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Abstract. We develop a new method for addressing certain weakly null systems of wave equations. This approach does not rely on Lorentz invariance nor on the use of null foliations, both of which restrict applications to, e.g., multiple speed systems. The proof uses a class of space-time Klainerman-Sobolev estimates of the first author, Tataru, and Tohaneanu, which pair nicely with local energy estimates that combine the $r^p$-weighted method of Dafermos and Rodnianski with the ghost weight method of Alinhac. We further refine the standard local energy estimate with a modification of the $\partial_t - \partial_r$ portion of the multiplier.

1. Introduction
This article represents a proof of concept for a method of addressing certain systems of weakly null wave equations that do not satisfy the classical null condition. This example falls into the class of equations studied in [5]. For simplicity of exposition, we only consider a semilinear system. Unlike [5], the methods here do not use the Lorentz boosts, which is important for similar problems in the setting of multiple speeds, exterior domains, or stationary asymptotically flat background geometry. And when compared to the methods of [10], which apply to a broader class of weakly null equations, we believe that our methods are simpler and, as we do not rely on null foliations, additional applications to multiple speeds systems appear possible. The current work is most akin to that of [6], which is based on the ideas of [13] and proves global existence without the use of the Lorentz boosts, but we believe our method to have added flexibility for other applications.

In three spatial dimensions, it is known that solutions to semilinear systems of equations of the form $\Box u = Q(\partial u)$ with nonlinearity that vanishes to second order at the origin can only be guaranteed to exist almost globally, which means that the lifespan grows exponentially as the size of the data shrinks. See, e.g., [7] for the lower bound on the lifespan and [8] and [19] for counterexamples to global existence. Based on the fact that the components of the space-time gradient $\partial u = (\partial_t u, \nabla_x u)$ that are tangent to the light cone decay faster, the null condition was identified in [2] and [11] as a sufficient condition for guaranteeing small data global existence. This condition requires that at least one factor of each nonlinear term (at the quadratic level) to be one of the “good” directions. Einstein’s equations, for example, do not satisfy this classical null condition, which led to the introduction of the weak null condition in [14] as a possible sufficient condition for small data global existence. See [10].

Here we shall consider a coupled system of equations. One of the equations satisfies the classical null condition, but the other does not. The intuition is that the equation satisfying the null condition has a solution that decays faster, and when that is plugged into the second equation, this additional decay allows for an argument to be closed.

We specifically will consider

$$\begin{cases}
\Box u = \partial_t u \partial_r v - \nabla u \cdot \nabla v, \\
\Box v = \partial_t v \partial_r u, \\
(u(0, \cdot), \partial_t u(0, \cdot)) = (u_0, u_1), \\
v(0, \cdot), \partial_t v(0, \cdot) = (v_0, v_1).
\end{cases}$$

(1.1)

For simplicity of exposition, we shall take the initial data to be compactly supported, say within $\{|x| \leq 2\}$.
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In order to describe the “good” directions, we shall frequently orthogonally decompose the (spatial) gradient into radial and angular portions:

$$\nabla = \frac{x}{r} \partial_r + \nabla_{\Omega}.$$  

The directions that are tangent to the light cone are

$$\vartheta = (\partial_t + \partial_r, \nabla_{\Omega}).$$

By noting that

$$\partial_t u \partial_t v - \nabla u \cdot \nabla v = (\partial_t + \partial_r) u \partial_t v - \partial_r u (\partial_t + \partial_r) v - \nabla u \cdot \nabla v,$$

we see that the equation for $u$ satisfies the null condition. The equation for $v$, however, does not. Nevertheless, we shall prove that solutions to (1.1) with sufficiently small initial data exist globally.

Our main theorem is the following statement of global existence.

**Theorem 1.1.** Suppose that $u_{(j)}, v_{(j)} \in C^\infty_c(\mathbb{R}^3)$. Then there is a $N \in \mathbb{N}$ sufficiently large and $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ sufficiently small so that if

$$\sum_{|\alpha| \leq N+1} \|\partial_\alpha^2 u_{(0)}\|_{L^2} + \sum_{|\alpha| \leq N+1} \|\partial_\alpha^2 v_{(0)}\|_{L^2} + \sum_{|\alpha| \leq N} \|\partial_\alpha^2 u_{(1)}\|_{L^2} + \sum_{|\alpha| \leq N} \|\partial_\alpha^2 v_{(1)}\|_{L^2} \leq \varepsilon$$

with $\varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_0$, then (1.1) has a unique global solution $(u, v) \in (C^\infty([-1, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^3))^2$.

The methods that we employ are partly inspired by [1] where almost global existence was established for equations without a null condition by pairing a local energy estimate with a weighted Sobolev estimate that provides decay in $|x|$ rather than $t$. The latter does not require the use of any time dependent vector fields, which was instrumental in adapting the method of invariant vector fields to, e.g., exterior domains. The paper [3] developed the $r^p$-weighted local energy estimate. In this variant of the local energy estimate, the additional decay for the “good” derivatives manifests itself as much improved weights. In [1], an analog of [1] was established using these $r^p$-weighted estimates in order to show global existence for wave equations with the null condition.

In [12], the $r^p$-weighted multiplier of [3] was combined with a “ghost weight” as in [1]. The resulting estimate allowed for additional improvements on the weight of $(\partial_t + \partial_r) u$ near the light cone. This was then combined with the space-time Klainerman-Sobolev estimates of [18] in order to establish long-time existence for systems of wave equations where the nonlinearity is allowed to depend on the solution not just its derivative. We rely strongly upon these ideas. A further modification of the $(\partial_t - \partial_r)$ component of the multiplier for typical local energy estimates is introduced here. This modification, in particular, while requiring a faster decaying weight also provides a more rapidly decaying weight on the forcing term.

