per particle, $\mu_{i0}$ is chemical potential of a pure substance, $c_k = \rho_k / \sum_{k=1}^{n} \rho_k$ is concentration expressed in molar parts, $x_k = (N_{A}m / M_k) \sum_{k=1}^{n} N_k c_k$, $M_k$ is molar mass of the $k$-th component, $\gamma_k$ is activity of the $k$-th component per particle, $\mu = \mu_0(P,T,c_1,...,c_n) = \mu_0(P,T) + k_BT \ln c_i \gamma_i(P,T,c_1,...,c_n)$.

And the diffusion coefficient from the comparison of the flow with Fick's law is written as

$$D = 2k_BT \frac{dx}{dz} \left[ \frac{1}{x_i} + \frac{\partial \ln \gamma_1}{\partial x_i} \right] + \frac{v_{10} + k_BT \partial \ln \gamma_1 / \partial P}{v_{20} + k_BT \partial \ln \gamma_2 / \partial P} \left[ \frac{1}{1 - x_i} + \frac{\partial \ln \gamma_2}{\partial x_i} \right],$$  \hfill (24)

differing from the flow $J$ (23) only by the sign and the absence of the factor $(dx_i / dz)$.

It is necessary to determine the dependence of the quantity $(dx_i / dz)$ on irradiation. Let us illustrate this dependence using the model presented in [23] as an example. In this paper, the passage of hydrogen isotopes through a flat plate $\delta$ of thickness $A-B$ alloy, in which there is a concentration gradient of element $B$, is considered. This plate is placed in a field of $\gamma$-radiation, with a flux of $\gamma$-quanta equal to $\Phi_\gamma(x)$, where $x$ is the coordinate of the membrane section under consideration. In [23], an expression was obtained for the hydrogen flux $J_H$ through the cross section $x$ in the form

$$J_H = -\frac{dc}{dx}N_0(D + bc(x)), \quad b = \lambda^2\Phi_\gamma\sigma_{hl}N_0\lambda_H,$$  \hfill (25)

where $\lambda$ is the mean free path of electrons in a given material, $c(x)$ is the concentration of hydrogen atoms, $N_0$ is number of atoms per unit volume, $\sigma_{hl}$ is the displacement cross section of a hydrogen atom by electrons, $\lambda_H$ is the mean free path of hydrogen atoms, $D$ is thermal diffusion coefficient of hydrogen. When materials are irradiated with $\gamma$-rays, fast electrons appear in the sample due to the Compton effect and photo-effects. We write the dependence of the concentration on the $x$ coordinate in the form

$$c(x) = c_0[\sqrt{a^2 - (2a - 1)x / \delta} - D / bc_0], \quad a = 1 + D / bc_0.$$  \hfill (26)

Then

$$-\frac{dc(x)}{dx} = \frac{c_0}{\delta} \frac{(1 + bc_0 / 2D)}{\sqrt{(1 + bc_0 / D)^2 - bc_0(2 + bc_0 / D)x / D\delta}}.$$  \hfill (27)
Replacing the designation of the $x$ coordinate with $z$ and the $x_i$ concentration with $c$, we rewrite the relationship of expressions (23) and (24) in the form

$$J = -D \frac{dc(z)}{dz}.$$  \hfill (28)

The diffusion region along the $z$ coordinate starts from 0 to the point $L$. Let us denote the concentrations $c(z=0)=c_0$, $c(z=L)=c_L$. Hydrogen is formed not only as a result of irradiation, but also as a result of corrosion upon contact with the medium, as well as during deformation of the potential relief for migrating atoms, which can also occur in the absence of irradiation. As in the case of thermal diffusion, there are mechanisms determined by the fluctuation increase in the energy of the diffusing atom. Let us take into account other reasons by replacing the flux $\Phi_\gamma(x)$ in equation (25) by $\Phi_\gamma(x) + \Phi_a(x)$, where $\Phi_a(x)$ is the flux of an indeterminate nature, but present in the real system along with the $\gamma$-quantum flux equal to $\Phi_\gamma(x)$. Accordingly, in (25) the parameter $b$ is replaced by $B = b + b_a$. At $\Phi_\gamma(x)=0$, $B = b_a$. We assume that, in the first approximation in terms of perturbations, the diffusion coefficient changes as follows:

$$D(B) \approx D_0 + Bc(z).$$  \hfill (29)

