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ABSTRACT

An electron behaves as both a particle and a wave. On account of this it can be controlled in a similar way to a photon and electronic devices can be designed in analogy to those based on light when there is minimal excitation of the underlying Fermi sea. Here splitting of the electron wavefunction is explored for systems supporting Dirac type physics, with a focus on graphene but being equally applicable to electronic states in topological insulators, liquid helium, and other systems described relativistically. Electron beam-splitters and superfocusers are analysed along with propagation through nanoribbons, demonstrating that the waveform, system geometry, and energies all need to balance to maximise the probability density and hence lifetime of the flying electron. These findings form the basis for novel quantum electron optics.
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1 Introduction

Advances in electronics have led to the possibility of confining or maneuvering electrons for applications ranging from quantum information processing [1] to single-electron quantum optics and nanoelectronics [3]. Single-electron emitters are highly desirable quantum technologies to create devices analogous to those developed for quantum optics, leading to the new field known as electron quantum optics. The propagation of single electrons can be controlled by beam splitters, interferometers, and waveguides, e.g. focusing electron flow with graphene Veselago lenses [6]. A Lorentzian voltage pulse, or other energy input of similar pulse shape, applied to a 2D material has been shown experimentally to emit one electron without affecting the local background Fermi sea [9]. This creates an electronic soliton without relying purely on electron confinement [24]. In the current work an investigation of propagating electrons with dissipative soliton behaviour is carried out for electrons pulsed through various waveguides. The splitting of the wavefunction occurs in two arms of a beamsplitter, which could form part of a Hong-Ou-Mandel interferometer [20][5].

Graphene is an ideal material for exploring such single electron physics. It has amazing properties such as huge electron mobility and ballistic transport. Due to these properties the electron wave packet can propagate over long distances without much scattering. Moreover such electron wavepackets can be detected with the present microscopy tools. Indeed, recently high amplitude peaks in the wave function of quantum dots in bilayer graphene have been observed by using a scanning tunneling microscope [15]. This enabled observation of the wave behaviour of the electron wavepacket in bilayer graphene flakes. Similarly, single layer graphene on hexagonal boron nitride has been examined using STM techniques and spatial control of charge doping created for on-demand p-n junctions [34]. Other work has shown that electron transport can be controlled over femtosecond scales by applied light and a bias voltage, leading to tuning of electron thermalisation pathways in graphene [29]. Manufacturing of graphene circuitry can be achieved using novel laser patterning and other methods [12].

In graphene nanoribbons electrons are expected to act like photons in optical waveguides, without dissipation at the edges. Only the presence of lattice defects and impurities may create dissipation at the edges of the material. Here the edges of a nanoribbon can guide electrons via edge states. The situation can be different when an electron is
ejected with an energy higher than the Fermi energy. Moving in the bulk of the nanoribbon, however, such an electron wavefunction can spread when the width is large enough, appearing to dissipate as the probability density decreases. In general the boundaries of the nanoribbon can form a Fabri-Perot resonator for such an excited electron. Thus, such tapered waveguides are examined here in order to explore the electron quantum optical effect of superfocusing. In freestanding pristine graphene electrons behave as if they are massless (and, therefore have no band gap). It has been shown that the band gap is a function of the width, length, and edge states of a graphene nanoribbon due to confinement of $\pi$ electrons [30]. Bottom-up approaches such as on-surface and solution-phase polymerisation for synthesizing nanoribbons have been preferred to methods such as e-beam lithography for precise control over width, length, and edge definition [37]. Typically top-down approaches, such as lithographic cutting of graphene, tend to produce defects at the edges of the material, whilst bottom-up approaches lead to smooth edges and controllable widths that are determined by the precursor molecules used. The electrical (and magnetic) properties of the nanoribbons depend on the type of edge they have and the chemical structure, with zig-zag edge states favourable for spintronics and armchair edge states tunable through bandgap engineering at room temperature [26]. The narrowest possible metallic, zero bandgap armchair nanoribbons were synthesised by Liljeöth and colleagues [26], being just five carbon atoms wide. These types of nanoribbon fall into the $N = 3p + 2$ family, where $p$ is an integer and $N$ is the number of carbon atoms. There exist another two families, the $N = 3p$ and $N = 3p + 1$ types, which are semiconductors with wide bandgaps. Recently $N = 17, 3p + 2$ family nanoribbons on Au(111) have also been produced using dibromobenzene-based precursor monomers (as well as $N = 13$ structures) [36]. All compositions of nanoribbons are now achievable using these bottom-up approaches with fusing of neighbouring $N = 7$ armchair compositions achieved for $N = 14$ and 17 reported too [21]. Ballistic transport in 40 nm wide epitaxial graphene nanoribbons has also been described [2], demonstrating sheet resistance below 1 Ohm/sq, and channels of the order of micrometers in length. This is quite remarkable for electron transport over such distances without any dissipation, pushing the potential for electron quantum optics into reality. The self-assembled growth of single layer graphene on the etched trenches in high quality silicon carbide wafers produces the waveguides for ballistic transport, i.e. the electron moving without scattering. In the next section an investigation of graphene nanoribbons is performed and a possible mechanism for controlled transport explored.

