Topological Jahn-Teller Mott insulators in twisted bilayer graphene
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Small-angle twisted bilayer graphene is endowed with an emergent $U_v(1)$ valley-symmetry characterising both electronic structure and superlattice normal modes. The $U_v(1)$ symmetric electron-phonon coupling realises an $e \times E$ Jahn-Teller model featuring the same topological properties of the band structure but now for combined electrons plus phonons. Indeed, when Jahn-Teller effect is assumed static, and thus $U_v(1)$ broken, the phonon-mediated attraction and Coulomb repulsion yield in mean-field an insulator at charge neutrality that, like in the absence of interaction, is invariant under the $P6_{22}$ space group and has the two occupied flat-bands bearing opposite Chern numbers $C = \pm 2$. The rigid band-shift of that parent state leads in mean-field to Jahn-Teller distorted topological insulators at all other integer fillings $\nu = \pm n$, Chern number $C = \pm 2$ for $n = 2$ and $C = \pm 1$ for $n = 1, 3$, which break time reversal symmetry, lower $P6_{22} \rightarrow P6$, and are spin-polarised for odd $n$.

When Jahn-Teller effect is instead dynamical, it may stabilise insulators with the same properties as their mean-field counterparts, but now $U_v(1)$ invariant. That envisages the noteworthy existence of topological Jahn-Teller Mott insulators prone to become chiral $d$-wave superconductors upon doping.

Atomic relaxation in magic angle twisted bilayer graphene (TBLG) is responsible\textsuperscript{[1–6]} of the gap opening between the four flat bands and all other upper and lower ones. Moreover, scattering by acoustic phonons has been invoked\textsuperscript{[7, 8]} to explain the anomalous linear in temperature resistivity in the metal phases\textsuperscript{[9]}, and both acoustic\textsuperscript{[7, 10, 13]} and optical\textsuperscript{[14]} modes have been explored as possible mechanisms of the observed superconductivity\textsuperscript{[15–21]}. In spite of all that, the role of lattice degrees of freedom in the insulating phases of TBLG at integer fillings $\nu = \pm n$, $n = 0, \ldots, 3$, of the flat bands\textsuperscript{[16–29]} has been mostly overlooked in favour of Coulomb interaction\textsuperscript{[30–39]}.

The major importance of the electron-phonon coupling prominently emerges from Ref.\textsuperscript{[40]}, which has theoretically uncovered special, almost non dispersive\textsuperscript{[41]}, optical modes so strongly coupled with the electrons that atomic displacements as small as 2mÅ are sufficient to open size-
able gaps in the flat bands at all integer $\nu$. Those phonons derive from the 1360 cm$^{-1}$ $A_1$ TO mode of a single layer graphene at the $K$ point, superimposed with a long wavelength modulation that makes such mode exist throughout the whole reduced Brillouin zone (RBZ) of the moiré superlattice. Remarkably, those modes have the same twofold accidental degeneracy of the flat bands along the $\Gamma \rightarrow K \rightarrow M$ high-symmetry path in the RBZ that reflects the so-called $U_v(1)$ valley symmetry [40]. Because of that, the $U_v(1)$ symmetric coupling between the flat bands and those modes effectively realises an $e \times E$ Jahn-Teller model, which explains the efficacy of a static distortion on lifting that accidental degeneracy. Since the width of the flat bands is a lot smaller than the frequency of the modes, one can integrate the latter out and safely neglect retardation effects, thus obtaining an effective instantaneous electron-electron attraction that can be treated on an equal footing with Coulomb repulsion, which is our goal here.

