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Abstract

Vision-language pre-training (VLP) relying on large-scale pre-training datasets has shown premier performance on various downstream tasks. In this sense, a complete and fair benchmark (i.e., including large-scale pre-training datasets and a variety of downstream datasets) is essential for VLP. But how to construct such a benchmark in Chinese remains a critical problem. To this end, we develop a large-scale Chinese cross-modal benchmark called Zero for AI researchers to fairly compare VLP models. We release two pre-training datasets and five fine-tuning datasets for downstream tasks. Furthermore, we propose a novel pre-training framework of pre-ranking + ranking for cross-modal learning. Specifically, we apply global contrastive pre-ranking to learn the individual representations of images and Chinese texts, respectively. We then fuse the representations in a fine-grained ranking manner via an image-text cross encoder and a text-image cross encoder. To further enhance the capability of the model, we propose a two-way distillation strategy consisting of target-guided Distillation and feature-guided Distillation. For simplicity, we call our model R2D2. We achieve state-of-the-art performance on four public cross-modal datasets and our five downstream datasets. The datasets, models and codes will be made available.

1 Introduction

Vision-language pre-training (VLP) has achieved great success on a wide variety of multi-modal downstream tasks. Generally, VLP mainly learns the semantic correspondence between vision and language. Substantial works [17, 19, 28, 31, 35] explore the VLP model and achieve significant improvements on various vision-language tasks, supported by massive data [30], excellent architectures such as Transformer [33], cross-modal models such as CLIP [28], hardware equipment, etc. In this paper, we focus on large-scale vision-language data and cross-modal learning.

With large-scale inputs of images and English texts, VLP models have shown to be beneficial for downstream tasks [17,24]. However, existing vision-language datasets with Chinese descriptions suffer from several limitations. For instance, M6-Corpus [22] is a multi-modal dataset for the pre-training task, but it is still unavailable. Wukong [10] is a hundred-million dataset for research on

†These authors contribute equally to this work.
‡Corresponding authors.
Chinese cross-modal pre-training. Although they incorporate pre-training with some downstream datasets like AIC-ICC [36] and MUGE [22], they only focus on the retrieval task and are insufficient to construct Chinese vision-language benchmark. On the other hand, some works attempt to translate English cross-modal downstream datasets like Flickr30k-CN [14]. However, they do not cover Chinese idioms and often contain errors. In this sense, how to construct a complete and fair Chinese cross-modal benchmark with high-quality Chinese descriptions is a problem yet to be solved.

In this paper, we introduce Zero, a large-scale Chinese cross-modal benchmark, containing two pre-training datasets and five downstream datasets. Specifically, the pre-training datasets consist of a full 23 million version and a sub-dataset which has 2.3 million image-text pairs. The full pre-training dataset contains images and corresponding textual descriptions (e.g., 23 million titles and 66 million queries), which is filtered from 5 billion image-text pairs via user click-through rate (CTR). Moreover, we provide 5 high-quality downstream datasets for image-text retrieval and image-text matching tasks. Besides, we present Flickr30k-CNA, a more comprehensive and accurate human-annotated dataset than Flickr30k-CN [14]. We also build a leaderboard relying on the five downstream test datasets.

From the perspective of cross-modal learning, most existing methods [16, 19, 20, 24, 38] pay more attention to exploring the associations between image and text via pre-training. UNIMO [20] designs a single-stream framework to conduct pre-training tasks on a large-scale image-text dataset. CLIP [28] introduces a dual-stream based model for vision-language representation learning. However, both approaches have some drawbacks. The single-stream architecture learns a kind of coarse-grained interaction between image and text embeddings generated only by a few linear projectors. On the other hand, in the dual-stream architecture, it is non-trivial to model the fine-grained associations between image and text since the corresponding embeddings reside in their own semantic space. It is also worth noting that some image-text pre-training data may be noisy and inaccurate, which will mislead the VLP model to a certain extent.

In this work, we propose a novel pre-training framework called R2D2 for cross-modal learning. Inspired by industrial systems such as recommender systems [3, 34] and online advertising [32], we apply global contrastive pre-ranking to obtain image-text representations and fine-grained ranking to further improve the model performance. Moreover, we introduce two-way distillation into R2D2, enhancing the capability of pre-trained models. The two-way distillation consists of target-guided distillation and feature-guided distillation. To be more specific, the target-guided distillation increases the robustness when learning from noisy labels, while feature-guided distillation aims to improve the generalization performance of R2D2. To summarize, our main contributions are as follows:

- We propose Zero, a Chinese vision-language benchmark that includes two pre-training datasets and five downstream train/val/test sets. We build a leaderboard with five test sets to allow researchers to make a fair comparison and promote the development of Chinese vision-language learning.
- We introduce a novel framework called R2D2 for cross-modal learning between vision and language on large-scale data. Specifically, we propose a pre-Ranking + Rranking strategy to learn powerful vision-language representations and two-way distillation (i.e., target-guided Distillation and feature-guided Distillation) to further enhance the learning capability.
- Our proposed method achieves the state-of-the-art performance on four public cross-modal datasets and our five downstream datasets, showing the superior ability of our pre-trained model.

