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Abstract
Modeling the ability of multicellular organisms to build and maintain their bodies through local interactions between individual cells (morphogenesis) is a long-standing challenge of developmental biology. Recently, the Neural Cellular Automata (NCA) model was proposed as a way to find local system rules that produce a desired global behaviour, such as growing and persisting a predefined pattern, by repeatedly applying the same rule over a grid starting from a single cell. In this work we argue that the original Growing NCA model has an important limitation: anisotropy of the learned update rule. This implies the presence of an external factor that orients the cells in a particular direction. In other words, “physical” rules of the underlying system are not invariant to rotation, thus prohibiting the existence of differently oriented instances of the target pattern on the same grid. We propose a modified Isotropic NCA model that does not have this limitation. We demonstrate that cell systems can be trained to grow accurate asymmetrical patterns through either of two methods: by breaking symmetries using structured seeds; or by introducing a rotation-reflection invariant training objective and relying on symmetry breaking caused by asynchronous cell updates.

Introduction
Every multicellular organism begins its life as a single cell. Descendants of this egg cell reliably form complex structures of an organism through the process of division and differentiation, also known as morphogenesis (Turing (1990)). In many cases, this process doesn't require any external control or orchestration, and is described as self-organizing; cells communicate with their neighbors to make collective decisions about the overall body layout and composition. Understanding this process is an active area of research (Pezzulo and Levin, 2016) with a number of models proposed to explain the development procedure of various tissues (Malheiros et al., 2020) and organisms.

Anisotropy of Neural CA
One recent approach to modelling morphogenesis is based on Neural Cellular Automata (NCA) (Mordvintsev et al., 2020). Authors represent a growing organism with a uniform grid of raster cells where the state of each cell is represented by a set of scalar values. Cells repeatedly update their states using a rule defined by a small neural network that takes as input the information collected from each cell’s neighbors at the current moment in time. Backpropagation through time is used to learn the local update rule that satisfies the global objective of growing a predefined target pattern.

Figure 1 demonstrates the weakness of the Growing NCA model that challenges the claims of fully self-organizing pattern growth achieved by this model. This model can only grow and persist patterns in a specific orientation that is determined by properties of the space itself, rather than the intrinsic states of the cells occupying the space. The NCA anisotropy stems from the axis-aligned Sobel filters that are used to model cell perception. In the last experiment, authors show that altering properties of the grid (Sobel filter directions) leads to rotation of the grown pattern, but don’t address the main concern that pattern orientation should be defined by the configuration of cells, occupying the space, not a property of the space itself. In the follow up work on NCA texture synthesis (Niklasson et al., 2021b) the same group of authors experimented with varying the filter directions across space, reinforcing the idea of external control on cells’ perception.

Figure 1: Anisotropy of the Growing NCA model. Cells rely on externally provided global cell alignment and are unable to sustain a pattern if cell states are resampled in a rotated coordinate frame. In contrast, real living creatures can usually tolerate rotation without exploding.

In this work we argue that the original Growing NCA
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The model is not fully self-organizing, due to a limitation in the model architecture. The learned update rule is anisotropic, which implies the presence of an external factor that orient all cells in a particular direction. In other words, “physical” rules of the underlying system are not invariant to rotation, prohibiting the formation of differently oriented instances of the target pattern on the same grid. This would be akin to an animal only able to grow, or even exist, when facing north. We aim to relax this limitation with following contributions:

- Propose a simplification to the original NCA update rule to make it isotropic, so the perception of each cell is invariant to rotation or reflection of the grid.

- Show that this invariance enables us to perform rotations, reflections, and other augmentations on structured seeds that predictably influence the model’s behavior.

- Design a rotation-reflection invariant training objective that steers the system towards reliable growth of asymmetric, anisotropic patterns through symmetry-breaking rather than external guidance.

- Demonstrate the robustness of the learned NCA rule to out-of-training grid structures.

**Isotropic Neural CA model**

The Isotropic NCA (IsoNCA) model described here can be seen as a more restricted version of the Growing NCA model, where the Sobel X and Y perception filters are replaced with a single Laplacian filter. This section covers key features of the model design.

