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Abstract. We prove the existence of a weak solution to the problem
$$\begin{align*}
-\Delta_p u + V(x)|u|^{p-2}u &= f(u, |\nabla u|^{p-2}\nabla u), \\
u(x) &= 0 \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^N,
\end{align*}$$
where $\Delta_p u = \text{div}(|\nabla u|^{p-2}\nabla u)$ is the $p$-Laplace operator, $1 < p < N$ and the nonlinearity $f : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^N \to \mathbb{R}$ is continuous and it depends on gradient of the solution. We use an iterative technique based on the Mountain pass theorem to prove our existence result.

1. Introduction

In this article, we prove the existence of a weak solution to the problem:
$$\begin{align*}
-\Delta_p u + V(x)|u|^{p-2}u &= f(u, |\nabla u|^{p-2}\nabla u), \\
u(x) &= 0 \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^N,
\end{align*}$$
where $1 < p < N$ and the non-linearity $f : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^N \to \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous function.

The Problem (1.1) is non-variational in nature, as the nonlinearity $f$ depends on gradient of the solution. Such type of problems have been studied widely in literature through non-variational techniques, such as method of sub-solution and super-solution [11, 17, 25], degree theory [21, 23] etc. In 2004, Figueiredo et al. [7] used an iterative technique based on Mountain Pass Theorem to establish the existence of a positive and a negative solution to the problem:
$$\begin{align*}
-\Delta u &= f(x, u, \nabla u) \quad \text{in } \Omega, \\
u &= 0 \quad \text{on } \partial \Omega,
\end{align*}$$
where $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ is a smooth and bounded domain. Motivated by the techniques used by Figueiredo et. al [7], several authors established existence results for second order elliptic equations with gradient nonlinearities, see for instance [6, 12, 13, 16, 19, 22] and references therein.

This work is motivated the existence results of G.M. Figueiredo [12], where the author obtained existence of a positive solution to (1.1) with $V(x) = 1$. Recently, an existence result for (1.1) in case of $p = N$ is discussed by Chen et al. [5]. For some existence results for the problems of the type (1.1) with potential $V(x)$ and without gradient dependence, we refer to [11, 9, 18] and references therein.

The plan of this article is as follows: In section 2, we state our hypotheses and main result. Section 3 deals with the proof of our main result, i.e., Theorem 2.1.
2. Hypotheses and Main Result

In this section, we state hypotheses on the nonlinearity $f$ and the potential $V$. We assume the following conditions on the nonlinearity $f$:

- ($f_1$) $f(t, |\xi|^{p-2}\xi) = 0$ for all $t < 0$, $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^N$.
- ($f_2$) $\lim_{|t| \to 0} \frac{|f(t, |\xi|^{p-2}\xi)|}{|t|^{p-1}} = 0$, $\forall \xi \in \mathbb{R}^N$.
- ($f_3$) There exists $q \in (p, p^*)$ such that $\lim_{|t| \to \infty} \frac{|f(t, |\xi|^{p-2}\xi)|}{|t|^{q-1}} = 0$, $\forall \xi \in \mathbb{R}^N$,
  where $p^* = \frac{Np}{N-p}$.
- ($f_4$) There exists $\theta > p$ such that
  $$0 < \theta F(t, |\xi|^{p-2}\xi) \leq tf(t, |\xi|^{p-2}\xi),$$
  for all $t > 0$, $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^N$, where $F(t, |\xi|^{p-2}\xi) = \int_0^t f(s, |\xi|^{p-2}\xi)ds$.
- ($f_5$) There exist positive real numbers $a$ and $b$ such that
  $$F(t, |\xi|^{p-2}\xi) \geq at^\theta - b,$$
  for all $t > 0$, $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^N$.
- ($f_6$) There exist positive constants $L_1$ and $L_2$ such that
  $$|f(t_1, |\xi|^{p-2}\xi) - f(t_2, |\xi|^{p-2}\xi)| \leq L_1|t_1 - t_2|^{p-1}$$
  for all $t_1, t_2 \in [0, \rho_1]$, $|\xi| \leq \rho_2$,
  $$|f(t, |\xi_1|^{p-2}\xi_1) - f(t, |\xi_2|^{p-2}\xi_2)| \leq L_2|\xi_1 - \xi_2|^{p-1}$$
  for all $t \in [0, \rho_1]$ and $|\xi_1|, |\xi_2| \leq \rho_2$, where $\rho_1, \rho_2$ depend on $q, N$ and $\theta$.

