Anomalous dispersion and negative-mass dynamics of exciton polaritons in an atomically thin semiconductor
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Dispersion engineering is a powerful and versatile tool that can vary the speed of light signals [1] and induce negative-mass effects in the dynamics of electrons [2], quasiparticles, [3] and quantum fluids [4, 5]. Here, we demonstrate that dissipative coupling between bound electron-hole pairs (excitons) and photons in an optical microcavity [6] can lead to the formation of exciton polaritons with an inverted dispersion of the lower polariton branch and hence, a negative mass. We perform a direct measurement of the anomalous dispersion in an atomically thin WS2 crystal [7] embedded in a planar microcavity, and demonstrate that the propagation direction of the negative-mass polaritons is opposite to their momentum. Our study introduces a new concept of non-Hermitian dispersion engineering for exciton polaritons and shows a pathway for realising new phases of quantum matter in a solid state.

Losses are ubiquitous in nature and are usually perceived as detrimental to the performance of electronic and photonic devices. However, recent understanding of the physics of non-Hermitian systems with loss and gain has led to the possibility to obtain novel properties and functionalities by judicious control of losses. This concept is most powerfully demonstrated in non-Hermitian photonics [8–10], where non-Hermitian spectral degeneracies (exceptional points) and associated symmetry-breaking transitions fundamentally change the laws of wave propagation and scattering. Although the study of non-Hermitian physics in quantum electronic systems remains difficult, significant progress has been achieved in hybrid photonic systems, where photons are strongly coupled to electronic excitations in a solid state to form exciton polaritons, which are part-light part-matter hybrid quasiparticles [6]. Nontrivial topology of the eigenstates [11, 12] and mode selectivity [13] in the vicinity of the exceptional points, band engineering [14] and nonlinear localisation [15] in non-Hermitian lattices, emergence of non-Hermitian topology [16] and divergent quantum geometric metric near an exceptional point [17] have been demonstrated in the strong light-matter coupling regime.

Here, we exploit the inherent losses in exciton-polariton systems [16] together with the hidden component of light–matter interaction called dissipative coupling [18] to drastically modify the exciton-polariton dispersion. Also known as external coupling via the continuum [19], dissipative coupling leads to level attraction or clustering [19, 20] and resonance trapping [21]. This is in contrast to the well known coherent (or internal) coupling which always leads to level repulsion. We show theoretically that the interplay between the two types of coupling enables non-Hermitian dispersion engineering, in particular, resulting in an inverted dispersion of exciton polaritons in a planar structure. We directly measure this anomalous dispersion at room temperature and demonstrate the negative-mass transport of exciton polaritons in monolayer WS2 coupled to a photon mode of an optical microcavity formed by two distributed Bragg reflector (DBR) mirrors with a polymer spacer.

To demonstrate the principle of non-Hermitian dispersion engineering, we start with an effective Hamiltonian given by \( H = H_0 - iW W^\dagger \) [21] describing the coherent (internal) and external coupling of cavity photons (\( C \)) and excitons (\( X \)):

\[
H = \begin{pmatrix} E_c & V \\ V & E_x \end{pmatrix} - i \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{\gamma_c} & \sqrt{g} & 0 \\ \sqrt{\gamma_c} & \sqrt{\gamma_x} & \sqrt{g} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \sqrt{\gamma_c} \\ \sqrt{\gamma_x} \end{pmatrix},
\]

(1)

Here, the Hermitian term \( H_0 \) models the coherent coupling of excitons and photons with the bare energies \( E_{c,x} \), respectively. The coupling strength \( V \) is proportional to the exciton oscillator strength and the overlap of the exciton dipole with the confined electric field of the cavity photon [6]. The matrix \( W \) describes the external coupling to two decay channels [21]: \( \gamma_c \) is the coupling of cavity photons to the continuum of states outside the cavity due to the imperfect mirrors limiting their lifetimes, \( \gamma_x \) is the coupling to radiative and non-radiative channels, resulting in the homogeneous linewidth broadening of excitons [22, 23], and \( g \) captures the coupling of cavity photons and excitons to the same non-radiative decay channel (e.g., phonons [22–25]). The parameter \( g \) results in a dissipative coupling, which is well studied in the context of optomechanical [18] and magnon-photon systems [20], and open microwave cavities [19, 21]. It also appears in theoretical studies of fragmented exciton-
polariton condensates [26] and excitons polaritons coupled to an optomechanical resonator [27].