**Grid** Cells exist on a regular Cartesian grid; the state of each cell is represented by a vector

$$s = [s^0 = R, s^1 = G, s^2 = B, s^3 = A, \ldots, s^{C-1}]$$

where $C$ is the number of channels and the first four channels represent a visible RGBA image. Initially the whole grid is set to zeros, except the seed cell that has $A = 1$. Cells iteratively update their states using only the information collected from their 3x3 neighbourhood.

**Stochastic updates** Cell updates happen stochastically; at every NCA step each cell is updated with probability $p_{\text{upd}}$ (we use value 0.5 in our experiments). This stochasticity is meant to eliminate dependence on a global shared clock that synchronizes the updates between cells. Previous work on NCA discusses the impact of this strategy on NCA robustness [Niklasson et al., 2021a]. In isotropic NCA models, asynchronicity plays a critical role in the symmetry breaking process (see the Results section).

**“Alive” and “empty” cells** The alpha channel ($s^3 = A$) plays a special role in determining whether a cell is currently “alive” or “empty”; each cell is alive if $A > 0.1$ or if it has at least one alive cell in its 3x3 neighbourhood. The state of empty cells is explicitly set to zeros after each CA step.

**Perception** Each cell collects information about the state of its neighborhood using a per-channel discrete 3x3 Laplacian filter. This filter computes the difference between the state of the cell and the average state of its neighbours. A cell’s perception vector is the concatenation of its own current state and per-channel Laplacians of its neighbourhood

$$p = \text{concat}(s, K_{\text{lap}} * s)$$

where $s$ denotes the cell’s state and $K_{\text{lap}}$ is given by

$$K_{\text{lap}} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 1 \\ 2 & -12 & 2 \\ 1 & 2 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

**Update rule** Cells stochastically update their states using a learned rule that is represented by a two-layer neural network:

$$s_{t+1} = s_t + \text{relu}(p_{0}W_0 + b_0)W_1$$

Parameters $W_0, b_0, W_1$ have shapes $(32, 192), (192)$ and $(192, 16)$ correspondingly which gives 9408 learned parameters.

**Training IsoNCA**

The original Growing NCA model was trained to learn an update rule starting from a single seed cell and based on a target pattern fixed in a specific orientation. Under this training regime, our isotropic restrictions prevent the model from breaking spatial symmetries, demanding we either relax the target objective or further specify the initial conditions. We propose and present implementations of both of these strategies.

**Structured seed strategy**

One way to train our isotropic model is by introducing a more comprehensive seed structure (e.g. by using three or more different seeds) in order to break symmetries of the system from the initial state. This is inspired by synthetic reaction-diffusion networks used to govern complex pattern growth [Scalise and Schulman, 2014].

A structured seed is defined by its number of points, their initial channel encodings, and their positions relative to one another. According to the three point theorem, isometries are uniquely determined by three distinct non-collinear points. Hence, for the rotation-reflection objective, we use a triangular 3-point seed to define the model’s orientation, with points distributed uniformly on a circular edge of predefined radius. To establish directional responsibility, points
Figure 2: Mapping between structured seed points and their respective structural features in the target pattern. Points are placed manually to correspond with these features and distinct point colors are generated by converting equidistant HSV hues into RGB encodings. An example of how such a seed maps to a target pattern is shown in Figure 2a. Training our model to grow based on the orientation of this seed then proceeds identically to that of the original Growing NCA model’s single-seed scenario.

Alternatively, structured seeds can be manually engineered to map key features of the target pattern to specific points of the seed. So long as there are three or more non-collinear points, this configuration enables the model to break symmetries similarly to the triangular seed. Figure 2b shows one such mapping between the appendages of a dancer pattern and their respective point assignments in the corresponding seed. These points are reconfigurable and consequently used to grow predictable out-of-training structural mutations of the target pattern. Changing the configuration of a structured seed is performed by modifying the positions and channel encodings of its composite points. For example, if a structured seed is comprised of points $A$ and $B$, then replacing point $A$ with point $B$ involves adjusting the RGB encoding of point $A$ to that of point $B$.

