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ABSTRACT
When developing a software system, a change in one part of the system may lead to unwanted changes in other parts of the system. These affected parts may interfere with system performance, so regression testing is used to deal with these disorders. This test seeks to re-measure these sections to prevent these abnormalities, but it is difficult to identify these sections for re-examination. We try to cluster the changes of our software system based on the system functions by singular value decomposition, to be able to use to identify these parts during a new change, to perform the test again. In order to increase speedup, our calculations were performed in parallel on shared memory systems so that by increasing the scale of software systems, an optimal answer could be obtained.

KEYWORDS
singular value decomposition, regression software test, reduction of parallel test cases, parallel clustering of test cases

1. Introduction

Today in software systems development After a period of development, it may be necessary to make changes to previously developed components. These changes may affect other parts of the software. These effects may cause new system malfunctions. To prevent these unwanted disorders, a type of software test called regression test is used. Regression testing uses existing test cases to check whether the changes made did not cause a new error or had unwanted side effects. In other words, the goal is to ensure that parts of a system that have not changed continue to work as they did before the changes.[5] All of them successfully commented on the correct operation of the system. Obtaining this set of test cases will not be so easy, so that the integer linear programming methods are used today to find this set of test cases.[2] We are trying to obtain this set of test cases by clustering by singular value decomposition on a matrix of functions used in the system that are close in time changes. This information will be easily obtained with the help of source control tools that are used in most software systems companies today. Due to the high volume of functions in commercial software systems, we used a parallel approach during this clustering to
enable this approach to be used in large commercial systems in the shortest possible
time.
In the second part of this research, the methods used are introduced and in the third
part of these methods to perform data clustering and then extract this data from a
software system in parallel and find a set of test cases that should be used for A spe-
cific change vector to be retested has been used, in the fourth section the experimental
results of this research and the fifth section for the final conclusion.

2. Related Works

This section deals with the related work done in the field of selecting regression test
cases, as well as source code clustering and parsing of individual values.

2.1. Selection of regression test cases

Regression testing is the process of re-testing software, which is modified. Large sys-
tems usually have large regression test sets. In a software system, small changes in one
part of a system often cause problems in other parts of the system.\[1\]
Regression testing is used to find these types of problems. The purpose of regression
test selection techniques is to isolate tests that may detect errors after the system has
been modified. The simplest regression test selection technique is a retest strategy in
which the entire set of test cases is examined.\[4\]

2.2. Source code clustering

Nowadays, source code clustering has become especially important for its application in
plagiarism detection systems, including the effort (Duracik et al.) To try to cluster the
source code of a system line by line. They had software based on the kmeans clustering
algorithm, which then, taking an incremental approach to this clustering, completed
the search by vector source code. In this research, we have developed clustering of
source code changes based on function changes.\[3\]

2.3. Parallel singular value decomposition

In recent years, several parallelizations have been performed on the singular value
decomposition algorithm. In an attempt (Xiao et al.), We have witnessed the paral-
lelization of the mentioned algorithm based on Jacobi block parallelization.\[6\]
In this study, in addition to the algorithm parallelization singular value decomposi-
tion, we developed the extraction of information of our clusters in parallel. This parallel
development is much more suitable for use by commercial software companies’ infras-
tructures, because large commercial companies with stronger hardware infrastructures
are generally developing software systems that are in great need of this type of test.

3. The Proposed Method

This research presents an algorithm that based on changes in functions in a software
system system source code, can identify a set of functions that have a greater impact on each
other and by singular value decomposition, a clustering is achieved that through this clustering Can produce a more concise set of regression test cases. All of the above algorithms have been developed in parallel on shared memory systems to increase performance. The above algorithm as follows.

**Algorithm 1:** Parallel Selection of Test Cases Using SVD

1. Matrix $F \leftarrow \text{new Matrix}()$
2. Matrix $T \leftarrow \text{new Matrix}()$
3. Matrix $R \leftarrow \text{new Matrix}()$
4. Vector $X \leftarrow \text{new Vector}()$
5. $[U, S, V] \leftarrow \text{PSVD}(F)$ // Execute Parallel SVD

**Parallel Section:**

6. forall $i \in U.rows \setminus \{r\}$ do
7.     forall $j \in U.columns$ do
8.         if threshold $\leq |U[i,j]|$ then // filtering by threshold value
9.             Critical Section:
10.             $R \leftarrow \text{PositiveElements}(U[i])$
11.             $R \leftarrow \text{NegativeElements}(U[i])$
12. $P \leftarrow T \times R$
13. $Y \leftarrow R \times P^T$
14. $S \leftarrow Y \times X$

### 3.1. Preliminary data

In resource control systems, all changes to the source code of a software system are recorded during development by the software system. These changes can be seen in the form of a history at different levels such as files and functions or even changed lines.

We observed these changes at the function level and limited the execution of system test cases to functions. This was done for two reasons, the first of which can be stated that if we examine the changes at the file level, it may be due to The large number of lines of source code in each file is not accurate, and the second reason is that we did not limit the source code changes to the level of the changed lines because the dimensions of our change matrices increased dramatically, making them very thin in problem. Been. For these reasons, it was decided to examine the source code changes at the level of function changes.

To prepare the required data, we create a square matrix of the order of the number of functions under study, each of which represents the existence of changes between two functions with each other through this source control system. This matrix is called $F$. This relationship is two-way, meaning that if changes to the i and j functions are made, both the junctions associated with $(j, i) F$ and $(i, j) (F)$ must be set, so the matrix $F$ is symmetric. The dimensions of $M \times M$ will be that M is the number of functions considered.

