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Abstract

The existence, uniqueness and non-explosion are derived for the equation

\[ \partial_t u_t = (L_t + V_t)u_t + F_t(\cdot, u_t, \nabla u_t) \cdot \nabla u_t + g_t(\cdot, u_t, \nabla u_t, \nabla^2 u_t) \]

of \( u : [0, \infty) \times E \to \mathbb{R}^m (m \geq 1) \), where \( E = \mathbb{R}^d \) or \( \mathbb{T}^d (d \geq 1) \), \( L_t \) is a time dependent second order differential operator on \( E \), and

\[ g : [0, \infty) \times E \times \mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^{d \otimes m} \times \mathbb{R}^{d \otimes d \otimes m} \to \mathbb{R}^m, \]

\[ F : [0, \infty) \times E \times \mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^{d \otimes m} \to \mathbb{R}^d, \]

\[ V : [0, \infty) \times E \to \mathbb{R} \]

are singular in the space-time variable \((t, x) \in [0, \infty) \times E\), and (local) Lipschitz continuous in other arguments. To prove the main result, we solve a new type distribution dependent SDEs and establish the correspondence to nonlinear PDEs, which should be interesting by itself. The main result is illustrated by singular KPZ/Navier-Stokes type equations.

AMS subject Classification: 60B05, 60B10, 35A01, 35D35.
Keywords: Distribution dependent SDE, nonlinear PDE, existence, uniqueness, non-explosion.

1 Introduction

Probability theory has played a very important role in the study of partial differential equations (PDEs). Starting with the work of A. N. Kolmogorov \[13\], the probabilistic approach has been
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successfully applied in deducing scaling laws of turbulence in fluid (see [8, 7] and references within). As a funder of stochastic calculus, K. Itô [11] developed stochastic differential equations (SDEs) to construct Markov processes characterizing linear Kolmogorov-Fokker-Planck equations. Since then several probability models have been introduced to solve nonlinear PDEs, which include the backward SDE initiated by Bismut [4] for the linear case and Pardoux-Peng [16] for the nonlinear case (see [5] and references within), the SDE driven by $G$-Brownian motion introduced by Peng [17] (see [18] and references within), and the distribution dependent (McKean-Vlasov) SDE introduced by McKean [15] (see [3] and references within). The first two models describe the viscosity solution, while the third one corresponds to the weak solution (in the sense of integration by parts) of nonlinear PDEs. See also [6, 19] and references within for characterizations on the incompressible Navier-Stokes equation using SDEs.

In this paper, we investigate a class of new type distribution dependent SDEs and establish correspondence to nonlinear PDEs, such that the classical existence and uniqueness of singular nonlinear PDEs are derived.

Let $m, d \in \mathbb{N}$, and let $E = \mathbb{R}^d$ or $\mathbb{T}^d := \mathbb{R}^d/\mathbb{Z}^d$. For fixed $T \in (0, \infty)$, we consider the following equation for $u : [0, T] \times E \to \mathbb{R}^m$:

$$\partial_t u_t = (L_t + V_t)u_t + F_t(\cdot, u_t, \nabla u_t) \cdot \nabla u_t + g_t(\cdot, u_t, \nabla u_t, \nabla^2 u_t), \quad t \in [0, T],$$

where

$$L_t := \text{tr}\{a_t \nabla^2\} + b_t \cdot \nabla = \sum_{i,j=1}^{d} a_{ij}^t \partial_i \partial_j + \sum_{i=1}^{d} b_i^t \partial_i$$

is a time dependent second order differentiable operator on $E$ with measurable coefficients

$$a : [0, T] \times E \to \mathbb{R}^{d \otimes d}, \quad b : [0, T] \times E \to \mathbb{R}^d,$$

$$\nabla u_t = (\partial_i u_i^t)_{1 \leq i \leq d, 1 \leq j \leq m} \text{ takes values in } \mathbb{R}^{d \otimes m}, \quad \nabla^2 u_t = (\partial_i \partial_j u_{ij}^t)_{1 \leq i, j \leq d, 1 \leq k \leq m} \text{ takes values in } \mathbb{R}^{d \otimes d \otimes m},$$

$$g : [0, T] \times E \times \mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^{d \otimes m} \times \mathbb{R}^{d \otimes d \otimes m} \to \mathbb{R}^m,$$

$$F : [0, T] \times E \times \mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^{d \otimes m} \to \mathbb{R}^d, \quad V : [0, T] \times E \to \mathbb{R}$$

are measurable. Not that formally one may put $V_t u_t$ and $F_t \cdot \nabla u_t$ into $g_t$, but conditions we will use for these two terms are not covered by that for $g_t$, see Remark 2.1 below for details.

Besides the Schrödinger equation for $F = 0$ and $g_t(x, r_1, r_2, r_3) = g_t(x)$, (1.1) includes the following KPZ and Navier-Stokes type equations as typical examples. We will use $| \cdot |$ to denote the Euclidean norm for vectors or matrices.

**KPZ equation.** In [12], Kardar, Parisi and Zhang (KPZ) introduced a nonlinear PDE to describe the fluctuation of interfaces for fluids on $E$. After a Taylor expansion for small size of the gradient, the KPZ equation is formulated as

$$\partial_t u_t = \Delta u_t + \beta |\nabla u_t|^2 + g_t, \quad t \geq 0$$

(1.2)
for \( u: [0, \infty) \times E \to \mathbb{R} \), where \( \beta \) is a constant, and \( g: [0, \infty) \times E \to \mathbb{R} \) is measurable. By the Cole-Hopf transformation

\[
v_t = e^{-\beta u_t}, \quad t \geq 0,
\]

(1.2) is equivalent to the linear (Schrödinger) equation

\[
\partial_t v_t = \Delta v_t - \beta g_t v_t, \quad t \geq 0.
\]

Some extensions of (1.2) have been studied in the literature, for instance, see [2] for \( \beta \) being replaced by a function \( \beta(u_t) \), see [14, 9] for constant \( \beta \) but \( |\nabla u_t|^2 \) being generalized as \( |\nabla u_t|^p \) for some \( p > 0 \), and see [11] for \( \Delta \) being replaced by a fractional Laplacian \( -(-\Delta)^s \) for \( s \in (0, 1) \). In the SPDE setup, the function \( g \) is replaced by a time-space noise (see [10]), which will not be considered in the present paper. Obviously, when \( V = 0 \), \( L_t = \kappa \Delta \) for some constant \( \kappa > 0 \), (1.3) together with the divergence free condition \( \nabla \cdot u_t = 0 \) is known as the incompressible Navier-Stokes equation describing the turbulence of fluids, for which a long standing open problem is to prove (or disprove) the existence and smoothness of global solutions for \( d = 3 \). Obviously, when \( V = 0 \), \( L_t = \kappa \Delta \) for some constant \( \kappa > 0 \), \( m = d \), \( F_t(x, u, \nabla u, \nabla^2 u) = \beta(u)|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u \) for some function \( \beta: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R} \), (1.1) reduces to the KPZ type equation

\[
\partial_t u_t = \Delta u_t + \beta(u_t)|\nabla u_t|^p + g_t.
\]

**Navier-Stokes type equation.** Recently, the author [21] introduced distribution dependent SDEs to solve the equation

\[
\partial_t u_t = L_t u_t - (u_t \cdot \nabla) u_t - \nabla p_t
\]

for \( u: [0, \infty) \times E \to \mathbb{R}^d \). When \( L_t = \kappa \Delta \) for some constant \( \kappa > 0 \), (1.3) together with the divergence free condition \( \nabla \cdot u_t = 0 \) is known as the incompressible Navier-Stokes equation describing the turbulence of fluids, for which a long standing open problem is to prove (or disprove) the existence and smoothness of global solutions for \( d = 3 \). Obviously, when \( V = 0 \), \( L_t = \kappa \Delta \) for some constant \( \kappa > 0 \), \( m = d \), \( F_t(x, u, \nabla u) = -u \) and \( g_t(x, r_1, r_2, r_3) = -\nabla p_t(x) \), (1.1) becomes (1.3).

We will state the main results of the paper in Section 2, explain the idea of solving the new type SDE and present some lemmas in Section 3, and finally prove the main results in Sections 4 and 5.

## 2 Main results

We first state the main result on (1.1), then introduce a new type distribution dependent SDEs and make correspondence to nonlinear PDEs.

### 2.1 Well-posedness of (1.1)

As we mentioned above that the coefficients of (1.1) may be singular in \((t, x)\). To measure the singularity, we recall some functional spaces introduced in [22].
For any $p, q > 1$ and $0 \leq t < s$, we write $f \in \tilde{L}_q^p(t, s)$ if $f$ is a (real or vector valued) measurable function on $[t, s] \times E$ such that

$$
\|f\|_{\tilde{L}_q^p(t, s)} := \sup_{z \in E} \left( \int_t^s \|f_r \mathbf{1}_{B(z, 1)}\|_{L_p} \, dr \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} < \infty,
$$

where $B(z, 1)$ is the unit ball at $z$, and $\| \cdot \|_{L_p}$ is the $L_p$-norm for the Lebesgue measure. When $f_1(x) = f(x)$ does not depend on $t$, let

$$
\|f\|_{L_p} := \sup_{z \in E} \|f_1 \mathbf{1}_{B(z, 1)}\|_{L_p}.
$$

When $E = \mathbb{T}^d$ which is compact, we may drop $\mathbf{1}_{B(z, 1)}$ such that $\tilde{L}_p$ and $\tilde{L}_q$ reduce to the usual $L_p$ and $L_q$ spaces.

We will take $(p, q)$ from the following class:

$$
\mathcal{K} := \left\{ (p, q) : p, q \in (2, \infty), \frac{d}{p} + \frac{2}{q} < 1 \right\}.
$$

Let $\| \cdot \|_{\infty}$ denote the uniform norm, and let $\mathcal{C}_b^1$ be the class of (real or vector valued) weakly differentiable functions $f$ on $E$ such that

$$
\|f\|_{\mathcal{C}_b^1} := \|f\|_{\infty} + \|\nabla f\|_{\infty} < \infty,
$$

where $\|\nabla f\|_{\infty}$ coincides with the Lipschitz constant of $f$. Let

$$
k(u_0, g, V) := e^{\int_0^T \|V_t\|_{\infty} \, dt} \left( \|u_0\|_{\infty} + \int_0^T \|g_t\|_{\infty} \, dt \right),
$$

which might be infinite, and define

$$
\tilde{F}_t(x) := \sup_{|r_1| \leq k(u_0, g, V)} \|F_t(x, r_1, \cdot)\|_{\infty}, \quad \tilde{g}_t(x) = |g_t(x, 0, 0, 0)|, \quad (t, x) \in [0, T] \times E.
$$

When $k(u_0, g, V) < \infty$, $\tilde{F}$ is finite provided $F_t(x, r_1, r_2)$ is bounded in $r_2$ but locally bounded in $r_1$. We assume that $V, u_0, a, b, F$ and $g$ satisfy the following conditions.

$(H_{V,u_0})$ $u_0 \in \mathcal{C}_b^1$, and there exists $(p_0, q_0) \in \mathcal{K}$ such that $\|V\|_{\tilde{L}^p_{q_0}(0, T)} < \infty$.

$(H_{a,b})$ $a$ is invertible and positive definite, $b$ is locally bounded,

$$
\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \left\{ \sup_{x \neq y} \frac{|b_t(x) - b_t(y)|}{|x - y|} + \|a_t\|_{\infty} + \|a_t^{-1}\|_{\infty} \right\} < \infty,
$$

$$
\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \sup_{|x - y| \leq \varepsilon, t \in [0, T]} |a_t(x) - a_t(y)| = 0,
$$

and there exist $l \in \mathbb{N}$, $(p_i, q_i) \in \mathcal{K}$ and $1 \leq f_i \in \tilde{L}^p_{q_i}(0, T), 0 \leq i \leq l$, such that

$$
|\nabla a_t(x)| \leq \sum_{i=1}^{l} f_i(t, x), \quad (t, x) \in [0, T] \times E.
$$
Assume Theorem 2.1. Definition 2.1. There exist constants $\bar{\alpha}, \bar{\beta}$ such that
\[
|F_t(x, r_1, r_2) - F_t(x, \tilde{r}_1, \tilde{r}_2)| + |g_t(x, r_1, r_2) - g_t(x, \tilde{r}_1, \tilde{r}_2)| \\
\leq K_n(|r_1 - \tilde{r}_1| + |r_2 - \tilde{r}_2|) + \alpha|r_3 - \tilde{r}_3|,
\]
for $(t, x) \in [0, T] \times E$, $|r_1| \vee |r_2| \vee |\tilde{r}_1| \vee |\tilde{r}_2| \leq n$, $r_3, \tilde{r}_3 \in \mathbb{R}^{d \otimes d \otimes m}$.

Under these assumptions, we will prove the existence and uniqueness of (1.1) in the following sense.

**Definition 2.1.** We call $(u_t)_{t \in [0,T^*)}$ a solution of (1.1) in the class $\mathcal{U}(p_0, q_0)$, if
(1) $T^* \in (0, T]$,
\[
\sup_{t \in [0,s]} \|u_t\|_{C^0_0} + \|\nabla^2 u\|_{L^p_0(0,s)} < \infty, \quad s \in (0, T^*),
\]
and for any $t \in [0, T^*)$,
\[
u_t = u_0 + \int_0^t \left\{ (L_s + V_s)u_s + F_s(\cdot, u_s, \nabla u_s) \cdot \nabla u_s + g_s(\cdot, u_s, \nabla u_s, \nabla^2 u_s) \right\} ds.
\]
(2) When $T^* < T$, $\limsup_{t \uparrow T^*} \|u_t\|_{C^0_0} = \infty$.

To ensure the non-explosion (i.e. $T^* = T$), we need the following assumption.