Substituting (29) into (28) and solving the resulting differential equation for $c(z)$ in the stationary mode, when the flow $J=Jc=const$, under the boundary conditions $c(z=0)=c_0$, $c(z=L)=c_L$, excluding integration constants, we obtain the solution in the form (26)

$$c(z) = c_0 \left[ \sqrt{(1+1/l_0)^2 - (1-c_L/c_0)}(1+c_L/c_0 + 2/l_0)z/L - 1/l_0 \right], \quad l_0 = Bc_0 / D_0. \hfill (30)$$

Denote

$$\overline{\frac{dc(z)}{dz}} = \frac{c_0 - c_L}{L}, \quad \overline{\epsilon} = \frac{c_0 + c_L}{2}, \quad J_0 = -D_0 \overline{\frac{dc(z)}{dz}}. \hfill (31)$$

Then

$$J = J_0 (1 + B\overline{\epsilon} / D_0).$$  \hfill (32)

Equating (28)-(29) to the expression written in (19), (5), we obtain a relation for the parameter $B$ of the form

$$\frac{4\gamma}{a} = 2l + l^2, \quad l = Bc(z) / D_0, \hfill (33)$$

where

$$Bc = D_0 (T_0 / \overline{T}_\gamma - 1), \quad l = T_0 / \overline{T}_\gamma - 1. \hfill (34)$$

From (21) we get

$$Bc(z) = D_0 [\sqrt{1+4M_3^2(1+M_3^2)} - 1], \hfill (35)$$

From (22)

$$Bc(z) = D_0 [\sqrt{1+2p(p+\sqrt{1+p^2})} - 1]. \hfill (36)$$

From (36) and (22) we obtain

$$p = \frac{l(2+l)}{2(1+l)}, \quad l = \sqrt{1+p^2} - (1-p), \quad l = Bc(z) / D_0 = \sqrt{(1+l_0)^2 - 2\frac{Bc_0}{D_0} \frac{B\overline{\epsilon} / D_0}{\overline{\frac{dc(z)}{dz}}}} - 1. \hfill (37)$$

At $z=0$, $l=l_0$, at $z=L$, $l=l_1$. $Bc_0 / D_0$.

In relation (35), it is possible to substitute the value of the fluxes of the form (32) into the expression $M_3$ (20) and the quantity included there and obtain an expression for $B$ in terms of the activation energy $E_a$ from $M_3$ (20), in which the radiative fluxes will be reflected. However, in expression (36) in the value of $K$ from (17), more complex dependences $J(B)$ should be used than approximation (32) based on the simplest assumption of the form (29).
3. Feller process

For process (1) with FPT distribution (2) and Laplace transform (4), only a decrease in the average FPT under impacts is possible. As an example, when it is possible to increase the average FPT under impacts, consider the Feller process [24], which is a diffusion process with linear drift and linear diffusion coefficient vanishing at the origin of coordinates. In [24], an expression was obtained for the Laplace transform of the probability density of the first reaching by the Feller process $Y(t)$ of the boundary $x = x_c$ for $x > x_c$ (x is the initial value of the process at the initial moment of time) in the form

$$Z_\gamma = \frac{U(\gamma, b, x_c) U(0, b, x_c)}{U(\gamma, b, x_c) U(0, b, x)}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (38)

where

$$U(a, b, z) = \frac{\Gamma(1-b)}{\Gamma(1-a-b+1)} M(a,b,z) + \frac{\Gamma(b-1)}{\Gamma(a)} z^{1-a} M(a-b+1,2-b;z)$$  \hspace{1cm} (39)