The exciton-photon coupling gives rise to the complex upper (U) and lower (L) eigenvalue branches:

\[ E_{U,L} - i\gamma_{U,L} = \langle \hat{E} \rangle \pm \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{(\Delta - i\delta)^2 + 4(V - i\sqrt{g\gamma_x})^2}, \]

where \( \langle \hat{E} \rangle = (E) - i(\gamma) \) is the mean complex eigenvalue with \( \gamma = (\gamma_c + g + \gamma_x)/2 \), \( \Delta = E_c - E_x \) is the bare energy difference and \( \delta = \gamma_c + g - \gamma_x \). The eigenvalues and eigenvectors will simultaneously coalesce at the exceptional point when \( V = \pm \delta/2 \) and \( \Delta = \pm 2\sqrt{g\gamma_x} \). Note that when \( g = 0 \), the non-Hermitian term \( -iWW' \) simply describes the bare decay rates \( \gamma_{c,x}/h \) of the cavity photon and exciton, respectively.

The level and linewidth dynamics for different strengths of \( V \) and \( g \) are shown in Fig. 1a,b. The behaviour of the purely coherent coupling (\( g = 0 \)) case, where the energies repel while the linewidths cross, is the opposite of the purely dissipative coupling (\( V = 0 \)) case, where the energies attract while the linewidths repel. The latter results in the resonance trapping of the long-lived state at the expense of the other [21]. We define the strong coupling regime as \( V > |\delta|/2 \), represented by the thick solid lines in Fig. 1a,b, which are characterised by energy anticrossings and linewidth crossings. In this regime, the eigenstates correspond to the hybrid exciton-polariton quasiparticles [6, 28–30]. Note that \( g \) shifts the linewidth crossing towards higher \( \Delta \) resulting in strong deviation in energy in those regions.

Figure 1c shows the shifts of the eigenenergies (2) from the bare exciton and photon energies, defined by \( \Delta_{UL} = \Re[(E_U - E_L) - \Delta] \). In the strong coupling regime, the maximum shift (level repulsion) occurs at \( \Delta = 0 \) and decays monotonically with \( |\Delta| \) when \( g \) is negligible, reminiscent of the familiar Hermitian limit \( \gamma_{c,x}, g \rightarrow 0 \). However, for the purely dissipative coupling, the level attraction (negative of \( \Delta_{UL} \)) is non-monotonic and reaches maximum around \( \Delta \approx \pm 2\sqrt{g\gamma_x} \). When both types of coupling are present, the energy shift along \( \Delta \) is asymmetric, such that the level attraction dominates at a positive exciton-photon detuning, around \( \Delta \approx 2\sqrt{g\gamma_x} \). This energy shift, which strongly depends on detuning, is responsible for the anomalous dispersion of exciton polaritons.

To describe the exciton–photon dispersion, we approximate the cavity photon dispersion as [6]: \( E_c(k) = E_{c0} + \hbar^2k^2/2m_c \), where \( \hbar k \) is the momentum along the plane of the cavity, \( m_c \) is the in-plane effective mass of the cavity photon, and \( E_x \) is approximately constant at small momenta, since \( m_x \approx 10^3m_c \). Typical dispersion curves at a positive exciton–photon detuning, \( \Delta_0 = E_{c0} - E_x \) are presented in Fig. 2a. Without dissipative coupling (\( g = 0 \)), the dispersion features repelling branches corresponding to the well known upper and lower exciton polaritons [6]. For purely dissipative coupling (\( V = 0 \)), represented by dashed lines, the branches are clearly attracted to each other, such that the largest attraction occurs at some finite \( k \), resulting in two inversion peaks for the lower branch. This feature is due to the level attraction (see the dashed line in Fig. 1c), which reaches the maximum strength at a finite \( \Delta \). When both coupling are present, the dispersion transforms between the two regimes depending on the relative strength of \( V \) and \( g \), as shown by the two additional solid lines in Fig. 2a.