Moreover, $L_1$ and $L_2$ satisfy
$$\left(\frac{L_2}{C_p - L_1}\right)^{1/p-1} < 1,$$
where $C_p$ is the constant in the inequality (2.2).

In the following, we state conditions on the potential $V$:

- ($V_1$) $V(x) \geq V_0 > 0$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$;
- ($V_2$) $V(x)$ is a continuous $1$-periodic function, i.e., $V(x+y) = V(x)$, $\forall y \in \mathbb{Z}^N$ and $\forall x \in \mathbb{R}^N$.

For further details about the periodic potential $V$, we refer to [7] and references therein.

Let
$$W = \{u \in W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N) : \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (|\nabla u|^p + V(x)|u|^p)dx < \infty\}.$$ 

$W$ is a reflexive Banach space with the norm
$$\|u\| = \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (|\nabla u|^p + V(x)|u|^p)dx\right)^{1/p}.$$ 

Moreover, we have the continuous inclusions $W \hookrightarrow W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N) \hookrightarrow L^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for all $s \in [p, p^*]$. For the details, we refer to [9] Lemma 2.1.

Next, in the spirit of Figueiredo et al. [7], we associate with (1.1), a family of problems with no dependence on the gradient of solution. To be precise, for every,
Problem (2.1) is variational in nature and the critical points of the functional
\[ I_w(u) = \frac{1}{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^p \, dx + \frac{1}{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(x)|u|^p \, dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} F(u, |\nabla w|^{p-2}\nabla w) \, dx \]
are the weak solutions to (2.1).

To prove our main result, we will use the following inequality [10]:
\[ \langle |x|^{p-2}x - |y|^{p-2}y, x - y \rangle \geq C_p |x - y|^p, \]
for all \( x, y \in \mathbb{R}^N \), where \( \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle \) is the usual inner product in \( \mathbb{R}^N \). Now, we state our main result:

**Theorem 2.1.** Suppose that the conditions \((f_1) - (f_6)\) and \((V_1), (V_2)\) are satisfied. Then, there exists a positive solution to (1.1).

3. **Proof of Theorem 2.1**

This section deals with the proof of Theorem 2.1. The proof is divided in a series of lemmas.

**Lemma 3.1.** Let \( w \in W \cap C_{loc}^{1,\beta}(\mathbb{R}^N) \) with \( 0 < \beta < 1 \). Then there exist positive real numbers \( \alpha \) and \( \rho \) independent of \( w \) such that
\[ I_w(u) \geq \alpha > 0, \quad \forall u \in W \text{ such that } ||u|| = \rho. \]