1.1. **Notation.** Here we fix some notation that will be used throughout the paper. We let

$$\Omega = x \times \nabla, \quad S = t \partial_t + r \partial_r, \quad Z = (\partial_t, \nabla, \Omega, S)$$

denote the admissible vector fields. We will use the shorthand

$$|Z^{\leq N} u| = \sum_{|\mu| \leq N} |Z^\mu u|, \quad |\partial^{\leq N} u| = \sum_{|\mu| \leq N} |\partial^\mu u|.$$  

A key property of the vector fields $Z$ is that they all preserve solutions to the homogeneous wave equation since

$$[\Box, \partial] = [\Box, \Omega] = 0, \quad [\Box, S] = 2\Box.$$

It will also be important to notice that

$$[Z, \partial] \in \text{span}(\partial), \quad |Z, \partial| u \leq \frac{|Z u|}{r} + |\partial u|.$$  

In the proof of local energy estimates, we will frequently use that

$$[\nabla, \partial_t] = [\nabla, \partial_t] = \frac{1}{r} \nabla.$$  

We, moreover, note that

$$\nabla = -\frac{x}{r^2} \times \Omega, \quad |\nabla u| \leq \frac{1}{r} |Z u|.$$
We will often decompose $\mathbb{R}^3$ into (inhomogeneous) dyadic regions. To that end, let

$$A_R = \{R \leq \langle x \rangle \leq 2R\}, \quad \bar{A}_R = \left\{ \frac{7}{8} R \leq \langle x \rangle \leq \frac{17}{8} R \right\}.$$

Similarly, we set

$$X_U = \{(t, x) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^3 : U \leq \langle t - r \rangle \leq 2U\},$$

with $\bar{X}_U$ denoting a similar enlargement.

In the sequel, it will be understood that $\tau, R, U$ are frequently proved by pairing the equation with the obvious alteration when $\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \approx (1 + r)^{-\gamma} \partial_{\tau} + (1 + r)^{\gamma} \partial_{\tau} - \frac{1}{r}$. We may rewrite this multiplier as

$$\partial_t + \frac{r}{r + R} \partial_r + \frac{1}{r + R} = \frac{R/2}{r + R} \left( \partial_t - \partial_r - \frac{1}{r} \right) + \frac{r + (R/2)}{r + R} \left( \partial_t + \partial_r + \frac{1}{r} \right),$$

which has the property that the coefficient of $\partial_t - \partial_r - \frac{1}{r}$ is nonnegative and decreasing, while the coefficient of $\partial_t + \partial_r + \frac{1}{r}$ is nonnegative and increasing. While there are other requirements, this is the key observation to allow for generalizations of the multiplier. In particular, we shall later consider

$$(1 + r)^{-\delta} \left( \partial_t - \partial_r - \frac{1}{r} \right) + (1 + r)^{\delta} e^{-\sigma(t-r)} \left( \partial_t + \partial_r + \frac{1}{r} \right).$$
Here $\sigma_U(z) = z/(U + |z|)$, $\delta > 0$, and $0 < p < 2$.

Multipliers of the form $r^p \left( \partial_t + \partial_r + \frac{1}{r} \right)$ appeared previously in [3] and $e^{-\sigma(t-r)} \partial_t$ in [1]. The combination of the two as reflected above is originally from [15]. The change in multiplier on $\partial_t - \partial_r - \frac{1}{r}$ provides an additional degree of decay on the forcing term that helps to close the nonlinear arguments in the sequel.

We first record a corollary of (2.1).

**Proposition 2.1.** Suppose $u \in C^2(\mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^3)$ and for all $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$, $|\partial_u^1 u(t, x)| \to 0$ as $|x| \to \infty$. Then

\begin{equation}
\label{2.2}
\|u\|_{L^1} + \|\partial u\|_{L^\infty L^2} \lesssim \|\partial u(0, \cdot)\|_{L^2} + \int_0^\infty \|\Box u(t, \cdot)\|_{L^2} dt.
\end{equation}

The proposition follows immediately from (2.1) upon applying the Schwarz inequality to see that

\[
\int_0^\infty \int |\Box u| \left( |\partial u| + \frac{|u|}{r} \right) dx dt \leq \left( \|\partial u\|_{L^\infty L^2} + \|r^{-1} u\|_{L^\infty L^2} \right) \int_0^\infty \|\Box u(t, \cdot)\|_{L^2} dt.
\]

A Hardy inequality gives

\[\|r^{-1} u\|_{L^2} \lesssim \|\partial_r u\|_{L^2},\]

which permits the first factor above to be bootstrapped.

We will now discuss the mixed $r^p$-weighted and ghost weighted estimates of [15], where the former is motivated by [3] and the latter by [1]. To begin, we look at a variant of the Hardy inequality that holds in the space-time norms and yields a “good” derivative. This, in essence, previously appeared in [15].

**Lemma 2.2.** Fix $0 < p < 2$. Suppose $u \in C^2(\mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^3)$ and for every $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$, $r^p |u(t, x)| \to 0$ as $|x| \to \infty$. Then,

\begin{equation}
\label{2.3}
\|r \frac{\partial}{\partial r} r^{-1} u\|_{L^2} + \|r \frac{\partial}{\partial r} r^{-\frac{1}{2}} u\|_{L^2} \lesssim \|r \frac{\partial}{\partial r} r^{-1} u(0, \cdot)\|_{L^2} + \|r \frac{\partial}{\partial r} r^{-\frac{1}{2}} (\partial_t + \partial_r)(ru)\|_{L^2}.
\end{equation}

**Proof.** Write

\[
\int_0^T \int \left( 1 + r \right)^{p-1} r^2 u^2 dx dt = - \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_0^\infty \left( 1 + r \right)^{p-1} \left( \partial_t + \partial_r \right) \left( r^{-1} (ru) \right)^2 dr d\omega dt.
\]

Integration by parts gives that this is

\[
= - \int \left( 1 + r \right)^{p-1} r u^2(T, x) dx + \int \left( 1 + r \right)^{p-1} r^2 u(0, x) dx + (p - 1) \int_0^T \int \left( 1 + r \right)^{p-2} r u^2 dx dt + 2 \int_0^T \int \left( 1 + r \right)^{p-1} r^2 u(\partial_t + \partial_r)(ru) dx dt.
\]

Using that $\frac{1}{1+r} \leq \frac{1}{r}$ in the third term and applying the Schwarz inequality to the last term then shows that

\[
(1 - |p - 1|) \int_0^T \int \left( 1 + r \right)^{p-1} r^2 u^2 dx dt + \int \left( 1 + r \right)^{p-1} r u^2(T, x) dx \leq \int \left( 1 + r \right)^{p-1} r u^2(0, x) dx + 2 \left( \int_0^T \int \left( 1 + r \right)^{p-1} r^2 u^2 dx dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \int_0^T \int (1 + r)^{p-1} (r^{-1} (\partial_t + \partial_r)(ru))^2 dx dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.
\]