**($H_{F,g}'$)** There exist constants $C, \alpha > 0$ and $\theta \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$ such that
\[
\sup_{(t,x) \in [0,T] \times E} |g_t(x, r_1, r_2, r_3) - g_t(x, 0, 0, 0)| \leq C(e + |r_1| + |r_2|) \left\{ \log(e + |r_1| + |r_2|) \right\}^\theta + \alpha|r_3|,
\]
for $(r_1, r_2, r_3) \in \mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^{d \otimes m} \times \mathbb{R}^{d \otimes d \otimes m}$.

We have the following result.

**Theorem 2.1.** Assume ($H_{V, u_0}$), ($H_{a,b}$) and ($H_{F,g}$) with small enough $\alpha > 0$ determined by ($H_{a,b}$) and $\|V\|_{L^p_0(0,T)} + \|F\|_{L^p_0(0,T)}$.
(1) (1.1) has a unique solution in $\mathcal{U}(p_0, q_0)$.
(2) If ($H_{F,g}'$) holds with small enough $\alpha > 0$ determined by ($H_{a,b}$) and $\|V\|_{L^p_0(0,T)} + \|F\|_{L^p_0(0,T)}$, then $T^* = T$ and
\[
\sup_{t \in [0,T]} \|u_t\|_{C^0_0} + \|\nabla^2 u\|_{L^p_0(0,T)} < \infty.
\]
Remark 2.1. We do not combine $V u$ and $F \cdot \nabla u$ with $g$, since in Theorem 2.1 conditions on $V$ and $F$ are not covered by that on $g$. To see this, we assume $(H_{a,b})$, let $F_t(x, r_1, r_2)$ and $g_t(x, r_1, r_2, r_3) = g_t(x, r_1, r_2)$ be locally Lipschitz continuous in $(r_1, r_2)$, and let $\|V\|_{L_{p_0}(0,T)} < \infty$. We consider the following two situations.

(a) Let for instance $F$ and $g$ be bounded. Then Theorem 2.1 implies the well-posedness and non-explosion of (1.1). However, since $|V|$ may be unbounded, $(H'_{F,g})$ does not hold if we regard $V r_1$ as a part of $g_t(\cdot, r_1, r_2)$.

(b) Let for instance $\|g\|_{\infty} + \int_0^T \|V_t\|_{\infty} < \infty$ and $F_t(\cdot, r_1, r_2) = \beta(r_1) h_t$ for some locally bounded function $\beta$ on $\mathbb{R}^m$ and some $\mathbb{R}^d$-valued $h \in L_{p_0}(0,T)$. Then $k(u_0, V, g) < \infty$, so that

$$\|\tilde{F}\|_{L_{p_0}(0,T)} \leq \|h\|_{L_{p_0}(0,T)} \sup_{|r_1| \leq k(u_0, V, g)} |\beta(r_1)| < \infty.$$ 

Hence, Theorem 2.1 implies the the well-posedness and non-explosion of (1.1). However, since $|\beta(r_1)|$ may have arbitrary growth in $|r_1|$, $(H'_{F,g})$ does not hold if we regard $\beta_t(r_1) h_t r_2$ as a part of $g_t(\cdot, r_1, r_2)$.

To illustrate Theorem 2.1 we consider the following equation (2.3). When $F = 0$ it reduces to the KPZ type equation

$$\partial_t u_t = L_t u_t + \beta \langle a_t \nabla u_t, \nabla u_t \rangle + \tilde{V}_t,$$

and for $\beta = 0$ it becomes the Navier-Stokes type equation

$$\partial_t u_t = L_t u_t + F_t(\cdot, u_t) \cdot \nabla u_t + \tilde{V}_t.$$

Example 2.1. Assume $(H_{a,b})$, let $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$, and let $F : [0, \infty) \times E \times \mathbb{R}^m \to \mathbb{R}^d$, $V : [0, \infty) \times E \to \mathbb{R}$ be measurable such that

$$\tilde{F}_t(x, r) := \sup_{x \in E} |F_t(x, r) - F_t(x, 0)|$$

is locally bounded in $(t, r) \in [0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^m$, and for some $(p_0, q_0) \in \mathcal{K}$

$$\|F(\cdot, 0)\|_{L_{p_0}(0,T)} + \|V\|_{L_{p_0}(0,T)} < \infty, \quad T \in (0, T).$$

Consider the PDE

$$(2.3) \quad \partial_t u_t = L_t u_t + \beta \langle a_t \nabla u_t, \nabla u_t \rangle + F_t(\cdot, \varphi_{\beta}(u_t)) \cdot \nabla u_t + \tilde{V}_t, \quad t \geq 0,$$

where $\langle a_t \nabla u_t, \nabla u_t \rangle := \langle (a_t \nabla u_i, \nabla u_i) \rangle_{1 \leq i \leq m}$, $\tilde{V}_t(x) := (V_t(x), \cdots, V_t(x)) \in \mathbb{R}^m$, and

$$\varphi_{\beta}(u_t) := (\beta^{-1}(1 - e^{-\beta u_t^i}))_{1 \leq i \leq m}$$

which reduces to $u_t$ when $\beta = 0$. If either $\|V\|_{\infty}$ or $\|\tilde{F}\|_{\infty}$ is locally integrable in $t \geq 0$, then for any $u_0 \in \mathcal{C}^1_0$, (2.3) has a unique solution $u : [0, \infty) \times E \to \mathbb{R}^m$ satisfying

$$\sup_{t \in [0,T]} \|\varphi_{\beta}(u_t)\|_{C^1_0} + \|\nabla^2 \varphi_{\beta}(u)\|_{L_{p_0}(0,T)} < \infty, \quad T \in (0, \infty).$$
Proof. It suffices to prove the assertion up to an arbitrarily fixed time $T \in [0, \infty)$. We only consider $\beta \neq 0$, as when $\beta = 0$ the desired assertion follows from Theorem 2.1 for $V = 0$ and $g = \bar{V}$. Let $v_t = e^{-\beta u_t} := (e^{-\beta u})_{1 \leq i \leq m}$. Then $(2.3)$ up to time $T$ is equivalent to

\begin{equation}
\partial_t v_t = (L_t - \beta \bar{V}) v_t + F_t(\cdot, \beta^{-1}(1 - v_t)) \cdot \nabla v_t, \quad t \in [0, T], \quad v_0 = e^{-\beta u_0}.
\end{equation}

If either $\|V\|_{\infty}$ or $\|\bar{V}\|_{\infty}$ is locally integrable in $t \geq 0$, we have $\|\bar{F}\|_{L^0_{\infty}(0, T)} < \infty$ for

\begin{equation}
\bar{F}_t(x) := \sup_{|r| \leq k_0} |F_t(x, \beta^{-1}(1 - r))|, \quad k_0 = k(u_0, -\beta V, 0) := \|u_0\|_{\infty} e^{\beta V_0} \|\bar{F}\|_{\infty} dt.
\end{equation}

By Theorem 2.1 with $g = 0$ and $-\beta \bar{V}$ replacing $V_t$, $(2.4)$ has a unique solution satisfying

\begin{equation}
\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|v_t\|_{\mathcal{C}^0_k} + \|\nabla^2 v_t\|_{\mathcal{C}^0_k} < \infty.
\end{equation}

Then the proof is finished. \hfill \Box

2.2 Distribution dependent SDE for nonlinear PDE

In this part, we let $E = \mathbb{R}^d$, and assume that $g_t(x, r_1, r_2, r_3) = g_t(x)$ depends only on $(t, x)$. Then the PDE $(1.1)$ reduces to

\begin{equation}
\partial_t u_t = (L_t + V_t) u_t + F_t(x, u_t, \nabla u_t) \cdot \nabla u_t + g_t, \quad t \in [0, T].
\end{equation}

To solve this PDE using SDE, we simply denote

\begin{equation}
\sigma_t(x) = \sqrt{2a_{\Delta t^{-}}(x)}, \quad t \in [0, T], x \in \mathbb{R}^d,
\end{equation}

let $W_t$ be a $d$-dimensional Brownian motion with respect to a complete filtration probability space $(\Omega, \{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{t \geq 0}, \mathbb{P})$, and consider the following SDE on $\mathbb{R}^d$ where differentials are in $s \in [t, T]$:

\begin{align}
\int_t^s \sigma_r(X_{t,r}^x) dW_r + \left\{ b_{t-s} + F_{t-s}(\cdot, \psi_s, \nabla \psi_s) \right\} (X_{t,s}^x) dr,
\end{align}

(2.6)

\begin{align}
\psi_s(y) := \mathbb{E} \left[ u_0(X_{s,T}^y) e^{\int_s^T g_{t-r} (X_{s,r}^y) dr} \right], \quad y \in \mathbb{R}^d,
\end{align}

$s \in [t, T], \quad X_{t,t}^x = x \in \mathbb{R}^d, \quad t \in [0, T]$.

Since $\psi_s$ depends on the distribution of $\{X_{s,r}^x\}_{(r,x) \in [s, T] \times E}$, the SDE (2.6) is distribution dependent for the future and for all initial values. This is essentially different from the usual McKean-Vlasov SDEs.

Let $D_T := \{(t, s) : 0 \leq t \leq s \leq T\}$. We define the solution of (2.6) as follows.

**Definition 2.2.** A family $X := (X_{t,s}^x)_{(t,s,x) \in D_T \times \mathbb{R}^d}$ of random variables on $\mathbb{R}^d$ is called a solution of (2.6), if $X_{t,t}^x$ is $\mathcal{F}_s$-measurable, $(\psi_s, \nabla \psi_s)$ exists such that

\begin{align}
\mathbb{E} \int_t^T \left\{ |\sigma_r|^2 + |b_{t-s} + F_{t-s}(\cdot, \psi_s, \nabla \psi_s)|^2 \right\} (X_{t,s}^x) dr < \infty
\end{align}
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holds for \((t, x) \in [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d\), and \(\mathbb{P}\)-a.s.

\[
X_{t,s}^x = x + \int_t^s \sigma_r(X_{r,s}^x) dW_r + \int_t^s \left\{ b_{r-s}(\cdot, \psi_r, \nabla \psi_r) \right\} \left( X_{r,s}^x \right) dr,
\]

\((t, s, x) \in D_T \times \mathbb{R}^d\).

To prove the well-posedness of \((2.6)\), we strengthen \((H_{F,g})\) as follows.

\[
(H''_{F,g}) \quad \| F(\cdot, 0, 0) \|_{L^p_{\Omega}(0,T)} + \| g \|_{L^p_{\Omega}(0,T)} < \infty \quad \text{for} \quad (p_0, q_0) \in \mathcal{K} \quad \text{in} \quad (H_{V,u_0}), \quad \text{and there exists a constant} \quad K > 0 \quad \text{such that}
\]

\[
|F_t(x, r_1, r_2) - F_t(x, \tilde{r}_1, \tilde{r}_2)| \leq K \{ 1 \wedge (|r_1 - \tilde{r}_1| + |r_2 - \tilde{r}_2|) \},
\]

\((t, x) \in [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d\), \(|r_1| \vee |\tilde{r}_1| \leq k(u_0, g, V)\), \(r_2, \tilde{r}_2 \in \mathbb{R}^{d \otimes m}\).

Under \((H_{V,u_0})\), \((H_{a,b})\) and \((H''_{F,g})\), we will prove the well-posedness of \((2.6)\). In this case, let

\[
P_{t,s}f(x) := \mathbb{E}[f(X_{t,s}^x)], \quad f \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^d),
\]

\[
P_{t,s}^V f(x) := \mathbb{E} \left[ f(X_{t,s}^x) e^{\int_t^s V_{r-s}(X_{r,s}^x) dr} \right], \quad (t, s) \in D_T, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d \quad f \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^d),
\]

\[
u_t^V f := \int_t^T P_{t,s}^V f ds, \quad f \in \mathcal{B}([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d)
\]

provided the expectations and integrals exist, where \(\mathcal{B}(\cdot)\) is the class of measurable functions for a measurable space.

**Theorem 2.2.** Assume \((H_{V,u_0})\), \((H_{a,b})\) and \((H''_{F,g})\). Then the following assertions hold.

1. The SDE \((2.6)\) has a unique solution \(X := (X_{t,s}^x)_{(t,s,x) \in D_T \times \mathbb{R}^d}\).

2. For any \(p \in [1, \infty)\) and \((t, x) \in [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d\), the derivative

\[
\nabla_v X_{t,s}^x := \lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \frac{X_{t,s}^{x + \varepsilon v} - X_{t,s}^x}{\varepsilon}, \quad v \in \mathbb{R}^d, \quad s \in [t, T]
\]

exists in \(L^p(\Omega \to C([t,T]; \mathbb{R}^d), \mathbb{P})\), and there exists a constant \(c(p) > 0\) such that

\[
sup_{(t,x) \in [0,T] \times \mathbb{R}^d} \mathbb{E} \left[ \sup_{s \in [t,T]} |\nabla_v X_{t,s}^x|^p \right] \leq c(p)|v|^p, \quad v \in \mathbb{R}^d.
\]

3. For any \(0 \leq t < s \leq T\), \(v \in \mathbb{R}^d\) and \(f \in \mathcal{B}_b(\mathbb{R}^d)\),

\[
\nabla_v P_{t,s}f(x) := \lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \frac{P_{t,s}f(x + \varepsilon v) - P_{t,s}f(x)}{\varepsilon}
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{s-t} \mathbb{E} \left[ f(X_{t,s}^x) \int_t^s \left\langle \sigma_r^{-1}(X_{t,r}^x) \nabla_v X_{t,r}^x, dW_r \right\rangle \right].
\]
(4) For any $p \in (1, \infty]$, there exists a constant $k_p > 0$ such that for any $0 \leq t < s \leq T$ and $f \in C^1_b(\mathbb{R}^d)$,

\[
|\nabla P_{t,s}f| \leq k_p \min \left\{ (t - s)^{-\frac{1}{p}} (P_{t,s}[f]_p)^{\frac{1}{p}}, (P_{t,s}|\nabla f|^p)_p^{\frac{1}{p}} \right\}.
\]

(5) For any $p \in (1, \infty]$ and $V \in \tilde{L}^{p_0}(0, T)$, there exists a constant $c > 0$ determined by $(H_{a,b})$ and $\|F\|_{\tilde{L}^{p_0}(0, T)} + \|V\|_{\tilde{L}^{p_0}(0, T)}$, such that

\[
\begin{align*}
\|P_{t,s}^V f\|_{\mathcal{C}_b^1} &\leq c\|f\|_{\mathcal{C}_b^1}, \quad f \in \mathcal{C}_b^1, \quad (t, s) \in D_T, \\
\|\nabla P_{t,s}^V f\|_{\infty} &\leq c(s - t)^{-\frac{1}{p}}\|f\|_{\infty}, \quad 0 \leq t < s \leq T, \quad f \in \mathcal{B}_b(\mathbb{R}^d), \\
\|u_t^V(f)\|_{\infty} + \|\nabla^2 u_t^V(f)\|_{\tilde{L}^{p_0}(t, T)} &\leq c\|f\|_{\tilde{L}^{p_0}(0, t, T)}, \quad t \in [0, T], \quad f \in \tilde{L}^{p_0}(0, T).
\end{align*}
\]

The next result provides a correspondence between solutions of (2.5) and (2.6).