**Proof.** From \((f_2)\) we have, for any \( \epsilon > 0 \), \( \exists \delta_1 > 0 \) such that
\[ |f(s, |\xi|^{p-2}\xi)| \leq \epsilon |s|^{p-1}, \quad \forall |s| < \delta_1, \xi \in \mathbb{R}^N. \]
From \((f_3)\) we have, for any \( \epsilon > 0 \), \( \exists \delta_2 > 0 \) such that
\[ |f(s, |\xi|^{p-2}\xi)| \leq \epsilon |s|^{p-1}, \quad \forall |s| > \delta_2, \xi \in \mathbb{R}^N. \]
By (3.1) and (3.2) we have,
\[ |F(u, |\nabla w|^{p-2}\nabla w)| \leq \frac{1}{p} \epsilon |u|^p + \frac{1}{q} \epsilon |u|^q, \quad \forall u \in W. \]
Thus,
\[ I_w(u) = \frac{1}{p} ||u||^p - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} F(u, |\nabla w|^{p-2}\nabla w) \, dx. \]
It follows from (3.3) and embedding result that
\[ I_w(u) \geq \left( \frac{1}{p} - c_1 \epsilon \right)||u||^p - c_2 \epsilon ||u||^q. \]
Now choose \( \epsilon \) such that \( \frac{1}{p} - c_1 \epsilon > 0 \) and \( \rho \leq \left( \frac{\frac{1}{p} - c_1 \epsilon}{c_2 \epsilon} \right)^{\frac{1}{q-p}}. \) This completes the proof.

**Lemma 3.2.** Let \( w \in W \cap C_{loc}^{1,\beta}(\mathbb{R}^N) \) with \( 0 < \beta < 1 \). Fix \( \nu_0 \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N) \) with \( ||\nu_0|| = 1. \) Then \( \exists t_0 > 0, \) independent of \( w, \) such that
\[ I_w(t \nu_0) \leq 0, \quad \forall t \geq t_0. \]
Proof. By \((f_5)\) we get,
\[
I_w(tv_0) \leq \frac{t^p}{p} - \int_{\text{Supp}(v_0)} (at^\theta v_0^\theta - b)dx
= \frac{t^p}{p} - at^\theta \int_{\text{Supp}(v_0)} v_0^\theta dx + b|\text{Supp}(v_0)|,
\]
Since \(\theta > p\), the result follows. \(\Box\)

Lemma 3.3. Let conditions \((f_1) - (f_5)\) and \((V_1), (V_2)\) hold. Then, the Problem \((2.1)\) admits a positive solution \(u_w \in W\).

Proof. Lemmas 3.1 and 3.2 tell us that the functional \(I_w\) satisfies the geometric conditions of the Mountain Pass Theorem. Hence, by the version of Mountain Pass Theorem without (PS) conditions [24], there exist a sequence \(\{u_n\} \subset W\) such that
\[
I_w(u_n) \rightarrow c_w \quad \text{and} \quad I'_w(u_n) \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as} \quad n \rightarrow \infty
\]
where
\[
c_w = \inf_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \max_{t \in [0,1]} I_w(\gamma(t)) > 0,
\]
with
\[
\Gamma = \{\gamma \in C([0,1], W) : \gamma(0) = 0, \gamma(1) = t_0v_0\}
\]
where \(t_0\) and \(v_0\) are as in Lemma 3.2.

By \((f_4)\), we have \(c\|u_n\|^p \leq c_w + \|u_n\|\). This implies that \(\{u_n\}\) is bounded in \(W\), hence there exists its subsequence still denoted by \(\{u_n\}\), as

\[
(3.4) \quad u_n \rightarrow u_w \quad \text{in} \quad W,
\]

\[
(3.5) \quad u_n \rightarrow u_w \quad \text{in} \quad L^{p\prime}_{\text{loc}} \quad \text{for} \quad p \leq s < p^*.
\]

On following the arguments from [8, Proposition 4.4], we obtain
\[
\frac{\partial u_n}{\partial x_i}(x) \rightarrow \frac{\partial u_w}{\partial x_i}(x) \quad \text{a.e. in} \quad \mathbb{R}^N.
\]
This implies,
\[
\nabla u_n(x) \rightarrow \nabla u_w(x) \quad \text{a.e. in} \quad \mathbb{R}^N.
\]

Using (3.7), we get
\[
|\nabla u_n|^p - 2\nabla u_n \rightarrow |\nabla u_w|^p - 2\nabla u_w \quad \text{a.e. in} \quad \mathbb{R}^N.
\]