Bootstrapping the first factor of the last term and taking a supremum over $T$ then yields (2.3). \qed

We next record what, in essence, is the main new estimate of [15].
Proposition 2.3. Fix $0 < p < 2$. If $u \in C^2(\mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^3)$ and $r^{\frac{p-2}2} |\partial u(t,x)| \to 0$ as $|x| \to \infty$, then

\begin{align}
(2.4) \quad \| \langle r \rangle^p \partial_t + \partial_r u \|_{L^\infty L^2} + \| \langle r \rangle^p \nabla u \|_{L^\infty L^2} + \| \langle r \rangle^p r^{-\frac{2}{p-1}} u \|_{L^\infty L^2} \\
+ \| \langle r \rangle^p r^{-\frac{2}{p-1}} (\partial_t + \partial_r u) \|_{L^2 L^2} + \| \langle r \rangle^p r^{-\frac{2}{p-1}} \nabla u \|_{L^2 L^2} + \| \langle r \rangle^p r^{-\frac{2}{p-1}} r^{-1} u \|_{L^2 L^2} \\
+ \sup_{U \geq 1} \langle r \rangle^p \langle r \rangle^p r^{-1} (\partial_t + \partial_r) (ru) \|_{L^2 L^2(X_U)} \\
\lesssim \| \langle r \rangle^p r^{-\frac{2}{p-1}} u(0,0) \|_{L^2} + \| \langle r \rangle^p (\partial_t + \partial_r) u(0,0) \|_{L^2} + \| \langle r \rangle^p \nabla u(0,0) \|_{L^2} \\
+ \left( \sum_{\tau \leq \tau / 4} \sum_{R \leq 4U} \| \langle r \rangle^p \Box u \|_{L^2 L^2(C^P)}^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + \sum_{U \geq 4U} \| \langle r \rangle^p \Box u \|_{L^2 L^2(C^P)}^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.
\end{align}

Proof. Noting that

\begin{equation}
\Box u = r^{-1} \left( \partial_t^2 - \partial_r^2 - \nabla \cdot \nabla \right) (ru),
\end{equation}

we consider

\begin{align}
\int_0^T \int S^2 \int_0^\infty (1 + r)^p e^{-\sigma_U(t-r)} \Box u \left( \partial_t + \partial_r + \frac{1}{r} \right) u \ dx \ dt \\
= \int_0^T \int S^2 \int_0^\infty (1 + r)^p e^{-\sigma_U(t-r)} \left( \partial_t^2 - \partial_r^2 - \nabla \cdot \nabla \right) (ru) \left( \partial_t + \partial_r \right) (ru) \ dr \ d\omega \ dt
\end{align}

for $0 < p < 2$, which, using \((1.4)\), is equivalent to

\begin{align}
\frac{1}{2} \int_0^T \int S^2 \int_0^\infty (1 + r)^p e^{-\sigma_U(t-r)} \left( \partial_t - \partial_r \right) \left[ \left( \partial_t + \partial_r \right) (ru) \right]^2 \ dr \ d\omega \ dt \\
+ \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T \int S^2 \int_0^\infty (1 + r)^p e^{-\sigma_U(t-r)} \left( \partial_t + \partial_r \right) \left| \nabla (ru) \right|^2 \ dr \ d\omega \ dt \\
+ \int_0^T \int S^2 \int_0^\infty (1 + r)^p e^{-\sigma_U(t-r)} \left| \nabla (ru) \right|^2 \ dr \ d\omega \ dt.
\end{align}

Subsequent integrations by parts then give

\begin{align}
(2.6) \quad \int_0^T \int S^2 \int_0^\infty (1 + r)^p e^{-\sigma_U(t-r)} \Box u \left( \partial_t + \partial_r + \frac{1}{r} \right) u \ dx \ dt \\
= \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T \int S^2 \int_0^\infty (1 + r)^p e^{-\sigma_U(t-r)} \left[ \left( \partial_t + \partial_r \right) (ru) \right]^2 + \left| \nabla (ru) \right|^2 \ dr \ d\omega \bigg|_{t=0}^T \\
+ \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T \int S^2 \int_0^\infty (1 + r)^p e^{-\sigma_U(t-r)} \left( \partial_t + \partial_r \right) (ru) \left| \nabla (ru) \right|^2 \ dr \ d\omega \ dt \\
+ \int_0^T \int S^2 \int_0^\infty (1 + r)^p e^{-\sigma_U(t-r)} \left( \partial_t + \partial_r \right) (ru) \left| \nabla (ru) \right|^2 \ dr \ d\omega \ dt \\
+ \left( 1 - \frac{p}{2} \right) \int_0^T \int S^2 \int_0^\infty \frac{(1 + r)^p}{r} e^{-\sigma_U(t-r)} \left| \nabla (ru) \right|^2 \ dr \ d\omega \ dt
\end{align}

Rearranging the terms, noting that

\begin{equation}
\sigma'_U (t-r) \gtrsim \frac{1}{(t-r)^5}, \quad \text{on } X_U,
\end{equation}
and taking a supremum over $T$ yields

$$
\|\langle r \rangle^{\frac{\delta}{2}} r^{-1} (\partial_t + \partial_r) (ru) \|_{L^2 L^2}^2 + \|\langle r \rangle^{\frac{\delta}{2}} \nabla u \|_{L^2 L^2}^2 + \|\langle r \rangle^{\frac{\delta}{2}} r^{-1} (\partial_t + \partial_r) (ru) \|_{L^2 L^2}^2
+ \|\langle r \rangle^{\frac{\delta}{2}} r^{-\frac{1}{2}} \nabla u \|_{L^2 L^2}^2 + \sup_U \|\langle r \rangle^{\frac{\delta}{2}} (t - r)^{-\frac{\delta}{2}} (\partial_t + \partial_r) (ru) \|_{L^2 L^2(X_U)}^2
\lesssim \|\langle r \rangle^{\frac{\delta}{2}} r^{-1} u(0, \cdot) \|_{L^2}^2 + \|\langle r \rangle^{\frac{\delta}{2}} \partial u(0, \cdot) \|_{L^2}^2 + \int_0^\infty \int_U \|\langle r \rangle^{\frac{\delta}{2}} u \|_{L^2 L^2}^2 (\partial_t + \partial_r)(ru) \, dx \, dt.
$$