We consider two AA stacked graphene layers, and rotate around the perpendicular axis layer 1 by $+\theta/2$ and layer 2 by $-\theta/2$, at magic angle $\theta = 1.08^\circ$. We describe the band structure through the Bistritzer-MacDonald (BM) continuum model [42], using the conventions of Ref. [43]. Specifically, we define four component spinors in momentum space $\Psi_{k,QA,\sigma}$ and $\Psi_{k,QB,\sigma}$, two components corresponding to sublattices $A$ and $B$ of a graphene layer, and the other two to the valley index $\eta = \pm 1$, where $\sigma$ is the spin, $k$ runs within the first RBZ, while $Q_A$ and $Q_B$ identify the two sublattices in reciprocal space, see Fig. 1. The operator $\Psi_{k,QA,\sigma}$ is defined close to the Dirac point $K$ on layer 1 and $-K$ on layer 2 for $\eta = +1$ and $\eta = -1$, respectively, while in $\Psi_{k,QB,\sigma,\eta}$ the two layers are interchanged. Moreover, the sublattice components of the spinors with $\eta = +1$ and $\eta = -1$ are inverted [43]. We implicitly assume that the longest reciprocal lattice vector kept in our calculation.
is still much smaller that the distance $2|K|$ between the two valleys, so that the chosen basis is not overcomplete.

With those definitions, the non-interacting Hamiltonian can be written as

$$H_0 = \sum_{k\sigma} \sum_{Q,Q'} \hat{\Psi}_{k,Q,\sigma}^\dagger \hat{H}^{(0)}_{QQ'}(k) \hat{\Psi}_{k,Q',\sigma},$$

where $Q = Q_A \oplus Q_B$, and, in the zero-angle approximation [42],

$$\hat{H}^{(0)}_{QQ'}(k) = \delta_{Q,Q'} v_F \tau_3 (k - Q) \cdot \sigma + \tau_0 \sum_{i=1}^{3} (\delta_{Q-Q',\delta_i} + \delta_{Q'-Q,\delta_i}) \hat{T}_i(u_0, u_1).$$

Hereafter, the Pauli matrices $\tau_a$ and $\sigma_a$, $a = 0, 1, 2, 3$, act on the valley and sublattice indices, respectively, $q_i, i = 1, 2, 3$, are defined in Fig. 1, while

$$\hat{T}_1(u_0, u_1) = u_0 \sigma_0 + u_1 \sigma_1,$$

$$\hat{T}_{j+1}(u_0, u_1) = e^{i \frac{2\pi}{3} \sigma_3} \hat{T}_j(u_0, u_1) e^{-i \frac{2\pi}{3} \sigma_3}, \quad j = 1, 2.$$

The charge density operators $\rho_\ell(q + G)$ of each layer $\ell = 1, 2$ are diagonal in sublattice and valley indices, and read, for $q \in$ RBZ,

$$\rho_\ell(q + G) = \sum_{kQ\sigma} \hat{\Psi}_{k,Q,\sigma}^\dagger \hat{\rho}_\ell(Q) \hat{\Psi}_{k+q,\sigma},$$

$$\hat{\rho}_\ell(Q) = \delta_{Q,Q_A} \frac{\tau_0 - (-1)^\ell \tau_3}{2} + \delta_{Q,Q_B} \frac{\tau_0 + (-1)^\ell \tau_3}{2},$$

and thus the Coulomb repulsion can be written as

$$H_C = \frac{1}{2N} \sum_{q,G} \sum_{\ell\ell'} U_{\ell\ell'}(q + G) \rho_\ell(q + G) \rho_{\ell'}(q + G),$$

with $N$ the number of supercells, $U_{11}(q) = U_{22}(q)$ and $U_{12}(q) = U_{21}(q)$ the intra- and inter-layer Fourier transforms of the interaction $e^2/r$ screened by the high-frequency dielectric constant $\epsilon_\infty = 9.23$ of graphene, and by the presence of a dual metal gate [33] assumed at distance 30 nm.

The non-retarded attraction mediated by the Jahn-Teller phonons can be straightforwardly derived from Ref. [44], and is

$$H_p = -\frac{1}{2\omega_0 N} \sum_{q} \sum_{a=1,2} L_a^\dagger(q) L_a(q),$$

where $\omega_0 \simeq 1360$ cm$^{-1}$ is the phonon frequency, neglecting its very weak dispersion [40], and

$$L_a(q) = \sum_{\sigma k} \sum_{QQ'} \hat{\Psi}_{k,Q,\sigma}^\dagger \hat{L}_{QQ'} \hat{\Psi}_{k+q,Q',\sigma},$$
with

\[
\hat{L}_{QQ'} = \gamma \delta_{Q,Q'} \sigma_0 + \sum_{i=1}^{3} \left( \delta_{Q-Q',q_i} + \delta_{Q'-Q,q_i} \right) \hat{T}_i(g_0, g_1).
\]  