Since \(|\nabla u_n|^p - 2\nabla u_n|\) is bounded in \(L^{p/(p-1)}\), we get,
\[
|\nabla u_n|^p - 2\nabla u_n \rightarrow |\nabla u_w|^p - 2\nabla u_w \quad \text{in} \quad L^{p/(p-1)}(\mathbb{R}^N).
\]

By the definition of weak convergence, we have
\[
\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u_n|^p - 2\nabla u_n \nabla \varphi dx \rightarrow \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u_w|^p - 2\nabla u_w \nabla \varphi dx \quad \text{for all} \quad \varphi \in W.
\]

In view of Brezis-Lieb lemma [4], we have
\[
\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(x)|u_n|^p - 2u_n \varphi dx \rightarrow \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(x)|u_w|^p - 2u_w \varphi dx \quad \text{for all} \quad \varphi \in W.
\]

By the help of [4] and Lebesgue Generalized Theorem [3], we get
\[
\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f(u_n, |\nabla u|^p - 2\nabla u) \varphi dx \rightarrow \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f(u_w, |\nabla u|^p - 2\nabla u) \varphi dx \quad \text{for all} \quad \varphi \in W.
\]
Therefore, we have
\[
I_w'(u_w)\varphi = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u_w|^{p-2} \nabla u_w \nabla \varphi \, dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(x) |u_w|^{p-2} u_w \varphi \, dx \\
- \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f(u_w, |\nabla w|^{p-2} \nabla w) \varphi \, dx = 0, \text{ for all } \varphi \in W.
\] (3.8)

This implies, \( u \) is the weak solution of (2.1).

Let \( u_w \neq 0 \). Next, we show that \( u_w > 0 \). By taking \( \varphi = u_w^* \) in (3.8), we get
\[
\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u_w|^{p-2} \nabla (u^+_w - u^-_w) \nabla u_w \, dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(x)|u_w|^{p-2}(u^+_w - u^-_w)u_w \, dx \\
= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f(u_w, |\nabla w|^{p-2} \nabla w) u_w^* \, dx,
\]
which gives
\[
- \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u_w|^{p-2} |\nabla u_w|^2 \, dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f(u_w, |\nabla w|^{p-2} \nabla w) u_w^* \, dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(x)|u_w|^{p-2}(u^-_w)^2 \, dx.
\]

Thus,
\[
\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(x)|u_w|^{p-2}(u^-_w)^2 = 0.
\]

This implies, \( |u_w|^{p-2}(u^-_w)^2 = |u^+_w - u^-_w|^{p-2}(u^-_w)^2 = 0 \) as \( V(x) > 0 \). Therefore, we have
\[
0 = |u^+_w(x) - u^-_w(x)|^{p-2}(u^-_w(x))^2 = \begin{cases} 
0 & u_w(x) \geq 0 \\
|u^-_w|^{p} & u_w(x) < 0.
\end{cases}
\]

Hence, \( u_w = u^+_w - u^-_w = u^+_w \geq 0 \). Moreover, Harnack inequality implies that \( u_w(x) > 0 \) for all \( x \in \mathbb{R}^N \).

If \( u_w \equiv 0 \), then there exist a sequence \( \{z_n\} \subset \mathbb{R}^N \) and \( \delta, R > 0 \) such that
\[
\int_{B_R(z_n)} |u_n|^p dx \geq \delta.
\] (3.9)

For, if on the contrary
\[
\limsup_{n \to \infty} \int_{B_R(x)} |u_n|^p dx = 0,
\]
then by using [15 Lemma 1.1], \( u_n \to 0 \) in \( L^p(\mathbb{R}^N) \) with \( p < s < p^* \), which implies that \( I_v(u_n) \to 0 \) as \( n \to \infty \). It contradicts the fact that \( c_w > 0 \).

