Dark and thermal reservoir contributions to polariton sound velocity
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Exciton-polaritons in an optical microcavity can form a macroscopically coherent state despite being an inherently driven-dissipative system. In comparison with equilibrium bosonic fluids, polaronic condensates possess multiple peculiarities that make them behave differently from well-known textbook examples. One such peculiarity is the presence of dark excitons which are created by the pump together with optically-active particles. They can considerably affect the spectrum of elementary excitations of the condensate and hence change its superfluid properties. Here, we theoretically analyze the influence of the bright and dark “reservoir” populations on the sound velocity $c_s$ of incoherently-driven polaritons. Both pulsed and continuous-wave pumping schemes characterized by essentially different condensate-to-reservoir ratio are considered. We show that in the renormalized expression for the sound velocity the dark exciton contribution is dominant compared to that of thermal bright reservoir, and leads to considerable lowering of $c_s$ and to its deviation from the square-root-like behavior on the system’s chemical potential (measurable condensate blueshift).

Strong coupling between light and matter modes inside a semiconductor microcavity with embedded quantum wells results in the appearance of hybridized quasiparticles: exciton-polaritons. As interacting bosons, they demonstrate macroscopic coherence \cite{1} and quantum properties \cite{2}, and at the same time also the ability to propagate without friction, displaying the most remarkable collective phenomenon of superfluidity \cite{3,4}.

Polariton Bose condensates are regularly achieved at elevated temperatures (from 4 K to room $T$) in a non-equilibrium setting \cite{7,10}. Typically, an excitation laser is tuned either in resonance with the lower polariton branch (LPB) or high above the exciton dispersion, leading to formation of the so-called reservoir that relaxes to the LPB and eventually into the ground state. Due to finite lifetime, the polariton population is decaying in time after the excitation pulse, or needs to be constantly replenished by means of continuous pumping. Such driven-dissipative nature of the system leads to modifications of the elementary excitation spectrum \cite{11,14} and to violation of the Galilean invariance and Landau criterion \cite{15–17}, all of which are essential for understanding the specifics of polariton superfluidity. The main challenge in building self-consistent descriptions is the impossibility to apply equilibrium microscopic approach developed by Bogoliubov \cite{18}. One is forced to either neglect the effects of gain and dissipation \cite{19} or build phenomenological descriptions based on the Gross-Pitaevskii-like equation (GPE) for the condensate coupled to the rate equation for the excitonic reservoir \cite{11,17}. In the latter case, for a better correspondence with experimentally observed dynamics, the high-energy reservoir can be split into two parts \cite{20,22}, the ‘inactive’ population directly created by the pump and the ‘active’ reservoir that feeds the polariton condensate (the schematics is shown in Fig. 1). Ref. \cite{24} considers the model of three reservoirs: inactive, active, and dark. Dark, or spin-forbidden ($J = \pm 2$), excitons are injected in the system at nonresonant excitations together with bright ($J = \pm 1$) exciton populations (overall, there are four exciton branches per each quantum well filled from the relaxing charge carriers). Current studies show that such dark reservoir can be highly populated \cite{31} and long-lived \cite{32}. Its influence on polariton properties however is mostly unexplored.

GPE-based approaches describe reasonably well the dynamics of macroscopically-populated polariton condensates. At the same time, the Bogoliubov spectra derived for the excitations on top of such driven-dissipative GPEs reveal either gapped or diffusive real part of the dispersion \cite{11,13}, which does not correspond to experimental observations to date \cite{24,28}. A combined GPE-Bolzmann equation for the condensate \cite{14} recovers the gapless, equilibrium-like linearization of the excitation spectrum at small momenta, with the sound velocity defined by the condensate density $n_0$: $c_s = \sqrt{gX_p n_0 / m_{LP}}$, where $n_{LP}$ is the lower polariton effective mass, $g$ the exciton-exciton interaction strength and $X_p$ the exciton Hopfield coefficient at momentum $p$. A similar result was obtained in Refs. \cite{15–17} for resonant excitation. In non-resonant pumping scheme experiments \cite{25,28}, however, the slope of this linear part was shown to depend on the chemical potential (observed condensate blueshift) controversially for different temperatures, and even to deviate from the square-root scaling law \cite{29}. This controversy indicates that the effects of finite temperature and the optically-dark reservoir on the elementary excitations of polariton condensates are poorly understood. The aim of the current Letter is to provide a stitching of the finite-temperature theory \cite{19} with a simple phenomenological rate-equations model that allows addressing the situations with large reservoir-to-condensate ratios \cite{29,30}.