By the Schwarz inequality, we may bound

$$(2.7) \quad \int_0^\infty \int_U \|\langle r \rangle^{\frac{\delta}{2}} u \|_{L^2 L^2}^2 (\partial_t + \partial_r)(ru) \, dx \, dt \leq \left( \sum_U \sum_{\tau \leq \tau/4} \|\langle r \rangle^{\frac{\delta}{2}} \partial u \|_{L^2 L^2(C^\delta_U)}^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\langle r \rangle^{\frac{\delta}{2}} r^{-1} (\partial_t + \partial_r)(ru) \|_{L^2 L^2}^2
+ \left\{ \sum_U \left[ \sum_{\tau \leq \tau/4} U^{\frac{\delta}{2}} \|\langle r \rangle^{\frac{\delta}{2}} \partial u \|_{L^2 L^2(C^\delta_U)} \right] \right\} \left( \sup_U U^{\frac{\delta}{2}} \|\langle r \rangle^{\frac{\delta}{2}} r^{-1} (\partial_t + \partial_r)(ru) \|_{L^2 L^2(X_U)} \right).$$

The second factor of each term may be bootstrapped. Combining what results with (2.5) completes the proof.

We next combine the previous position with a modification of the $(\partial_t - \partial_r)$ portion of the multiplier. While the new $\partial_t - \partial_r$ terms are easily controlled using the $LE^1$ norm, the corresponding forcing term comes with an added factor of decay when compared to the right side of (2.4).

**Theorem 2.4.** Fix $0 < p < 2$ and $\delta > 0$. If $u \in C^2(\mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^3)$ and $r^{\frac{\delta}{2} - 2} |\partial \leq 1 u(t, x)| \to 0$ as $|x| \to \infty$, then

$$
\|\langle r \rangle^{\frac{\delta}{2}} (\partial_t - \partial_r) u \|_{L^\infty L^2} + \|\langle r \rangle^{\frac{\delta}{2}} (\partial_t - \partial_r) u \|_{L^\infty L^2} + \|\langle r \rangle^{\frac{\delta}{2}} \nabla u \|_{L^2 L^2} + \|\langle r \rangle^{\frac{\delta}{2}} r^{-\frac{1}{2}} u \|_{L^2 L^2} + \sup_U U^{\frac{\delta}{2}} \|\langle r \rangle^{\frac{\delta}{2}} (\partial_t - \partial_r) u \|_{L^2 L^2(X_U)} \lesssim \|\langle r \rangle^{\frac{\delta}{2}} r^{-1} (\partial_t + \partial_r)(ru) \|_{L^2 L^2}^2
+ \|\langle r \rangle^{\frac{\delta}{2}} \partial u(0, \cdot) \|_{L^2}^2 + \|\langle r \rangle^{\frac{\delta}{2}} \nabla u(0, \cdot) \|_{L^2}^2 + \|\langle r \rangle^{\frac{\delta}{2}} r^{-1} u(0, \cdot) \|_{L^2}^2
+ \|\langle r \rangle^{\frac{\delta}{2}} \partial u \|_{L^2 L^2} + \left( \sum_U \sum_{\tau \leq \tau/4} \|\langle r \rangle^{\frac{\delta}{2}} \partial u \|_{L^2 L^2(C^\delta_U)}^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + \left\{ \sum_U U^{\frac{\delta}{2}} \|\langle r \rangle^{\frac{\delta}{2}} \partial u \|_{L^2 L^2(C^\delta_U)} \right\} \left( \sup_U U^{\frac{\delta}{2}} \|\langle r \rangle^{\frac{\delta}{2}} r^{-1} (\partial_t + \partial_r)(ru) \|_{L^2 L^2(X_U)} \right).$$

**Proof.** We begin by considering

$$
\int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \int_0^{\infty} (1 + r)^{-\delta} \left( \partial_t - \partial_r - \frac{1}{r} \right) u \, dx \, dt = \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \int_0^{\infty} (1 + r)^{-\delta} \left( \partial_t^2 - \partial_r^2 - \nabla \cdot \nabla \right) (ru) \left( \partial_t - \partial_r \right) (ru \, dr \, dw \, dt
$$

with $\delta > 0$. Integrating by parts and using (1.4), this is

$$
= \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \int_0^{\infty} (1 + r)^{-\delta} \left[ \left( \partial_t - \partial_r \right) (ru) \right]^2 \, dr \, dw \, dt - \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \int_0^{\infty} \frac{(1 + r)^{-\delta}}{r} |\nabla (ru)|^2 \, dr \, dw \, dt
+ \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \int_0^{\infty} (1 + r)^{-\delta} \left( \partial_t - \partial_r \right) |\nabla (ru)|^2 \, dr \, dw \, dt.
$$

The Fundamental Theorem of Calculus and subsequent integrations by parts give

$$
\int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \int_0^{\infty} (1 + r)^{-\delta} \left( \partial_t - \partial_r - \frac{1}{r} \right) u \, dx \, dt = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \int_0^{\infty} (1 + r)^{-\delta} \left[ \left( \partial_t - \partial_r \right) (ru) \right]^2 + |\nabla (ru)|^2 \right] \, dr \, dw \bigg|_{t=0}^{T}
- \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} u^2 (t, 0) \, dw \, dt + \frac{\delta}{2} \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \int_0^{\infty} (1 + r)^{-\delta - 1} \left[ \left( \partial_t - \partial_r \right) (ru) \right]^2 \, dr \, dw \, dt
- \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \int_0^{\infty} (1 + r)^{-\delta} \left( r^{-1} + \frac{\delta}{2} (1 + r)^{-1} \right) |\nabla (ru)|^2 \, dr \, dw \, dt.
$$
We may, thus, combine (2.9) and (2.6) to see that