2 Collected Dataset

2.1 Pre-training Datasets – Zero-Corpus

Existing collection methods suffer from two major limitations. First, the image-text pairs collected from third-party search engines or websites are inherently noisy. Second, the collected text data lacks diversity as the image has only one corresponding text. To overcome these drawbacks, we build a new dataset for Chinese image-text pre-training, called Zero-Corpus. Specifically, we extract 23 million image-text pairs from 5 billion image-text collected by an image search engine. The key basis for extraction is the highest user CTR, which indicates that users have clicked the most on an image searched by the same query. Moreover, we remove the inappropriate images and harmful textual descriptions. In this way, we are able to mitigate the noise of large-scale data and obtain the most relevant and high-quality image-text pairs. We also provide diverse textual information for
Figure 1: The overall architecture of the proposed framework. The image encoder and the text encoder aim to learn individual features of image and text, respectively. Then, the image features (green circled arrow) are fed into the text-image cross encoder. Similarly, the text features (red circled arrow) are fed into the image-text cross encoder. Inspired by industrial systems such as recommender systems and online advertising, we apply global contrastive pre-ranking (GCPR) and fine-grained ranking (FGR) as pre-training objectives. Moreover, we introduce mask language modeling (MLM) and two-way distillation to obtain remarkable performance.

each image, i.e., “Title”, “Content”, “ImageQuery”, “Click” and “Show”. To facilitate the ablation study, we construct a small pre-training dataset containing 2.3 million image-text pairs, which is a sub-dataset of the one with 23 million image-text pairs. More details about the pre-training dataset can be found in Appendix.

2.2 Downstream Datasets

Compared to the downstream datasets with English descriptions, there are fewer downstream datasets with Chinese texts. We use an image search engine to construct 4 Chinese image-text datasets, namely ICM, IQM, ICR and IQR. In these downstream datasets, each image has only one corresponding text. For each dataset, we divide the training set, validation set, and test set in the ratio of 8:1:1. Fifteen data annotators carefully label all the image-text pairs. We also provide a human-translated high-quality dataset, called Flickr30k-CNA, which is manually translated from Flickr30k [39] by 6 professional Chinese and English linguists. The details of each dataset are as follows.

**Image-Caption Matching Dataset (ICM).** ICM is a dataset collected for the image-text matching task. Each image has a corresponding caption text, which describes the image in detail. We first use the number of clicks to filter out the less relevant pairs, and then data annotators manually perform a secondary correction, obtaining 400,000 image-text pairs, including 200,000 positive cases and 200,000 negative cases. We keep the ratio of positive and negative pairs uniform in each set.

**Image-Query Matching (IQM).** We also provide another dataset for the image-text matching task. Different from ICM, we use search query instead of detailed description text. In this dataset, we randomly select queries in the candidate set after performing the cleaning process described in Section 2.1. Similarly, IQM contains 200,000 positive cases and 200,000 negative cases.

**Image-Caption Retrieval Dataset (ICR).** In this dataset, we collect 200,000 image-text pairs under the rules described in ICM. It contains image-to-text retrieval and text-to-image retrieval.

**Image-Query Retrieval Dataset (IQR).** IQR is also proposed for the image-text retrieval task. We randomly select the queries and the corresponding images as the annotated image-query pairs similar to IQM. The total amount is 200,000. We show examples of the above four datasets in Appendix.

**Flickr30k-CNA Dataset.** Flickr30k-CN [14] translates the training and validation sets of Flickr30k using machine translation, and manually translates the test set. We check the machine-translated Chinese sentences and find three kinds of problems. (1) Some sentences have language problems and translation errors. (2) Some sentences have poor semantics. (3) The translated sentences have
errors in Chinese grammar. In addition, the different translation ways between the training set and test set prevent the model from achieving accurate performance. We gather 6 professional English and Chinese linguists to meticulously re-translate all data of Flickr30k and double-check each sentence. We name this dataset as Flickr30k-Chinese All (Flickr30k-CNA). We show some cases of the difference between Flickr30k-CN and our proposed Flickr30k-CNA in Appendix.

3 Approach

3.1 Model Architecture

As illustrated in Figure 1, R2D2 contains a text encoder, an image encoder, and two cross-modal encoders. The text encoder and image encoder transform the input text and image into sequences of hidden states separately. Then the text and image hidden states interact in the two cross encoders through cross-attention.

Text Encoder. We employ a BERT [5] encoder as our text encoder. Given a textual sequence, we firstly tokenize it using the tokenizer of RoBERTa-wwm-ext [4]. Here, a special $[CLS]$ token is appended to the head of the tokenized text while a $[SEP]$ token is appended to the tail. Then, we feed the tokenized text into the text encoder. After that, the output vectors of $[CLS]$ token is used as the representation of the textual sequence.

Image Encoder. For the image encoder, We adopt the Vision Transformer (ViT) [6]. We firstly rescale the input image into a standard size and split the image into patches. Each of the patches is then linearly projected and a position embedding is added. Additionally, a learnable $[CLS]$ token is concatenated with the patch vectors. The sequential vectors are finally fed into a standard Transformer model to obtain a list of image hidden state vectors.

Cross Encoder. We use a 6-layer transformer as the cross encoder. The image and text hidden vectors are fused and fed into the cross encoders. Specifically, the linear projection layer is used to change the dimensionality of each text feature and image feature to make them consistent. Then we fuse the image and text hidden vectors as the input of two cross encoders. The multi-layer transformers fuse the feature information of both modalities with the help of cross-attention and produce the final cross-modal outputs.