Another matrix that is required is the $T$ matrix, each of which represents the relationship between each test case and the functions of that software system. This matrix is not necessarily symmetric because the number of test cases will not necessarily be the same as the number of functions and a test case may be related to a number of
functions or vice versa. This matrix will also have $M \times TC$ dimensions where $TC$ represents the number of test cases.

### 3.2. Parallel clustering by singular value decomposition

As mentioned, the matrix $F$, due to its symmetry and positive definite, has the singular value decomposition with the same values as $U$ and $V$.

After performing the decomposition of singular values on the $F$ matrix, the optimal output is three matrices $\Sigma$, $U$, $V$.

\[
F = \begin{pmatrix}
3 & 1 & 3 & 4 & 2 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 4 & 0 \\
3 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\
4 & 4 & 1 & 2 & 0 \\
2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{pmatrix},
T = \begin{pmatrix}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1
\end{pmatrix}
\] (1)

After singular value decomposition and running the two loops in parallel in the algorithm to produce the desired clusters, we will obtain a matrix that will be in $C \times M$ dimensions and so on. To prevent values from being written simultaneously by two parallel executable units (such as a thread or process), we used critical section to prevent invalid values from being written in one thread of the $R$ matrix.

\[
R = \begin{pmatrix}
-0.64 & 0.4 & 0.00 & 0.00 & -0.36 & 0.00 & -0.53 & 0.00 & 0.00 \\
-0.33 & 0.59 & 0.00 & 0.53 & 0.00 & 0.47 & 0.00 & 0.15 & 0.00 \\
-0.31 & 0.00 & -0.11 & 0.00 & -0.41 & 0.58 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.6 \\
-0.59 & 0.00 & -0.66 & 0.44 & 0.00 & 0.00 & -0.09 & 0.03 & 0.00 \\
-0.15 & 0.00 & -0.16 & 0.00 & -0.45 & 0.36 & 0.00 & 0.77 & 0.00
\end{pmatrix}
\] (2)

Each column of this matrix, or in other words, each cluster of this set, represents functions that have undergone more changes in relation to each other. Setting this limit of dependence between functions depends on setting the threshold value in the algorithm and is directly related to it, so that as we increase the threshold, we will have clusters that have elements with more dependencies.

### 3.3. Generate reduced sets of test cases

After obtaining the $R$ matrix with the help of the $T$ matrix, a kind of relationship should be established between the regression test cases and these resulting clusters. So by transposing the multiplication of those two matrices, we get a matrix that will show in a clustered manner the test cases that must be examined for each function change. This resulting matrix is called $Y$. 
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We use source control systems to collect data related to software system changes. These changes are stored in a version control system, where they can be tracked and managed. Developers can use these systems to coordinate their work and ensure that changes are properly integrated into the software system.

In examining the changes of functions together, we will face much larger dimensions of the input matrices, because the number of functions of a system is much larger than the number of files of that system. This approach creates a computational challenge for the developers of a software system. For this reason, we presented a parallel approach to the above algorithm instead of a sequential approach. In this section, we try to compare the two and analyze the points that are more suitable for parallel execution of the algorithm.

4. Experimental results

In this section, we examined what challenges we would face if we examined changes in system functions instead of changing the files of a software system, in order to increase accuracy.

In examining the changes of functions together, we will face much larger dimensions of the input matrices, because the number of functions of a system is much larger than the number of files of that system, this approach creates a computational challenge for the developers of a software system. For this reason, we presented a parallel approach to the above algorithm instead of a sequential approach. In this section, we try to compare the two and analyze the points that are more suitable for parallel execution of the algorithm. To perform our test, we used a shared memory multiprocessor system with four third-generation processors clocked at 9.2 GHz by Intel, along with implementing two parallel and sequential versions of the above algorithm.

We use source control systems to collect data related to software system changes. These changes are stored in a version control system, where they can be tracked and managed. Developers can use these systems to coordinate their work and ensure that changes are properly integrated into the software system.
systems are able to record software product changes at any time for any changes made by any member of the software development team.

As you can see in Table 1 for matrix dimensions less than 20 parallel methods have a longer execution time than the sequential method, but for dimensions larger than this value the parallel method has a lower execution speed. Because our research has matrices with very high input dimensions due to the study of changes in functions with each other, we propose the use of a parallel approach.

Table 1. Execution time of models

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dimension</th>
<th>16</th>
<th>17</th>
<th>18</th>
<th>19</th>
<th>20</th>
<th>21</th>
<th>22</th>
<th>23</th>
<th>24</th>
<th>25</th>
<th>26</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Parallel</td>
<td>9.27</td>
<td>15.55</td>
<td>30.25</td>
<td>8.71</td>
<td>77.08</td>
<td>113.32</td>
<td>135.53</td>
<td>48.00</td>
<td>42.91</td>
<td>113.80</td>
<td>58.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sequential</td>
<td>7.81</td>
<td>15.35</td>
<td>27.84</td>
<td>7.88</td>
<td>79.98</td>
<td>121.07</td>
<td>137.53</td>
<td>50.45</td>
<td>47.84</td>
<td>118.35</td>
<td>59.77</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

According to Figure 1 this parallelization has been performed on 11 different dimensions of matrices resulting from changes in a software system, and the resulting disturbances are due to the amount of thinness of each matrix. There is no comparison between the two parallel and sequential approaches and the result of these two approaches. These changes are due to the fact that in one version of the software there were fewer changes than other versions.

5. Conclusion

In the approach introduced by (Sherriff et al.) Due to the study of file changes and the consequent low size of the problem, there was no need for parallelism. But in the approach introduced by us due to increased accuracy and review of modified functions in the files, we were faced with an increase in the size of the problem that we were able to overcome this computational challenge with the parallel approach presented. As a result; This research, which has been developed using a parallel approach to source code clustering based on changes in functions, has a much higher operational accuracy than research based on changes in source code files.
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