(8)

\(\hat{T}_i(g_0, g_1)\) are the same as in Eq. (3) with \(u_0\) and \(u_1\) replaced by \(g_0\) and \(g_1\). We mention that, since sublattices in valleys +1 and -1 are interchanged, \(g_0\) and \(g_1\) are the modulations induced by the phonons on the intralayer hopping between opposite and equal sublattices, respectively, while \(\gamma\) refers to the interlayer opposite sublattice one. The results of realistic tight-binding calculations with frozen phonon displacement [40] are reproduced by the continuum model [44] fixing \(g_1 \simeq g_0/10\) and \(\gamma \simeq g_0/2\), allowing us to parametrise the strength of the phonon-mediated attraction through the single coupling constant \(g \equiv g_0^2/\omega_0\), with realistic value \(\lesssim 1\) meV.

We start analysing the interplay between the Coulomb interaction (5) and the phonon-mediated attraction (6) at charge neutrality, \(\nu = 0\), where there is consensus [33, 35, 38, 39] that the Coulomb interaction alone stabilises an insulator that has been denoted as Kramer inter-valley coherent (K-IVC) state [33], and is characterised by the order parameter

\[
\Delta_{\text{K-IVC}}(\varphi) \sim \sigma_3 \left( \cos \varphi \tau_1 + \sin \varphi \tau_2 \right),
\]  

(9)

which breaks time-reversal symmetry, \(\mathcal{T} \sim \tau_1 \sigma_1 \mathcal{K}\) with \(\mathcal{K}\) the complex conjugation, and valley \(U_v(1)\) symmetry, with generator \(\tau_3\), but is invariant under \(\mathcal{T} \tau_3\). In addition, it breaks the \(C_{2x} \sim \sigma_1\) twofold rotation, while is invariant under the generalised

\[
C_{2x}'(\varphi) \equiv e^{i \frac{\varphi}{2} \tau_3} C_{2x} \sim e^{i \frac{\varphi}{2} \tau_3} \tau_1.
\]  

(10)

We note that the order parameter [9] commutes with the Chern number per spin \(\sigma_3 [33].\) Two electrons with given \(\sigma_3\) may form a spin-triplet valley-singlet, or a spin-singlet valley-triplet. Eq. [9] implies that Coulomb interaction favours the latter, with valley polarisation \(\tau\) in the \(x - y\) plane, opposite for the two different Chern numbers.

On the contrary, the phonon-mediated attraction [6], which can be roughly written as \(-g(\tau \cdot \tau - \tau_3^2)\), still favours a spin-singlet valley-triplet state. However, among the three \(\tau_3 = -1, 0, +1\) components, it lowers the energy of the valley-triplet with \(\tau_3 = 0\) for both \(\sigma_3 = \pm 1\), thus not breaking any of the symmetries. That state corresponds to a dynamical Jahn-Teller effect, where instantaneously the \(U_v(1)\) symmetry is broken either along \(\tau_1\) or \(\tau_2\), just like in the K-IVC state, but, on average, the symmetry is dynamically restored. As such, it cannot be represented by a single Slater determinant, hence it is not accessible through the Hartree-Fock (HF) approximation unless we explicitly break
**FIG. 2. Hartree-Fock results.** Panel a: Hartree-Fock energies of the K-IVC and st-JT variational wavefunctions at charge neutrality, $\nu = 0$, characterised, respectively, by the order parameters Eq. (9) and Eq. (11), as function of the coupling constant $g$ of the phonon-mediated attraction. Panel b: Hartree-Fock band structure of the st-JT state at $g = 0.32$ meV and $\nu = 0$. Solid lines represent valence bands whereas dashed lines conduction ones. Also shown are the symmetry properties of the Bloch waves at the high-symmetry points. In the inset, we plot the Wilson loop of the lowest two flat bands. Panel c: Hartree-Fock band structure of the st-JT state described by the order parameter Eq. (12) at $\nu = -2$ and $g = 0.32$ meV. In the inset, we show the Wilson loop of the occupied flat band. Panel d-e: Hartree-Fock band structure of the st-JT state described by the order parameter Eq. (12), now spin polarized, at $\nu = -1, -3$ and $g = 0.32$ meV. The blue and red colours correspond to spin up and down, respectively. In the inset, the Wilson loops of the occupied bands are shown. The bands for $\nu = 1, 2, 3$ are obtained by a particle-hole transformation.