3.2 Pre-training Methods

We pre-train our model with three objectives. To fully explore the matching relationship between image and text pairs, we design a mechanism of Pre-Ranking + Ranking, named Global Contrastive Pre-Ranking (GCPR) and Fine-Grained Ranking (FGR). We adopt Masked Language Modeling (MLM) to efficiently learn the representation of cross-modal models.

Global Contrastive Pre-Ranking. Traditional contrastive learning aims to align the representation of multi-modal data (i.e., paired image and text). It maximizes the similarity score of the positive pairs and minimizes the score of the negative pairs. In practice, we use global contrastive learning to accomplish the pre-ranking task. We perform full back-propagation across $k$ GPUs when minimizing the score of negative pairs. For each image $I_i$ and the corresponding text $T_i$, the softmax-normalized similarity score of image-to-text and text-to-image can be defined as:

$$ s(I_i, T_i) = \frac{\exp(\text{sim}(I_i, T_i) / \tau)}{\sum_{j=1}^{n \times k} \exp(\text{sim}(I_i, T_j) / \tau)}, \quad s(T_i, I_i) = \frac{\exp(\text{sim}(T_i, I_i) / \tau)}{\sum_{j=1}^{n \times k} \exp(\text{sim}(T_i, I_j) / \tau)}, \quad (1) $$

where $n$ is the batch size of one GPU, $k$ is the number of GPU and $\tau$ is a learnable temperature parameter. Moreover, $\text{sim}(\cdot, \cdot)$ denotes the cosine similarity between a pair of image-text. Let $\mathcal{D}$ denote the training data and $y(\cdot, \cdot)$ denote the ground-truth one-hot similarity. The global contrastive pre-ranking loss is calculated by the cross-entropy loss $\mathcal{L}_c(\cdot)$, as shown in Equation (2).

$$ \mathcal{L}_{GCPR} = \frac{1}{2} E_{(I,T) \sim \mathcal{D}}[\mathcal{L}_c(s(I, T), y(I, T)) + \mathcal{L}_c(s(T, I), y(T, I))] \quad (2) $$

Fine-Grained Ranking. As aforementioned, we apply global contrastive pre-ranking to obtain the individual representations of images and texts, respectively. Relying on these representations, we next perform Fine-Grained Ranking (FGR) loss to conduct a fine-grained ranking task. To be specific,
this task is a binary classification task and aims to predict whether an image-text is matched or not. Formally, given an image representation $h_{I{CLS}}$ and a text representation $h_{T{CLS}}$, we feed the representations into a fully-connected layer $g(\cdot)$ to get the predicted probabilities respectively. Let $y$ denote the ground-truth label of binary classification, we then compute the FGR loss by averaging the cross-entropy loss $L_c(\cdot)$:

$$L_{FGR} = \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E}_{(I, T) \sim D}[L_c(g(h_{I{CLS}}), y) + L_c(g(h_{T{CLS}}), y)].$$

(3)

**Masked Language Modeling.** We apply a masked language modeling loss to the image-to-text cross encoder to improve the ability to model the relationship between image and text at the token level. 15% of the text tokens are masked in the input. All of these tokens are replaced with a $[\text{MASK}]$ token to avoid changing the semantics of the text. For the MLM task \([5]\), the forward operations are executed individually in most VLP models \([2, 17]\), increasing the computational cost of pre-training. In our model, the MLM task utilizes masked text and corresponding images together for denoising, which enhances the interaction between text and images. Since FGR relies heavily on this interaction ability, we propose enhanced training (ET), which integrates the MLM task into the FGR forward operations for positive image-text pairs. Experiments in Section 4.3 show that ET can reduce the computational cost of R2D2 while maintaining the accuracy of the model. For simplicity, $L_{MLM}$ denotes the loss of the MLM task.

### 3.3 Two-way Distillation

Most image-text pre-training data are collected by a semi-automatic program, which may create noisy and inaccurate samples. Imprecise labels are problematic, since they may mislead the model. To address this, we propose target-guided distillation (TgD), a teacher-student paradigm with soft targets. To further improve the generalization performance of the pre-trained model, we introduce feature-guided distillation (FgD), another teacher-student based distillation. For convenience, we call the combination of these two distillations as two-way distillation (TwD).

**Target-guided Distillation.** To decrease the risk of learning from noisy labels, we propose to adopt soft-targets generated by momentum-updated encoders. Specifically, the momentum-updated encoder is the teacher mode of distillation, which contains the exponential-moving-average weights. Two queues are maintained to cache recent image and text representations. The teacher generates the soft-labels from similarity distribution. We combine the soft-labels with one-hot labels by coefficient $\alpha$. Let $\hat{y}(I, T)$ and $\check{y}(T, I)$ denote the final soft-targets. We define $\hat{y}(I, T)$ as:

$$\hat{y}(I, T) = \alpha s(I_m, T) + (1 - \alpha)y(I, T),$$

(4)

