Influence of Co and Mn on Electronic and Magnetic properties of Ni$_2$MnGa Heusler alloy
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The ferromagnetic Heusler alloy Ni$_2$MnGa had been of major interest in past few years because of its magnetic properties which can be easily tuned. Ni$_2$MnGa Heusler alloys are intermetallic alloy with L2$_1$ structure. Here we report a detailed investigation of effect of doping of Co and Mn in Ni$_2$MnGa. Magnetic properties and electronic structure of Ni$_{2-x}$Co$_x$MnGa$_{1-x}$Mn$_x$ Heusler alloys have been studied by using Green’s function based KKR-CPA method based DFT calculations. We will show the magnetisation can be tuned depending on the site Mn occupies. We will also discuss the magnetic interactions and magnetic stability of the systems.

I. INTRODUCTION

Heusler alloys (HAs) has gained a lot of attention from the community due to their possible usage in magnetocaloric(MC) [1], magnetic shape memory [2], spintronics [3] and GMR devices [16]. These ternary alloys, with generic symbol X$_2$YZ, where X and Y are d-block and Z is main group elements, shows various structures [7]. The completely ordered phase demonstrates L2$_1$ structure. Y – Z disordered phase demonstrates B$_2$ phase and the complete X – Y – Z disorder demonstrates A$_2$ structure. Wycoff positions 4a(0,0,0), 4b(1/2,1/2,1/2) and 8c(1/4,1/4,1/4) are occupied by Z, X and Y respectively shown in figure 1. As we are having more lab-scale results, both theoretical and experimental, the commercial implementation is getting nearer. The downsize of the material with various applications like topological insulators, magnetic cooling and spintronics becomes imperative [11, 12].

Among the HAs, Ni-Mn-Ga system has got much attention due to their demonstration of both magnetic and structural phase transition [13, 14]. Ni-Mn-Ga systems also show properties like shape memory effect (SME) and magnetic field induced strain (MFIS) favourable to be used as actuators and sensors [15, 16]. They have also shown very favourable MC properties, suitable to replace the century old Joule-Thomson cooling [17, 18]. Ni-Mn-Ga system has a magnetostructural phase transition with huge change in isothermal magnetic entropy ($\Delta S_m$), but reively narrow range of working temperature ($T_{FWHM}$), typical to the materials with first order phase transition (FOPT) [19]. However, the thermal hysteresis of FOPT and the Curie temperature is detrimental for magnetic refrigeration.

One of the best characteristics of HAs are the extreme tunability of their various properties due to doping. After Groot’s [20] theoretical observation of half-metallicity in half-heusler NiMnSb alloy, the same was demonstrated very soon in full-heusler L2$_1$ structure [21, 22] as well. Half-metallic properties has also been proposed in Co doped Ni$_{2-x}$Co$_x$MnGa [24] and Ni$_{2-x}$Co$_x$MnAl [25] systems. Off-stoichiometric Ni$_{2-x}$Q$_x$MnGa and Ni$_{2-x}$Q$_x$MnGa$_1$-R$_z$, where Q and R is any suitable elements has used to improve the various properties of Ni$_2$MnGa. Ni$_{2-x}$Q$_x$MnGa/Al is under study for long time for their martensite phase transition ($M_f$) and magnetic critical temperature ($T_C$). It is observed that MC effect is highest around 0.18 $\leq x \leq$ 0.27 as magnetic and structural phase change occurs in this range [26, 27]. Partially substituting Ni with Co is known to enhance the ferromagnetic coupling and hence the $T_C$, and decreases the martensitic temperature, $T_M$. This increases the possibility of that the quaternary system undergoes a martensitic transition together with a meta magnetic phase transition [27].

In General, both structural and magnetic properties are highly depends on off-stoichiometric combination of Z. There are also a few study to observe the effect of Y replacing Z, mostly Ni$_2$MnZ$_{1-x}$Mn, Z=Ga,In etc [5, 27,29]. Substituting the Mn element in Z position, can stabilize the ferromagnetic cubic state [5] and these Mn$_2$ atom interact antiferromagnetically between the surrounding Mn$_Z$ and normal Mn$_Y$ because the distance between the Mn$_Y$-Mn$_Z$ is less compared to the Mn$_Y$-Mn$_Y$ and Mn$_Z$-Mn$_Z$ [30]. Here, Mn$_Z$ is denotes the Mn atom in Ga site (Z), and Mn$_Y$ is the Mn’s normal position (Y). We expected to enhance the magnetic moments of the material for doping of Mn in Ga site.