$U_v(1)$. That amounts to searching for variational wavefunctions with a static Jahn-Teller (st-JT) distortion characterised by the two-component order parameter

$$\Delta_{\text{st-JT}}(\varphi) \sim \sigma_0 \left( \cos \varphi \tau_1 + \sin \varphi \tau_2 \right), \quad (11)$$

which breaks $U_v(1)$, while it is invariant under $C_{2x}$, $T$, and the twofold rotation $[10]$. We note that the Fock term of the Coulomb interaction (5) may stabilise either order parameters, although $\Delta_{\text{K-IVC}}$ is favoured [33]. On the contrary, the phonon mediated attraction (6) only couples via the Hartree term to $\Delta_{\text{st-JT}}$. We thus expect that the cooperation between Coulomb and phonon mediated interactions may eventually make $\Delta_{\text{st-JT}}$ prevail over $\Delta_{\text{K-IVC}}$. This is indeed what we find by HF. In Fig. 2a we show the HF energies of the K-IVC and st-JT variational states upon increasing the coupling constant $g$ of the phonon mediated attraction. At $g = 0$, K-IVC is the global minimum and st-JT a local one. Increasing $g$, the energy of st-JT lowers and eventually crosses
that of K-IVC. For realistic values of $g$, st-JT is the stable state, while K-IVC is only metastable. In Fig. 2b we show the HF band structure of the st-JT state at $g = 0.32$ meV, and indicate explicitly the symmetry properties of the Bloch waves, noting that the space group remains $P622$. The band structure describes an insulator with a sizeable gap $\sim 20$ meV separating the two lower flat bands from the upper two. The Bloch waves of the lower two bands transform like the irreducible representations $\Gamma_1(1) + \Gamma_2(1)$, $M_1(1) + M_2(1)$ and $K_3(2)$, see Fig. 2b, which do not correspond to any trivial topology. Indeed, following Ref. [43] and denoting as irrep@Wp($n$) the elementary band representation deriving from a Wannier orbital transforming like the irreducible representation irrep at the Wyckoff position Wp with degeneracy $n$, those bands would correspond to the decomposition $A_1@2c(2) + A_2@1a(1) - B_1@1a(1)$ with negative coefficients, symptom of a ‘fragile’ topology [43] testified by the Wilson loops of the two lower flat bands, see inset of Fig. 2b. That fragile topology may turn robust when protected by $\mathcal{TC}_2$ and particle-hole (p-h) $\mathcal{P}$ symmetries [43] [45]. In our case, even though the attraction [6] is not invariant under $\mathcal{P}$, still the degree of p-h symmetry breaking is negligible around charge neutrality, see the bands in Fig. 2b, which suggests that the two lower flat bands do have finite and opposite Chern numbers $C = \pm 2$.

For integer fillings away from charge neutrality, the sole $U_v(1)$ symmetry-breaking static JT effect cannot stabilise insulating states, due to the $\mathcal{TC}_2$ protection of the Dirac cones. Therefore additional symmetries must be broken. We can already anticipate how that occurs. Indeed, the two occupied flat bands at charge neutrality carry opposite Chern numbers, $\sigma_3 = \pm 1$ per spin [33]. Therefore, they can be efficiently split by a symmetry-breaking term $\propto \sigma_3$, which could therefore stabilise a topological insulator at even filling $\nu = \pm 2$ with order parameter

$$\Delta_{\nu = \pm 2}(\varphi) \sim \Delta_{st-JT}(\varphi) + \sigma_3,$$

breaking time-reversal, $C_{2x}$ and $C_{2y}$ symmetries, leaving just a $P6$ space group. We note that, since $\Delta_{st-JT}(\varphi) \sigma_3 = \Delta_{K-IVC}(\varphi)$, also the K-IVC order parameter becomes finite. Such topological state is indeed stabilised in mean-field, see the Hartree-Fock band structure at $\nu = -2$ shown in Fig. 2c, which, as expected, has a fragile topology testified by the nonzero winding number of the Wilson loop of the single fully occupied flat-band, suggestive of a topological Chern insulator with Chern number $C = \pm 2$.