where $I_m$ represents that the images $I$ are fed into the momentum-updated encoder. For $s(I, T)$ in GCPR, we concatenate the text features of the current mini-batch and the text queue (all texts are denoted as $T_q$), and compute the softmax-normalized similarity score of image-to-text as $s(I, T_q)$. In this way, the model learns in a more comprehensive semantic space with more negative samples. Thus, $\check{y}(I, T)$ is also replaced by $\check{y}(I, T_q)$. We perform the same process on text-to-image. Considering the effectiveness of features in the queue decreases with increasing time steps, we also maintain a weighted queue to mark the reliability of the corresponding position features. Specifically, we decay each element in the queue by a factor of 0.99 per iteration, except for the new incoming item. Further, we replace $L_c(\cdot)$ with weighted cross-entropy loss $L_w(\cdot)$ in Equation 2 and the weighted queue $w$ are introduced. With the target-guided distillation, the $L^{TwD}_{GCPR}$ is defined as:

$$L^{TwD}_{GCPR} = \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E}_{(I, T) \sim D}[L_w(s(I, T_q), \check{y}(I, T_q); w) + L_w(s(T, I_q), \check{y}(T, I_q); w)].$$

(5)

**Feature-guided Distillation.** The goal of feature-guided distillation is to further improve the generalization ability of our pre-trained model. Similar to TgD, we use a teacher-student paradigm to conduct feature-guided distillation. Take the text encoder as the example below, the teacher character is the momentum-updated text encoder and the student is the text encoder. Here, the weights of the teacher are updated by all past text encoders via exponential-moving-average, which is a simple but effective way to improve the generalization performance. To further improve the capability of the model, we apply a masking strategy to the inputs. In practice, we feed complete inputs into the teacher and masked inputs into the student. Relying on the momentum mechanism, we aim to make
the features of the student closer to the features of the teacher. Formally, the predicted distributions (i.e., \( P_t(T) \), \( P_s(T) \)) of the teacher and the student are defined as follows, respectively:

\[
P_t(T) = \frac{\exp((f_t(T) - \mu)/\tau_t)}{\sum_{i=1}^{d} \exp((f_t(T)^{(i)} - \mu^{(i)})/\tau_t)}, \quad P_s(T) = \frac{\exp(f_s(T)/\tau_s)}{\sum_{i=1}^{d} \exp(f_s(T)^{(i)}/\tau_s)},
\]

where \( f_t(\cdot) \) and \( f_s(\cdot) \) denote the networks of the teacher and the student, respectively. Moreover, \( \mu \) is a momentum-updated mean of \( f_t(\cdot) \) that centers the features and \( d \) is the dimension of the features. \( \tau_t \) and \( \tau_s \) are the temperature parameters of the teacher and the student, respectively, which can sharpen the distribution of the features. Note that we do not use \( \mu \) for \( \mathcal{P}_s \) to avoid collapse in feature-guided distillation. Obviously, we can obtain similar formulations of \( \mathcal{P}_s(I) \) and \( \mathcal{P}_t(T) \).

We perform the feature-guided distillation by the cross-entropy loss, and the loss \( \mathcal{L}_{\text{FgD}} \) is defined as:

\[
\mathcal{L}_{\text{FgD}} = \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E}_{(I,T) \sim D}[\mathcal{L}_{\text{c}}(\mathcal{P}_s(I), \mathcal{P}_t(I)) + \mathcal{L}_{\text{c}}(\mathcal{P}_s(T), \mathcal{P}_t(T))].
\]

Our model is trained with the full objective:

\[
\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_{\text{GCPR}} + \mathcal{L}_{\text{FGR}} + \mathcal{L}_{\text{FgD}} + \mathcal{L}_{\text{MLM}}.
\]

4 Experiments

We organize the experiments as follows. In Section 4.1, we give the implementation details. In Section 4.2, we perform pre-training tasks on the 23 million dataset Zero-Corpus. Then we compare our pre-trained model with the state-of-the-arts on 9 downstream multi-modal datasets. In Section 4.3, we conduct ablation studies on the 2.3 million pre-training dataset, which is a sub-dataset of Zero-Corpus. We report the average performance of all downstream datasets in Section 4.3. Furthermore, we conduct zero-shot transfer experiment and image visualization in Section 4.4.

4.1 Implementation Details

As shown in Figure 1, we use a combination of a dual-encoder (i.e., an image encoder and a text encoder) and two cross encoders. In practice, the number of transformer layers for the text encoder, and the two cross encoders are 12, 6, and 6, respectively. The text encoder and the two cross encoders are randomly initialized. Following Wukong, we use the image encoder of 12-layers ViT-Base and 24-layers ViT-Large initialized from CLIP, and freeze it during pre-training. The resolution of the input image is 224 x 224 in pre-training and fine-tuning. The dimension of the feature vectors of both image and text is 768. We pre-train all models with 30 epochs and fine-tune them with 10 epochs using a batchsize of 32 per GPU. We set \( \tau = 0.07 \) in Equation 1, \( \tau_s = 0.1 \), \( \tau_t = 0.04 \) in Equation 6, and \( \alpha = 0.4 \) in Equation 4. Moreover, the momentum is set as \( m = 0.995 \), and the queue size is 65,536. We adopt the Adam optimizer and the cosine learning rate schedule with a linear warmup. R2D2 on the 23M dataset is pre-trained with the mixed-precision technique for 4 days using 64 A100 GPUs. The pre-trained model is fine-tuned on two downstream tasks: image-text retrieval and image-text matching. The fine-tuning strategy and the details of the downstream datasets are in Appendix.