To characterise the dispersion, we define the mass parameters [31] \( m_1(k) = \hbar^2 k^2/\partial_k E(k) \)^{-1}, which determines the group velocity \( v_g = \hbar k/m_1 \), and \( m_2(k) = \hbar^2 [\partial_k E(k)]^{-2} \), which determines the acceleration due to external field. Note that \( m_1 \) is only negative around the inverted dispersion unlike \( m_2 \), which also switches signs at the inflection points. The masses are plotted in Fig. 2b for two lower branches in Fig. 2a (indicated by arrows) with and without dissipative coupling. When \( g = 0 \), \( m_1 \) is positive for all momenta and \( m_2 \) is only negative at finite \( k \), a known feature of exciton polaritons [31]. This is in stark contrast to the case with \( g \neq 0 \), where both masses become negative near the inversion peak. While \( m_2 \) switches back to positive sign, \( m_1 \) remains negative for the plotted range of momenta, shown by the shaded region in Fig. 2b. Note that the sign of the masses for the upper branch is largely unaffected by \( g \), since it originally has a very small effective mass.

It is important to point out that the inverted dispersion is isotropic, forming a ring in \( k \)-space, as shown in Fig. 2c. This is distinct from the inverted bands in periodic band structures, where the inversion peaks are localised at high-symmetry points only [5].

We further analysed the \( \Delta_0-V-g \) parameter space as a
function of $hk$ to determine the the region of existence of the inverted dispersion. As expected, the negative-mass regions disappears when coherent coupling significantly dominates over dissipative coupling, as shown by the plots in Fig. 2d,e. Hence, either $V$ has to be decreased or $g$ increased to observe the inverted dispersion. We implement the former in the experiment, as described below. The negative-mass regime also persists for a wide range of exciton–photon detuning $\Delta_0 = \gamma_x$. The momentum range corresponding to the negative mass increases with detuning $\Delta_0$ and the dispersion becomes completely inverted at large positive $\Delta_0/\gamma_x$, a feature that was previously reported for trion polaritons [32]. In general, a positive exciton–photon detuning favours the negative-mass regime.

To demonstrate the effects of the anomalous dispersion experimentally, we fabricated a planar microcavity with an integrated monolayer WS$_2$ at positive exciton-photon detuning. To uncover the effects of dissipative coupling, we also need to reduce the coherent coupling strength $V$. We achieved this with our recently developed technology for integrating monolayer WS$_2$ into PMMA/SiO$_x$ spaced planar microcavitites [33].

Figure 3a illustrates the sample design. Here, the initially transferred WS$_2$ monolayer is protected with polymethyl-methacrylate (PMMA) against further deposition of the thin SiO$_2$ spacer and the top DBR. The thin SiO$_2$ spacer allows us to carefully adjust the exciton–photon detuning $\Delta_0$ to a positive value, and the two distributed Bragg reflectors (DBRs) enable a Q-factor of above $10^3$ (see SI).

The momentum-resolved PL spectra of the polariton emission in this sample are shown in Fig. 3b together with the extracted peak energies of the lower and upper branches. The observed PL intensities are dictated by the photonic Hopfield-coefficients and thermalisation of polaritons [34]. Hence, the PL of the upper branch, which is highly photonic at positive $\Delta_0$, strongly dominates the emission of the structure and decreases at larger energies due to thermalisation. To visualise the emission of the lower branch, we normalise the PL spectrum at each $k$ to visualise the shape of the lower branch. The black (red) circles are the fitted peak-positions of the lower (upper) branch. The solid cyan (dashed black) lines are the fitted energies of the coupled (bare) microcavity photons and excitons.