At odd fillings $\nu = \pm 1, \pm 3$, each band with given Chern number $C$ can be further split into two spin-polarised bands with Chern number $C/2$, which Hartree-Fock indeed does. The band structure at $\nu = -1, -3$ are shown in Fig. 2d-e, along with the Wilson loop of the occupied flat bands suggestive of a non-trivial topology with $C = \pm 1$, not in disagreement with experimental evidences [46].
We emphasise that the driving mechanism that stabilises mean-field insulating states at all integer fillings is primarily the Jahn-Teller effect. The Chern number polarisation $\sigma_3$ and spin polarisation are secondary effects, mainly of Coulomb exchange origin, which intervene on top of the the Jahn-Teller distortion to split, almost rigidly, the $\nu = 0$ flat bands in Fig. 2b. Therefore, the key difference between our mean-field results and those obtained keeping only the Coulomb repulsion is the nature of the parent insulator at charge neutrality, which, thanks to the Jahn-Teller effect, is stabilised without breaking space group and time reversal symmetries, unlike what Coulomb repulsion alone would predict.

Hartree-Fock is only able to describe static Jahn-Teller distortions, though the actual atomic displacement is tiny because of the large phonon frequency compared to the narrow width of the flat bands. Since the Jahn-Teller optical phonon dispersion in momentum space is negligible, around four orders of magnitude less than the center-of-mass frequency $[40]$, one can legitimately regard that mode as a collective vibration of a single moiré supercell $[41]$ as it were a thousand-atom large molecule. Therefore, also in light of the extremely narrow width of the flat bands, we cannot exclude that, in reality, the Jahn-Teller effect is dynamical, namely it occurs without spontaneously breaking $U_v(1)$. If that were the case, the above mean-field insulating phases should be replaced by their dynamical counterparts, i.e., by Jahn-Teller Mott insulators $[47]$ in which Jahn-Teller effect and Coulomb repulsion conspire to halt electron motion, and to freeze each moiré supercell in the state that maximises Jahn-Teller plus Coulomb-exchange energy gain with a number of electrons equal to the average one. In the present case of magic-angle TBLG, a simple description of a Jahn-Teller Mott insulator runs into several obstacles. First, each supercell contains an unmanageable large number of $\pi$-orbitals that prevents dealing with Jahn-Teller effect as one would do in a simple molecule. For that reason, we assume that focusing just on the flat-bands already yields a reasonable physical description, in that akin to dealing just with LUMO and HOMO in a molecule. That raises another issue: the topological obstruction $[30, 43, 48]$ prevents building localised Wannier orbitals for the flat bands, and thus describing the dynamical Jahn-Teller effect of a single moiré supercell. To overcome such obstacle, we note that the Jahn-Teller effect provides a pairing mechanism within each supercell, so that the Jahn-Teller Mott insulator is alike a local version of a resonating valence bond (RVB) state $[49, 50]$. With this in mind, we argue that a reasonable description of that state is through a RVB-like wavefunction $[49]$

$$|\nu\rangle = P_G(\nu)|BCS\rangle,$$  

(13)

where $|BCS\rangle$ is a BCS wavefunction for the flat bands, and $P_G(\nu)$ a Gutzwiller projector onto the
configurations where each supercell is strictly occupied by $4 + \nu$ flat-band electrons. Since our goal is just to infer physical features of the dynamical counterparts of the st-JT mean-field insulating states, we shall not attempt to optimise the ansatz wavefunction \([13]\), and further assume that its properties are simply inherited by the BCS wavefunction \([51]\), which thus just requires to identify the geminal pair-wavefunctions that are favoured by the Jahn-Teller effect and Coulomb repulsion.