4.2 Comparisons with State-of-the-arts

We first compare our R2D2 with the state-of-the-art models on the image-text retrieval task, which contains two sub-tasks: image-to-text retrieval (TR) and text-to-image retrieval (IR). We report Recall@1 (R@1), Recall@5 (R@5), Recall@10 (R@10) for both TR and IR, and Mean Recall (R@M). Specifically, we report the performance of R2D2, pre-trained on 2.3 million and 23 million data, respectively. The experimental results of WENLAN 2.0 and Wukong are from their paper. From Table 1, R2D2 shows superior performance on various public downstream datasets, i.e., Flickr30k-CN, COCO-CN, AIC-ICC, and MUGE. Our model outperforms the state-of-the-arts in most tasks, even when training with only 2.3M samples (~43 times less data than Wukong). When pre-training on the full 23M samples, we achieve even better results. Moreover, R2D2
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Table 1: Comparisons with the state-of-the-art models on Image-Text Retrieval task.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dataset</th>
<th>Method</th>
<th># Pre-train</th>
<th>Image-to-Text Retrieval</th>
<th>Text-to-Image Retrieval</th>
<th>R@M</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Pairs</td>
<td>R@1</td>
<td>R@5</td>
<td>R@10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flickr30k-CN</td>
<td>Wukong</td>
<td>100M</td>
<td>83.9</td>
<td>97.6</td>
<td>99.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ViT-B</td>
<td>2.3M</td>
<td>90.6</td>
<td>98.5</td>
<td>99.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ViT-L</td>
<td>23M</td>
<td>92.6</td>
<td>99.1</td>
<td>99.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2.3M</td>
<td>94.7</td>
<td>99.9</td>
<td>99.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>23M</td>
<td>95.0</td>
<td>99.7</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COCO-CN</td>
<td>Wukong</td>
<td>100M</td>
<td>65.8</td>
<td>90.3</td>
<td>96.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ViT-B</td>
<td>2.3M</td>
<td>73.3</td>
<td>94.0</td>
<td>98.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ViT-L</td>
<td>23M</td>
<td>76.1</td>
<td>95.3</td>
<td>98.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2.3M</td>
<td>74.1</td>
<td>94.3</td>
<td>98.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>23M</td>
<td>77.4</td>
<td>96.3</td>
<td>98.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AIC-ICC</td>
<td>WenLan</td>
<td>650M</td>
<td>45.6</td>
<td>68.0</td>
<td>76.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ViT-B</td>
<td>100M</td>
<td>47.5</td>
<td>70.6</td>
<td>78.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ViT-L</td>
<td>100M</td>
<td>61.6</td>
<td>80.5</td>
<td>86.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2.3M</td>
<td>52.6</td>
<td>74.0</td>
<td>80.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>23M</td>
<td>55.9</td>
<td>76.0</td>
<td>82.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2.3M</td>
<td>63.4</td>
<td>80.5</td>
<td>84.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>23M</td>
<td>64.4</td>
<td>80.4</td>
<td>85.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MUGE</td>
<td>Wukong</td>
<td>100M</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ViT-B</td>
<td>100M</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ViT-L</td>
<td>100M</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2.3M</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>23M</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2.3M</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>23M</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flickr30k-CNA</td>
<td>R2D2</td>
<td>2.3M</td>
<td>91.7</td>
<td>98.9</td>
<td>99.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ViT-B</td>
<td>23M</td>
<td>93.2</td>
<td>99.4</td>
<td>99.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ViT-L</td>
<td>23M</td>
<td>95.4</td>
<td>99.9</td>
<td>99.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>23M</td>
<td>96.5</td>
<td>99.7</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICR</td>
<td>R2D2</td>
<td>2.3M</td>
<td>28.8</td>
<td>55.0</td>
<td>65.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ViT-B</td>
<td>23M</td>
<td>43.4</td>
<td>69.8</td>
<td>78.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ViT-L</td>
<td>23M</td>
<td>35.4</td>
<td>62.0</td>
<td>72.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>23M</td>
<td>50.6</td>
<td>76.0</td>
<td>82.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IQR</td>
<td>R2D2</td>
<td>2.3M</td>
<td>18.7</td>
<td>41.0</td>
<td>52.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ViT-B</td>
<td>23M</td>
<td>27.9</td>
<td>54.5</td>
<td>64.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ViT-L</td>
<td>23M</td>
<td>22.4</td>
<td>47.2</td>
<td>57.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>23M</td>
<td>32.9</td>
<td>59.8</td>
<td>69.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Unlike image-text retrieval, there are no available models or codes for the Chinese image-text matching (ITM) task. Thus, we show the results on ICM and IQM, which are proposed for the Chinese ITM task instead. We use Area Under Curve (AUC) as the metric. From Table 2, R2D2 fine-tuned on Flickr30k-CNA outperforms that on Flickr30k-CN, since the quality of human-translated Flickr30k-CNA is much higher than that of machine-translated Flickr30k-CN.

Unlike image-text retrieval, there are no available models or codes for the Chinese image-text matching (ITM) task. Thus, we show the results on ICM and IQM, which are proposed for the Chinese ITM task instead. We use Area Under Curve (AUC) as the metric. From Table 2, R2D2 fine-tuned on Flickr30k-CNA outperforms that on Flickr30k-CN, since the quality of human-translated Flickr30k-CNA is much higher than that of machine-translated Flickr30k-CN.