Figure 2. Anomalous dispersion of exciton polaritons. a, Dispersion for different values of coherent and dissipative coupling strengths at fixed exciton–photon detuning $\Delta_0 = \gamma_x$. Thin grey lines are the bare exciton $E_x$ and cavity photon $E_c$ dispersions. b, $k$-dependent mass parameters $m_1$ (red) and $m_2$ (blue) corresponding to the two dispersion in a (see arrows). c, Lower polariton dispersion in two-dimensional $k$-space corresponding to a with $V = 0.3\gamma_x$ and $g = 0.6\gamma_x$. d-f, Negative-mass ($m_1 < 0$) regions (shaded) in $k$-space as a function (d) $V$ for $g = 0.6\gamma_x$, $\Delta_0 = \gamma_x$, (e) $g$ for $V = 0.6\gamma_x$, $\Delta_0 = \gamma_x$, and (f) $\Delta_0$ for $V = 0.3\gamma_x$, $g = 0.6\gamma_x$.

FIG. 3. Experimental realisation of negative mass. a, Schematics of the fabricated microcavity with embedded monolayer WS$_2$. b, Momentum resolved PL spectrum at the position of the monolayer. The spectrum for $E < 2.028$ eV is normalised at each $k$ to visualise the shape of the lower branch. The black (red) circles are the fitted peak-positions of the lower (upper) branch. The solid cyan (dashed black) lines are the fitted energies of the coupled (bare) microcavity photons and excitons. c, Mass parameters (red) $m_1$ and (blue) $m_2$ for the lower branch in units of the fitted mass of the cavity photons, with the negative mass regions shaded in red.
 positions can be well fitted using the two-oscillator model of Eq. 1 with $\Delta \approx 55$ meV, $\gamma_x \approx 39$ meV, $\gamma_c \approx 1.1$ meV (see SI), and the coupling constants $V \approx 7.7$ meV and $g \approx 31$ meV.

With $2V > |\delta| \approx 7$ meV, the sample operates in the strong coupling regime and thus hosts exciton polaritons. However, since the coupling strength $V$ is much smaller than $g$, the level attraction at larger $\Delta$ dominates, endowing a negative mass $m_1$ to the lower polaritons with momenta larger than $k_|| \approx \pm 2 \mu$m$^{-1}$ (see Fig. 3c). Hence, by suppressing the exciton oscillator strength in the planar microcavity, we uncover the anomalous lower polariton dispersion with a negative mass-parameter $m_1$.

The dissipative coupling causing the observed effects can arise from the coupling of both exciton and photon to the same decay channel [21], or to a third dissipative mode [35]. For monolayer transition metal dichalcogenides (TMDs), this coupling can arise from the strong exciton–phonon coupling [24], which is of the same order of magnitude as the fitted value of $g$ [36]. Recent works have also showed the significant role of phonons in exciton–photon interaction [25]. In addition, the fabrication process used in this work can cause an enhancement of non-radiative channels as evidenced by the increase in linewidths of the bare exciton PL (see SI) [33]. Dissipative coupling can also arise via coupling to a ‘hidden’ photon mode [35], but this mode with opposite polarisation is not present in our planar DBR-based microcavities. Similarly to cavity magnonics and optomechanics, dissipative coupling might be ubiquitous in exciton-photon systems and hence, the anomalous dispersion observed here may be generalised to other materials, like other TMDs, perovskites [16, 37] and organics [38].

Finally, we show that the anomalous dispersion has a dramatic effect on the dynamics of the lower polaritons. In contrast to positive mass particles, such as the upper polaritons, negative-mass particles move in the opposite direction to its momentum $\hbar k$, i.e. their group velocity is opposite to their momentum, as given by the relation $m_1 v_g = \hbar k$. To demonstrate this behaviour in the experiment, we excite the sample with a tightly focused off-resonant laser spot, which results in a spatially localised distribution of polaritons with a wide range of momenta, as shown by the distributions in Fig. 3b. Polaritons will then move away from the excitation spot in the direction determined by their group velocity $v_g$ (see Fig. 4a). Hence, polaritons displaced to the positive (negative) $x$ direction with respect to the spot must have an average velocity towards the same direction [39]. We then measure the momentum distribution of the polaritons displaced from the laser spot by Fourier transforming only a small region in real space (see Fig. 4c) using a spatial filter (see Methods).