Let us define \( v_n(x) = u_n(x + z_n) \). Since \( V(x) \) is a 1-periodic function, we can use the invariance of \( \mathbb{R}^N \) under translations to conclude that \( I_w(v_n) \to c_w \) and \( I_w'(v_n) \to 0 \). Moreover, up to a subsequence, \( v_n \to v_w \) in \( W \) and \( v_n \to v_w \) in \( L^p(B_R(0)) \), where \( v_w \) is a critical point of \( I_w \). By (3.9), we conclude that \( v_w \) is non zero. Arguing as above, we get that \( v_w \) is a positive solution to (2.1). This completes the proof.

\( \square \)

**Lemma 3.4.** Let \( w \in W \cap C^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^N) \) with \( 0 < \beta < 1 \). Then there exists positive real number \( \eta \) independent of \( w \), such that
\[
||u_w|| \leq \eta,
\]
where \( u_w \) is the solution of (2.1) obtained in Lemma 3.3.
Proof. Using the characterization of \( c_w \), we have
\[
c_w \leq \max_{t \geq 0} (tu).
\]

Fix \( v \in W \) such that \( ||v|| = 1 \). By (f_5), we have
\[
c_w \leq \max_{t \geq 0} I_w(tv) \leq \max_{t \geq 0} \left( \frac{t^p}{p} - c_6 f^\theta - c_7 \right) = \eta_0.
\]

By (f_1), we have
\[
I_w(u_w) \geq \frac{1}{p} ||u_w||^p - \frac{1}{\theta} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f(u_w, |\nabla w|^{p-2} \nabla w)uwdx.
\]

Also, we have
\[
I_w'(u_w)(u_w) = ||u_w||^p - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f(u_w, |\nabla w|^{p-2} \nabla w)uwdx.
\]

By (3.10) and (3.11), we obtain
\[
\left( \frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{\theta} \right) ||u_w||^p \leq I_w(u_w) - \frac{1}{\theta} I_w'(u_w)(u_w).
\]

Next, by using the fact that \( I_w'(u_w)(u_w) = 0 \) and \( I_w(u_w) = c_w \) we get
\[
\left( \frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{\theta} \right) ||u_w||^p \leq c_w \leq \eta_0,
\]

and the proof is complete. \( \square \)

Lemma 3.5. Let \( u_w \) be a positive solution to (2.1) obtained in Lemma \ref{Lemma2}. Then, \( u_w \in C^{1,\beta}_{loc} \cap L^{\infty}_{loc} (\mathbb{R}^N) \) with \( 0 < \beta < 1 \). Moreover, there exist positive numbers \( \rho_1 \) and \( \rho_2 \), independent of \( w \), such that \( ||u_w||_{C^{1,\beta}_{loc} (\mathbb{R}^N)} \leq \rho_1 \) and \( ||\nabla u_w||_{C^{0,\beta}_{loc} (\mathbb{R}^N)} \leq \rho_2 \).

Proof. By using the fact that \( V(x) \geq V_0 \) and \( u_w > 0 \), we have
\[
f(u_w, |\nabla w|^{p-2} \nabla w) - V(x)||u_w||^{p-2} u_w \leq f(u_w, |\nabla w|^{p-2} \nabla w) - V_0 ||u_w||^{p-1}.
\]

By the help of (f_2) and (f_3), one gets
\[
|f(u_w, |\nabla w|^{p-2} \nabla w)| \leq \epsilon ||u_w||^{p-1} + \epsilon ||u_w||^{q-1} + V_0 ||u_w||^{p-1} \leq (\epsilon + V_0)(||u_w||^{p-1} + ||u_w||^{q-1}).
\]

By [20] Theorem 2.2], for any compact set \( K \subseteq \mathbb{R}^N \), we have \( ||u_w||_{L^{\infty}(K)} \leq C \), where the constant \( C \) depends on \( p, q, N \) and \( ||u_w||_{L^{p^*}(K)} \). By Sobolev embedding theorem and Lemma 3.4, there exist \( C_0 \) independent of \( w \) such that \( ||u_w||_{L^{\infty}(K)} \leq C_0 \). By [2] Theorem 1], \( ||\nabla u_w||_{L^{\infty}(K)} \leq C_1 \), for some constant \( C_1 \) dependent on \( p, q, N \) and \( ||u_w||_{L^{\infty}(K)} \). Hence there exists a constant \( C_2 \) independent of \( w \) such that \( ||\nabla u_w||_{L^{\infty}(K)} \leq C_2 \).