Table 2: Performance on ITM task.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dataset</th>
<th>Method</th>
<th># Pre-train</th>
<th>Pairs</th>
<th>AUC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ICM</td>
<td>R2D2</td>
<td>2.3M</td>
<td>81.05</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ViT-B</td>
<td>23M</td>
<td>86.47</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ViT-L</td>
<td>2.3M</td>
<td>83.08</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ViT-L</td>
<td>23M</td>
<td>88.05</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IQM</td>
<td>R2D2</td>
<td>2.3M</td>
<td>76.30</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ViT-B</td>
<td>23M</td>
<td>82.02</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ViT-L</td>
<td>2.3M</td>
<td>77.94</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ViT-L</td>
<td>23M</td>
<td>83.62</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 3: Effect of different component of R2D2. Note that we conduct ablation studies and report the average results on all downstream datasets for image-text retrieval and image-text matching tasks.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Image-to-Text Retrieval</th>
<th>Text-to-Image Retrieval</th>
<th>R@M</th>
<th>AUC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>R@1</td>
<td>R@5</td>
<td>R@10</td>
<td>R@1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R2D2-CLIP</td>
<td>53.61</td>
<td>75.13</td>
<td>81.60</td>
<td>43.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R2D2</td>
<td>64.20</td>
<td>80.63</td>
<td>85.55</td>
<td>56.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R2D2 w/o ET</td>
<td>63.25</td>
<td>78.49</td>
<td>85.09</td>
<td>55.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R2D2 w/o TwD</td>
<td>63.19</td>
<td>79.62</td>
<td>84.91</td>
<td>54.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R2D2 w/o TgD</td>
<td>63.98</td>
<td>80.59</td>
<td>85.50</td>
<td>56.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R2D2 w/o FgD</td>
<td>63.47</td>
<td>79.99</td>
<td>85.24</td>
<td>55.05</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4.3 Ablation Study

Effect of Fine-Grained Ranking (FGR). For simplicity, we define R2D2 ViT-L as R2D2 in the ablation study. We first train a restricted version of R2D2 using only the global contrastive pre-ranking, without cross-encoder and two-way distillation. This restricted setting is a conceptually similar model to CLIP [28] that also involves a contrastive loss, and we denote it as R2D2-CLIP. From the first and second row of Table 3, we see that R2D2 outperforms R2D2-CLIP in the image-text retrieval task, indicating the effectiveness of the proposed pre-ranking + ranking framework.

Effect of Enhanced Training (ET). In this experiment, we demonstrate the effectiveness of enhanced training, which integrates the MLM task into the FGR forward operations for positive image-text pairs. Specifically, we train our model without the ET method and denote it as R2D2 w/o ET. From the third row of Table 3, R2D2 (with ET) improve the recall@1 by 0.95% on image-to-text retrieval task. Another advantage is that R2D2 uses less computational resources than R2D2 w/o ET. R2D2 requires 154.0 GFLOPs and can run at 1.4 iterations per second (Iter/s), while without ET we get 168.8 GFLOPS and 1.1 Iter/s. Moreover, the AUC is increased from 80.27% to 80.51% when ET is applied to the training procedure. This indicates that ET is able to both reduce the computational cost of R2D2 and improve the capability of the learning process.

Effect of Two-way Distillation (TwD). The proposed two-way distillation is composed of target-guided distillation (TgD) and feature-guided distillation (FgD). When removing TwD, the R@M decreases from 74.06% to 73.10%, and the AUC from 80.51% to 80.31%. By analyzing the two components of TwD, we see that performing feature alignment is important, since the model w/o FgD shows a more noticeable drop in performance. Although milder, removing TgD also causes a reduction in performance. These results indicate that both components are relevant and we see that TwD is an effective way to improve the generalization performance of the pre-trained model.

4.4 Further Experiments

Zero-shot Tasks. To demonstrate the generalization performance of our pre-trained model, we conduct zero-shot transfer experiments on 9 downstream Chinese image-text datasets, including image-text retrieval and image-text matching tasks. Specifically, we use R2D2 ViT-L pre-trained on the 23 million dataset. We do not fine-tune it and evaluate it directly on the downstream datasets. From Table 4, our pre-trained model is able to learn a strong association of images and texts, resulting in a powerful performance on both image-text retrieval and matching tasks. In addition, we achieve comparable or even better performance than Wukong ViT-L on Flickr30k-CN, COCO-CN and MUGE. The results of R2D2 ViT-L on Flickr30k-CNA are the same as that on Flickr30k-CN since we use the same test set for a fair comparison as described in Section 4.2.