2 Graphene nanoribbons

As discussed above there have been significant efforts made to produce graphene nanoribbons (GNRs) through chemical synthesis. The two main types of GNR are ones with an armchair or zig-zag edge. There exist other configurations based on side branches of carbon atoms and alterations to the structure that develop during growth. A very promising approach to producing GNRs is the patterning of silicon carbide before epitaxial growth of graphene. This avenue of research has high promise for industrial scaling for very high performance graphene electron optics. To understand the flow of electrons in narrow graphene waveguides GNR structures are now explored. The system can be described in terms of the Dirac equations [14, 11, 38]. As such, we solve the system of equations,

$$\frac{\hbar}{i} \partial_t \begin{pmatrix} \Psi_1 \\ \Psi_2 \end{pmatrix} = H \begin{pmatrix} \Psi_1 \\ \Psi_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

(1)

where,

$$H = \nu_F \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i\hbar \partial_x - \hbar \partial_y \\ -i\hbar \partial_x + \hbar \partial_y & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$  

(2)

In the above, the single electron motion is represented by the wavefunction $\Psi_1$ as a second electron wave $\Psi_2$ maneuvers to fill any void created by the former and to produce a complete Fermi sea. The electron is kicked up from the Fermi sea through the correct voltage or photo-excitation giving an initial Lorentzian waveform moving with Fermi velocity $\nu_F$ and electron energy $E_F$, propagating through the system to take on a Gaussian shape [14, 11]. We now write the dimensionless form of Eq. (1),

$$-i\partial_\tau \begin{pmatrix} \Psi_1 \\ \Psi_2 \end{pmatrix} = \tilde{H} \begin{pmatrix} \Psi_1 \\ \Psi_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

(3)

and

$$\tilde{H} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i\partial_x - \partial_y \\ -i\partial_x + \partial_y & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$  

(4)

Time is written in dimensionless units as, $\tau = \nu_F t / a_0$, and the propagation distances given in 2-D space as $\tilde{x} = x / a_0$ and $\tilde{y} = y / a_0$, where $a_0$ is a characteristic length, e.g. in graphene, a lattice constant of 0.246 nm. These systems are typically two-dimensional electron gases where the electrons are free to move in two-dimensions. In Figure 1a GNR with width $N = 7$ demonstrates the spread of the wavefunction even in a very narrow channel for a pair of propagating electrons. Although the focus of this work is on single electron propagation, pulses of electrons can be incorporated too. In this case the width of each electron wavepacket is less than the width of the channel and is still propagating
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Figure 1: A pulse of two electrons travelling through an \( N = 7 \) armchair graphene nanoribbon. The top three images are snapshots of the propagating wavepacket at measurement times \( t = 0, 1.5 \) fs, and \( 2.5 \) fs.