**Theorem 2.3.** Assume $(H_{V, u_0}), (H_{a,b})$ and $(H_{F,G}').$

(1) If $(u_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$ solves (2.5) in the class \(\mathcal{U}(p_0, q_0),\) then

\[
u_t(x) = \mathbb{E}\left[u_0(X_{T-t,T}^x) e^{\int_T^t V_{t-\tau}(X_{T-t}^x) d\tau} \right] + \mathbb{E} \int_T^{T-t} g_{T-s}(X_{T-t,s}^x) e^{\int_{T-t}^{s} V_{T-\tau}(X_{T-t}^x) d\tau} d\tau, \quad (t, x) \in [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d.
\]

(2) On the other hand, $u_t$ given by (2.11) solves (2.5) such that

\[
\|u_t\|_{\mathcal{C}_b^1} + \|u_t\|_{\tilde{L}^{p_0}(T, T)} \leq c\left(\|u_0\|_{\mathcal{C}_b^1} + \|g\|_{\tilde{L}^{p_0}(0, T)}\right), \quad t \in [0, T]
\]

holds for some constant $c > 0$ determined by $(H_{a,b})$ and $\|F\|_{\tilde{L}^{p_0}(0, T)} + \|V\|_{\tilde{L}^{p_0}(0, T)}$.

**Remark 2.2.** Comparing with the backward SDE and the SDE driven by $G$-Brownian motion characterizing the viscosity solution, as well as the McKean-Vlasov SDE describing the weak solution of nonlinear PDEs, the present SDE (2.6) corresponds to the classical solution, and allows the coefficients $F, G$ and $V$ to be singular in the space-time variable.

### 3 Some lemmas

We first explain the idea of solving (2.6) by fixed point theorem.

Let $\mathcal{C}_T := C(D_T; \mathbb{R}^d)$ be the space of all maps

\[
\xi = (\xi_{t,s})(t,s) \in D_T : D_T \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d, \quad \xi_{t,s} \text{ is continuous in } (t, s) \in D_T.
\]

It is a Banach space under the uniform norm $\| \cdot \|_\infty$. 
Let $\mathcal{P}_T$ be the space of all probability measures on $\mathcal{C}_T$ equipped with the weak topology. We define $\Gamma$ as the set of all measurable maps $\gamma = (\gamma^x)_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathcal{P}_T$ such that

$$
(3.1) \quad \psi^\gamma_s(x) := \int_{\mathcal{E}_T} u_0(\xi_{s,T})e^{\int_s^T V_{T-r}(\xi_{s,r})\,dr} \gamma^x(\xi_s)\,d\xi + \int_s^T dr \int_{\mathcal{E}_T} g_{T-r}(\xi_{s,r})e^{\int_s^r V_{T-r'}(\xi_{s,r'})\,dr'} \gamma^x(\xi_r)\,d\xi
$$

is well-defined and the weak gradient $\nabla \psi^\gamma_s$ exists for any $s \in [0, T]$.

We will see that for any $\gamma \in \Gamma$, the classical SDE

$$
(3.2) \quad dX^\gamma_{t,s} = \left\{ b_{T-s} + F_{T-s}(\cdot, \psi^\gamma_s, \nabla \psi^\gamma_s) \right\}(X^\gamma_{t,s})\,ds + \sigma_s(X^\gamma_{t,s})\,dW_s, \quad s \in [t, T], \quad X^\gamma_{t,t} = x
$$

is well-posed. In this case, we define a map

$$
(3.3) \quad \gamma \mapsto \Phi(\gamma); \quad x \mapsto \Phi^x(\gamma) := \mathcal{L}_{X^\gamma,x},
$$

where $\mathcal{L}_{X^\gamma,x}$ is the distribution of the $\mathcal{C}_T$-valued random variable $X^\gamma := (X^\gamma_{t,s})_{(t,s) \in D_T}$. It is clear that $X^\gamma_{t,s}$ solves (2.6) if and only if $\gamma$ is a fixed point of $\Phi$, i.e., $\Phi(\gamma) = \gamma$. So, for the well-posedness of (2.6), it suffices to show that $\Phi$ has a unique fixed point.

To this end, we construct a non-empty subspace $\tilde{\Gamma}$ of $\Gamma$, such that $\Phi$ is a contractive map on $\tilde{\Gamma}$ under a complete metric. According to the definition of $\psi^\gamma_s$, the space $\tilde{\Gamma}$ should be determined by the following functionals: for any $(t, s, x) \in D_T \times \mathbb{R}^d$ and $\gamma \in \Gamma$, let

$$
\gamma^V_{t,s}(f)(x) := \int_{\mathcal{E}_T} f(\xi_{t,s})e^{\int_t^s V_{r-t}(\xi_{r,s})\,dr} \gamma^x(\xi_t)\,d\xi, \quad f \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^d),
$$

$$
u^V_{t,s}(f)(x) := \int_t^s \gamma^V_{t,s}(f_s)(x)\,ds
$$

$$
= \int_t^s ds \int_{\mathcal{E}_T} f(\xi_{t,s})e^{\int_t^s V_{r-t}(\xi_{r,s})\,dr} \gamma^x(\xi_t)\,d\xi, \quad f \in \mathcal{B}([t, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d),
$$

provided the integrals exist. The class $\tilde{\Gamma}$ is defined as follows.

**Definition 3.1.** Let $\tilde{\Gamma}$ be the set of $\gamma \in \Gamma$ satisfying $\gamma^x_{t,t} = \delta_x$ (the Dirac measure at $x$) for $(t, x) \in [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d$, and

$$
\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|\gamma_t\|_V < \infty, \quad \|\gamma_t\|_V := \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} \int_{\mathcal{E}_T} e^{\int_t^T |V_{r-t}(\xi_{r,s})|\,dr} \gamma^x(\xi_t)\,d\xi < \infty,
$$

$$
\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \left\{ \sup_{\|f\|_{\mathcal{B}} \leq 1} \|\nabla \gamma^V_{t,T}(f)\|_V + \sup_{\|f\|_{\mathcal{B}_0(0, T)} \leq 1} \|\nu^V_{t,T}(f)\|_{\mathcal{B}_0} \right\} < \infty.
$$
To apply the fixed point theorem to $\Phi$, we introduce a complete metric on $\tilde{\Gamma}$, which consists of three parts: one is the weighted variation distance induced by

$$
\eta^V_t(x) := e^{\int_0^T |V_{T-s}(\xi_t, x)| ds}, \quad \xi_t \in C([t, T]; \mathbb{R}^d),
$$

and the other two are induced by the above functionals $\gamma^V_t$ and $u^\gamma_t$. More precisely, for any $\gamma \in \Gamma$ and $t \in [0, T]$, let $\gamma_t = (\gamma^x_t)_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d}$ be the marginal distribution of $\gamma = (\gamma^x)_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d}$ for

$$
\xi \mapsto \xi_t := (\xi_t(s))_{s \in [t, T]} \in C([t, T]; \mathbb{R}^d),
$$

i.e. $\gamma^x_t := \gamma^x \circ \xi_t^{-1}, x \in \mathbb{R}^d$. Then

$$
\gamma_t(f)(x) := \int_{C([t, T]; \mathbb{R}^d)} f(\xi) \gamma^x_t(d\xi) = \int_{C_t} f(\xi) \gamma^x(\xi), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d, \quad f \in B_0(C([t, T]; \mathbb{R}^d)).
$$

For any $\gamma, \tilde{\gamma} \in \tilde{\Gamma}$ and $t \in [0, T]$, let

$$
\begin{align*}
\|\gamma_t - \tilde{\gamma}_t\|_V &:= \sup_{f \in B_0(C([t, T]; \mathbb{R}^d)), \|f\|_\infty \leq \eta^V_t} \|\gamma_t(f) - \tilde{\gamma}_t(f)\|_\infty, \\
\|\gamma_t - \tilde{\gamma}_t\|_b &:= \sup_{\|f\|_{b^1} \leq 1} \|\nabla \{\gamma^V_t(f) - \tilde{\gamma}^V_t(f)\}\|_\infty, \\
\|\gamma_t - \tilde{\gamma}_t\|_{p_0,q_0} &:= \sup_{\|f\|_{L^0_{p_0}(0,T)} \leq 1} \|u^\gamma_t(f) - u^\tilde{\gamma}_t(f)\|_{b^1}.
\end{align*}
$$

We will prove that for any $\lambda \geq 0$, $\tilde{\Gamma}$ is complete under the metric

$$
\rho_\lambda(\gamma, \tilde{\gamma}) := \sup_{(t,s) \in D_T} e^{-\lambda(T-t)} \left\{ \|\gamma_t - \tilde{\gamma}_t\|_V + \|\gamma_t - \tilde{\gamma}_t\|_b + \|\gamma_t - \tilde{\gamma}_t\|_{p_0,q_0} \right\},
$$

and that $\Phi$ is contractive in $\rho_\lambda$ for large $\lambda > 0$, so that it has a unique fixed point in $\tilde{\Gamma}$. To this end, we present below some lemmas.

We first extend [23, Theorem 2.1] with $V = 0$ to the the present setting. For any $p, q \geq 1$, let $\tilde{H}^2_{p,q}(0,T)$ be the space of $f : [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}^d$ such that

$$
\|f\|_{\tilde{L}^0_{p,q}(0,T)} + \|\nabla f\|_{\tilde{L}^0_{p,q}(0,T)} + \|\nabla^2 f\|_{\tilde{L}^0_{p,q}(0,T)} < \infty.
$$

**Lemma 3.1.** Assume $\|V\|_{\tilde{L}^0_{p,q}(0,T)} < \infty$ and $(H_{a,b})$ but without the condition on $|\nabla a|$. Let $b^{(0)} : [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}^d$ with $\|b^{(0)}\|_{\tilde{L}^0_{p,q}(0,T)} < \infty$ for some $(p, q) \in \mathbb{R}$, and let

$$
L^V_t := L_{T-t} + b^{(0)}_t \cdot \nabla + V_{T-t}, \quad t \in [0, T].
$$

Then for any $\lambda \geq 0$ and $f \in \tilde{L}^p_{q_0}(0, T)$, the PDE

$$
(3.4) \quad (\partial_t + L^V_t - \lambda)u^\lambda_t = f_t, \quad t \in [0, T], \quad u^\lambda_T = 0
$$
has a unique solution in $\tilde{H}_{q_0}^{2, p_0}(0, T)$. Moreover, for any $0 < \varepsilon < \frac{1}{2}(1 - \frac{d}{p_0} - \frac{2}{q_0})$, there exists a constant $c > 0$ increasing in $\|b^{(0)}\|_{L_q(0, T)}$ such that

\begin{equation}
(1 + \lambda)^\varepsilon \left( \|u_t^\lambda\|_\infty + \|\nabla u_t^\lambda\|_\infty \right) + \|\nabla^2 u^\lambda\|_{L^p_{q_0}(t, T)} \leq c \|f\|_{L^p_{q_0}(t, T)}, \quad \lambda \geq 0, \quad f \in L^p_{q_0}(0, T), \quad t \in [0, T].
\end{equation}

Proof. (a) Let $L_t^0 = L_{T-t} + b_t^{(0)} \cdot \nabla$. By [23] Theorem 2.1, for any $\lambda \geq 0$ and $f \in L^p_{q_0}(0, T)$, the PDE

\begin{equation}
(\partial_t + L_t^0 - \lambda)\hat{u}_t^\lambda = f_t, \quad \hat{u}_T^\lambda = 0
\end{equation}

has a unique solution in the $\tilde{H}_{q_0}^{2, p_0}(0, T)$, and for any $0 < \varepsilon < \frac{1}{2}(1 - \frac{d}{p_0} - \frac{2}{q_0})$, there exists a constant $c_1 > 0$ increasing in $\|b^{(0)}\|_{L_q(0, T)}$ such that

\begin{equation}
(1 + \lambda)^\varepsilon \left( \|\hat{u}_t^\lambda\|_\infty + \|\nabla \hat{u}_t^\lambda\|_\infty \right) + \|\nabla^2\hat{u}^\lambda\|_{L^p_{q_0}(t, T)} \leq c_1 \|f\|_{L^p_{q_0}(t, T)}, \quad \lambda \geq 0, \quad f \in L^p_{q_0}(0, T), \quad t \in [0, T].
\end{equation}

Note that in [23] Theorem 2.1 the class $\tilde{H}_{q_0}^{2, p_0}(0, T)$ is replaced by $\tilde{W}_{1,q_0}^{2, p_0,w}(0, T)$, which consists of $f \in L^p_{q_0}(0, T)$ such that for $w(x) := (1 + |x|)^{-1}$ (note that $w(x)$ therein should be $(1 + |x|^2)^{-\frac{d}{2}}$ according to [23] Lemma 2.3)

\begin{equation}
|w f| + |w \nabla f| + |w \nabla^2 f| + |w \partial_t f| \in L^p_{q_0}(0, T).
\end{equation}

Since $w \leq 1$ and $(H_{a,b})$ implies that $a$ is bounded and $|b_t(x)| \leq k(1 + |x|)$ for some constant $k > 0$, a solution of (3.6) in $\tilde{H}_{q_0}^{p_0}(0, T)$ must satisfy (3.8), so we may replace $\tilde{W}_{1,q_0}^{2, p_0,w}(0, T)$ by $\tilde{H}_{q_0}^{2, p_0}(t, T)$.