\[ (1 + r)^{-\frac{3}{2} - \frac{1}{2}} (r \partial_t - \partial_r)(ru) \|_{L^\infty L^2}^2 + (1 + r)^{1 + \varepsilon} r^{-1} (r \partial_t + \partial_r)(ru) \|_{L^\infty L^2}^2 + (1 + r)^{\frac{1}{2}} \|u\|_{L^\infty L^2}^2 \\
+ \| (1 + r)^{-\frac{3}{2} - \frac{1}{2}} (r \partial_t - \partial_r)(ru) \|_{L^2 L^2}^2 + (1 + r)^{\frac{1}{2}} r^{-1} (r \partial_t + \partial_r)(ru) \|_{L^2 L^2}^2 + (1 + r)^{\frac{1}{2}} \|u\|_{L^2 L^2}^2 \\
+ \sup_\mathcal{U} U^{-\frac{1}{2}} \| (1 + r)^{\frac{1}{2}} r^{-1} (\partial_t + \partial_r)(ru) \|_{L^2 L^2(X_U)}^2 \lesssim \| (1 + r)^{-\frac{3}{2} - \frac{1}{2}} (r \partial_t - \partial_r)(ru) \|_{L^\infty L^2}^2 + (1 + r)^{\frac{1}{2}} r^{-1} (r \partial_t + \partial_r)(ru) \|_{L^2 L^2}^2 + (1 + r)^{\frac{1}{2}} \|u\|_{L^2 L^2}^2 \\
+ \int_0^T \int \|\partial_t \left( (1 + r)^{\frac{3}{2} - \frac{1}{2}} \left( \partial_t - \partial_r - \frac{1}{r} \right) \right) \| + C(1 + r)^p \left( \| (r \partial_t + \partial_r + \frac{1}{r}) \|_{L^2 L^2(X_U)} \right) \| \ dx \ dt \\
to be sufficiently large. We use (2.7) and the fact that the Schwarz inequality allows us to bound

\[ \int_0^T \int \|\partial_t \left( (1 + r)^{\frac{3}{2} - \frac{1}{2}} \left( \partial_t - \partial_r - \frac{1}{r} \right) \right) \| \ dx \ dt \lesssim \| (1 + r)^{\frac{1}{2}} \|_{L^2 L^2}^2 + \| (1 + r)^{-\frac{3}{2} - \frac{1}{2}} (\partial_t - \partial_r)(ru) \|_{L^2 L^2}^2. \]

Bootstrapping then gives

\[ \| (r)^{-\frac{3}{2} - \frac{1}{2}} (\partial_t - \partial_t)(ru) \|_{L^\infty L^2} + \| (r)^{\frac{1}{2}} r^{-1} (\partial_t + \partial_r)(ru) \|_{L^2 L^2} + \| (r)^{\frac{1}{2}} \|_{L^\infty L^2} \]

\[ \lesssim \| (r)^{-\frac{3}{2} - \frac{1}{2}} (\partial_t - \partial_t)(ru) \|_{L^\infty L^2} + \| (r)^{\frac{1}{2}} r^{-1} (\partial_t + \partial_r)(ru) \|_{L^2 L^2} + \| (r)^{\frac{1}{2}} \|_{L^\infty L^2} \]

\[ + \sup_\mathcal{U} U^{-\frac{1}{2}} \| (r)^{\frac{1}{2}} r^{-1} (\partial_t + \partial_r)(ru) \|_{L^2 L^2(X_U)} \lesssim \| (r)^{-\frac{3}{2} - \frac{1}{2}} (\partial_t - \partial_t)(ru) \|_{L^\infty L^2} + \| (r)^{\frac{1}{2}} r^{-1} (\partial_t + \partial_r)(ru) \|_{L^2 L^2} + \| (r)^{\frac{1}{2}} \|_{L^\infty L^2} \]

\[ + \| (r)^{\frac{1}{2}} \|_{L^2 L^2} + \left( \sum_{\tau \leq \tau/4} \| (r)^{\frac{1}{2}} \|_{L^2 L^2(C_{\tau/2})} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + \| (r)^{\frac{1}{2}} \|_{L^2 L^2} + \left( \sum_{\tau \geq 4U} \| (r)^{\frac{1}{2}} \|_{L^2 L^2(C_{\tau/2})} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \]

Pairing this with (2.4) then completes the proof. □

3. Sobolev Estimates

In this section, we collect our principal point estimate, which are variants of the Klainerman-Sobolev estimate [12].

On occasion, it will suffice to apply the following standard weighted Sobolev estimates, which is also from [12] and follows by applying Sobolev embeddings in the r and \omega variables after localizing.

**Lemma 3.1.** For \( h \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3) \) and \( R > 0 \),

\[ \| h \|_{L_r^\infty(A_R)} \lesssim R^{-1} \| Z^{\leq 2} h \|_{L_r^2(A_R)}. \]

Where a finer analysis is necessary, we shall use the space-time Klainerman-Sobolev estimates of [18] Lemma 3.8. We record these in the following lemma.

**Lemma 3.2.** If \( \tau \geq 1, 1 \leq R \leq \tau, \) and \( 1 \leq U \leq \tau/4, \) then

\[ \| w \|_{L_r^\infty L_r^\infty(C_{\tau/2})} \lesssim \frac{1}{R^2} \| Z^{\leq 2} w \|_{L_r^2 L_r^2(C_{\tau/2})} + \frac{1}{R} \| \partial_t Z^{\leq 2} w \|_{L_r^2 L_r^2(C_{\tau/2})}, \]

\[ \| w \|_{L_r^\infty L_r^\infty(C_{\tau/4})} \lesssim \frac{1}{\tau^{\frac{3}{2}} U^\frac{1}{2}} \| Z^{\leq 2} w \|_{L_r^2 L_r^2(C_{\tau/2})} + \frac{U^\frac{1}{2}}{\tau^\frac{3}{2}} \| \partial_t Z^{\leq 2} w \|_{L_r^2 L_r^2(C_{\tau/2})}. \]

If \( R = 1, \) (3.2) is an immediate consequence of standard Sobolev embeddings. And if \( R > 1, \) then after localizing to \( C_{\tau/2}^R, \) we may apply Sobolev embeddings in \( (s, \omega) \) and the Fundamental Theorem of Calculus in \( \rho \) where \( t = e^s \) and \( r = e^{s+\rho} \) to see that

\[ \| w \|_{L_r^\infty L_r^\infty(C_{\tau/2})} \lesssim \frac{1}{\tau^\frac{3}{2} R^\frac{1}{2}} \| Z^{\leq 2} w \|_{L_r^2 L_r^2(C_{\tau/2})} + \frac{1}{\tau^\frac{3}{2} R^\frac{1}{2}} \| Z^{\leq 2} w \|_{L_r^2 L_r^2(C_{\tau/2})} \| \partial_t Z^{\leq 2} w \|_{L_r^2 L_r^2(C_{\tau/2})}. \]
This relies, e.g., on the observation that
\[ \partial_s (w(e^s, e^{s+p}, \omega)) = (Sw)(e^s, e^{s+p}, \omega). \]

The estimate (3.2) is now an immediate consequence.