In the present article, we have reported our systematic study of Ni$_{2-x}$Co$_x$MnGa$_{1-x}$Mn$_x$ (which will be referred as NiMnGa(x,z), where, x,z is the concentration of Co and Mn in Ga site, respectively, for brevity. For example, Ni$_{1.88}$Co$_{0.12}$MnGa$_{0.71}$Mn$_{0.28}$ will be denoted as NiMnGa(0.12,0.26)). We have studied the variation of electronic structure, magnetic exchange and magnetic critical temperature of NiMnGa(x,z) with x ranging from 0 to 0.26 and z ranging from 0 to 0.5. This is technologically relevant as the $T_C$ is one of the detrimental factor of working temperature range.
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II. METHODS

The off-stoichiometric composition are best handled like Ni$_{2-x}$Q$_x$MnGa$_{1-x}$R$_x$ are best handled using green function based formalism. We have performed ab-initio calucions using multiple scattering green function formalism as implemented in SPRKKR code. We have used the Perdew-Burke-Ernzerhof (PBE) method as used as GGA functional. First Brillouin zone integrations were performed on the 250 grid of k-points and energy convergence criteria was set at the calculation is 10$^{-5}$Ry. We have implemented full potential spin polarised scaler relativistic implementation of SPRKKR with angular momentum cut-off $\ell_{\text{max}} = 2$ as suitable for our system.

The Lattice parameter with minimum energy of Ni$_2$MnGa(NiMnGa(0,0)) is calculated using the following procedure: (i) obtained the lattice parameter from materials project database; (ii) calcue the scf of the system, with varying lattice parameter ranging from 94% to 106%, with identical calculation for each lattice parameters. (iii) fit the lattice parameter vs energy plot obtained in last step using a 4th order polynomial. The minima of the curve is the optimized lattice parameter. For NiMnGa($x$, $z$), we have taken the optimized lattice parameter of previous calculations that has a minimum $x$, $z$ change as the starting point and followed the steps above. We have shown the optimization curve of Ni$_2$MnGain figure (2a). Other minimization energy curves are not shown here due to brevity.

The magnetic exchange energy ($J^\mu_{ij}$) was calcued to understand the properties of magnetic interactions. The Heisenberg model, defined as

$$H = \sum_{\nu, \mu} \sum_{i,j} J^\nu_{ij} e_i^\nu \cdot e_j^\mu$$

where $\nu, \mu$ represent atoms in different sublattices, $i,j$ is different lattice point, $e_i^\nu$ is the magnetic orientation of $i$th atom at $\nu$ sublattice. The $J^\nu_{ij}$ is calculated via the energy difference due to infinitesimal change of magnetic direction, as formmed by Lichtenstein.

The magnetic relaxation, as revealed by Gilbert damping parameter ($\alpha$) is calcued using Landau-Lifshitz-Gilbert equation,

$$\partial_t \mathbf{m} = -\gamma \mathbf{m} \times \mathbf{H}_{\text{eff}} + \mathbf{m}(\mathbf{r}) \times \int d\mathbf{r}' \alpha(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}') \partial_t \mathbf{m}(\mathbf{r}')$$

where $\mathbf{m}(\mathbf{r}, t)$ is the unit vector along the direction of magnetization, $\gamma$ is the gyromagnetic ratio, $\mathbf{H}_{\text{eff}}$ is the effective field, and $\alpha$, the magnetic damping, is the 2nd rank tensor with $\alpha^{ij} = \alpha^{ji}(\mathbf{m}, \Delta \mathbf{m})$.

Finally, the $T_C$ is estimated using mean field theory, yielding

$$k_B T_C = \frac{3}{2} J_0$$

where $J_0$ is the largest eigen value of the determinant, as described in. It must be remembered that mean field calculations generally overestimate the $T_C$, which is true for our calculations, as well.