(b) To solve (3.4), for any $\eta \in B_b([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d)$, consider the PDE

\begin{equation}
(\partial_t + L_t^0 - \lambda)u_t^{\lambda, \eta} = f_t - V_{T-t} \eta_t, \quad u_T^{\lambda, \eta} = 0.
\end{equation}

Let $\alpha(V) = \|V\|_{L^p_{q_0}(0, T)}$. We have

\[ \|f - V_{T-t} \eta\|_{L^p_{q_0}(0, T)} \leq \|f\|_{L^p_{q_0}(0, T)} + \alpha(V) \|\eta\|_\infty. \]

Then (a) implies that (3.9) has a unique solution in $\tilde{H}_{q_0}^{p_0}(0, T) \cap B_b([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d)$. Thus, we obtain a map

\[ B_b([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d) \ni \eta \mapsto u^{\lambda, \eta} \in B_b([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d). \]

So, to see that (3.4) has a unique solution in $\tilde{H}_{q_0}^{p_0}(0, T) \cap B_b([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d)$, it suffices to prove that this map has a unique fixed point.

To this end, for any $\eta, \tilde{\eta} \in B_b([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d)$, let $u^{\lambda, \eta, \tilde{\eta}} := u^{\lambda, \eta} - u^{\lambda, \tilde{\eta}}$. Then

\[ (\partial_t + L_t^0 - \lambda)u_t^{\lambda, \eta, \tilde{\eta}} = V_{T-t}(\tilde{\eta}_t - \eta_t), \quad u_T^{\lambda, \eta, \tilde{\eta}} = 0. \]
Applying (3.10) to this equation, by (a) we find a constant $c_1 > 0$ such that

$$
\|u_t^\lambda \eta - u_t^\lambda n\|_\infty = \|u_t^\lambda n, \bar{\eta}\|_\infty \leq c_1 \|V_{T-t}(\bar{\eta} - \eta)\|_{\bar{L}_{p_0}^0(t,T)}.
$$

Letting

$$
\|\eta - \bar{\eta}\|_{\infty,n} := \sup_{t \in [0,T]} e^{-n(T-t)} \|\eta_t - \bar{\eta}_t\|_\infty, \ n \geq 1,
$$

this implies

$$
\|u^\lambda \eta - u^\lambda n\|_{\infty,n} \leq c_1 \|\eta - \bar{\eta}\|_{\infty,n} \sup_{t \in [0,T]} \|V_{T-} e^{-n(-t)}\|_{\bar{L}_{p_0}^0(t,T)}.
$$

Hence, when $n$ is large enough, this implies

$$
\|\eta - \bar{\eta}\|_{\infty,n} \leq c_1 \|\eta - \bar{\eta}\|_{\infty,n} \sup_{t \in [0,T]} \|V_{T-} e^{-n(-t)}\|_{\bar{L}_{p_0}^0(t,T)}.
$$

(c) It remains to prove the estimate (3.5). Let $u^\lambda$ be the unique solution of (3.4) in $\bar{H}_{p_0}^0(0, T)$. By (3.7) we obtain

$$
(1 + \lambda)^c (\|u^\lambda_t\|_\infty + \|\nabla u^\lambda_t\|_\infty) + \|\nabla^2 u^\lambda_t\|_{\bar{L}_{p_0}^0(t,T)} \leq c_1 \|f - V_{T-} u^\lambda\|_{\bar{L}_{p_0}^0(t,T)} \leq c_1 \|f\|_{\bar{L}_{p_0}^0(t,T)} + c_1 \|V_{T-} u^\lambda\|_{\bar{L}_{p_0}^0(t,T)}, \ t \in [0,T].
$$

Letting

$$
\|u^\lambda\|_{\infty,n} := \sup_{s \in [0,T]} e^{-n(T-s)} \|u_s^\lambda\|_\infty, \ n \geq 1,
$$

we derive

$$
e^{-n(T-t)} \|u^\lambda_t\|_\infty \leq c_1 \|f\|_{\bar{L}_{p_0}^0(t,T)} + c_1 \|u^\lambda\|_{\infty,n} \|V_{T-} e^{-n(-t)}\|_{\bar{L}_{p_0}^0(t,T)}.
$$

When $n \geq 1$ is large enough, this implies

$$
\|u^\lambda\|_{\infty,n} \leq c_1 \|f\|_{\bar{L}_{p_0}^0(t,T)} + \frac{1}{2} \|u^\lambda\|_{\infty,n}, \ t \in [0,T].
$$

Therefore, there exists a constant $c_2 > 0$ such that $\|u^\lambda_t\|_\infty \leq c_2 \|f\|_{\bar{L}_{p_0}^0(t,T)}, t \in [0, T]$. Combining this with (3.10) and $\|V\|_{\bar{L}_{p_0}^0(0,T)} < \infty$, we finish the proof.

**Lemma 3.2.** Assume $(H_{V,\mu_0})$, $(H_{a,b})$ and $(H_{\tilde{p},g})$. Then for any $\gamma \in \Gamma$, the SDE (3.2) is well-posed, and $\Phi(\gamma)$ defined in (3.3) is a measurable map from $\mathbb{R}^d$ to $\mathcal{P}_T$. Moreover:

1. For any $v \in \mathbb{R}^d$,

$$
\nabla_v X_{t,s}^{\gamma,x} := \lim_{\epsilon \downarrow 0} \frac{X_{t,s}^{\gamma,x+\epsilon v} - X_{t,s}^{\gamma,x}}{\epsilon}, \ s \in [t, T]
$$

exists in $L^p(\Omega \to C([t,T]; \mathbb{R}^d), \mathbb{P})$ for any $p \in (1, \infty)$, there exists a constant $k_p > 0$ determined by $p$, $(H_{a,b})$ and $\|\tilde{F}\|_{\bar{L}_{p_0}^0(0,T)}$, such that

$$
\sup_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \sup_{(t,x) \in [0,T] \times \mathbb{R}^d} \mathbb{E} \left[ \sup_{s \in [t,T]} \|\nabla_v X_{t,s}^{\gamma,x}\|^p \right] \leq k_p |v|^p, \ v \in \mathbb{R}^d.
$$
(2) For any \( p \in (1, \infty] \) there exists a constant \( k_p > 0 \) determined by \( p \), \((H_{a,b})\) and \( \|F\|_{L^p(0,T)} \), such that
\[
P^\gamma f(x) := \mathbb{E}[f(X_{t,s}^{\gamma,x})], \quad (t, s) \in D_T, x \in \mathbb{R}^d, f \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^d)
\]
satisfies
\[
|\nabla P^\gamma f| \leq k_p \min \left\{ (s-t)^{-\frac{1}{2}}(P^\gamma_{t,s}|f|^p)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \ (P^\gamma_{t,s}|\nabla f|^p)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\},
\]
and for any \( v, x \in \mathbb{R}^d \), any \( 0 \leq t < s \leq T \),
\[
\nabla_v P^\gamma_{t,s} f(x) = \frac{1}{s-t} \mathbb{E} \left[ f(X_{t,s}^{\gamma,x}) \int_t^s \left( \sigma^{-1}_r(X_{t,s}^{\gamma,x}) \nabla_v X_{t,s}^{\gamma,x}, dW_r \right) \right], \quad f \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^d).
\]

Proof. By \((H'_{p,g})\), \( b_t^\gamma := F_{-t}(\cdot, \psi_t^\gamma, \nabla \psi_t^\gamma) \) satisfies
\[
\sup_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \|b^\gamma\|_{L^p(0,T)} \leq \|F\|_{L^p(0,T)} < \infty.
\]
According to [20, Theorem 2.1], \((H_{a,b})\) and \((3.14)\) imply that the SDE \((3.2)\) is well-posed, and \((3.11), (3.12)\) and \((3.13)\) hold.
Noting that the Borel \( \sigma \)-field on \( \mathcal{F}_T \) is induced by the maps
\[
\mathcal{C}_T \ni \mu \mapsto \mu_{t,s}(f) := \int_{\mathcal{E}_T} f(\xi_{t,s}) \mu(d\xi), \quad (t, s) \in D_T, f \in C_b(\mathbb{R}^d),
\]
by combining \((3.11)\) with the continuity of \( X_{t,s}^{\gamma,x} \) in \( (t, s) \in D_T \), we see that \( \Phi(\gamma) \) is a measurable map from \( \mathbb{R}^d \) to \( \mathcal{F}_T \).

In the following, we intend to prove \( \Phi \Gamma \subset \bar{\Gamma} \), so that we may apply the fixed point theorem to the map \( \Phi : \bar{\Gamma} \to \bar{\Gamma} \).
To this end, we introduce the Feynman-Kac semigroup
\[
P^\gamma_{t,s} f(x) := \mathbb{E} \left[ f(X_{t,s}^{\gamma,x}) e^{\int_t^s V_{t-r}(X_{t-s}^{\gamma,x}) dr} \right], \quad (t, s) \in D_T \times \mathbb{R}^d, f \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^d),
\]
where \( X_{t,s}^{\gamma,x} \) solves \((3.2)\) with generator
\[
\tilde{L}_t^\gamma := L_{-t} + F_{-t}(\cdot, \psi_t^\gamma, \nabla \psi_t^\gamma) \cdot \nabla, \quad t \in [0, T].
\]

Lemma 3.3. Assume \((H_{V,a_0})\), \((H_{a,b})\) and \((H'_{p,g})\). Then the following assertions hold.

(1) There exists a constant \( c > 0 \) determined by \((H_{a,b})\) and \( \|V\|_{L^p(0,T)} + \|F\|_{L^p(0,T)} \), such that for any \( \gamma \in \Gamma \), any \( f : [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R} \) with \( f \in \mathcal{F}_T \),
\[
u_t^{\Phi(\gamma),V}(f) := \mathbb{E} \int_t^T f_s(X_{t,s}^\gamma) e^{\int_t^s V_{t-r}(X_{t-r}^\gamma) dr} ds = \int_t^T P^\gamma_{t,s} f_s ds, \quad t \in [0, T]
\]
satisfies
\[
(\partial_t + \tilde{L}_t^\gamma + V_{-t}) u_t^{\Phi(\gamma),V}(f) = -f_t, \quad t \in [0, T],
\]
\[
\|u_t^{\Phi(\gamma),V}\|_{L^\infty} + \|\nabla u_t^{\Phi(\gamma),V}\|_{L^\infty} + \|\nabla^2 u_t^{\Phi(\gamma),V}\|_{L^p(0,T)} \leq c \|f\|_{L^p(0,T)}, \quad t \in [0, T].
\]
(2) For any \( f \in \mathcal{B}_b(\mathbb{R}^d) \) and \( \gamma \in \Gamma \),

\[
\partial_t P_{t,s}^{\gamma,V} f = -(\bar{L}_t^\gamma + V_{T-t}) P_{t,s}^{\gamma,V} f, \quad t \in [0,s], s \in (0,T],
\]

and there exists a constant \( c > 0 \) determined by \((H_{a,b})\) and \( \|V\|_{\dot{L}^{p_0}_{-\infty}(0,T)} + \|\bar{F}\|_{\dot{L}^{p_0}_{-\infty}(0,T)} \), such that

\[
\sup_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \sup_{(t,s) \in D_T} \|P_{t,s}^{\gamma,V} f\|_{\mathcal{E}^1_0} \leq c\|f\|_{\mathcal{E}^1_0}, \quad f \in \mathcal{C}_b^1,
\]

\[
\|\nabla P_{t,s}^{\gamma,V} f\|_{\infty} \leq c(s-t)^{-\frac{1}{2}}\|f\|_{\infty}, \quad 0 \leq t < s \leq T, f \in \mathcal{B}_b(\mathbb{R}^d).
\]

**Proof.** (1) By Lemma 3.1 \((H_{a,b})\), (3.14) and \( \|f\|_{\dot{L}^{p_0}_{-\infty}(0,T)} < \infty \) imply that the PDE

\[
(\partial_t + \bar{L}_t^\gamma + V_{T-t}) \hat{u}_t^\gamma = -f_t, \quad t \in [0,T], \quad \hat{u}_T^\gamma = 0
\]

has a unique solution satisfying

\[
\|\hat{u}_t^\gamma\|_{\infty} + \|\nabla \hat{u}_t^\gamma\|_{\infty} + \|\nabla^2 \hat{u}_t^\gamma\|_{\dot{L}^{p_0}_{-\infty}(0,T),\gamma,x} \leq c_0\|f\|_{\dot{L}^{p_0}_{-\infty}(0,T)}
\]

for some constant \( c_0 > 0 \) determined by \((H_{a,b})\) and \( \|V\|_{\dot{L}^{p_0}_{-\infty}(0,T)} + \|\bar{F}\|_{\dot{L}^{p_0}_{-\infty}(0,T)} \).