By [2] Theorem 2], we obtain \( ||u_w||_{C^{1,\beta}_{loc} (\mathbb{R}^N)} \leq C_3 \), where \( C_3 \) is dependent on \( p, q, N \) and \( ||\nabla u_w||_{L^{\infty}(K)} \). Thus there exists a positive number \( \rho \) independent of \( w \) such that \( ||u_w||_{C^{1,\beta}_{loc} (\mathbb{R}^N)} \leq \rho \). Subsequently, there exist positive real numbers \( \rho_1 \) and \( \rho_2 \), independent of \( w \), such that \( ||u_w||_{C^{0,\beta}_{loc} (\mathbb{R}^N)} \leq \rho_1 \) and \( ||\nabla u_w||_{C^{0,\beta}_{loc} (\mathbb{R}^N)} \leq \rho_2 \). This completes the proof. \( \square \)
Lemma 3.6. Let \( w \in W \cap C^{1,\beta}_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^N) \) with \( 0 < \beta < 1 \). Then there exists positive real number \( \lambda \) independent of \( w \), such that

\[ ||u_w|| \geq \lambda, \]

where \( u_w \) is the solution of (2.1) obtained in Lemma 3.3.

Proof. Since \( u_w \) is the weak solution of (2.1) obtained in Lemma 3.3, we have \( \rho_1(u_w) = 0 \) for all \( v \in W \), in particular by putting \( v = u_w \) we get

\[ \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u_w|^p dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(x)|u_w|^p dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f(u_w, |\nabla u_w|^{p-2} \nabla u_w) u_w dx. \]

By using (3.1) and (3.2) we have,

\[ ||u_w||^p \leq c_4 \epsilon ||u_w||^p + c_5 \epsilon ||u_w||^q. \]

Since \( q > p \), we get \( ||u_w|| \geq \left( \frac{1 - c_4 \epsilon}{c_5 \epsilon} \right)^{p \frac{q}{q-p}} \). This completes the proof.

Now, we are in position to prove Theorem 2.1

Proof of Theorem 2.1. Starting with an arbitrary \( u_0 \in W \cap C^{1,\beta}_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^N) \) with \( 0 < \beta < 1 \), we construct a sequence \( \{ u_n \} \subseteq W \) as solution of

\[ (P_n) \quad - \Delta_p u_n + V(x)|u_n|^{p-2} u_n = f(u_n, |\nabla u_{n-1}|^{p-2} \nabla u_{n-1}), \quad \text{in} \ \mathbb{R}^N \]

obtained in Lemma 3.3. By Lemma 3.5 \( \{ u_n \} \subseteq W \cap C^{1,\beta}_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^N) \) with \( 0 < \beta < 1 \), \( ||u_n||_{C^{0,\beta}(\mathbb{R}^N)} \leq \rho_1 \) and \( ||\nabla u_n||_{C^{0,\beta}(\mathbb{R}^N)} \leq \rho_2 \). Since \( u_{n+1} \) is the weak solution of (\( P_{n+1} \)), we have

\[ \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u_{n+1}|^{p-2} \nabla u_{n+1} \nabla \varphi \ dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(x)|u_{n+1}|^{p-2} u_{n+1} \varphi \ dx \]

\[ = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f(u_{n+1}, |\nabla u_{n}|^{p-2} \nabla u_{n}) \varphi \ dx, \quad \forall \varphi \in W. \]