...mainly through the bulk of the ribbon more than the interacting with the edge. The maximum probability density of the two propagating solitons becomes diminished along the channel over the time of \( 2.5 \) fs. Figure 1 illustrates the wave-like nature of the electron as well as the particle-like behaviour—In the bottom left image the interference of the wavefunction within the channel is shown on a reduced scale \( |\Psi|^2 < 10^{-2} \). This clearly illustrates that in this particular \( N = 7 \) nanoribbon rapid dissipation will emerge. This is in agreement with experimental observation where \( N = 7 \) nanoribbons behave as semiconductors. The initial characteristic frequency of the electron is defined based on de Broglie’s definition so that at velocities less than the speed of light the electron energy is dominated by its rest energy \( E_0 = m_0 c^2 \). Electrons in graphene have an effective mass, however, of \( m^* = 0.012 m_0 \) indicating an increased ease of transmission through potential barriers or steps. The electron kinetic energy in graphene, near the Dirac points, is equal to the effective mass times the Fermi velocity squared (with the group velocity, \( v_g \), and phase velocity \( v_p \), equal to each other and the Fermi velocity, \( v_F^2 = v_g v_p \)). Thus, \( E = m^* v_F^2 \), where \( m^* \) is the effective mass. The effective mass in armchair nanoribbons, such as that of Figure 1, is also a function of the width of the ribbon, with \( 3p + 1 \) types exhibiting tunable bandgaps. For the single flying electrons it is assumed that the Fermi velocity in the nanoribbons is equal to that in large width monolayers, \( 10^6 \) m/s. The energy of the emitted electron is given in dimensionless units as \( E_r = m^* v_{Fnr} v_{Fgr} / m^* v_{Fgr} \), where the subscripts \( nr \) and \( gr \) refer to the graphene nanoribbon and graphene continuous layer, respectively. Thus, after formation of the electron wavepacket the wavefunction is described by,

\[
\Psi_1 = \frac{\cosh \left[ b \left( \tau - \bar{x} - L \right) \right] \exp \left[ - \left( E_r \left( \tau - \bar{x} - L \right) - \frac{E_r a \bar{y}^2}{2 \left( 1 + i a \bar{x} \right)} \right) \right]}{\sqrt{1 + i a \bar{x}}},
\]

and

\[
\Psi_2 = \frac{1 + a \bar{y}}{1 + i a \bar{x}} \Psi_1.
\]

The shape of the wavepacket is defined by \( a \) and \( b \) at \( t = 0 \), given after an initial arrival time. In Fig. 1, the minimally excited flying electron is defined with parameters \( a = 0.1, b = 1.6, \) and \( E_r = 25 \) (corresponding to \( 1.7 eV \) and \( m^*_{nr} = 0.3 m_0 \), which is close to the values found experimentally for \( N = 7 \) [32]). In equations (5) - (6), \( L \) characterises the initial position of the beam, which is arbitrary. Next an electron formed at a source in a nanoribbon of width \( N = 13 \), connected to a \( N = 5 \) nanoribbon, is examined. The dynamical evolution of the probability density (shown in Figure 2) is explored for \( a = 0.025, b = 1, \) and \( E_r = 25 \). In this case the electron wavepacket is squeezed into the \( N = 5 \) channel and the probability density remains greater than one as it travels over 2.5 fs (shown in 2) and beyond. The narrow \( 3p + 2 \) type nanoribbon was chosen as it is expected to have low dissipation, as is demonstrated though the high probability density. As it propagates there is a small oscillation in its trajectory, marked by fluctuations in...
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Figure 2: An electron wavepacket formed in an $N = 13$ nanoribbon and travelling through an $N = 5$ armchair graphene nanoribbon. The bottom plot shows the probability density as a function of time, with the above images being snapshots of the evolution occurring at $t = 0$ and $t = 2$ fs.

Figure 3: An electron wavepacket travelling in two $N = 5$ armchair graphene nanoribbon channels split from an $N = 13$ area. The maximum probability density is plotted against time (black line, whole system; red line, just in the top channel; orange line, just in the bottom channel). The time evolution is shown at times 0.8 fs to 2.7 fs, with the bottom figure also at 2.7 fs.