Next, by \((H_{a,b})\) and (3.14), the Krylov estimate in [23] Lemma 3.2(2) holds, so that as shown in the proof of [22] Lemma 4.1(2), we obtain Khasminskii’s estimate: for some function \( c : (0, \infty) \to (0, \infty) \) determined by \((H_{a,b})\) and \( \|V\|_{\dot{L}^{p_0}_{-\infty}(0,T)} + \|\bar{F}\|_{\dot{L}^{p_0}_{-\infty}(0,T)} \),

\[
\sup_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \sup_{(t,x) \in [0,T] \times \mathbb{R}^d} \mathbb{E}e^{\lambda \int_0^t |V_{T-s}(X_{t,s}^\gamma,x)| ds} \leq c(\lambda), \quad \lambda \in (0, \infty).
\]

Moreover, by (3.21) and Itô’s formula in [23] Lemma 3.3,

\[
d \left[ \hat{u}_t^\gamma(X_{t,s}^\gamma,x) e^{\int_0^t V_{T-r}(X_{t,s}^\gamma,x) dr} \right] = e^{\int_0^t V_{T-r}(X_{t,s}^\gamma,x) dr} (\partial_s + \bar{L}_s^\gamma + V_{T-s}) \hat{u}_s^\gamma(X_{t,s}^\gamma,x) ds + dM_s
\]

\[
= -f_s(X_{t,s}^\gamma,x) e^{\int_t^s V_{T-r}(X_{t,s}^\gamma,x) dr} ds + dM_s, \quad s \in [t,T]
\]

holds for some martingale \( M_T \). This together with \( \hat{u}_T^\gamma = 0 \), (3.22) and (3.23) yields

\[
0 = \mathbb{E} \left[ \hat{u}_t^\gamma(X_{t,T}^\gamma,x) e^{\int_0^T V_{T-r}(X_{t,r}^\gamma,x) dr} \right] = \hat{u}_t^\gamma(x) - \mathbb{E} \int_t^T f_s(X_{t,s}^\gamma,x) e^{\int_t^s V_{T-r}(X_{t,r}^\gamma,x) dr} ds = \hat{u}_t^\gamma(x) - u_t^{\Phi(\gamma,V)}(f).
\]

Therefore, (3.21) and (3.22) imply (3.16) and (3.17).

(2) By (3.23), there exists a constant \( c_0 > 0 \) determined by \((H_{a,b})\) and \( \|V\|_{\dot{L}^{p_0}_{-\infty}(0,T)} + \|\bar{F}\|_{\dot{L}^{p_0}_{-\infty}(0,T)} \), such that

\[
\sup_{(t,s) \in D_T, \gamma \in \Gamma} \|P_{t,s}^{\gamma,V} f\|_{\infty} \leq c_0\|f\|_{\infty}, \quad f \in \mathcal{B}_b(\mathbb{R}^d).
\]
By [23] Theorem 2.1, or Lemma 3.1 for $V = 0$, $(H_{a,b})$ and (3.11) imply that for any $s \in (0, T]$, the PDE

$$\tag{3.25} (\partial_t + \bar{L}_t^\gamma)\bar{u}_t = -P_{t,s}^\gamma f, \quad \bar{u}_s = 0, \ t \in [0, s]$$

has a unique solution satisfying

$$\tag{3.26} \sup_{t \in [0, s]} \{ \|\bar{u}_t\|_\infty + \|\nabla \bar{u}_t\|_\infty \} + \|\nabla^2 \bar{u}\|_{L^{p_0}_0(0,s)} \leq c_1 \|f\|_\infty$$

for some constant $c_1 > 0$ determined by $(H_{a,b})$ and $\|\tilde{F}\|_{\tilde{L}^{p_0}_0(0,T)}$. By Itô’s formula in [23] Lemma 3.3, we find a martingale $(M_r)_{r \in [t,s]}$ such that (3.25) implies

$$d\bar{u}_r(X_{t,r}) = dM_r - P_{r,s}^\gamma f(X_{t,r})^a dr, \quad r \in [t, s],$$

so that

$$0 = \mathbb{E}[\bar{u}_T(X_{t,s})] = \bar{u}_t(x) - \int_t^s \mathbb{E}[P_{r,s}^\gamma f(X_{t,r})] dr = \bar{u}_t(x) - (s - t)P_{t,s}^\gamma f.$$

Then $P_{t,s}^\gamma f = \frac{\bar{u}_t}{s - t}$ for $t \in [0, s)$, which together with (3.25) and (3.26) implies

$$\tag{3.27} (\partial_t + \bar{L}_t^\gamma)P_{t,s}^\gamma f = 0, \quad t \in [0, s],$$

and

$$\sup_{t \in [0, r]} \|P_{t,s}^\gamma f\|_{\tilde{V}_1} + \|\nabla^2 P_{t,s}^\gamma f\|_{L^{p_0}_0(0,r)} < \infty, \quad r \in [0, s).$$

By Itô’s formula in [23] Lemma 3.3, we find a martingale $\tilde{M}_r$ such that (3.27) implies

$$d\{e^{\int_t^s V_{T-r'}(X_{t,r'}^\gamma)^a dr'}P_{r,s}^\gamma f(X_{t,r})^a\} = d\tilde{M}_r + e^{\int_t^s V_{T-r'}(X_{t,r'}^\gamma)^a dr'} \{V_{T-r}P_{s',s}^\gamma f\}(X_{t,r})^a dr, \quad r \in [t, s].$$

Thus,

$$P_{t,s}^\gamma f(x) = \lim_{r \uparrow t} \mathbb{E}\left[e^{\int_t^s V_{T-r'}(X_{t,r'}^\gamma)^a dr'}P_{r,s}^\gamma f(X_{t,r})^a\right]$$

$$= \lim_{r \uparrow t} \mathbb{E}\left[P_{t,s}^\gamma f(x) + \int_t^s e^{\int_t^{s'}} V_{T-r'}(X_{t,r'}^\gamma)^a dr' \{V_{T-s'}P_{s',s}^\gamma f\}(X_{t,r})^a ds'\right]$$

$$= P_{t,s}^\gamma f(x) + \int_t^s P_{t,s}^\gamma V_{T-r}P_{s,s}^\gamma f(x) dr, \quad f \in C_b(\mathbb{R}^d).$$

By a standard approximation argument, this implies

$$\tag{3.28} P_{t,s}^\gamma f = P_{t,s}^\gamma f + u_t^{\Phi(\gamma)}V_t^\gamma (\tilde{f}), \quad t \in [0, s], \ f \in \mathcal{B}_b(\mathbb{R}^d),$$

where $\tilde{f}_r := 1_{[0,s]}(r)V_{T-r}P_{r,s}^\gamma f$ satisfies

$$\|\tilde{f}\|_{\tilde{L}^{p_0}_0(0,s)} \leq \|f\|_\infty \|V\|_{\tilde{L}^{p_0}_0(0,s)}.$$
Combining this with (3.12) for \( p = \infty \) and (3.17), we find a constant \( c_2 > 0 \) determined by 

\[(H_{a,b}) \text{ and } \|V\|_{\tilde{L}_p^0(0,T)} + \|\tilde{F}\|_{\tilde{L}_p^0(0,T)},\]

such that

\[
\sup_{(t,s) \in D_T, \gamma \in \Gamma} \|\nabla P_{t,s}^\gamma V f\|_\infty \leq c_2 \|f\|_{\mathcal{C}_1^b}, \quad f \in \mathcal{C}_1^b,
\]


\[
\sup_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \|\nabla P_{t,s}^\gamma V f\|_\infty \leq c_2 (s-t)^{-\frac{1}{2}}, \quad f \in \mathcal{B}_b(\mathbb{R}^d), \quad 0 \leq t < s \leq T.
\]

These together with (3.24) implies (3.19) and (3.20). Finally, by (3.16), (3.27) and (3.28), we prove (3.18).

**Lemma 3.4.** Assume \((H_{V,u_0}), (H_{a,b})\) and \((H_{F,g})\). Then \(\tilde{\Gamma}\) is non-empty, \(\rho_\lambda\)-complete and satisfies \(\Phi \tilde{\Gamma} \subset \tilde{\Gamma}\).

**Proof.** (a) We first prove \(\Phi \Gamma \subset \tilde{\Gamma}\). Let \(\gamma \in \Gamma\). By the definition of \(\Phi\) in (3.3), we have \(\Phi x_t(\gamma) = \delta_x\). Next, (3.19) implies that \(\{\Phi(\gamma)\}_{t,s} V f = P_{t,s}^\gamma V f\) satisfies

\[
\sup_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \|\{\Phi(\gamma)\}_{t,s} V f\|_{\mathcal{C}_1^b} \leq c \|f\|_{\mathcal{C}_1^b}.
\]

Moreover, by (3.17) we obtain

\[
\sup_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \sup_{t \in [0,T]} \|u_t^{\Phi(\gamma)} V f\|_{\mathcal{C}_1^b} \leq c \|f\|_{\tilde{L}_p^0(0,T)}.
\]

Thus, \((\Phi \tilde{\Gamma}) \subset \Phi \Gamma \subset \tilde{\Gamma}\).

On the other hand, let \(\gamma_X\) be the distribution of the solution \(X = (X_{t,x}^x)_{(t,s) \in D_T, x \in \mathbb{R}^d}\) to (3.2) with \(F = 0\). Then the above argument implies \(\gamma \in \tilde{\Gamma}\). So, \(\tilde{\Gamma} \neq \emptyset\).

(b) The proof of \(\rho_\lambda\)-completeness is more or less standard, which is addressed below for readers’ convenience.

Let \(\{\gamma^{(n)}\}_{n \geq 1} \subset \tilde{\Gamma}\) such that

\[
(3.29) \quad \lim_{n,m \to \infty} \rho_\lambda(\gamma^{(n)}, \gamma^{(m)}) = 0.
\]

Then there exists a constant \(c > 0\) such that

\[
(3.30) \quad \sup_{n \geq 1, t \in [0,T]} \left\{ \|\gamma_t^{(n)}\|_V + \sup_{\|f\|_{\mathcal{C}_1^b} \leq 1} \|\nabla (\gamma^{(n)})_{t,T} V f\|_\infty + \sup_{\|f\|_{\tilde{L}_p^0(0,T)} \leq 1} \|u_t^{(n)} V f\|_{\mathcal{C}_1^b} \right\} \leq c.
\]

Moreover, \(\{\gamma^{(n)}\}_{n \geq 1}\) is a Cauchy sequence under the weighted variation distance

\[
\|\gamma - \tilde{\gamma}\|_V := \sup_{(t,x) \in [0,T] \times \mathbb{R}^d} \|\gamma_t - \tilde{\gamma}_t\|_V,
\]

which is complete. So, there exists a unique measurable map \(\gamma : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathcal{P}_T\) such that

\[
(3.31) \quad \lim_{n \to \infty} \sup_{t \in [0,T]} \|\gamma_t^{(n)} - \gamma_t\|_V = 0.
\]
In particular,

\[(3.32) \quad \gamma_{t,T}^V(f)(x) = \int_{\mathcal{E}_T} f(\xi,t,T)\eta_\xi^V(\xi)\gamma_t^x(d\xi) = \lim_{n \to \infty} (\gamma_{t,T}^{(n)})^V(f)(x), \quad f \in \mathcal{B}_b(\mathbb{R}^d).\]

We aim to prove that \( \gamma \in \tilde{\Gamma} \) and \( \rho_\lambda(\gamma^{(n)}, \gamma) \to 0 \) as \( n \to \infty \).

By (3.30) and (3.31), we obtain

\[(3.33) \quad \sup_{t \in [0,T]} \|\gamma_t\|_V = \sup_{t \in [0,T]} \lim_{n \to \infty} \|(\gamma^{(n)})_t\|_V < \infty.\]

By (3.30), we find a constant \( c_1 > 0 \) such that

\[
\sup_{t \in [0,T]} \sup_{\|f\|_{\mathcal{V}^b} \leq 1} |(\gamma^{(n)})_{t,T}^V(f)(x) - (\gamma_{t,T}^V)(y)| \leq c_1|x - y|, \quad x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d, n \geq 1.
\]

Combining this with (3.32), we obtain

\[
\sup_{t \in [0,T]} \sup_{\|f\|_{\mathcal{V}^b} \leq 1} |\gamma_{t,T}^V(f)(x) - \gamma_{t,T}^V(f)(y)| \leq c_1|x - y|, \quad x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d.
\]

Hence,

\[
\sup_{t \in [0,T]} \sup_{\|f\|_{\mathcal{V}^b} \leq 1} \|\nabla \gamma_{t,T}^V(f)\|_{\infty} \leq c_1 < \infty.
\]

Similarly, we can prove

\[
\sup_{t \in [0,T]} \sup_{\|f\|_{L^p_{\infty}(0,T)} \leq 1} \|\gamma_{t,T}^V(f)\|_{\mathcal{V}^b} < \infty.
\]

Therefore, by Definition 3.31 we have \( \gamma \in \tilde{\Gamma} \).

Next, let \( \|f\|_{\mathcal{V}^b} \leq 1 \). By (3.29) and (3.32), we find positive constants \( \{\varepsilon_{m,n}\}_{m,n \geq 1} \) uniformly in \( f \) with \( \varepsilon_{m,n} \to 0 \) as \( m, n \to \infty \), such that for any \( (t, x) \in \mathbb{R}^d \) and \( v \in \mathbb{R}^d \) with \( |v| = 1 \),

\[
\frac{1}{\varepsilon} |\gamma_{t,T}^V(f)(x + \varepsilon v) - \gamma_{t,T}^V(f)(x) - (\gamma^{(n)})_{t,T}^V(f)(x + \varepsilon v) + (\gamma^{(n)})_{t,T}^V(f)(x)|
\leq \lim_{m \to \infty} \frac{1}{\varepsilon} |(\gamma^{(m)})_{t,T}^V(f)(x + \varepsilon v) - (\gamma^{(m)})_{t,T}^V(f)(x) - (\gamma^{(n)})_{t,T}^V(f)(x + \varepsilon v) + (\gamma^{(n)})_{t,T}^V(f)(x)|
\leq \limsup_{m \to \infty} \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_0^\varepsilon |\nabla \left[(\gamma^{(m)})_{t,T}^V(f)(x) - (\gamma^{(n)})_{t,T}^V(f)(x + \varepsilon v)\right]|(x + rv)dr
\leq \limsup_{m \to \infty} \varepsilon_{m,n}, \quad n \geq 1, \quad \varepsilon > 0, \quad t \in [0,T].
\]

Letting \( \varepsilon \downarrow 0 \) and taking sup in \( x, v \in \mathbb{R}^d \) with \( |v| = 1 \), we derive

\[
\sup_{\|f\|_{\mathcal{V}^b} \leq 1} \|\nabla \{\gamma_{t,T}^V - (\gamma^{(n)})_{t,T}^V(f)\}\|_{\infty} \leq \limsup_{m \to \infty} \varepsilon_{m,n}, \quad n \geq 1, \quad t \in [0,T],
\]

so that \( \varepsilon_{m,n} \to 0 \) as \( m, n \to \infty \) yields

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \sup_{t \in [0,T]} \|\gamma_t - (\gamma^{(n)}_t)\|_{\mathcal{V}^b} = 0.
\]

Similarly, the same holds for \( \|\gamma_t - (\gamma^{(n)}_t)\|_{p_1,p_2} \). Combining these with (3.31), we prove \( \rho_\lambda(\gamma^{(n)}, \gamma) \to 0 \) as \( n \to \infty \).
4 Proofs of Theorem 2.2 and Theorem 2.3

Proof of Theorem 2.2. We intend to prove that \( \Phi \) has a unique fixed point \( \gamma \) in \( \tilde{\Gamma} \), so that \( \gamma \) has a unique solution given by \( X_{t,s}^x = X_{t,s}^\gamma \), and the results of (2.7), (2.8), (2.9) and (2.10) follow from (3.11), (3.13), (3.12), (3.17), (3.19) and (3.20).