Similarly, \( u_n \) is the weak solution of (\( P_n \)), we have

\[ \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u_{n}|^{p-2} \nabla u_{n} \nabla \varphi \ dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(x)|u_{n}|^{p-2} u_{n} \varphi \ dx \]

\[ = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f(u_{n}, |\nabla u_{n-1}|^{p-2} \nabla u_{n-1}) \varphi \ dx, \quad \forall \varphi \in W. \]

Set \( \varphi = u_{n+1} - u_n \). On subtracting (3.13) from (3.12) and by using the inequality (2.2), we get

\[ ||u_{n+1} - u_n|| \leq \frac{1}{C_p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left[ f(u_{n+1}, |\nabla u_{n}|^{p-2} \nabla u_{n}) - f(u_{n}, |\nabla u_{n-1}|^{p-2} \nabla u_{n-1}) \right] (u_{n+1} - u_n) dx \]

\[ + \frac{1}{C_p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left[ f(u_{n}, |\nabla u_{n-1}|^{p-2} \nabla u_{n-1}) - f(u, |\nabla u_{n-1}|^{p-2} \nabla u_{n-1}) \right] (u_{n+1} - u_n) dx. \]
By using (f_6), we obtain
\[ \|u_{n+1} - u_n\|^p \leq \frac{L_1}{C_p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u_{n+1} - u_n|^{p-1} |u_{n+1} - u_n| dx + \frac{L_2}{C_p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u_n - u_{n-1}|^{p-1} (u_{n+1} - u_n) dx. \]

On simplification, we have
\[ \frac{C_p - L_1}{C_p} \|u_{n+1} - u_n\|^p \leq \frac{L_2}{C_p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u_n - u_{n-1}|^{p-1} (u_{n+1} - u_n) dx. \]

Thanks to Hölder inequality, we get
\[ \|u_{n+1} - u_n\| \leq \left( \frac{L_2}{C_p - L_1} \right)^{1/p-1} \|u_n - u_{n-1}\| =: d \|u_n - u_{n-1}\|, \]
where \( d = \left( \frac{L_2}{C_p - L_1} \right)^{1/p-1} \). Since \( d < 1 \), \( \{u_n\} \) is a Cauchy sequence in \( W \), there exists \( u \in W \) such that \( \{u_n\} \) converges to \( u \) in \( W \). Next, we will prove that \( u \) is a solution of the Problem (1.1). Since \( \|\nabla u_n\|_{C^{0,\beta}(\mathbb{R}^N)} \leq \rho_2 \), we have
\[ |\nabla u_n|^{p-2} \nabla u_n \nabla \varphi| \leq \rho_2^{p-1} |\nabla \varphi|. \]
Then, by the help of Lebesgue’s Dominated Convergence Theorem, we get
\[ \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u_n|^{p-2} \nabla u_n \nabla \varphi \ dx \to \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u \nabla \varphi \ dx \quad \text{for all } \varphi \in W. \]

In view of Brezis-Lieb lemma [4], we have
\[ \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(x)|u_n|^{p-2} u_n \varphi \ dx \to \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(x)|u|^{p-2} u \varphi \ dx \quad \text{for all } \varphi \in W. \]

By the help of Lebesgue Generalized Theorem [3], we get
\[ \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f(u_n, \nabla u_n - 1)|^{p-2} \nabla u_n - 1| \varphi \ dx \to \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f(u, \nabla u)|^{p-2} \nabla u| \varphi \ dx \quad \text{for all } \varphi \in W. \]

Therefore, as \( n \to \infty \), (3.13) implies
\[ \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u \nabla \varphi \ dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(x)|u|^{p-2} u \varphi \ dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f(u, |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u)| \varphi \ dx = 0, \]
for all \( \varphi \in W \). This implies that \( u \) is the weak solution of Problem (1.1). By Lemma 3.6, \( u > 0 \) in \( \mathbb{R}^N \).
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