For that, we first project the phonon-mediated attraction \([6]\) onto the eigenoperators the geminal pair-wavefunctions that are favoured by the Jahn-Teller effect and Coulomb repulsion. properties are simply inherited by the BCS wavefunction \([51]\), which thus just requires to identify the favourable one \([52]\) and is spanned by the geminal operators $C_C$ and $\varphi_C$. Therefore, in the real representation, one eigenstate is even under $\tau_3 = 0$, while that odd to the spin- and valley-singlet. Both components have $\tau_3 = 0$ and therefore their mutual coupling is allowed by $U_v(1)$.

By construction, the lowest-energy pair-eigenstate is the one with the largest $\lambda_i$. We find that the largest eigenvalue $\lambda_s = 2.33$ is non-degenerate and its eigenvector, i.e., the pair wavefunction, transforms like the totally symmetric irreducible representation of $D_6$, which is alike an $s$-wave Cooper pair \([52]\). The next largest eigenvalue $\lambda_d = 1.79$ is doubly degenerate, and the corresponding pair wavefunctions transform like the two-dimensional irreducible representation of $D_6$ even under $C_{2z}$. Therefore, in the real representation, one eigenstate $\psi_{d_1}^{\pm m}(k) \sim x^2 - y^2$, even under $C_{2z}$, and the other $\psi_{d_2}^{m}(k) \sim xy$, odd under $C_{2z}$, see Fig. 3. In reality, since $\psi_{d_1}^{m}(k) \neq \psi_{d_1}^{m}(-k)$, each eigenstate has also a weak $p$-wave like component, $p_x$ and $-p_y$ the eigenstates $d_1$ and $d_2$, respectively.

Both $d_1$ and $d_2$ are (almost) odd under p-h symmetry, as can be noticed in Fig. 3 which hints at
FIG. 3. $d$-wave pair wavefunctions in momentum space. Panels a-f: pair wavefunction components in momentum space of the two $d$-wave real eigenstates $d_1$ and $d_2$, namely, $\psi_{d_1}^{11}(k)$ (a), $\psi_{d_1}^{12}(k) = \psi_{d_2}^{21}(k)$ (b), $\psi_{d_2}^{22}(k)$ (c), $\psi_{d_1}^{11}(k)$ (d), $\psi_{d_2}^{12}(k) = \psi_{d_2}^{21}(k)$ (e), and $\psi_{d_2}^{22}(k)$ (f).

a non-trivial topological character [37, 45]. Indeed, the combinations $d_{\pm} = (d_1 \pm i d_2)/\sqrt{2} \sim Y_{2\pm 2}$ do have finite Chern number $C = \pm 2$, the same value of the angular momentum [52].

We have so far just considered the phonon-mediated attraction that, unsurprisingly, favours the $s$-wave pairing channel. That result may change taking into account also the Coulomb repulsion $H_C$ in Eq. (5). However, a proper treatment of $H_C$ would require including all thousands $\pi$-bands, because the Coulomb repulsion projected just onto the flat bands, i.e., without the screening by all other bands, is unphysical. Since that calculation is unfeasible, we just compute [52] the electrostatic energy difference $\Delta E$ between $d$- and $s$-wave pairs, with the Coulomb repulsion screened within RPA by all $\pi$-bands but the flat ones. We find $\Delta E \simeq -0.41$ meV, which implies that the $d$-wave channel is the lowest energy one for electron-phonon coupling $g \gtrsim 0.8$ meV in presence of the Coulomb repulsion, and is not inconsistent with the observation that the latter alone can stabilise a Kohn-Luttinger $d$-wave pairing instability [53].

Therefore, under the above assumptions, and, at first instance, neglecting the flat band dispersion, the dynamical Jahn-Teller effect stabilises at charge neutrality a nonmagnetic Jahn-Teller Mott insulator [47], dynamical counterpart of the $\nu = 0$ st-JT state in which the two occupied flat bands in Fig. 2b are replaced by the projected BCS wavefunction (13)