Let \( \gamma, \tilde{\gamma} \in \tilde{\Gamma} \). By \( (H^3_{F,G}) \), we find a constant \( K > 0 \) such that

\[
\| F_{T-r} (\cdot, \psi_r^\gamma, \nabla \psi_r^\gamma) - F_{T-r} (\cdot, \psi_r^\tilde{\gamma}, \nabla \psi_r^\tilde{\gamma}) \|_\infty \leq K \{ 1 \wedge \| \psi_r^\gamma - \psi_r^\tilde{\gamma} \|_{\mathfrak{g}_b} \}, \quad \gamma, \tilde{\gamma} \in \tilde{\Gamma}.
\]

By \( \| u_0 \|_{\mathfrak{g}_b} + \| g \|_{L^p_{\mu_0}(0,T)} < \infty \), there exists a constant \( c_2 > 0 \) such that

\[
\| \psi_{r,T}^\gamma - \psi_{r,T}^\tilde{\gamma} \|_{\mathfrak{g}_b} \leq c_2 \sup_{s \in [r,T]} \{ \| \gamma_s - \tilde{\gamma}_s \|_{\mathfrak{g}_b} + \| \gamma_s - \tilde{\gamma}_s \|_{P_0,\mu_0} \}.
\]

Let

\[
h_r^x := \sigma^{-1}_r \{ F_{T-r} (\cdot, \psi_r^\gamma, \nabla \psi_r^\gamma) - F_{T-r} (\cdot, \psi_r^\tilde{\gamma}, \nabla \psi_r^\tilde{\gamma}) \} (X_{t,s}^\gamma), \quad r \in [t,T].
\]

By \( (H_{a,b}) \), (4.1) and (4.2), we find a constant \( c_3 > 0 \) such that

\[
|h_r^x| \leq c_2 \sup_{s \in [r,T]} 1 \wedge \{ \| \gamma_s - \tilde{\gamma}_s \|_{\mathfrak{g}_b} + \| \gamma_s - \tilde{\gamma}_s \|_{P_0,\mu_0} \} =: \zeta_r.
\]

Let

\[
R_t^x := e^{\int_t^T (h_s^x.dW_s) - \frac{1}{2} \int_t^T |h_s^x|^2 dr}.
\]

By Girsanov's theorem,

\[
\tilde{W}_r := W_r - \int_t^r h_s^x ds, \quad r \in [t,T]
\]

is a Brownian motion under probability \( \mathbb{Q}_t^x := R_t^x \mathbb{P} \). Reformulating \( (5.23) \) as

\[
dX_{t,s}^{\gamma,x} = \{ b_{T-s} + F_{T-s} (\cdot, \psi_r^\gamma, \nabla \psi_r^\gamma) \} (X_{t,s}^{\gamma,x}) ds + \sigma_s (X_{t,s}^{\gamma,x}) d\tilde{W}_s, \quad s \in [t,T], X_{t,t}^{\gamma,x} = x,
\]

by the weak uniqueness we see that the law of \( X_{t,s}^x := (X_{t,s}^{\gamma,x})_{s \in [t,T]} \) under \( \mathbb{Q}_t^x \) coincides with that of \( (X_{t,s}^{\tilde{\gamma},x})_{s \in [t,T]} \) under \( \mathbb{P} \). So, by \( (5.23) \), we find a constant \( c_3 > 0 \) such that

\[
\| \Phi_t (\gamma) - \Phi_t (\tilde{\gamma}) \|_V = \sup_{\| f \|_\infty \leq \eta^x_t} \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} \left| \mathbb{E} [(R_t^x - 1) f (X_{t,s}^{\gamma,x})] \right|
\]

\[
\leq \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} \left| \mathbb{E} [\eta^x_t |R_t^x - 1|] \right| \leq \left( \mathbb{E} [\eta^x_t]^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \mathbb{E} |R_t^x|^2 - 1 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq c_3 \left( \mathbb{E} |R_t^x|^2 - 1 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.
\]

Noting that (4.3) implies

\[
\mathbb{E} |R_t^x|^2 \leq \mathbb{E} \left[ e^{2 \int_t^T (h_s^x.dW_s) - 2 \int_t^T |h_s^x|^2 ds + \int_t^T |h_s^x|^2 dr} \right] \leq e^{\int_t^T |\zeta_r|^2 dr}
\]

\[
\leq 1 + e^{\int_t^T |\zeta_r|^2 dr} \int_t^T |\zeta_r|^2 dr \leq 1 + c_2^2 e^{Tc_2} \int_t^T \left\{ \| \gamma_s - \tilde{\gamma}_s \|_{\mathfrak{g}_b} + \| \gamma_s - \tilde{\gamma}_s \|_{P_0,\mu_0} \right\}^2 ds,
\]
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we find a constant $c_4 > 0$ such that
\[
\|\Phi_t(\gamma) - \Phi_t(\bar{\gamma})\|_V \leq c_4 \left( \int_t^T \left\{ \|\gamma_s - \bar{\gamma}_s\|_{|\phi|^1} + \|\gamma_s - \bar{\gamma}_s\|_{p_0,q_0} \right\}^2 ds \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.
\]
Consequently,
\[
e^{-\lambda(T-t)}\|\Phi_t(\gamma) - \Phi_t(\bar{\gamma})\|_V \leq c_4 \rho_\lambda(\gamma, \bar{\gamma}) \left( \int_t^T e^{-2\lambda(r-t)} dr \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad t \in [0, T].
\]
Next, by (3.18) and (3.19), for any $f \in \mathcal{C}_b^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$, we may apply Itô’s formula in [23 Lemma 3.3] to deduce
\[
d\left\{ e^{\int_t^r V_{r' \rightarrow r'}(X_{t',r'}^\gamma) dr'} P_{r',s}^\gamma V f(X_{t',r'}^\gamma) \right\} = dM_r
\]
for some martingale $M_r, r \in [0, s]$. Then
\[
P_{t,s}^\gamma V f(x) - P_{t,s}^\gamma V f(x) = \mathbb{E}\left[ e^{\int_t^s V_{t' \rightarrow r'}(X_{t',r'}) dr'} P_{s,r}^\gamma V f(X_{t',r'}^\gamma) \right] - \mathbb{E}\left[ e^{\int_t^s V_{t' \rightarrow r'}(X_{t',r'}) dr'} P_{s,r}^\gamma V f(X_{t',r'}^\gamma) \right]
\]
\[
= \int_t^s P_{t,r}^\gamma V \left\{ \left[ F_{t-r}(\cdot, \psi_{t,r}^\gamma, \nabla \psi_{t,r}^\gamma) - F_{t-r}(\cdot, \psi_{t,r}^\gamma, \nabla \psi_{t,r}^\gamma) \right] \cdot \nabla P_{r,s}^\gamma V f \right\} dr.
\]
Combining this with (3.19), (3.20), (4.1) and (4.2), we find constants $c_5, c_6 > 0$ such that
\[
\sup_{\|f\|_{\mathcal{C}_b^1} \leq 1} \|\nabla\{\Phi(\gamma)\}_{t,T}^V(f) - \nabla\{\Phi(\bar{\gamma})\}_{t,T}^V(f)\|_\infty
\]
\[
= \sup_{\|f\|_{\mathcal{C}_b^1} \leq 1} \|\nabla P_{t,T}^\gamma V f - \nabla P_{t,T}^\gamma V f\|_\infty \leq c_5 \int_t^T (r-t)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \|\psi_r^\gamma - \psi_r^\bar{\gamma}\|_{\mathcal{C}_b^1} dr
\]
\[
\leq c_6 \int_t^T (r-t)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \sup_{\theta \in [r,T]} \{\|\gamma_r - \bar{\gamma}_r\|_{\mathcal{C}_b^1} + \|\gamma_r - \bar{\gamma}_r\|_{p_0,q_0} \} dr.
\]
Then
\[
e^{-\lambda(T-t)}\|\Phi_t(\gamma) - \Phi_t(\bar{\gamma})\|_{\mathcal{C}_b^1} \leq c_6 \rho_\lambda(\gamma, \bar{\gamma}) \int_t^T (r-t)^{-\frac{1}{2}} e^{-\lambda(r-t)} dr, \quad t \in [0, T].
\]
Finally, let $\|f\|_{\tilde{L}^p_{q_0}(0,T)} \leq 1$. By (3.16), for any $r \in [0, T)$, we have
\[
(\partial_t + \tilde{L}_t^\gamma + V_{T-t}) \{ u_t^{\Phi(\gamma),V}(f) - u_t^{\Phi(\bar{\gamma}),V}(f) \} = \tilde{f}_t, \quad t \in [0, T], u_T^{\Phi(\gamma),f} - u_T^{\Phi(\bar{\gamma}),f} = 0,
\]
\[
\tilde{f}_t := \{ F_{T-t}(\cdot, \psi_t^\gamma, \nabla \psi_t^\gamma) - F_{T-t}(\cdot, \psi_t^\gamma, \nabla \psi_t^\gamma) \} \cdot \nabla u_t^{\Phi(\gamma),V}(f).
\]
By $\|f\|_{\tilde{L}^p_{q_0}(0,T)} \leq 1$, (3.17) and (4.11), there exists a constant $c > 0$ such that
\[
\|\tilde{f}_t\|_\infty \leq c \|\psi_t^\gamma - \psi_t^\bar{\gamma}\|_{\mathcal{C}_b^1}, \quad t \in [0, T].
\]
So, by Lemma 3.1 there exist constants $c_7, c_8 > 0$ such that

$$
\sup_{t \in [r, T]} \|u_t^{(\gamma)}(f) - u_t^{(\gamma_0)}(f)\|_{\psi_b^1} \leq c_7 \int_r^T \|\tilde{f}\|_{L^p_{\tilde{t},r}(r, T)} \leq c_8 \left( \int_r^T \|\psi_t^\gamma - \psi_t^\tilde{\gamma}\|_{\psi_b^3} \, dt \right)^{\frac{1}{90}}.
$$

Combining this with (4.2), we find a constant $c_0 > 0$ such that

$$
e^{-\lambda(T-t)}\{\Phi(\gamma)\}_{t} - \{\Phi(\tilde{\gamma})\}_{t_{p_0,q_0}} \leq c_0 \rho_\lambda(\gamma, \tilde{\gamma}) \left( \int_t^T e^{-q_0\lambda(s-t)} \, ds \right)^{\frac{1}{90}}, \quad t \in [0, T].
$$

By taking large enough $\lambda > 0$, this and (4.4)-(4.6) yield that

$$
\rho_\lambda(\Phi(\gamma), \Phi(\tilde{\gamma})) \leq \frac{1}{2} \rho_\lambda(\gamma, \tilde{\gamma}), \quad \gamma, \tilde{\gamma} \in \tilde{\Gamma}.
$$

Therefore, $\Phi$ has a unique fixed point in $\tilde{\Gamma}$ as desired.

Since for any solution $X = (X^x_{t,s})_{(t,s,x) \in D_T \times \mathbb{R}^d}$ of (2.5), Lemmas 3.2 and 3.3 imply that the law $\gamma_X$ of $X$ is in $\tilde{\Gamma}$, so that $\gamma_X$ is a fixed point of $\Phi$ in $\tilde{\Gamma}$. By the uniqueness of the fixed point, as well as the well-posedness of (3.2), we prove the uniqueness of (2.6). \[ \square \]

**Theorem 2.5** (1) Let $u$ solve (2.5) for $t \in [0, T]$ and satisfy (2.1), we intend to prove that $u$ satisfies (2.11).