III. RESULTS

We have calcued the electronic and magnetic properties of NiMnGa($x$, $z$) for $x = 0.0, 0.12, 0.24$ and $z = 0.0, 0.15, 0.26, 0.35, 0.5$. The optimised lattice parameter of each sample calculated using the method described in the sec. (II) are tabued in Table (IV). The Figure (2b) shows the variation of lattice parameters with $x$ and $z$, which is mostly linear.

A. Pure Ni$_2$MnGa system

Figure (3a) shows the density of states (DOS) and magnetic exchange interactions ($J_{ij}$) with Mn atom at the center for Ni$_2$MnGa. The $J_{ij}$ is highest for Mn-Ni interactions, with around 5meV. All
the interactions are predominantly ferromagnetic in this case. The complete table of total and individual magnetic moment per atom is tabued in Table [IV].
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**B. NiMnGa(x, 0) systems**

The NiMnGa(x, 0) system, i.e. doping in Ni site only, though heavily studied, we have included them for completeness. The electronic and magnetic structures of NiMnGa(0.12, 0) is shown in Figure [1] as representative of the series. Other NiMnGa(x, z) plots are not shown due to brevity. The Co atom has much higher magnetic moment (≈ 1μB) compared to Ni (≈ 0.3μB), and the J_{ij} is much higher compared to Ni$_2$MnGa. Due to very fragile magnetic moment of Ni, it is seen that moment of Ni increases rapidly with increase of doping concentrations of Co, as shown in Table II. Of course, in current case, Mn$_Z$ = 0.

### TABLE I: Magnetic moments on individual atoms for NiMnGa(x, 0) system

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Concentrations</th>
<th>Magnetic Moment(μB)</th>
<th>NiMnGa(x, 0)</th>
<th>Ni</th>
<th>Co</th>
<th>Mn$_Z$</th>
<th>Ga</th>
<th>Mn$_Y$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.12</td>
<td></td>
<td>4.1651</td>
<td>0.3134</td>
<td>1.0107</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-0.2248</td>
<td>-3.5158</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.24</td>
<td></td>
<td>4.3625</td>
<td>0.3316</td>
<td>0.9985</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-0.2248</td>
<td>-3.5158</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**C. NiMnGa(0, z) systems**

The opposite systems of the one shown in sec. (??) is NiMnGa(0, z) system, where X site is fully ordered, but Ga is replaced by Mn, yielding Ni$_2$-xCo$_x$Mn$_{1-x}$Mn$_Z$ system. Doping Mn in Z position will decreases the lattice parameter of the material figure (2b) and table [IV]. As before, only one representative figure for electronic and magnetic interaction is plotted in Figure [3].

The electronic structure doesn’t change much due to doping(Figure [3a]), but the absence of Co in Ni site decreases the magnetic interactions of Mn-Ni by half w.r.t. the case of NiMnGa(x, 0)(Figure [3b]). The most dominant interaction is Mn$_Y$-Mn$_Z$ interaction, which are antiferromagnetically coupled.
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**D. Complete disorder: NiMnGa(x, z) systems**

Finally, we study the systems with disorder both at X and Z cite, i.e. Ni$_2$-xCo$_x$Mn$_{1-x}$Mn$_Z$. Figure [5] and Figure [7] represents the electronic and magnetic structures of NiMnGa(0.12, 0.26) and NiMnGa(0.24, 0.5) respectively.

The variation of magnetic moments of NiMnGa(x, z) are tabued in Table [IV]. This shows the presence of Co at Ni induces magnetism in a negative way to all other atoms except the Ni, and their total magnetic moment is changed accordingly.

Replacing Ga with Mn$_Z$ on the other hand has a positive effect on magnetic moment. Specially, Mn$_Z$ = 50% gives a comparatively large magnetic moment compare to others.