**Single-seed strategy**

Similar to the Growing NCA work, we also train NCA models that grow and persist a predefined pattern on a plane starting from a single seed cell. We use pixel-wise differences to match the pattern produced by the trained model to the target, but modify the loss function to make it rotation-reflection invariant. Due to the rotation symmetry of the cell perception we are unable (and do not want) to enforce a particular pattern orientation and chirality during training. Instead we select an individual rotation and reflection of the target that minimizes the pixel-wise loss value for each NCA-generated sample in the training batch.

A naive implementation of the described procedure would require matching against densely sampled rotated and reflected instances of the training pattern, which is computationally inefficient. Instead, we use a polar coordinate transformation and FFT to efficiently compute the discrepancy across different target rotations. Figure 3 shows the architecture of the proposed loss function. We match patterns in the polar coordinate system, where rotations become horizontal translations. For each possible rotation angle $\theta$, we compute the sum of squared pixel-wise differences between the NCA-generated pattern $S$ and the target $T$ across all radius values $r$ and target channels $c$. Target channels include RGBA color representations and extra auxiliary channels that help optimisation break symmetries and escape sub-optimal local minima (see Figure 4). The rotation-invariant loss can be expressed as follows:

$$L(S, T) = \min_{\theta} \sum_{r, c} L_{r, c, \theta}$$

$$L_{r, c, \theta} = \sum_{\theta'} (S_{r, c, \theta'} - T_{r, c, \theta' - \theta})^2 = \sum_{\theta'} S_{r, c, \theta'}^2 + \sum_{\theta'} T_{r, c, \theta' - \theta}^2 - 2 \sum_{\theta'} S_{r, c, \theta'} T_{r, c, \theta' - \theta} \quad \text{doesn't depend on } \theta$$

The 1D convolution term can be efficiently computed for all values of $\theta$ using the convolution theorem:

$$s \ast t = \mathcal{F}^{-1}(\mathcal{F}(s) \cdot \mathcal{F}(t)),$$

where $\mathcal{F}$ denotes the Fourier transform and "·" indicates elementwise multiplication. To make the pattern matching loss reflection-invariant, we also compute the loss for a reflected version of the target pattern, and select the minimum between the two:

$$L_{\text{inv}} = \min(L(S, T), L(S, \text{reflect}(T)))$$

**Auxiliary channels**

Having a rotation-invariant loss causes some patterns to create strong local minima. For instance, models trained on the lizard pattern would be unable to break one symmetry and would create a mixture of
Figure 3: Rotation / reflection-invariant IsoNCA training pipeline. Target pattern is augmented with extra channels (a) to break symmetries that may interfere with training. Both target and NCA-grown pattern are sharpened (b) to steer optimization into preserving fine details. Polar transformation (c) is applied to turn the unknown rotation between two images into a horizontal shift. Fourier-domain image matching enables efficient computation of pixel matching losses across all orientations and reflections (d). The blue plot shows losses with respect to the original pattern, and the yellow with respect to its reflected version. The minimal loss value is selected for backpropagation.

Figure 4: Target patterns and auxiliary targets used for the rotation-reflection invariant training. a) the spiderweb does not use auxiliary targets. b) the lizard uses the binary auxiliary target. c) the heart uses both binary and radial encoding auxiliary targets.

head-tails along with an assortment of limbs because they wouldn’t learn where was up and where was down (fig. 7). This issue was rectified using an auxiliary loss by adding a new “target channel” in the rotation-reflection invariant loss where the image would be split in half and the upper and lower part of the image would have a target of −0.5 and +0.5 respectively (Figure 4b). We call this the “binary auxiliary channel.” This results in a much smoother loss and models learn to break symmetries. We have not observed any need to add an additional perpendicular auxiliary target to break the left-right symmetry.