We start our theory with a brief discussion of the results derived in Ref. \cite{19} where non-condensed polaritons (active reservoir) as well as the reservoir of dark excitons are rigorously, rather than phenomenologically, included into the self-consistent Hartree-Fock-Bogoliubov (HFB)}
description of exciton-polariton gases at finite temperatures. It was shown that the non-parabolicity of the LPB, non-zero temperature, and the presence of dark excitons renormalize the Bogoliubov excitation spectrum. The corresponding sound velocity at $p \to 0$ is

$$c_s = \sqrt{\frac{g \chi^2 N \mu}{m_{LP} \left[1 + \frac{2\mu}{\sqrt{\left(h\Omega\right)^2 + \Delta^2}}\right]},}$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)$$

where $\hbar\Omega$ and $\Delta$ are the Rabi splitting and the detuning of the cavity photon from the exciton resonance, respectively, and the chemical potential

$$\mu = g \chi^2 (X^2 n_0 + 2n'_X + n_D)$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)$$

contains contributions from the integrated dark exciton density $n_D$ and the density of non-condensed bright excitons at a given temperature

$$n'_X = \int X^2 \hat{P} \hat{p} (\hat{P} \hat{p}) dp / (2\pi \hbar)^2.$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)$$

The non-condensate part of the polariton field $\hat{P} \hat{p}$ defining $\{3\}$ at the same time defines the integrated density of the active reservoir $n' = \int (\hat{P} \hat{p} \hat{P} \hat{p}) dp / (2\pi \hbar)^2$ distributed along the whole non-parabolic LPB. This way, the active reservoir consists of non-condensate polaritons $n'$, while only their excitonic fraction $n'_X$ contributes to the blueshift. The density of polaritons is given by the sum $n = n_0 + n'$, and the total reservoir density is defined as $n_R = n' + n_D$. Within the model of Ref. [19], all the densities $n_0$, $n'$, $n_D$ are equilibrium and dependent on the temperature. The predictions $[1, 2]$ and corresponding dependence $c_s(\mu)$ match well the experimental observations made at low $T$. [25, 20]. At the same time, the assumption of equilibrium leads to $n_D \ll n$, and the theory fails to describe situations when different populations are defined by the dynamical equilibration of the system rather than by their thermal distributions.

Here, we consider the non-resonant excitation schemes and develop a unified description for cases when the dark exciton density is not small. As shown schematically in Fig. 1, the pump injects the high-energy population of charge carriers which cool down, filling available energy states on the exciton and lower-polariton dispersions. The inactive reservoir $n_{in}$ is therefore feeding both the dark exciton reservoir (where we include all excitons not converting into polaritons) and the polariton subsystem on the exciton-like part of the LPB dispersion. We model the dynamics using the following equations:

$$\frac{\partial n_{in}}{\partial t} = P - \gamma in n_{in} - Dn_{in} - R(n)n_{in},$$

$$\frac{\partial n_D}{\partial t} = \hat{P} - \gamma D n_{in} + Dn_{in},$$

$$\frac{\partial n}{\partial t} = -\gamma n + R(n)n_{in},$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)$$