**TABLE II: Magnetic moments on individual atoms for NiMnGa(0, z) system.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Concentrations</th>
<th>Magnetic Moment(μB)</th>
<th>NiMnGa(0, z)</th>
<th>Ni</th>
<th>Co</th>
<th>Mn$_Z$</th>
<th>Ga</th>
<th>Mn$_Y$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.15</td>
<td></td>
<td>4.5000</td>
<td>0.3573</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3.6542</td>
<td>-0.0861</td>
<td>3.5708</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.26</td>
<td></td>
<td>5.2739</td>
<td>0.4043</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3.6567</td>
<td>-0.0891</td>
<td>3.5805</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.35</td>
<td></td>
<td>5.6955</td>
<td>0.4433</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3.6567</td>
<td>-0.0913</td>
<td>3.5885</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Finally, we study the systems with disorder both at X and Z cite, i.e. Ni$_2$-xCo$_x$Mn$_{1-x}$Mn$_Z$. Figure [7] and Figure [7] represents the electronic and magnetic structures of NiMnGa(0.12, 0.26) and NiMnGa(0.24, 0.5) respectively.

The variation of magnetic moments of NiMnGa(x, z) are tabued in Table [IV]. This shows the presence of Co at Ni induces magnetism in a negative way to all other atoms except the Ni, and their total magnetic moment is changed accordingly.

Replacing Ga with Mn$_Z$ on the other hand has a positive effect on magnetic moment. Specially, Mn$_Z$ = 50% gives a comparatively large magnetic moment compare to others.
Table III: Magnetic moments on individual atoms for NiMnGa(0, z) system.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Concentrations</th>
<th>Magnetic Moment(µB)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>x z</td>
<td>NiMnGa(x, z) Ni Co MnZ Ga MnY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.15: 4.9006 0.3745 1.0861 3.6002 -0.0912 3.5184</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.26: 5.4133 0.4239 1.1445 3.6014 -0.0942 3.5260</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.35: 5.8264 0.4629 1.1893 3.5986 -0.0968 3.5297</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.50: 6.5204 0.5260 1.2626 3.5980 -0.1019 3.5435</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>0.15: 5.0373 0.3981 1.0806 3.5444 -0.0956 3.4631</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.26: 5.5422 0.4460 1.1368 3.5457 -0.0994 3.4703</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.35: 5.9575 0.4856 1.1826 3.5460 -0.1025 3.4773</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.50: 6.6384 0.5485 1.2545 3.5415 -0.1085 3.4860</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The variation of magnetic moment of NiMnGa(x, z) as a function of doping concentration is shown in Figure 8a. The change in magnetic moment in the system changes very linearly within the doping range. This well behaved nature is good for tuning and applicability of this system.

Finally, we have done mean field based calculations to find the $T_C$ of the systems. Our result shows that doping both X and Z sites increases the $T_C$. But, for NiMnGa(0, z), $T_C$ is highest around $z = 0.12$, then it starts decreasing. On the other hand, doping a little in X site, increases the $T_C$ and increases steadily. Our $T_C$ for NiMnGa(0, 0) commensurates with [39]. Unfortunately we are unable to find any literature on variation of $T_C$ with doping. The variation of $T_C$ is shown in Figure 8b and tabulated in Table IV.

### IV. CONCLUSION

We have investigated the electronic and magnetic properties of Ni$_{2-x}$Co$_x$MnGa$_{1-z}$Mn$_z$, with 0 $\leq x \leq$ 0.24, 0 $\leq z \leq$ 0.5. The study of replacing both X and Z is commensurate with [39]. The variation of magnetic moment with doping concentration for NiMnGa(0, z) is shown in Figure 8a and Table IV.

For $T_C$, we have calculated using MFA. Our calculation shows the existence of strong Mn-Mn antiferromagnetic interaction between Mn at Ga and Mn at its own sublattice. It is also noticed that changing concentration at Mn$_Z$ does not change the magnetic exchange significantly. This is an
interesting result, as opposed to the findings in the case of Ni$_2$Mn$_{1-x}$Sn$_x$.

The $T_C$'s are obtained using MFA simulations using the magnetic exchange values obtained from ab-initio calculations. The numerical results for pure system is very close to that reported by experiments.

We would like to point out the calculations are done for martensitic phases. Nevertheless, the dual-doping case, we have shown the variation of magnetic exchange parameters and magnetic critical temperatures. We believe, these findings will be helpful for designing the functional properties like MCE and shape memory alloys by alloying Ni$_2$MnGa suitably.
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