$$|\nu = 0 \rangle \propto P_G(0) \left( \Delta_{d_+}^{\dagger} \Delta_{d_-}^{\dagger} \right)^N |0\rangle \equiv P_G(0) \left( \Delta_{d_1}^{\dagger} \Delta_{d_2}^{\dagger} \right)^N |0\rangle , \quad (16)$$
FIG. 4. Jahn-Teller Mott insulators at $\nu = 0, -2$. Panel a: real pair wavefunctions $d_1$ and $d_2$, and their complex combinations $d_{\pm} = d_1 \pm i d_2$. Panel b: nonmagnetic Jahn-Teller Mott insulator (JT-M) at the charge neutrality point $\nu = 0$ obtained by localising two different pairs on each moiré supercell. Panel c: possible Jahn-Teller Mott insulators at filling $\nu = -2$, obtained by localising a single pair on each supercell. If that pair is real, the JT-M is nonmagnetic and has weak nematic character, while if the pair is complex, the JT-M is magnetic and, carrying a finite Chern number $C = \pm 2$, is also topological. Panel d: superconducting (SC) states that could be stabilised upon doping any of the $\nu = -2$ JT-M. We remark that the $\nu = +2$ Jahn-Teller Mott insulators are obtained by a particle-hole transformation.

where, by definition, the ‘vacuum’ $|0\rangle$ is the ground state state at $\nu = -4$ with all bands below the flat ones occupied. Hartree-Fock suggests that at all other integer fillings $\nu \neq 0$ the system choses one of the two degenerate pair operators $\Delta_{d_+}^\dagger$ or $\Delta_{d_-}^\dagger$, presumably because of Coulomb exchange. In either case, the projected BCS wavefunction

$$|\nu \neq 0\rangle \propto P_G(\nu) \left( \Delta_{d_{\pm}}^\dagger \right)^{\frac{\nu}{2}} (4+\nu) |0\rangle,$$

breaks time-reversal symmetry and has finite orbital magnetisation. This state is expected to be the dynamical counterpart of the st-JT wavefunction stabilised by Hartree-Fock, see Figs. 2c-e for $\nu = -3, -2, -1$, and therefore to carry the same finite Chern number, thereby realising a noteworthy ‘topological’ Jahn-Teller Mott insulator. We note that, since at odd integer $\nu$ the dynamical Jahn-Teller effect cannot quench spin and valley degrees of freedom, the wavefunction

$^{17}$ describes in that case a spin- and valley-liquid topological insulator, whereas Hartree-Fock
predicts a fully-polarised symmetry breaking one. Moreover, we expect that doping away from integer fillings the flat band dispersion may even stabilise a nodeless chiral $d$-wave superconductor, which is still topological [54].

We cannot exclude that Coulomb exchange may in reality favour one of the real pair operators, $\Delta_{d_1}^\dagger$ or $\Delta_{d_2}^\dagger$, despite what Hartree-Fock suggests. In that case, the insulators at $\nu = \pm 2$ would be non-magnetic, non topological, and with a weak nematic character due to the small $p$-wave component. Moreover, the symmetry of the superconducting order parameter stabilised upon doping would be a real combination of $d_{x^2-y^2}$, plus a small $p_x$ component, and $d_{xy}$, plus a small $-p_y$ component, which implies nodes in the Brillouin zone [54] and weak nematicity [53].

The physical scenario implied by the dynamical Jahn-Teller effect is summarised at even fillings in Fig. 4 and, overall, is not incompatible with experimental evidences [28, 56, 57].

In conclusion, we have shown that the interplay between Jahn-Teller effect and Coulomb repulsion can yield insulating states at all integer fillings $\nu = \pm n$, $n = 0, \ldots, 3$, of the flat bands in twisted bilayer graphene. The parent insulator at charge neutrality $n = 0$ has the distinctive feature of being invariant under all symmetries of the Hamiltonian, and seeds all insulating states at $n \neq 0$, which mean-field predicts to be topological with Chern number $C = \pm 2$ and $C = \pm 1$ for even and odd $n$, respectively, and, in the latter case, also spin polarised. Moreover, our analysis suggests that the insulators are naturally prone to become chiral $d$-wave superconductors upon doping.

These results are not in disagreement with experiments, although further ones are necessary to discriminate between our Jahn-Teller plus Coulomb scenario with respect to others, especially at charge neutrality where we predict a time-reversal invariant insulator.
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