where $\gamma_{in}$, $\gamma_D$ and $\gamma$ are the decay rates of inactive reservoir, dark excitons, and polaritons ($\gamma \gg \gamma_{in}$), $D$ and $R(n)$ are the scattering rates from the inactive reservoir to dark excitons and to polaritons, respectively. Pump enters the equations for $n_{in}$ and $n_D$ as $P$ and $\hat{P}$. All the coefficients in $[4]$ can be defined from comparison with experiment (here [25, 30]). The main difference of the model $[4]$ compared to the previously developed phenomenological approaches is that it describes the dynamical feeding of the polariton system with the total density $n$, wherein the equilibration between the condensate $n_0$ and non-condensate $n'$ particles is assumed to be governed by temperature. Importantly, the simplicity of Eqs. $[4]$ allows analytical solution and does not require numerical simulation. Typical solutions $n(t)$ and $n_D(t)$ for two different pump powers are shown in Fig. 1 for pulsed (b) and c.w. (c) excitations.

For the case of pulsed excitation, to be able to apply Eqs. $[1, 2]$ one has to assume that the decay of the system after the pulse arrival is slow compared to thermalisation time, so that at each moment of time the polariton subsystem may be considered in quasi-equilibrium. This is realised for high-quality samples and (or) for positive detunings $\Delta$. In this case, we set $P = \hat{P} = 0$ in $[4]$, introduce the initial values of all densities $n_{in}(0)$, $n_D(0)$, $n(0)$ and consider the scattering rate to the condensate...
constant: \( R = R_p \). According to the solution
\[
n(t) = n(0)e^{-\gamma t} + R_p n_{in}(0) \left( e^{-(R_p + D + \gamma n)t} - e^{-\gamma t} \right) \gamma - \gamma_{in} - D - R_p \tag{5}
\]
shown in Fig. 1, the dynamics of the polariton density consists of an early-time faster decay and then a slower decrease at later times due to the replenishment of polaritons from the long-lived inactive reservoir. The dark-exciton reservoir population \( n_D \) is decaying much slower than the polariton density \( n \) \( n_D(t) \) is obtained from (3) by exchanging \( n(0) \), \( \gamma \to n_D(0), \gamma_p \) and \( R_p \to D \). At each \( t \), one can directly use \( n_D \) to find the dark exciton contribution to the blueshift (2). To define the contributions of the condensate \( n_0 \) and the bright non-condensate excitons \( n'_X \), for each \( n(t) \) we perform the self-consistent calculation at a given temperature (here, \( T = 10 \, \text{K} \)) according to the approach developed in [19]. This procedure allows us to retrieve the dependence of the condensate-to-reservoir ratio \( n_0/n_R \) on time which is shown in Fig. 2a. At all times the reservoir density in the system is dominant (with \( n_R/n_0 \) decreasing from \( \approx 5 \) to \( \approx 2 \) at later times) due to the large dark population \( n_D \), whereas the thermal (bright) part of the reservoir \( n' \) is small compared to \( n_0 \). Calculating the blueshift (2) at each \( t \) with the obtained densities, one can plot \( n_0/n_R \) versus \( \mu \) (see Fig. 2b). Obtained trends are similar for all considered pump powers and interaction strengths.