We have also observed that IsoNCA struggles to generate uniform patterns, such as the heart emoji, suffering from stability issues and being unable to form the proper shape. We hypothesized that the shape of the pattern does not allow for smooth training and decided to enhance the target image in a similar way to how we break symmetries through another auxiliary loss. Inspired by positional encodings used in the transformer architecture (Vaswani et al., 2017), we add target channels generated by aliased concentric circles with the following rule: given a point with distance r from the center and mode n, the positional encoding of the point is given by

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{sign}(\sin(r \cdot n \cdot \pi)) \cdot 0.5, & \quad \text{if } n \text{ is even} \\
\text{sign}(\cos(r \cdot n \cdot \pi)) \cdot 0.5, & \quad \text{otherwise}
\end{align*}
\]

Thus, the image can have arbitrarily many auxiliary channels with different modes to generate radial encodings. For the heart emoji, one radial encoding with mode 4 is sufficient (Figure 4).

In this paper, we showcase three examples patterns: the spiderweb, where no auxiliary loss is needed; the lizard, where the binary auxiliary channel is needed; and the heart emoji, where both the binary and the radial encoding auxiliary channels are needed (Figure 4).
Results

Structured seed experiments

Figure 5: Pairs of initial seed configuration and resulting unfolding at step 5000.

Figure 6: Pairs of initial seed configuration and resulting unfolding at step 5000 for several out-of-training situations.

In the original Growing NCA work, it is shown that the model can learn to grow at predefined angles, but it fails to extrapolate to unseen orientations after training is complete. Our model not only successfully addresses this limitation, but expands upon how orientation can be implicitly encoded using structured seeds.

Distributing growth responsibility between the points of a structured seed enables us to manipulate the model’s behavior by performing plane isometries on the seed. In performing such an isometry, we see the transformation propagate throughout the model’s development of the resultant pattern without loss of stability. As shown in Figure 5, our isotropic NCA model exhibits stable rotations and reflections irrespective of the fixed orientation of the target pattern.

Apart from rotation and reflection, we observe that the isotropic properties of our model emerge within distinct regions of the growing pattern. Reconfiguring the structured seed after training causes our model to manifest structural mutations corresponding to the modified seed configuration. Shown in Figure 6, our model grows a variety of out-of-training patterns exhibiting seed-directed mutations while maintaining the cohesion of the resultant shape. The stability of such irregular modifications is observed to be less reliable than that of plane isometries, however, and further seed manipulation often yields improved results. For example, by adjusting the supplanted seed point’s relative position to more closely resemble that of its original counterpart, we often find that mutations generally stabilize with more consistently desirable behavior.

Single seed experiments

Target augmentation As discussed in the "auxiliary channels" section, we had to augment some target patterns with extra channels to facilitate optimization convergence to a proper solution. The lizard pattern is a particularly interesting case: without augmentation, the optimization couldn’t break the symmetry between the head and the tail, which led to formation of the ghostly symmetrical pattern (Figure 7). What’s more, the heart pattern didn’t converge to a definite shape at all without both radial and top-bottom contrast augmentations.

Figure 7: Left: result of training IsoNCA to grow non-augmented lizard pattern. Optimization struggles to break head-tail symmetry. Right: matching losses computed between the target pattern and its rotated instances. Non-augmented pattern has a strong local minima corresponding to 180° rotation. Augmenting the target with a non-symmetrical auxiliary channel flattens the spurious minima and facilitates convergence to the correct solution.
Stochastic symmetry breaking Growing non-rotationally-symmetrical patterns starting from a single seed is fundamentally different from the structured seed case. For example, on a regular square grid, both a cell’s perception field and starting condition have symmetries that need to be broken during pattern development. We use stochastic asynchronous cell updates, which cells may rely on as a source of randomness. During training, cells successfully worked out a protocol for making a collective decision about the final pattern layout that seemed to rely on this randomness. To validate our assumption, we tried to run the IsoNCA rule, trained in the stochastic update regime, in a fully synchronous setting ($p_{\text{upd}} = 1$). We expected that our model wouldn’t be able to break symmetries between grid directions, and would produce some $90^\circ$ rotation- and reflection-symmetrical patterns. To our surprise, instead we observed that after approximately 100-150 iterations, asymmetries develop, and eventually our model produces an incomplete, unstable, but definitely not symmetrical version of the target pattern (Figure 8, top). Puzzled by this behaviour, we discovered that our model was able to exploit the non-associativity of floating point number accumulation in the convolution with the Laplacian filter to break the symmetry. We implemented the associative version of the Laplacian filter by performing the convolution using fixed-point number representation. This time, as expected, CA produced a symmetrical pattern that vanished after oscillating for about 700 steps (Figure 8, bottom).