Note that $\psi_t = \psi_t^\gamma$ for the unique fixed point $\gamma \in \tilde{\Gamma}$ of $\Phi$, (3.19) for $\omega^\gamma_{T-t,T}u_0$ and (3.17) for $f = g_{T-t}$ imply that

$$
\sup_{t \in [0, T]} (\|\psi_t\|_{\infty} + \|\nabla \psi_t\|_{\infty}) < \infty.
$$

Next, let

$$
\tilde{f}_s := F_{T-s}(\cdot, \psi_s, \nabla \psi_s) - F_{T-s}(\cdot, u_{T-s}, \nabla u_{T-s}).
$$

By (H_{F,g,b}^\rho), we find a constant $c_1 > 0$ such that

$$
|\tilde{f}_s| \leq c_1 \|\psi_s - u_{T-s}\|_{\psi_b^1}, \quad s \in [0, T].
$$

By (2.6) and Itô’s formula in [23, Lemma 3.3], we obtain

$$
d\left\{ u_{T-s}(X^x_{t,s})e^{\int_t^s V_{T-r}(X^x_{r}) \, dr} \right\} = dM_s + e^{\int_t^s V_{T-r}(X^x_{r}) \, dr} [\tilde{f}_s \cdot \nabla u_{T-s} - g_{T-s}](X^x_{t,s}) \, ds, \quad s \in [t, T]
$$

for some martingale $M_s$. Combining this with the definition of $\psi_t$ in (2.6), we derive

$$
\begin{align*}
\psi_t(x) - u_{T-t}(x) \\
= & \quad \mathbb{E} \left[ u_0(X_{t,T}^x) e^{\int_t^T V_{T-r}(X^x_{r}) \, dr} \right] + \int_t^T g_{T-s}(X_{t,s}^x) e^{\int_t^s V_{T-r}(X^x_{r}) \, dr} \, ds - u_{T-t}(x) \\
= & \quad \mathbb{E} \int_t^T e^{\int_t^s V_{T-r}(X^x_{r}) \, dr} [\tilde{f}_s \cdot \nabla u_{T-s}](X^x_{t,s}) \, ds \\
= & \quad \int_t^T P^V_{t,s} [\tilde{f}_s \cdot \nabla u_{T-s}](x) \, ds, \quad t \in [0, T],
\end{align*}
$$
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where \( P_{t,s}^V = P_{t,s}^{\gamma,V} \) for \( \gamma \) being the unique fixed point of \( \Phi \). Combining this with (3.17), (4.8) and \( \| \nabla u \|_\infty < \infty \), we find a constant \( c_2 > 0 \) such that

\[
\left\| \psi_t - u_{T-t} \right\|_{C^1_b} \leq c_2 \left( \int_T^T \| \psi_{s} - u_{T-s} \|_{C^1_b}^{q_0} ds \right)^{\frac{1}{q_0}}, \quad t \in [0, T].
\]

Since (2.1) and (4.7) imply that \( \left\| \psi_t - u_{T-t} \right\|_{C^1_b} \) is bounded in \( t \in [0, T] \), we prove \( \psi_t - u_{T-t} = 0 \) for all \( t \in [0, T] \), so that the proof is finished.

(2) Let \( u \) be given in (2.11), and let \( P_{t,s}^V = P_{t,s}^{\gamma,V} \) for the unique fixed point \( \gamma \in \tilde{\Gamma} \) of \( \Phi \). We have

\[
(4.10) \quad u_t = \psi_{T-t,T} = P_{T-t,T}^V u_0 + \int_{T-t}^T P_{T-t,s}^V g_{T-s} ds, \quad t \in [0, T].
\]

Let

\[
\bar{L}_t = L_{T-t} + F_{T-t}(\cdot, \psi_t, \nabla \psi_t) \cdot \nabla, \quad t \in [0, T].
\]

By Lemma 3.3(2),

\[
u^{(1)}(t) := P_{T-t,T}^V u_0, \quad t \in [0, T]
\]

satisfies

\[
\begin{align*}
\partial_t u^{(1)}_t &= (\bar{L}_{T-t} + V_t)u^{(1)}_t, \quad t \in [0, T], \\
\|u^{(1)}\|_\infty + \|\nabla u^{(1)}\|_\infty + \|\nabla^2 u^{(1)}\|_{L^p_{q_0}(0,T)} &< \infty.
\end{align*}
\]

On the other hand, by Lemma 3.3(1),

\[
u^{(2)}(t) := \int_{T-t}^T P_{T-t,s}^V g_{T-s} ds, \quad t \in [0, T]
\]

satisfies

\[
\begin{align*}
\partial_t u^{(2)}_t &= (\bar{L}_{T-t} + V_t)u^{(2)}_t + g_t, \quad t \in [0, T], \\
\|u^{(2)}\|_\infty + \|\nabla u^{(2)}\|_\infty + \|\nabla^2 u^{(2)}\|_{L^p_{q_0}(0,T)} &< \infty,
\end{align*}
\]

which together with (4.10) and (4.11) finishes the proof.

5 Proof of Theorem 2.1

We first observe that by extending functions from \( \mathbb{T}^d \) to \( \mathbb{R}^d \) periodically, i.e. letting

\[ f(x + k) = f(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{T}^d, \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}^d, \]

we extend the PDE (1.1) from \( E = \mathbb{T}^d \) to \( E = \mathbb{R}^d \) with assumptions \((H_{V,u_0}), (H_{a,b}), (H_{F,g})\) and \((H_{F,g}')\) invariant. So, the existence of the extended equation implies that of the original
equation. On the other hand, if the original equation has two solutions with same initial value, then their periodical extensions solve the extended equation, so that the uniqueness of the extended equation implies that of the original equation. Therefore, it suffices to prove for $E = \mathbb{R}^d$.

In the following we set $E = \mathbb{R}^d$, and prove Theorem 2.1 We first prove under the following stronger assumption replacing $(H_{F,g})$, then make extension by a truncation argument.

$$(\tilde{H}_{F,g}) \quad \|\tilde{F}\|_{L^p_0(0,T)} + \|\tilde{g}\|_{L^p_0(0,T)} < \infty,$$
and there exist constants $K, \alpha > 0$ such that

$$\begin{align*}
|F_t(x, r_1, r_2) - F_t(x, \tilde{r}_1, \tilde{r}_2)| + |g_t(x, r_1, r_2) - g_t(x, \tilde{r}_1, \tilde{r}_2)| &
\leq K(|r_1 - \tilde{r}_1| + |r_2 - \tilde{r}_2|) + \alpha|r_3 - \tilde{r}_3|,
(t, x) \in [0, T) \times \mathbb{R}^d, \quad r_1, \tilde{r}_1 \in \mathbb{R}^m, \quad r_2, \tilde{r}_2 \in \mathbb{R}^{d \otimes m}, \quad r_3, \tilde{r}_3 \in \mathbb{R}^{d \otimes d \otimes m}.
\end{align*}$$

Lemma 5.1. Assume $(H_{a,b})$, $(H_{V,u_0})$ and $(\tilde{F}_{F,g})$ with small enough $\alpha > 0$ determined by $(H_{a,b})$ and $\|V\|_{L^p_0(0,T)} + \|\tilde{F}\|_{L^p_0(0,T)}$. The equation (1.1) has a unique solution in $\mathcal{W}(p_0, q_0)$ with $T^* = T$ such that

$$\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|u_t\|_{\ell^p_0} + \|\nabla^2 u\|_{L^p_0(0,T)} < \infty.$$ 

Proof. We will make use of the fixed point theorem for a map $\Psi$ induced by (1.1). Let $H$ be the Banach space of maps

$$h : [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}^m$$

satisfying

$$\|h\|_H := \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|h_t\|_{\ell^p_0} + \|\nabla^2 h\|_{L^p_0(0,T)} < \infty.$$ 

Given $h \in H$, consider the PDE

$$(5.1) \quad \partial_t u^h_t = (L_t + V_t)u^h_t + F_t(\cdot, u^h_t, \nabla u^h_t) \cdot \nabla u^h_t + g_t(\cdot, h_t, \nabla h_t, \nabla^2 h_t), \quad t \in [0, T].$$

By $(\tilde{H}_{F,g})$, we have $\|\tilde{F}\|_{L^p_0(0,T)} < \infty$ and

$$\|g(\cdot, h, \nabla h, \nabla^2 h)\|_{L^p_0(0,t)} \leq \|\tilde{g}\|_{L^p_0(0,t)} + K\left(\int_0^t \|h_s\|_{\ell^q_0}^q \, ds\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} + \alpha \|\nabla^2 h\|_{L^p_0(0,t)} < \infty, \quad t \in [0, T],$$

so that $(H^{p,g}_{F,g})$ holds for $g(\cdot, h, \nabla h, \nabla^2 h)$ replacing $g$. According to Theorem 2.2 and Theorem 2.3 this together with $(H_{V,u_0})$ and $(H_{a,b})$ implies that (5.1) has a unique solution in the class $\mathcal{W}(p_0, q_0)$ up to time $T$, such that

$$\|u^h\|_{\ell^p_0} \leq k(u_0, V, g),$$
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and for some constant $c > 0$ determined by $(H_{a,b})$ and $\|V\|_{\dot{L}^p_0(0,T)} + \|\tilde{F}\|_{\dot{L}^p_0(0,T)}$:

$$
\|u^h_t\|_{\varphi_b^1} + \|\nabla^2 u^h_t\|_{\dot{L}^p_0(0,T)} \leq c(\|u_0\|_{\varphi_b^1} + \|g(\cdot, h, \nabla h, \nabla^2 h)\|_{\dot{L}^p_0(0,t)})
$$

(5.4)

$$
\leq c(\|u_0\|_{\varphi_b^1} + \|\tilde{g}\|_{\dot{L}^p_0(0,t)}) + cK \left( \int_0^t \|h_s\|_{\varphi_b^1}^q \, ds \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} + c\alpha\|\nabla^2 h\|_{\dot{L}^p_0(0,t)},
$$

$h \in H, t \in [0,T]$.

So, it suffices to prove that the map

$$
\Psi : H \ni h \mapsto u^h \in H
$$

has a unique fixed point.

To this end, we let $\alpha \in (0, \frac{1}{2c})$ where $c > 0$ is determined by $(H_{a,b})$ and $\|V\|_{\dot{L}^p_0(0,T)} + \|\tilde{F}\|_{\dot{L}^p_0(0,T)}$, and denote $c_0 := c(\|u_0\|_{\varphi_b^1} + \|\tilde{g}\|_{\dot{L}^p_0(0,t)})$, and let

$$
H_N := \left\{ h \in H : \|h\|_N := \sup_{t \in [0,T]} e^{-NT}(\|h_t\|_{\varphi_b^1} + \|\nabla^2 h\|_{\dot{L}^p_0(0,t)}) \leq Nc_0 \right\}, \quad N \geq 2.
$$

We will find a constant $N_0 \geq 2$ such that for any $N \geq N_0$, $\Psi : H_N \to H_N$ has a unique fixed point.

Firstly, we take $N_0 \geq 2$ such that

$$
cK \left( \int_0^T e^{-q_0 N_0 s} \, ds \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \leq \frac{1}{2},
$$

Combining this with (5.4) and $c\alpha \leq \frac{1}{2}$, we obtain

$$
\|\Psi(h)\|_N = \|u^h\|_N \leq c_1 + \frac{1}{2}\|h\|_N \leq c_0 + \frac{N}{2}c_0 \leq Nc_0, \quad h \in H_N, N \geq N_0.
$$

So, $\psi : H_N \to H_N$ for $N \geq N_0$. Thus,

$$
\sup_{t \in [0,T]} \|u^h_t\|_{\varphi_b^1} \leq \|\Psi(h)\|_H \leq c(N) := c_0 N e^{-NT}, \quad h \in H_N, N \geq N_0.
$$

(5.5)

It remains to prove that $\Psi$ has a unique fixed point in $H_N$ for any $N \geq N_0$.

For any $h, \tilde{h} \in H_N$, let

$$
f_t := \{ F_{T-t}(\cdot, u^h_{T-t}, \nabla u^h_{T-t}) - F_{T-t}(\cdot, u^h_{T-t}, \nabla u^h_{T-t}) \} \cdot \nabla u^h_t
$$

$$
+ g_{T-t}(\cdot, h_{T-t}, \nabla h_{T-t}, \nabla^2 h_{T-t}) - g_{T-t}(\cdot, h_{T-t}, \nabla h_{T-t}, \nabla^2 h_{T-t}), \quad t \in [0,T]
$$

$$
L^h_t := L_{T-t} + F_{T-t}(\cdot, u^h_{T-t}, \nabla u^h_{T-t}) \cdot \nabla, \quad t \in [0,T].
$$

Then

$$
w_t := u^h_{T-t} - \tilde{u}^{\tilde{h}}_{T-t} = \Psi_{T-t}(h) - \Psi_{T-t}(\tilde{h})
$$

(5.6)
satisfies \( w \in \tilde{H}^{2,p_0}(0,T) \) and solves the PDE

\[
(\partial_t + L_t^h + V_{T-t})w_t = f_t, \quad t \in [0,T], \quad w_T = 0.
\]

By Lemma 3.1, (5.3), (5.5), (H_{V,a_0}), (H_{a,b}) and \((\tilde{H}_{F,g})\) imply that for some constant \( c_1 > 0 \) determined by \((H_{a,b})\) and \( \|V\|_{\tilde{L}^{p_0}_0(0,T)} + \|\tilde{F}\|_{\tilde{L}^{p_0}_0(0,T)}, \)

\[
\|w_t\|_{\mathcal{E}^3} + \|\nabla^2 w\|_{\tilde{L}^{p_0}_0(t,T)} \leq c_1 \|f\|_{\tilde{L}^{p_0}_0(t,T)}
\]

\[
\leq c_1 K \left( \int_t^T \{ c(N) \|w_s\|_{\mathcal{E}^3} + \|h_s - \tilde{h}_{T-s}\|_{\mathcal{E}^3} \}^{q_0} ds \right) + c_1 \alpha \|\nabla^2 (h_{T-} - \tilde{h}_{T-})\|_{\tilde{L}^{p_0}_0(t,T)}, \quad t \in [0,T].
\]

Then for any \( \lambda > 0 \), this and (5.6) yield

\[
\|\Psi(h) - \Psi(\tilde{h})\|_\lambda \leq \varepsilon(\lambda) \left[ \|h - \tilde{h}\|_\lambda + \|\Psi(h) - \Psi(\tilde{h})\|_\lambda \right], \quad h, \tilde{h} \in \mathcal{H}_N
\]

for \( \varepsilon(\lambda) := \max \left\{ c_1 \alpha, \ (c(N) \vee 1) c_1 K \sup_{t \in [0,T]} \left( \int_t^T e^{-c_0(s-t)} ds \right)^{\frac{1}{q_0}} \right\} \). Taking \( \lambda > 0 \) large enough and \( \alpha \in (0, \frac{1}{2c_1}) \) such that \( \varepsilon(\lambda) < \frac{1}{2} \), we see that \( \Psi \) is \( \| \cdot \|_\lambda \)-contractive in \( \mathcal{H}_N \), and hence has a unique fixed point.

\[ \square \]

**Proof of Theorem 2.1.** (1) For any \( n \in \mathbb{N} \), let

\[
\varphi_n(r) := r1_{\{|r| \leq n\}} + \frac{nr}{|r|}1_{\{|r| > n\}}, \quad r \in \mathbb{R}^m \cup \mathbb{R}^{d \otimes m}.
\]

We take the following truncation of \( F_t \):

\[
F_t^{(n)}(x, r_1, r_2) = F_t(x, \varphi_n(r_1), \varphi_n(r_2)), \quad g_t^{(n)}(x, r_1, r_2, r_3) = g_t(x, \varphi_n(r_1), \varphi_n(r_2), r_3),
\]

\( t \geq 0, \ x \in \mathbb{R}^d, \ (r_1, r_2, r_3) \in \mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^{d \otimes m} \times \mathbb{R}^{d \otimes d \otimes m} \).