Now one can track the dependence of the sound velocity in the polariton fluid both on time and on the blueshift \( \mu \). In the equilibrium case at \( T = 0 \), one expects the square-root scaling predicted by Bogoliubov [13]:
\[ c_s^B = \sqrt{\mu/m_{LP}} \]
while for the driven-dissipative case Ref. [14] reported \( c_s^0 = \sqrt{gX_B n_0/m_{LP}} \). The calculations here are performed according to [14]. In Fig. 2c we plot the ratio \( c_s/c_s^B \) together with \( c_s^0/c_s^B \) (the dark-red dashed line). It is evident that at early times \( c_s \) is rapidly decreasing from the values of the order 0.4–0.5 \( c_s^B \) with a pronounced minimum, and later recovers up to values higher than those at the beginning. Notably, for \( c_s^0/c_s^B \) the minimum coincides with the minimum of \( n_0/n_R \) independent of the interaction strength or density (the gray dotted line in Fig. 2a,c is a guide to the eye), while for \( c_s \) according to (1) the minimum shifts towards later times for higher \( g \) and higher initial densities. The dependence \( c_s(\mu) \) is presented in Fig. 2d for a fixed \( g \). At larger blueshifts (early times) \( c_s \approx c_s^B/3 \) and shows a slight deviation from the square-root dependence on \( \mu \) due to the renormalisation of the lower-polariton mass reported in Ref. [19]. This deviation towards higher values at large \( \mu \) appears regardless of the dark reservoir contribution. At later times (corresponding to \( \mu < 2 \, \text{meV} \)), one sees the complete change of behavior with \( c_s \) increasing from the value \( c_s^B/3 \) and following a linear rather than a square-root-like dependence on \( \mu \). In Fig. 2c, the red dotted line shows an analytical fit \( c_0 + c_1 \mu \) suggested in Ref. [29] to describe experimental data. The corresponding dependence \( c_s^0(\mu) \) shows agreement with our calculation at small \( \mu \) and a considerable lowering at larger values of the blueshift. This indicates that at times when the reservoir density is large, the influence of the dark reservoir on the sound velocity is significant.

We now turn to the case of c.w. excitation, where the system reaches the state of dynamical equilibrium at a given pump power \( P \). Since the polariton density is not decaying, we assume the scattering rate between the inactive reservoir and the polaritons density-dependent \( R = R(n) \) [11] and consider the simplest scenario \( R(n) = R_{cw}n \). Comparing the steady-state solutions of (4) with zero initial conditions at different values of \( P \)
\[
n = \frac{P}{\gamma} - \frac{\gamma n + D}{R_{cw}}, \quad n_D = \frac{\dot{P}}{\gamma_D} + \frac{\gamma D}{\gamma_D R_{cw}} \tag{6}
\]
FIG. 2. Pulsed excitation. (a) Evolution of the condensate-to-reservoir ratio \( n_0/n_R \), where \( n_D(t) \) and \( n(t) \) are acquired from [4], \( n_0 \) and \( n' \) for each \( n \) are calculated within the HFB theory, and \( n_R = n' + n_D \). (b) \( n_0/n_R \) versus the observable blueshift \( \mu \) for each moment of time during the system evolution. Note that smaller values of \( \mu \) correspond to later times. (c) Temporal dependence of the ratio \( c_s/c_s^B \), with \( c_s \) given by [11] and the Bogoliubov value \( c_s^B = \sqrt{\mu/m_{LP}} \). In (a–c), different strengths of the square-root dependence (the gray dotted lines) on the blueshift. The red dotted line displays the linear fit \( c_0 + c_1 \mu \) suggested in [29] to match the experiment, where \( c_0 = 0.4 \, \mu \text{m} \, \text{s}^{-1} \), \( c_1 = 0.16 \, \mu \text{m} \, \text{s}^{-1} \). The sound velocity \( c_s \) vs. \( \mu \) showing the deviation from the square-root dependence (the gray dotted lines) on the blueshift. The red dotted line displays the linear fit \( c_0 + c_1 \mu \) suggested in [29] to match the experiment, where \( c_0 = 0.4 \, \mu \text{m} \, \text{s}^{-1} \), \( c_1 = 0.16 \, \mu \text{m} \, \text{s}^{-1} \). The dark-red dashed lines in (b) correspond to different initial reservoir densities (as marked) with the same \( n(0) = 1.8 \times 10^{11} \, \text{mm}^{-2} \) and \( g = 1 \, \mu \text{eV} \, \text{mm}^2 \).
Similarly to the case of pulsed excitation, (b) The blueshift dependence on $n_0$. In (a,b) the gray dots with error bars show the experimental data of Ref. [30] which were used to retrieve the pump, decay and scattering rates in Eqs. (4): $P = 0.25P_c$, $R_{cw} = 0.1 \times 10^{-5} \mu m^2/ps$, with the other parameters as in Fig. 1 (c) The dependence of the sound velocity $c_s$ on the observed bleushift $\mu$ for $g = 1 \mu eV \mu m^2$. Gray dotted line shows the dependence $\propto \sqrt{\mu}$. The dark-red dashed line represents $c_s^0(\mu)$, the analytical fit is $\sqrt{0.45(\mu - 0.2)/m_{LP}}$ (red dotted line). The inset shows the same for larger blueshifts, by setting $g = 6 \mu eV \mu m^2$. The analytical fit is $\sqrt{0.6(\mu - 1.4)/m_{LP}}$.