is the confluent hypergeometric function of the second kind [25] (also known as Tricomi function), $M(a,b,z)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{a^{(n)} z^n}{b^{(n)} n!}$ is Kummer function, the confluent hypergeometric function of the first kind [25] with $a^{(0)} = 1$, and $a^{(n)} = a(a+1)...(a+n-1) = \Gamma(a+n) / \Gamma(a)$, where $\Gamma(z)$ is the gamma function. In (38), expression (39) with parameters $a = \gamma$, $b = 2\beta/k^2$, where $\beta_1$, $k > 0$ are drift and diffusion parameters of the Feller process. The time evolution of the process process is thus governed by

$$dY(t) = [-\alpha Y(t) + \beta_1]dt + k\sqrt{Y(t)}dW(t),$$  \hspace{1cm} (40)

where $\alpha > 0$, $\beta_1$, $k$ are constant parameters, $W(t)$ is the Wiener process. In the approximation of small values of $a = \gamma$ in (40), (41), one can use small $\gamma$ expansion of the Kummer function [24]

$$U(a,b,x) = 1 + a U_1(x) + O(a^2), \quad U_1(x) = -\Psi(1-b) - \int_0^x U(1,1+b,z)dz, \quad \Psi(x) = \frac{\Gamma'(x)}{\Gamma(x)}, \quad 0 < b < 1.$$  \hspace{1cm} (41)

In this approximation at $x_c=0$

$$Z_\gamma = \frac{1 + \gamma U_1(x)}{1 + \gamma U_1(0)}, \quad \bar{T}_\gamma = \frac{U_1(0)}{1 + \gamma U_1(0)} - \frac{U_1(x)}{1 + \gamma U_1(x)}, \quad T_0 = U_1(0) - U_1(x) = \int_0^x U(1,1+b,z)dz.$$  \hspace{1cm} (42)

An increase of the mean FPT, when $\bar{T}_\gamma > T_0$, in this case is possible at

$$U_1(0) < T_0 < 2U_1(0), \quad U_1(0) = -\Psi(1-b) > 0, \quad 0 < b < 1.$$  \hspace{1cm} (43)

In [12] condition of what $\bar{T}_\gamma > T_0$ is obtained in the form

$$\sqrt{\Delta} > \gamma_+, \quad \gamma_+ = \sqrt{\frac{(U_1(0) - U_1(x)^2)}{2U_1(0)(1+b\Delta)}} \left[ 1 - \frac{2(U_1(0) + U_1(x))(U_1(0) + b\Delta\Psi)}{(U_1(0)U_1(x))} - 1 \right],$$  \hspace{1cm} (44)

where $\gamma_+$ is a positive solution to the quadratic equation $\gamma_1 \Delta + \gamma_0 \sqrt{\Delta} + U_1(0) + U_1(x) U_1(0)U_1(x) = 0$, parameter $\Delta$ from relation (6).

Substitution of expression for $\gamma$ of the form

$$\gamma = \gamma_0 \sqrt{\Delta} + \gamma_1 \Delta + \gamma_2 \Delta^{3/2}, \quad \gamma_0 = \frac{2}{(U_1(0) - U_1(x)^2)}, \quad \gamma_1 = \frac{U_1(0) + b\Delta\Psi}{(U_1(0) - U_1(x)^2)}, \quad \gamma_2 = \frac{U_1(0) + b\Delta^2\Psi^2}{4}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (45)

into the expression for $\bar{T}_\gamma$ (42) leads to the expression
\[ T_\gamma = \frac{T_0}{R_2}, \quad R_2 = 1 + d_{1/2} \Delta^{1/2} + d_1 \Delta + d_{3/2} \Delta^{3/2} + d_2 \Delta^2 + d_{5/2} \Delta^{5/2} + d_3 \Delta^3, \]  