$|\Psi|^2$. A nanoribbon structure similar to Figure 2 is shown in Figure 3, except with two branches composed of armchair nanoribbons (rather than one) of the $N = 5$ variety. The propagating electron splits to occupy both branches but also has a significant amount of backscatter as it meets the branch intersection. In Figure 3, the overall probability density (black lines) is plotted alongside the probability density inside the top (red) and bottom (orange) branches. The splitting can be obtained through physically different branches of the nanoribbon as in Figure 4 or through the introduction of regions of different potential energy. One approach for raising a potential barrier is to create diverging pathways from local deformations in graphene, with interference generated by scattering centres and electrons injected through an STM tip [8]. Or, for example, the local Fermi velocity can be tuned through modification of the effective dielectric constant of the graphene and substrate [22]. Here, a look at a V-shaped electron optical beam splitter is undertaken, with an example shown in Figure 4. The V has a blunt interface which marks the transition into a region of higher potential from the region on the left. In the inset of the probability density against time plot of Figure 4, a colour schematic of the waveguide regions is shown; the blue areas have equal energy with the electron (acting as an exclusion zone) and the light yellow areas have energy $U_p = E_r/\alpha$. The parameter $\alpha$ defines the ratio between the electron energy and that of the potential. In Figure 4, $\alpha = 0.67$, with $a = 0.25$, $b = 2$, and $E_r = 10$. The blunt nose of the V
is $3.0a_0$ wide and the branches of the V are $\sqrt{3}a_0$ wide. The electron wavefunction (in the form of the probability density) is shown outside the waveguide in Figure 4 (top left) at 0.25 fs, meeting the blunt nose shortly afterwards and entering the waveguide before splitting down the channels. In each channel the fraction of the electron moves forward with an oscillatory motion. Videos of the propagation of the electron in each Figure of this paper can be found in the supplementary information. In Figure 4 the potential drops to zero again at the end of each branch/channel and the entangled electronic waves are emitted in a spreading arc. Aharonov-Bohm type rings have also been investigated (see supplementary information) whereby the split components recombine, marked by an elevation in the probability density. More channels can be incorporated into the system, with an example of three off-set branches and wave propagation shown in Figure 5. In this example a time delay between the three split electron components of the wavefunction occurs on account of slightly differing channel lengths. The channels have $\alpha = 2/3$, $a = 0.25$, $b = 2$, and $E_r = 10$, as in Figure 4. There are two splittings of the wavefunction at the intersections of the channels at 0.49 fs and 0.98 fs. In this case the probability density peaks occur in three regions - channels 1-3 - and form a tripartite entanglement. Further channels could be used to produce multipartite quantum information protocols. It has been shown that electron propagation is a function of the channel width and that the wavefunction can be split in these systems. In all cases confinement effects lead to an increase in the probability density [11] and so the waveform is best targeted at interaction with the edges.

In quantum optics superfocusing is used where subwavelength image resolution is required in order to observe nano or micro features in detail [23]. The superfocuser can take the form of a tapered structure with a superfocus at its tip. In Figure 6 a superfocusing tapered design for electronics is used to exploit confinement effects and maintain a high probability density with an enhanced electron focus at the end. The length of the superfocuser is $18a_0$, the width at the entrance is $3a_0$ and at the exit $a_0$, with $\alpha = 2/3$. The superfocuser is build inside a potential barrier, with $\alpha = 1$ (blue region in the inset of the central plot of Figure 6). Upon emission from the tip, which slightly lies outside the barrier, parts of the wavefunction slide up the barrier wall whilst the remaining $|\Psi|^2$ is released in an arc. The probability density at 2.6 fs is greater than one. Outside of the barrier the wavefunction is free to spread as there is no confinement potential.