Polaritons directly at the excitation spot, measured with a filter centered on the spot (see top of Fig. 4c), feature a symmetric momentum distribution for both upper and lower branches, as shown by the momentum-resolved PL spectrum (middle panel) in Fig. 4c. This is clearly seen in the lower panel, where we directly plot the momentum distribution of the two branches by separating
the spectrum around 2.02 eV. To the left of the spot, as shown in Fig. 4b, the PL spectrum shows a dramatic change in the distribution. However, the lower polariton branch is skewed to the left, i.e. its average momentum is opposite to the particle displacement or the group velocity. The additional peak to the left of the upper polariton distribution likely arises from the tail of the lower polariton distribution, which has a much larger linewidth. This opposite behaviour of momentum and group velocity of the lower polaritons is consistently observed to the right of the laser spot, as demonstrated by Fig. 4d, where the particles displaced to the right, or with rightward group velocity, have the opposite, leftward average momentum.

In all configurations, the upper polariton branch behaves as expected, i.e. the group velocity and momentum are parallel, since it keeps its positive mass. Therefore, we have confirmed the negative mass dynamics of the polaritons in a single experiment using a mixture of particles with distinct signs of effective masses $m_1$.

In summary, we have shown that the dissipative coupling can drastically modify the dispersion of a strongly coupled exciton-photon system, leading to an anomalous (inverted) dispersion for the lower polariton branch in a planar microcavity, without a periodic potential. The anomalous dispersion arises when the dissipative coupling between excitons and cavity photons overcomes their coherent coupling, which leads to a negative-mass regime in a large range of momenta at a positive exciton-photon detuning. We further experimentally realised the negative-mass dispersion and demonstrated its effect on the dynamics of polaritons, the opposing directions of group velocity and momentum, in a microcavity with an embedded monolayer WS$_2$, at room temperature.

Our work extends the arsenal of dispersion engineering for hybrid light-matter particles beyond the application of periodic fields and spin-orbit coupling [2, 4] by adding the possibility of novel non-Hermitian dispersion engineering in systems with gain and loss. Here, we only showed the dynamics induced by negative $m_1$. However, the anomalous dispersion can be further employed to demonstrate nontrivial wavepacket dynamics [31] and negative-mass hydrodynamics [4] due to both negative $m_1$ and $m_2$. For example, the negative-mass polaritons are expected to accelerate in the direction opposite to an applied force. The inverted dispersion can also eliminate instabilities of exciton–polariton condensates [5], which can, for example, enable studies of the Kardar-Parisi-Zhang phase in quantum systems without the complexities of an underlying lattice structure [40]. It would be also interesting to study Bose-Einstein condensation of polaritons in the anomalous dispersion regime, where the energy minimum around $k = 0$ (see Fig. 2c) is not the global one.

**Methods:** The DBRs are grown by plasma-enhanced chemical vapour deposition (PECVD) and consist of (bottom) 17.5 and (top) 15.5 alternating quarter-wave stack of SiO$_2$ and Si$_3$N$_4$, as schematically shown in Fig. 3a. Further, the first half of the SiO$_2$ cavity spacer is deposited via RF-sputtering and finished with atomic layer deposition (ALD). The monolayer is then mechanically transferred at 120°C on top of the oxygen-plasma treated DBR substrate to increase the bonding between the monolayer and the substrate. To protect the monolayer against further material deposition, a 70 nm thick layer of poly-methyl-methacrylate (PMMA) is spin-coated on top of the structure. Before depositing the top DBR and completing the structure, the cavity thickness and hence, the cavity mode, is fine-tuned with an intermediate SiO$_2$ layer. More details about the fabrication process are reported in Ref. [33].

The microcavity is excited with a frequency doubled Nd:YAG laser source at $\lambda = 532$ nm ($E \approx 2.33$ eV), which is tightly focused onto the sample surface with an objective (NA=0.65). The PL is collected with an in-house built optical microscope, which allows for spatial filtering with a square edge-filter prior to momentum-resolved imaging. The momentum-resolved PL-spectra are recorded with an Andor Shamrock 500i spectrograph equipped with an Andor iXon 888 EMCCD camera.
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