\[ d_{1/2} = \sqrt{\frac{2(U_1(0) + U_1(x))}{T_0}}, \quad d_1 = \frac{1}{T_0} \left[ U_1(0) + b \partial \Phi + \frac{2}{T_0} \frac{U_1(0)U_1(x)}{(U_1(0) + U_1(x))^{3/2}} \right], \]

\[ d_{3/2} = \frac{2}{T_0} (U_1(0) + b \partial \Phi) \frac{1}{4} \sqrt{(U_1(0) + U_1(x))(U_1(0) + b \partial \Phi) + \frac{2}{T_0} \frac{U_1(0)U_1(x)}{(U_1(0) + U_1(x))^{3/2}}}, \]

\[ d_2 = U_1(0)U_1(x) \frac{\gamma^2_0}{4} (U_1(0) + b \partial \Phi)^2 (2 + \gamma^2_0), \quad d_{5/2} = U_1(0)U_1(x) \frac{\gamma^2_0}{4} (U_1(0) + b \partial \Phi)^2 \gamma_0, \]

\[ d_3 = U_1(0)U_1(x) \frac{\gamma^2_0}{4} (U_1(0) + b \partial \Phi)^4 \frac{1}{4}. \]

Substitution of expression (46) into relation (34) leads to the expression

\[ I = T_0 / \bar{T}_\gamma - 1 = \frac{Bc(z)}{D_0} = R_2 - 1, \]  

which can be used in relations of the form (25)-(37).

The diffusion coefficient is

\[ D = D_0 (T_0 / \bar{T}_\gamma) = D_0 R_2. \]  

(48)

It is possible to relate the change in entropy \( \Delta \) to the diffusion parameters in several ways. Thus, relation (3) leads to an equation for \( \Delta \) of the form

\[ \Delta = s_{eq} - s = \hat{s} \bar{T}_\gamma / \rho, \quad \hat{s} = \sum_{k=1}^{N} \frac{\mu_k}{T} \nabla J_k, \quad J_k = \rho_k (v_k - v). \]

Substitution of expression (46) into this relation leads to the equation for \( \Delta \) via \( \hat{s} \).

Another possibility for determining the value of \( \Delta \) is related to relation (17). Equating (17) and (46), we obtain

\[ R_2 = \sqrt{1 + \frac{4R}{aT_0} \left[ \frac{2R}{aT_0} + \left[ 1 + \left( \frac{2R}{aT_0} \right)^2 \right] \right]} \]

For model (29), (30), the value \( \Delta \) is expressed through the parameter of this model \( \frac{Bc(z)}{D_0} \) using relation (47). If limited \( \Delta^{1/2} \), then \( \Delta^{1/2} \approx Bc(z) / d_{1/2} D_0 \). Taking into account the order term \( \Delta \), we obtain the expression \( \Delta^{1/2} \approx \frac{d_{1/2}}{2d_1} \left[ 1 + \frac{4d_1}{d_{1/2}} \frac{Bc(z)}{D_0} - 1 \right]. \)

4. Conclusion

Diffusion is a complex phenomenon. During irradiation, the inhomogeneity of the types of defects that arise, the variety of their interactions with each other and with the atoms of the substance create the possibility of sometimes directly opposite effects, for example, acceleration or deceleration of diffusion. This situation is also considered in this article. In the first considered model of the drift-diffusion process, only a decrease in the average FPT is possible when the system is affected. This corresponds to the acceleration of diffusion. In the second diffusion model, Feller processes, the conditions and areas of influence are determined under which the average FPT can increase, which corresponds to a slowing down of diffusion. The detailed relationship between the obtained mathematical relationships and the physical mechanisms corresponding to these mathematical models should be investigated in detail. There are a large
number of mathematical models of diffusion. Among recent papers, we can note the result obtained in [26], which generalizes the well-known result on the connection between the normal distribution and a parabolic differential equation of diffusion type to stable distributions and pseudoparabolic equations corresponding to anomalous diffusion processes.
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