Then \((H_{F,g})\) implies \((\tilde{H}_{F,g})\) for \((F^{(n)}, g^{(n)})\) replacing \((F, g)\). So, by Lemma 5.1, the equation

\[
(5.7) \quad \partial_t u_t^{(n)} = (L_t + V_t)u_t^{(n)} + F_t^{(n)}(\cdot, u_t^{(n)}, \nabla u_t^{(n)}) \cdot \nabla u_t^{(n)} + g_t^{(n)}(\cdot, u_t^{(n)}, \nabla u_t^{(n)}, \nabla^2 u_t^{(n)}),
\]

\( t \in [0,T], \ u_0^{(n)} = u_0 \)

has a unique solution in \( \mathcal{U}(p_0, q_0) \) with \( T^* = T \), and

\[
(5.8) \quad \sup_{t \in [0,T]} \|u_t^{(n)}\|_{\mathcal{E}^3} + \|\nabla^2 u^{(n)}\|_{\tilde{L}^{p_0}_0(0,T)} < \infty, \quad n \geq 1.
\]

Let

\[
(5.9) \quad T_n := T \wedge \inf \{ t \geq 0 : \|u_t^{(n)}\|_{\mathcal{E}^3} \geq n \}.
\]
Then \( u_t^{(n)} \) solves (1.1) up to time \( T_n \). Letting \( T_0 = 0 \) and
\[
T^* := \lim_{n \to \infty} T_n,
\]
the uniqueness of (5.7) for every \( n \geq 1 \) implies
\[
u_t^{(n)} = u_t^{(m)}, \quad t \in \left[0, T_n \wedge T_m\right], \quad n, m \in \mathbb{N},
\]
so that (1.1) has a unique solution
\[
u_t := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} u_t^{(n)} 1_{[T_{n-1}, T_n)}(t), \quad t \in [0, T^*)
\]
in the class \( \mathcal{W}\left(p_0, q_0\right) \), provided \( T^* > 0 \).

To prove \( T^* > 0 \), it suffices to show that \( T_n > 0 \) for large \( n > 0 \). For fixed \( T \in (0, \infty) \), let \( P_{t,s}^{(n),V} \) be the Feynman-Kac semigroup generated by
\[
L_{T-t} + V_{T-t} + F_{T-t}^{(n)}(\cdot, u_{T-t}^{(n)}, \nabla u_{T-t}^{(n)}) \cdot \nabla, \quad t \in [0, T];
\]
that is
\[
P_{t,s}^{(n),V} f(x) := \mathbb{E}\left[ f(X_{s}^{(n),x}) e^{\int_t^s V_{T-r} (X_{r}^{(n),x}) dr} \right], \quad 0 \leq t \leq s \leq T, \quad f \in \mathcal{B}_b(\mathbb{R}^d)
\]
for \( X_{t,s}^{(n),x} \) solving the SDE
\[
\begin{align*}
dX_{t,s}^{(n),x} &= \sigma_s(X_{t,s}^{(n),x})dW_s + \left\{ b_{T-s} + F_{T-s}^{(n)}(\cdot, u_{T-s}^{(n)}, \nabla u_{T-s}^{(n)}) \right\}(X_{t,s}^{(n),x}) ds, \\
&\quad \quad s \in [t, T], \quad X_{t,t}^{(n),x} = x.
\end{align*}
\]
Since \((H_{F,g})\) implies \( \|F^{(n)}\|_{L^0_{\bar{i},0}(0,T)} < \infty \), by \((H_{a,b})\) and [20] Theorem 2.1, this SDE is well-posed. By (5.7) and Itô’s formula, we find a martingale \( M_s \) such that
\[
\begin{align*}
d\left\{ u_{T-s}^{(n)}(X_{t,s}^{(n),x})e^{\int_t^s V_{T-r} (X_{r}^{(n),x}) dr} \right\} \\
&= dM_s - g_{T-s}^{(n)}(\cdot, u_{T-s}^{(n)}, \nabla u_{T-s}^{(n)}, \nabla^2 u_{T-s}^{(n)})(X_{T-t}^{(n),x}) ds, \quad s \in [T-t, T].
\end{align*}
\]
So,
\[
u_t^{(n)} = P_{T-t,T}^{(n),V} u_0 + \int_{T-t}^{T} P_{T-t,s}^{(n),V} g_{T-s}^{(n)}(\cdot, u_{T-s}^{(n)}, \nabla u_{T-s}^{(n)}, \nabla^2 u_{T-s}^{(n)}) ds, \quad t \in [0, T],
\]
which implies \( \|u^{(n)}\|_{\infty} \leq k(u_0, g, V) \), so that
\[
|F_{T-s}^{(n)}(\cdot, u_{T-s}^{(n)}, \nabla u_{T-s}^{(n)})| \leq \bar{F}_{T-s}, \quad s \in [0, T].
\]
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Combining this with \((H_{a,b})\) and \(\|V\|_{\tilde{L}^{p_0}_{0}(0,T)} < \infty\), by Lemma 3.3, we find a constant \(c > 0\) determined by \((H_{a,b})\) and \(\|\tilde{F}\|_{\tilde{L}^{p_0}_{0}(0,T)} + \|V\|_{\tilde{L}^{p_0}_{0}(0,T)}\), such that for any \(n \geq 1\) and any \(t \in [0, T]\),

\[
\|P_{T-t,T}^{(n),V}u_0\|_{\tilde{L}^{p_0}_{b}(0,\infty)} \leq c, \quad \left\| \int_{T-t}^{T} P_{T-t,s}^{(n),V}f_s ds \right\|_{\tilde{L}^{p_0}_{b}(0,\infty)} \leq c\|f\|_{\tilde{L}^{p_0}_{0}(T-t,T)}, \quad f \in \tilde{L}^{p_0}_{q_0}(0, T),
\]

(5.12)

\[
\|\nabla P_{t,s}^{(n),V}f\|_{\infty} \leq c(s-t)^{-\frac{1}{2}}\|f\|_{\infty}, \quad f \in B_b(\mathbb{R}^d), \quad s \in (t, T].
\]

(5.13)

Combining (5.11) with (5.12), and noting that \((H_{F,g})\) implies

\[
\|g^{(n)}(\cdot, u^{(n)}, \nabla u^{(n)}, \nabla^2 u^{(n)})\|_{\tilde{L}^{p_0}_{0}(0,T)} \leq 2nK_n + \|\tilde{g}\|_{\tilde{L}^{p_0}_{0}(0,T)} + \alpha\|\nabla^2 u^{(n)}\|_{\tilde{L}^{p_0}_{0}(0,T)} < \infty,
\]

we obtain

\[
\lim_{t \downarrow 0} \|u^{(n)}\|_{\tilde{L}^{p_0}_{b}(0,\infty)} \leq \lim_{t \downarrow 0} \{\|P_{T-t,T}^{(n),V}u_0\|_{\tilde{L}^{p_0}_{b}(0,\infty)} + c\|g^{(n)}(\cdot, u^{(n)}, \nabla u^{(n)}, \nabla^2 u^{(n)})\|_{\tilde{L}^{p_0}_{0}(0,t)}\} \leq c, \quad n \geq 1.
\]

By (5.9), this implies \(T_n > 0\) for \(n > c\).

(2) Assume \((H'_{F,g})\), we intend to prove \(T^* = \infty\). For \(n \geq 1\) let \(P_{t,s}^{(n),V}\) be in (5.10). Since \(u_t = u_t^{(n)}\) for \(t \in [0, T_n]\), we have

\[
g_s^{(n)}(\cdot, u_s^{(n)}, \nabla u_s^{(n)}, \nabla^2 u_s^{(n)}) = g_s(\cdot, u_s, \nabla u_s, \nabla^2 u_s), \quad s \in [0, T_n].
\]

Then with the integral transform \(s \mapsto T - s\), (5.11) implies

\[
u_t = P_{T-t,T}^{(n),V}u_0 + \int_0^t P_{T-t,s}^{(n),V}g_s(\cdot, u_s, \nabla u_s, \nabla^2 u_s)ds, \quad t \in [0, T_n].
\]

Combining this with (5.12), (5.13), we find a constant \(c_1 > 0\) such that

\[
\|u_t\|_{\tilde{L}^{p_0}_{b}(0,\infty)} + \|\nabla^2 u\|_{\tilde{L}^{p_0}_{0}(0,t)} \leq c\|u_0\|_{\tilde{L}^{p_0}_{b}(0,\infty)} + c\|g(\cdot, 0, 0, \nabla^2 u)\|_{\tilde{L}^{p_0}_{0}(0,t)} + c\int_0^t (t-s)^{-\frac{1}{2}}\|g_s(\cdot, u_s, \nabla u_s, \nabla^2 u_s) - g_s(\cdot, 0, 0, \nabla^2 u_s)\|_{\infty}ds.
\]

Since \((H'_{F,g})\) implies

\[
\|g(\cdot, 0, 0, \nabla^2 u)\|_{\tilde{L}^{p_0}_{0}(0,t)} \leq \|\tilde{g}\|_{\tilde{L}^{p_0}_{0}(0,t)} + \alpha\|\nabla^2 u\|_{\tilde{L}^{p_0}_{0}(0,t)},
\]

\[
\|g_s(\cdot, u_s, \nabla u_s, \nabla^2 u_s) - g_s(\cdot, 0, 0, \nabla^2 u_s)\|_{\infty} \leq C(e + \|u_s\|_{\tilde{L}^{p_0}_{b}(0,\infty)}\{\log(e + \|u_s\|_{\tilde{L}^{p_0}_{b}(0,\infty)})\})^\theta,
\]

we find a constant \(c_1 > 0\) such that

\[
\|u_t\|_{\tilde{L}^{p_0}_{b}(0,\infty)} + \|\nabla^2 u\|_{\tilde{L}^{p_0}_{0}(0,t)} \leq c_1 + c\alpha\|\nabla^2 u\|_{\tilde{L}^{p_0}_{0}(0,t)}
\]

(5.14)

\[+ c_1\int_0^t (t-s)^{-\frac{1}{2}}(e + \|u_s\|_{\tilde{L}^{p_0}_{b}(0,\infty)})\{\log(e + \|u_s\|_{\tilde{L}^{p_0}_{b}(0,\infty)})\})^\theta ds, \quad t \in [0, T_n].\]
Since Hölder’s inequality implies
\[
\int_0^t (t-s)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \left( e + \|u_s\|_{\mathcal{E}_b^1} \right) \left\{ \log(e + \|u_s\|_{\mathcal{E}_b^1}) \right\}^\theta \, ds
\leq \left( \int_0^t (t-s)^{-\frac{1}{2(1-\theta)}} \, ds \right)^{1-\theta} \left( \int_0^t (e + \|u_s\|_{\mathcal{E}_b^1})^{\theta-1} \log(e + \|u_s\|_{\mathcal{E}_b^1}) \, ds \right)^\theta,
\]
by \( \theta \in (0, \frac{1}{2}) \), when \( \alpha \in (0, \frac{1}{c}) \) we find a constant \( c_2 > 0 \) such that \( (5.14) \) implies
\[
(e + \|u_t\|_{\mathcal{E}_b^1} + \|u\|_{L^p_{0}(0,t)})^{\theta-1} \\
\leq c_2 + c_2 \int_0^t (e + \|u_s\|_{\mathcal{E}_b^1})^{\theta-1} \log(e + \|u_s\|_{\mathcal{E}_b^1}) \, dr, \quad t \in [0, T_n], n \geq 1.
\]
By Gronwall’s inequality, we obtain
\[
(e + \|u_t\|_{\mathcal{E}_b^1})^{\theta-1} \leq c_2 e^{c_2 \int_0^t \log(e + \|u_s\|_{\mathcal{E}_b^1}) \, dr}, \quad t \in [0, T_n], n \geq 1.
\]
Thus, there exists a constant \( c_3 > 0 \) such that
\[
\log(e + \|u_t\|_{\mathcal{E}_b^1}) \leq c_3 + c_3 \int_0^t \log(e + \|u_s\|_{\mathcal{E}_b^1}) \, dr, \quad t \in [0, T_n], n \geq 1.
\]
Using Gronwall’s inequality again, we derive
\[
\log(e + \|u_t\|_{\mathcal{E}_b^1}) \leq c_3 e^{c_3 T}, \quad t \in [0, T_n], n \geq 1.
\]
Therefore, there exists a constant \( c_4 > 0 \) such that
\[
(5.16) \quad \sup_{t \in [0,T_n]} \|u_t\|_{\mathcal{E}_b^1} \leq c_4, \quad n \geq 1.
\]
By \( (5.9) \), if \( T_n < T \) then this implies \( n \leq c_4 \). Thus, \( T_n \geq T \) for \( n > c_4 \). Hence \( T^* = T_n = T \) for large \( n \geq 1 \), so that \( (2.2) \) follows from \( (5.15) \) and \( (5.16) \).

\[ \square \]

References