When \( U = 1 \), the bound (3.3) follows from (3.1). Otherwise, with \( t = e^s \) and \( t - r = e^{s+p} \), a similar application of Sobolev embeddings yields (3.3).

When the estimates of (18) are applied to \( \partial w \), the decomposition pairs nicely with (3.5)
\[ 2Sw = (t + r)(\partial_t + \partial_r)w + (t - r)(\partial_t - \partial_r)w, \]
which will allow us to recover \( \Box \) to get additional decay out of the second derivative terms. This presents space-time analogs of some estimates of (13).

**Corollary 3.3.** For \( \tau \geq 1 \) and \( 1 \leq R, U \leq \tau/4 \), we have
\[
\begin{align*}
\| \partial w \|_{L^\infty L^\infty(C^\beta)} &\lesssim \frac{1}{R^2} \| \partial Z_{\leq 3} w \|_{L^2 L^2(\tilde{C}^\beta)} + \frac{1}{R} \| \Box Z_{\leq 2} w \|_{L^2 L^2(\tilde{C}^\beta)}, \\
\| \partial w \|_{L^\infty L^\infty(C^\gamma)} &\lesssim \frac{1}{U^{1/2}} \| \partial Z_{\leq 3} w \|_{L^2 L^2(\tilde{C}^\gamma)} + \frac{1}{U} \| \Box Z_{\leq 2} w \|_{L^2 L^2(\tilde{C}^\gamma)}.
\end{align*}
\]

**Proof.** We apply (3.2) to see
\[
\| \partial w \|_{L^\infty L^\infty(C^\beta)} \lesssim \frac{1}{R^2} \| \partial Z_{\leq 3} w \|_{L^2 L^2(\tilde{C}^\beta)} + \frac{1}{R} \| \partial_r Z_{\leq 2} w \|_{L^2 L^2(\tilde{C}^\beta)},
\]
We notice that
\[
\| \partial_r \Box Z_{\leq 2} w \|_{L^2 L^2(\tilde{C}^\beta)} \lesssim \frac{1}{R} \| \partial Z_{\leq 3} w \|_{L^2 L^2(\tilde{C}^\beta)}
\]
follows from (1.3) and (1.5). Moreover, by (3.3),
\[
\| (\partial_t - \partial_r)^2 Z_{\leq 2} w \|_{L^2 L^2(\tilde{C}^\beta)} \lesssim \frac{1}{R} \| \partial Z_{\leq 3} w \|_{L^2 L^2(\tilde{C}^\beta)} + \| (\partial_t^2 - \partial_r^2) Z_{\leq 2} w \|_{L^2 L^2(\tilde{C}^\beta)},
\]
and
\[
\| (\partial_t + \partial_r)^2 Z_{\leq 2} w \|_{L^2 L^2(\tilde{C}^\beta)} \lesssim \frac{1}{R} \| \partial Z_{\leq 3} w \|_{L^2 L^2(\tilde{C}^\beta)} + \| (\partial_t^2 - \partial_r^2) Z_{\leq 2} w \|_{L^2 L^2(\tilde{C}^\beta)}.
\]
Plugging these into (3.8) gives
\[
\| \partial w \|_{L^\infty L^\infty(C^\beta)} \lesssim \frac{1}{R^2} \| \partial Z_{\leq 3} w \|_{L^2 L^2(\tilde{C}^\beta)} + \frac{1}{R} \| (\partial_t^2 - \partial_r^2) Z_{\leq 2} w \|_{L^2 L^2(\tilde{C}^\beta)}.
\]
Using that
\[
\partial_t^2 - \partial_r^2 = \Box + \frac{2}{R} \partial_r + \nabla \cdot \nabla
\]
and (1.5) gives (3.6).

For (3.7), we argue similarly. We notice that
\[
\| \partial_r \Box Z_{\leq 2} w \|_{L^2 L^2(\tilde{C}^\gamma)} \lesssim \frac{1}{R} \| \partial Z_{\leq 3} w \|_{L^2 L^2(\tilde{C}^\gamma)}
\]
\[
\| (\partial_t - \partial_r)^2 Z_{\leq 2} w \|_{L^2 L^2(\tilde{C}^\gamma)} \lesssim \frac{1}{R} \| \partial Z_{\leq 3} w \|_{L^2 L^2(\tilde{C}^\gamma)} + \frac{1}{U} \| (\partial_t^2 - \partial_r^2) Z_{\leq 2} w \|_{L^2 L^2(\tilde{C}^\gamma)}
\]
\[
\| (\partial_t + \partial_r)^2 Z_{\leq 2} w \|_{L^2 L^2(\tilde{C}^\gamma)} \lesssim \frac{1}{R} \| \partial Z_{\leq 3} w \|_{L^2 L^2(\tilde{C}^\gamma)} + \| (\partial_t^2 - \partial_r^2) Z_{\leq 2} w \|_{L^2 L^2(\tilde{C}^\gamma)}.
\]
Using these in (3.3) in combination with (3.9) and (1.5), we see that
\[
\| w \|_{L^\infty L^\infty(C^\gamma)} \lesssim \frac{1}{U^{1/2}} \| \partial Z_{\leq 2} w \|_{L^2 L^2(\tilde{C}^\gamma)} + \frac{1}{U} \| (\partial_t - \partial_r) \partial Z_{\leq 2} w \|_{L^2 L^2(\tilde{C}^\gamma)}
\]
\[
\lesssim \frac{1}{U^{1/2}} \| \partial Z_{\leq 3} w \|_{L^2 L^2(\tilde{C}^\gamma)} + \frac{1}{U} \| \Box Z_{\leq 2} w \|_{L^2 L^2(\tilde{C}^\gamma)},
\]
which completes the proof. \( \square \)
4. Global Existence

Here we provide the proof of Theorem 1.1. To do so, we set $u_0 \equiv 0$, $v_0 \equiv 0$ and recursively define $u_k, v_k$ to solve

$$\Box u_k = (\partial_t + \partial_r)u_{k-1} \partial_r v_{k-1} - \partial_r u_{k-1}(\partial_t + \partial_r)v_{k-1} - \nabla u_{k-1} \cdot \nabla v_{k-1},$$
$$\Box v_k = \partial_t u_{k-1} \partial_r v_{k-1},$$

$$(u_k(0, \cdot), \partial_t u_k(0, \cdot)) = (u_0(0), u_1(0)), \quad (v_k(0, \cdot), \partial_t v_k(0, \cdot)) = (v_0(0), v_1(0)).$$

(4.1)

We will show that the sequences $(u_k)$ and $(v_k)$ converge. The limits yield the desired solutions $u, v$ to (1.1).