A small superfocusing heterostructure is now described and shown in Figure 7. The green and blue areas in Figure 7 have the same potential, which means there is a small leakage through the gaps. However the red areas of the
3 Discussion

Within this work quantum electron optics in narrow graphene channels, nanoribbons, have been discussed. The splitting of the electron wavefunction into nanoribbon branches has been shown, alongside superfocusing. These discoveries may be important for quantum technologies, such as room temperature quantum computers. Recently, nanoribbon field-effect transistors have been also been demonstrated [28]. New methods in single electron emission and detection are highly sought after for single flying electron devices such as spin and charge qubits. Unlike in photonics, the quantum electron optics are usually hindered by the propagation of the electrons occurring with interaction with the Fermi sea - thus losing coherence and information over short distances. The unique properties of the minimal excitation soliton mean that it surfs atop the Fermi sea without loss of information, under the right conditions. Approaches to generate single electrons riding on sound waves have also offered new potential for generation of entangled electron pairs over relatively large distances [19]. The surface acoustic wave upon which the flying electron rides is initiated by application of a microwave excitation through exploitation of the piezoelectric properties of the substrate. This is another example of stimulated single electron minimal excitation of the surrounding environment. Indeed there are now a number of methods employable to emit single electrons that surf the Fermi sea with minimal disruption or fermionic interaction. One is the aforementioned surface acoustic wave methods [19] and another is pulse shaping to Lorentzian initial form of the bias voltage to produce levitons [25]. Others are the driven mesoscopic capacitor methods in the quantum Hall regime and local gate modulation of a quantum Hall edge state [7]. In these specific devices quantum point contacts are effectively used as half silvered mirror analogues and quantum Hall edge channels operate as waveguides [10]. In graphene and other 2D materials, tunable electron sources for quantum electronics are an active area of development [35]. In flying qubits (e.g. single electrons) the information is encoded into the
electron trajectory. This has been demonstrated in the current work for splitting of the electron wavepacket into separate branches, which in effect produces fractionalisation of the electron with entanglement of each component (see Figures 4 and 5 for example). It is interesting that for crossed graphene armchair nanoribbons, injected electrons have been shown to split with almost zero back-reflection when the intersection angle of the nanoribbons is 60° - an electron beam-splitter [4]. Additionally, graphene nanoribbons between superconducting contacts can form a novel form of tunable Josephson junction with a π-phase shift [27, 31]. This type of junction produces a spontaneous current and is ideal for operating as the functional quantum computing element, e.g. for a quantum phase gate [13]. The splitting of the wavefunction occurs as the nanoribbon splits into two or more channels, producing several pathways for transmission. In an Aharonov-Bohm type ring the pathways can be made to recombine. In work on superconducting devices, beamsplitters of the superconducting wave function describing superconducting fluxons have been proposed and realised in a series of flux cloning devices [17], [18]. The splitting of the Josephson fluxons has been produced by creating relatively large geometries (e.g. a T-shape) of the long Josephson junction [18]. Using such flux-beam splitting it was possible to create a new device producing chaotic THz signals [16], therewith bridging the THz gap for chaotic sources. For a single electron the wave packet splitting may also lead to the formation of Majorana fermions, which are topologically stable massless elementary excitations and therewith become building blocks of future quantum computers. To get a controllable, moveable Majorana fermion is a long-standing problem. Here it is demonstrated that graphene geometries provide unique conditions giving viability to the creation and control of Majorana fermions that are moving at the graphene Fermi (light equivalent) velocity. Such electron beam splitters provide a natural way to create quantum gates operating with Majorana fermions.

4 Conclusions

In this work detailed examination of the flying electrons in Dirac matter has been performed with a view towards quantum electron optics. The propagation of the wavefunction through nanoribbons was investigated, showing that the energy and shape of the excitation is important for minimising the spread of the wavefunction. The width of the nanoribbon channel is also important. Thus, a balance between the topology, input energy and pulse shape is part of the design criteria. These types of system have been described experimentally for two-dimensional electron gases [9]. Further, the splitting of the wavefunction into nanoscale channels has been demonstrated alongside superfocusing of the electron. This is important for designing quantum devices such as electron microscopes, quantum computers, novel sensors, and quantum repeaters for signal enhancement.
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Figure 7: Atop the Fermi sea the propagating electron meets with a tapered superfocusing heterostructure. Examined is the probability density through the superfocuser within a potential step. The blue area has $\alpha = 0.35$ and the electron energy level is $E_r = 7$. Within the tapered waveguide the red regions have $\alpha = 0.47$ and the green areas $U_{p2} = 0.35$. The time evolution is shown over $3.7 \text{ fs}$. 