Figure 9 shows the unfolding of three different trained NCA rules, starting from the original seed and running for up to 5000 steps. We can observe how some patterns are more stable than others. For instance, in this training run, the lizard pattern appears to rotate over long time periods. This can sometimes happen as the rotation-reflection invariant loss used is consequently invariant to rotations over time. We observed these models to be stable for any number of steps we tried and chose to visualize 5000 steps only because no more changes appear beyond this point (besides rotations for the lizard). Note in Figure 10 how, for single seed experiments, every run of the same model results in different pattern rotations and reflections.

Figure 11 shows how the lizard model learns to form a stable pattern during training. The y-axis indicates the checkpoint of the model at the given training step; the x-axis shows the NCA rule unfolding up to 5000 steps. The model appears to first learn to generate a green, unstable blob; then, it learns to break symmetries and eventually how to form lizard-like shapes, albeit being still unstable; finally, over time, the pattern becomes more and more stable. Note how on training step 10000 the model is somewhat stable but first creates two heads and then one of them becomes a tail. Training the model for longer tends to speed up convergence to the proper form and may suppress this behaviour.

Figure 8: Top: IsoNCA managed to break the symmetry even in the case of deterministic synchronous cell updates by exploiting the non-associativity of floating-point number addition in the Laplacian filter convolution. Bottom: evolution of the IsoNCA in case of fully synchronous cell updates and perfectly symmetric perception. As expected, the model can’t break the symmetry to develop parts of the lizard. Pattern, produced by this particular checkpoint deterministically vanishes after about 700 steps. Other checkpoints may produce stable or exploding behaviours in this out-of-training regime.

Non-regular Grids

The work [Niklasson et al., 2021b] discusses the robustness of NCA models to out-of-training grid structures, e.g. models trained on the square grid can be executed on hexagonal grids if 3x3 convolutional perception filters are replaced with their hexagonal counterparts. Recently it was also shown by Sharp et al. [2022] that neural models, that rely on diffusion operation for communication, are effectively discretization agnostic. In this work, we demonstrate that IsoNCA can be transferred from a regular square grid to a non-regular one. To build a non-regular grid we sample a set of points on a plane using a fast Poisson-disk sampling algorithm [Bridson 2007]. Cells are constructed by computing a Voronoi diagram of the sampled points. The Laplacian operator is defined as the difference between cell’s own state and the weighted average to state vectors of the adjacent cells

$$w_{i,j} = \frac{l_{i,j}}{\sum_j l_{i,j}}$$
Figure 9: Unfolding of three trained Isotropic NCA rules with single seeds.

Figure 10: Steps 5000 for different runs of single seed models.

where \( l_{i,j} \) is the length of the Voronoi diagram edge shared by cells \( i \) and \( j \) (equals to zeros if there is no such edge). Figure 11 shows an example for lizard pattern grown on the non-regular grid substrate by a square-grid trained model using the modified Laplacian operator.

**Conclusion and future work**

In this work we demonstrated the capability of fully isotropic NCA models to reliably grow complex asymmetric patterns even when the perception field of each cell is fully symmetric. We think that this creates an important practical lower bound on the requirements to cell-communication capabilities for morphogenesis simulations. We think that coupling IsoNCAs with physically grounded models of cell division and migration may open exciting possibilities for accurate reproduction of body growth and regeneration phenomena and even enable new bio-engineering applications in the future.
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