4.1. Boundedness. We fix $0 < p < 1$, $0 < \delta < \min\left(p, \frac{4}{p-2}\right)$, and $N$ large enough so that $\frac{N}{2} + 3 \leq N$. We then set

$$M_k = \|\langle r \rangle^{\frac{p-4}{2}} \partial Z^{\leq N} u_k\|_{L^p L^2} + \|\langle r \rangle^{\frac{p-4}{2}} \partial Z^{\leq N} v_k\|_{L^p L^2} + \|\langle r \rangle^{\frac{p-4}{2}} r^{-1} Z^{\leq N} v_k\|_{L^p L^2}$$
$$+ \|Z^{\leq N} u_k\|_{L^p L^2} + \|\partial Z^{\leq N} u_k\|_{L^p L^2} + \|\langle r \rangle^{-\frac{4}{p+4}} \partial Z^{\leq N} v_k\|_{L^p L^2}$$

$$+ \left[\sum_{\tau \leq \tau/4} \left(R^{\frac{p-4}{2}} \|\partial Z^{\leq N} u_k\|_{L^p L^\infty(C^\tau)}\right)^2\right]^{\frac{1}{2}} + \left[\sum_{\tau \leq \tau/4} \left(R^{\frac{p-4}{2}} \|\partial Z^{\leq N} v_k\|_{L^p L^\infty(C^\tau)}\right)^2\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

For any $k \geq 1$, we shall show that

$$M_k \leq C_0 \varepsilon + C M_{k-1}^2$$

for some fixed constant $C_0$. Provided that $\varepsilon > 0$ is sufficiently small, a straightforward induction argument then shows that

$$M_k \leq 2C_0 \varepsilon$$

for any $k$.

The product rule gives

$$|Z^{\leq N} \Box u_k| \lesssim |\partial Z^{\leq N} u_{k-1}| |\partial Z^{\leq N} v_{k-1}| + |\partial Z^{\leq N} u_{k-1}| |\partial Z^{\leq N} v_{k-1}|.$$

Hence,

$$\|\langle r \rangle^{\frac{p-4}{2}} \partial Z^{\leq N} u_k\|_{L^2 L^2(C^\tau)} \lesssim R^{-\frac{4}{p+4}} \left(\tau^{\frac{p-4}{2}} \|\partial Z^{\leq N} u_{k-1}\|_{L^p L^\infty(C^\tau)}\right) \|\langle r \rangle^{-\frac{4}{p+4}} \partial Z^{\leq N} v_{k-1}\|_{L^p L^2(C^\tau)}$$
$$+ R^{\frac{p-4}{2}} \left(\tau^{\frac{p-4}{2}} \|\partial Z^{\leq N} v_{k-1}\|_{L^p L^\infty(C^\tau)}\right) \|Z^{\leq N} u_{k-1}\|_{L^p L^2},$$

and

$$U^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\langle r \rangle^{\frac{p-4}{2}} \partial Z^{\leq N} u_k\|_{L^2 L^2(C^\tau)} \lesssim \tau^{\frac{p-4}{2}} \left(U^{\frac{1}{2}} \tau^{-\frac{4}{p}} \|\partial Z^{\leq N} u_{k-1}\|_{L^p L^\infty(C^\tau)}\right) \|\langle r \rangle^{-\frac{4}{p+4}} \partial Z^{\leq N} v_{k-1}\|_{L^p L^2(C^\tau)}$$
$$+ \tau^{\frac{p-4}{2}} \left(U^{\frac{1}{2}} \tau^{-\frac{4}{p}} \|\partial Z^{\leq N} v_{k-1}\|_{L^p L^\infty(C^\tau)}\right) \|Z^{\leq N} u_{k-1}\|_{L^p L^2}.$$
To each lower order term in (4.11), we apply (3.1) to see that this is provided

A finer alternative to (4.5) that takes care with the good directions is

(4.12) \[ \| Z^{\leq N} u_k \|_{L^1} \leq \| Z^{\leq N} \partial u_{k-1} \| + \| Z^{\leq N} \partial u_{k-1} \| \| Z^{\leq N} \partial u_{k-1} \| \]

which we shall use later.

It is in the process of bounding \| Z^{\leq N} u_k \|_{L^1} \) and \( \| \partial Z^{\leq N} u_k \|_{L^\infty L^2} \) that we will need the null condition. A finer alternative to (4.10) that takes care with the good directions is

(4.13) \[ \| Z^{\leq N} \partial u_{k-1} \| + \| Z^{\leq N} \partial u_{k-1} \| + \| Z^{\leq N} \partial u_{k-1} \| \]

We need to consider

In order to show (4.3), it remains to bound the

To each lower order term in (4.11), we apply (3.1) to see that this is

By the Schwarz inequality and (1.3), this is

provided \( N + 2 \leq N \), which is clearly \( O(M_k^2) \) as desired. Hence, due to (2.2) and (1.2), we have shown

In order to address the \( v_k \) terms, we next consider

To the lower order factors in (4.13) we apply (3.1) to see that this is

Since \( N + 2 \leq N \), this is \( O(M_k^2) \). When combined with (1.2), (2.8), and (4.10), this gives

In order to show (4.13), it remains to bound the \( L^\infty L^\infty \) terms in (4.11). Applying (3.1) to each lower order piece in (4.13), we see that

\[ \| Z^{\leq N} \partial u_{k-1} \|_{L^2 L^2(\mathbb{C}^2)} \leq R^{\frac{N}{2}} \| Z^{\leq N} \partial u_{k-1} \|_{L^\infty L^2} \leq R^{\frac{N}{2}} \| Z^{\leq N} \partial u_{k-1} \|_{L^2 L^2(\mathbb{C}^2)} \]