(above threshold) with the experimental data of Ref. [30] (gray dots in Fig. 3a,b), we arrive at the same decay and scattering rates characteristic for the sample of Refs. [28–30], which underlines the consistency of our model. For each $n$, we use the finite-temperature HFB model to calculate $n_0$ and $n'$. The dependence of the reservoir $n_R = n_D + n'$ and condensate $n_0$ densities on the pump power shows a clear threshold behavior (see the inset of Fig. 3a), with $P_{th} = \gamma(\gamma m + D)/R_{cw}$. Fig. 3a and b display the resulting dependencies of the condensate fraction $n_0/(n_0 + n_R)$ and blueshift $\mu$ on $n_0$, respectively.

From Fig. 3a one sees that in this setting, contrary to the pulsed-excitation case, the condensate-to-reservoir ratio is much larger, saturating at $n_0 \approx n_R$ at high pump powers. Substituting the densities into Eqs. (1), (2), we plot in Fig. 3a the sound velocity dependence on the blueshift. Similarly to the case of pulsed excitation, $c_s$ is lowered compared to $c_s^B$ due to the presence of the reservoirs. The dependence $c_s(\mu)$ can be approximately fitted by $\sqrt{c_1 \mu + c_2}$ (red dotted line in Fig. 3b), showing that the sound velocity grows faster at smaller $\mu$ when the reservoir population is dominant. The dark-red dashed line shows the corresponding dependence $c_s^0(\mu)$ which, like in the previous case, goes lower than (1) at larger blueshifts.

Finally, we summarize in Fig. 4 the behaviors of the sound velocity ratio to the equilibrium Bogoliubov value $c_s/c_s^E$ dependent on the observable blueshift for pulsed and continuous-wave non-resonant excitation schemes with different contributions from the dark exciton reservoir. Pulsed excitation: the dark-blue solid line for $n_D(0)/n(0) = 2.77$, $g = 1 \mu eV \mu m^2$ and the light-blue thick line for $n_D(0)/n(0) = 5$, $g = 2.5 \mu eV \mu m^2$. C.W. excitation: the yellow solid lines correspond to $g = 1 \mu eV \mu m^2$, $P/P_c = 0.1, 0.25, 0.5$ (top to bottom), the green thick line is plotted for $g = 2.5 \mu eV \mu m^2$, $P/P_c = 0.25$. All parameters are the same as in Fig. 23.

In conclusion, we developed an intuitive, analytically-solvable model based on the self-consistent HFB approach and rate equations that allows investigating the influence of dark excitons and the bright, thermally-distributed polaritonic reservoir on the sound velocity in nonresonantly-pumped polariton fluids. The only input parameters used are the decay and scattering rates that can be found from fitting the experimental data. Our model allows us to directly obtain the condensate-to-reservoir ratio, blueshift, and the sound velocity for both pulsed and c.w. excitation schemes. We show that the sound velocity is dramatically affected when the dark exciton density is large, and that it cannot be expected to follow the square-root-like scaling with the blueshift predicted by the equilibrium Bogoliubov theory. Most strikingly, since in polariton experiments the blueshift and the slope of the low-momenta elementary excitation spectrum can be measured independently, the mapping of such measurements on the dependence $c_s(\mu)$ can be used to define the density of dark excitons which is generally elusive for observations by optical means.
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