Entity-conditioned Image Visualization. In this experiment, we attempt to visualize the attention map of images on COCO-CN. Specifically, we first extract an entity from the Chinese text and calculate the attention score of an image-entity pair. Here, we select the third layer of the text-image cross encoder following [17]. We only use the text-image cross encoder instead of two cross encoders, since we aim to highlight patches of an image given an entity embedding. Figure 2 illustrates the visual explanations of four images over four different entities. It shows that R2D2 learns well to align text with the correct content inside the image. More analysis is shown in Appendix.
Table 4: Zero-shot results of different methods on 9 downstream Chinese image-text datasets.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dataset</th>
<th>Method</th>
<th># Pre-train Pairs</th>
<th>Image-to-Text Retrieval</th>
<th>Text-to-Image Retrieval</th>
<th>R@1</th>
<th>R@5</th>
<th>R@10</th>
<th>R@1</th>
<th>R@5</th>
<th>R@10</th>
<th>R@M</th>
<th>AUC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Flickr30k-CN</td>
<td>Wukong\textsubscript{ViT-L}</td>
<td>100M</td>
<td>76.1 94.8 97.5</td>
<td>51.7 78.9 86.3</td>
<td>80.9</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R2D2</td>
<td>ViT-L</td>
<td>23M</td>
<td>70.2 94.1 97.6</td>
<td>55.9 83.5 90.6</td>
<td>82.0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COCO-CN</td>
<td>Wukong\textsubscript{ViT-L}</td>
<td>100M</td>
<td>55.2 81.0 90.6</td>
<td>53.4 80.2 90.1</td>
<td>75.1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R2D2</td>
<td>ViT-L</td>
<td>23M</td>
<td>58.1 86.8 93.3</td>
<td>55.0 83.1 92.5</td>
<td>78.1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MUGE</td>
<td>Wukong\textsubscript{ViT-L}</td>
<td>100M</td>
<td>- - -</td>
<td>42.7 69.0 78.0</td>
<td>63.2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R2D2</td>
<td>ViT-L</td>
<td>23M</td>
<td>- - -</td>
<td>41.0 67.8 76.6</td>
<td>61.8</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AIC-ICC</td>
<td>R2D2\textsubscript{ViT-L}</td>
<td>23M</td>
<td>22.0 36.8 42.1</td>
<td>10.6 21.7 27.0</td>
<td>26.7</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICR</td>
<td>R2D2\textsubscript{ViT-L}</td>
<td>23M</td>
<td>46.8 72.6 79.3</td>
<td>44.6 70.2 76.0</td>
<td>64.9</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IQR</td>
<td>R2D2\textsubscript{ViT-L}</td>
<td>23M</td>
<td>31.7 58.1 67.1</td>
<td>30.0 54.8 62.1</td>
<td>50.6</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICM</td>
<td>R2D2\textsubscript{ViT-L}</td>
<td>23M</td>
<td>- - -</td>
<td>- - -</td>
<td>- - -</td>
<td>87.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IQM</td>
<td>R2D2\textsubscript{ViT-L}</td>
<td>23M</td>
<td>- - -</td>
<td>- - -</td>
<td>- - -</td>
<td>81.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 2: Entity-conditioned image visualization.

5 Related Work

5.1 Vision-Language Datasets

Chinese vision-language benchmark requires images and the corresponding high-quality Chinese texts that are hard to obtain. Existing public datasets [1, 9, 13, 39] with images and English texts are used to conduct cross-modal modeling tasks. However, it is non-trivial to adapt these English texts into other languages, such as Chinese. Some datasets [14, 21] have tried to use machine translation to directly adapt these datasets to Chinese. However, machine translation often contains several errors due to differences in expressions in each language. To this end, some datasets with Chinese texts [8, 10, 22] are proposed for Chinese cross-modal pre-training. However, they are either unavailable or lack sufficient downstream tasks for Chinese texts. In this paper, we propose a Chinese vision-language benchmark (called Zero) that covers two pre-training datasets, five downstream datasets. We also build a leaderboard with the five proposed downstream test datasets.

5.2 Vision-Language Pre-training Learning

The vision-language pre-training architectures can be categorized as: single-stream and dual-stream. Additional mechanisms can also help to enhance the model’s capability, e.g., knowledge distillation.

**Vision-Language Architecture.** Most existing single-stream models [2, 15, 19, 25, 26] concatenate image and text as a single input to model the interactions between image and text within a transformer model [33]. They employ masked language modeling, image-text matching, and masked object classification to learn joint image-text representations via pre-training. In this way, the single-stream model with a single transformer learns coarse-grained representations in a combined semantic space.

Another simple way for image-text representation learning is dual-stream architecture [7, 12, 18, 29]. Existing popular dual-stream models [24, 28, 35] aim to align image and text into a unified semantic space via contrastive learning. Besides, some related studies [16, 17] align the individual features of images and texts in a dual-stream architecture, and then fuse the features in a unified semantic space via a single-stream architecture. However, they ignore supervised signals from images. In addition, they use traditional masked language modeling (MLM) and local contrastive learning to conduct pre-training tasks, leading to potential inferior model performance. In this paper, we explore
the effective signals via an image-to-text cross encoder and a text-to-image cross encoder while also maintaining the bottom dual-stream architecture. Moreover, we improve MLM with enhanced training and apply global contrastive learning to further improve performance.

**Knowledge Distillation.** The general purpose of knowledge distillation is to improve the student model’s performance by simulating the output of the teacher network [11, 37, 40]. Recently, the temporal ensemble of the student model is considered to be an effective teacher model for distillation. ALBEF [17] learns from pseudo-targets generated by the momentum teacher model to address the problem caused by weakly-correlated data. However, it lacks the selection of features in the momentum queue, ignoring the fact that feature effectiveness decays over time. In VLP models, the instability of model representations may cause the degradation of model performance. To alleviate the above problems, we propose target-guided distillation with a weighted momentum queue and feature-guided distillation to stabilize the model representations for vision-language pre-training.