+ \[ R^{\frac{N}{2}} \| \langle r \rangle^{-\frac{1}{2}} \partial Z^{\leq N} u_{k-1} \|_{L^2 L^2(\mathbb{C}^2)} \| Z^{\leq N} u_{k-1} \|_{L^\infty L^2} \]
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And thus, by (3.6),
\[
R^{\frac{3}{24}} \| \partial Z \leq \frac{N}{r} u_k \|_{L^{\infty}(C^U)} \lesssim \frac{1}{r^2} \| \langle r \rangle^{-\frac{1}{2}} \partial Z \leq \frac{N}{r} u_k \|_{L^2(L^2(C^U))} + \| \partial Z \leq \frac{N}{r} + u_k \|_{L^2(L^2(C^U))} \| \langle r \rangle^{-\frac{1}{2}} \partial Z \leq N u_k \|_{L^2(L^2(C^U))}
\]
which upon pairing with (4.13) gives
\[
\text{(4.14) } \left[ \sum_{\tau} \sum_{R \leq \tau/4} \left( R^{\frac{3}{24}} \| \partial Z \leq \frac{N}{r} u_k \|_{L^{\infty}(C^U)} \right) \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \lesssim \varepsilon + M_k^2.
\]

Similarly, using (3.1) in (4.9) instead gives
\[
\left[ \sum_{\tau} \sum_{R \leq \tau/4} \left( R^{\frac{3}{24}} \| \partial Z \leq \frac{N}{r} u_k \|_{L^{\infty}(C^U)} \right) \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \lesssim \varepsilon + M_k^2
\]
which upon pairing with (4.13) gives
\[
\text{(4.15) } \left[ \sum_{\tau} \sum_{R \leq \tau/4} \left( R^{\frac{3}{24}} \| \partial Z \leq \frac{N}{r} u_k \|_{L^{\infty}(C^U)} \right) \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \lesssim \varepsilon + M_k^2
\]

Using (3.7) in place of (3.3), these same arguments show
\[
\left[ \sum_{\tau} \sum_{R \leq \tau/4} \left( R^{\frac{1}{2}} \| \partial Z \leq \frac{N}{r} u_k \|_{L^{\infty}(C^U)} \right) \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \lesssim \varepsilon + M_k^2.
\]

When these are combined with (4.12) and (4.13) respectively, we obtain
\[
\text{(4.16) } \left[ \sum_{\tau} \sum_{U \leq \tau/4} \left( \tau^{-\frac{1}{4}} U \frac{1}{2} \| \partial Z \leq \frac{N}{r} u_k \|_{L^{\infty}(C^U)} \right) \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \lesssim \varepsilon + M_k^2
\]
The combination of (3.5), (4.12), (4.13), (4.14), (4.15), and (4.16) prove (4.3) and, hence, (4.4) as desired.
4.2. Convergence. It remains to show that the sequence \((u_k)\) and \((v_k)\) converge. We set
\[
A_k = \| (r)^{\frac{4}{2r-1}} \partial Z^{\leq N} (u_k - u_{k-1}) \|_{L^2} + \| (r)^{\frac{4}{2r-1}} \partial Z^{\leq N} (u_k - u_{k-1}) \|_{L^2} + \| (r)^{\frac{4}{2r-1}} \partial Z^{\leq N} (v_k - v_{k-1}) \|_{L^2} + \| (r)^{\frac{4}{2r-1}} \partial Z^{\leq N} (v_k - v_{k-1}) \|_{L^2} + \| (r)^{-\frac{4}{2r-1}} \partial Z^{\leq N} (v_k - v_{k-1}) \|_{L^2} + \| (r)^{-\frac{4}{2r-1}} \partial Z^{\leq N} (v_k - v_{k-1}) \|_{L^2} + \sum_{\tau \leq \tau} \sum_{R \leq \tau/4} \left( R^{\frac{4}{2r-1}} \partial Z^{\leq N} (u_k - u_{k-1}) \|_{L^\infty} \right)^2 + \left( R^{\frac{4}{2r-1}} \partial Z^{\leq N} (v_k - v_{k-1}) \|_{L^\infty} \right)^2
+ \left( R^{\frac{4}{2r-1}} \partial Z^{\leq N} (u_k - u_{k-1}) \|_{L^\infty} \right)^2 + \left( R^{\frac{4}{2r-1}} \partial Z^{\leq N} (v_k - v_{k-1}) \|_{L^\infty} \right)^2
\]
We seek to show that
\[
A_k \leq \frac{1}{2} A_{k-1},
\]
which implies that the sequences are Cauchy and thus convergent.

We note that
\[
|Z^{\leq N} \Box (u_k - u_{k-1})| \lesssim |\partial Z^{\leq N} (u_k - u_{k-2})| + |\partial Z^{\leq N} (u_k - u_{k-2})| + |\partial Z^{\leq N} (u_k - u_{k-2})| + |\partial Z^{\leq N} (u_k - u_{k-2})| + |\partial Z^{\leq N} (u_k - u_{k-2})|
\]
\[
|Z^{\leq N} \Box (v_k - v_{k-1})| \lesssim |\partial Z^{\leq N} (v_k - v_{k-2})| + |\partial Z^{\leq N} (v_k - v_{k-2})| + |\partial Z^{\leq N} (v_k - v_{k-2})| + |\partial Z^{\leq N} (v_k - v_{k-2})| + |\partial Z^{\leq N} (v_k - v_{k-2})|
\]
and
\[
|Z^{\leq N} \partial u_k| \lesssim |Z^{\leq N} \partial u_{k-1}| + |Z^{\leq N} \partial u_{k-1}| + |Z^{\leq N} \partial u_{k-1}| + |Z^{\leq N} \partial u_{k-1}| + |Z^{\leq N} \partial u_{k-1}|
\]
which will be used in place of (4.5), (4.9), and (4.11) respectively. Arguing as in the proof of (4.13) then shows that
\[
A_k \lesssim (M_{k-1} + M_{k-2}) A_{k-1}.
\]
Provided that \(\varepsilon\) is sufficiently small, an application of (4.13) immediately yields (4.18) and completes the proof.
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