6 Conclusion and Social Impacts

In this paper, we propose a Chinese vision-language benchmark called Zero, which includes two pre-training datasets and five downstream train/val/test datasets. Relying on the benchmark Zero, we introduce a novel vision-language method, namely R2D2. R2D2 adopts a framework of pre-ranking + ranking for cross-modal learning. To alleviate the risk from noise and improve the capability of the pre-trained model, we propose a two-way distillation, which further enhances the model performance. We achieve state-of-the-art results on four public downstream datasets and our five downstream datasets, demonstrating the effectiveness of the proposed method. We will also adopt our proposed R2D2 to English cross-modal learning in future work.

We release all datasets and models and hope that they can promote the development of Chinese vision-language learning. We expect that a fair Chinese cross-modal benchmark and a good cross-modal framework will encourage a plethora of engineers to develop more effective methods in specific real-world scenarios, such as searching images by texts.
References


A Details of Zero-Corpus

We show some examples of Zero-Corpus in Figure A. Each image contains six attributes describing the image. There are three kinds of texts that correspond to each image: “Title”, “Content” and “ImageQuery”. “Title” is the core content of the image from the website, and “Content” is a detailed description surrounding the image on the web page. “ImageQuery” is assigned to the search query according to the user’s behavior. The average length of “Title”, “Content”, and “ImageQuery” is 5, 18, 29. During pre-training, we randomly select one of the three texts to construct an image-text pair, ensuring the data diversity. Based on this, the pre-trained model can fit different kinds of downstream tasks. For instance, the text in COCO-CN is mainly a description of the image, with an average length of twenty words. MUGE, in which the text is less than ten words, mainly a query.

We apply a series of filtering strategies to construct the final Zero-Corpus. For image filtering, we filter images smaller than 100 pixels or images with aspect ratios larger than 4 or smaller than 1/4. In addition, we filter images that contain sensitive information, such as politics, violent scenes, etc. For text filtering, we filter all corresponding text, including queries, content, and titles. First, we remove texts shorter than 2 words or longer than 128 words. Second, we remove texts that contain sensitive information. Besides, we also prove the “Show”, “Click”, and “ImageUrl”. “Show” represents the number of times the image was displayed in a certain period, while “Click” represents the number of clicks by the user. “ImageUrl” clearly represents the URL link to the image. It is worth noting that “Show” and “Click” are not yet used in our model, and we will explore the usage of these two attributes in future work. We hope this dataset will bring help to researchers.

B Examples of Our Proposed Downstream Datasets

We show examples of ICM, IQM, ICM and IQR in Figure B. Moreover, Figure C shows some cases of the difference between Flickr30k-CN and our proposed Flickr30k-CNA.

C Details of Fine-tuning Strategy

Fine-tuning Strategy of Image-Text retrieval. We jointly optimize the GCPR loss (Equation 2) and the FGR loss (Equation 3). We fine-tune the pre-trained model on 7 downstream datasets, including Flickr30k-CN, COCO-CN, AIC-ICC, MUGE, ICR, IQR and Flickr30k-CNA. We report Recall@1 (R@1), Recall@5 (R@5), Recall@10 (R@10) for both TR and IR, and Mean Recall (R@M) for the final comparison. For AIC-ICC and MUGE, we report their results on the validation sets, since their test sets are not released. For ICR and IQR, we also report the results on the validation sets in this paper, since we use the corresponding test sets to build a leaderboard. The test set of Flickr30k-CNA is also added to the leaderboard. For Flickr30k-CNA, we show the performance on the test set of Flickr30k-CN to demonstrate the superiority of our manually labeled training set. For the remaining downstream datasets, we report the results on the test sets. Following [10], we select the first 10,000 images with the corresponding 50,000 texts when testing on AIC-ICC. In particular, we only provide IR scores on MUGE since it only has IR settings.

Fine-tuning Strategy of Image-Text Matching. This task predicts whether an image-text pair is matched or not. During fine-tuning, we only apply the FGR loss (Equation 3). Additionally, we report the results on the validation sets of ICM and IQM. We also add the corresponding test sets to the leaderboard like ICR. Besides, the statistics of all downstream datasets are shown in Table A.
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What flower pot does Zi Le use?

On such a sunny day, of course it drives fast! Everyone must seize the opportunity to appreciate the annual apricot blossoms in Luoyang City.

The rain and snow will continue until this morning, with 1-4 cm of snow expected in the plains.

Figure B: Image-text examples of ICM, IQM, ICR and IQR from left to right.

Table A: Statistics and comparison of different datasets.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dataset</th>
<th>#Image/#Text</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Flickr30k-CN</td>
<td>29.7K/148.9K</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COCO-CN</td>
<td>18.3K/20K</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AIC-ICC</td>
<td>210K/1.05M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MUGE</td>
<td>129.4K/248.8K</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICM</td>
<td>320K/320K</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IQM</td>
<td>320K/320K</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICR</td>
<td>160K/160K</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IQR</td>
<td>160K/160K</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flickr30k-CNA</td>
<td>29.7K/148.9K</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>