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ABSTRACT

Watch-time prediction remains to be a key factor in reinforcing user engagement via video recommendations. It has become increasingly important given the ever-growing popularity of online videos. However, prediction of watch time not only depends on the match between the user and the video but is often misled by the duration of the video itself. With the goal of improving watch time, recommendation is always biased towards videos with long duration. Models trained on this imbalanced data face the risk of bias amplification, which misguides platforms to over-recommend videos with long duration but overlook the underlying user interests.

This paper presents the first work to study duration bias in watch-time prediction for video recommendation. We employ a causal graph illuminating that duration is a confounding factor that concurrently affects video exposure and watch-time prediction—the first effect on video causes the bias issue and should be eliminated, while the second effect on watch time originates from video intrinsic characteristics and should be preserved. To remove the undesired bias but leverage the natural effect, we propose a Duration-Deconfounded Quantile-based (D2Q) watch-time prediction framework, which allows for scalability to perform on industry production systems. Through extensive offline evaluation and live experiments, we showcase the effectiveness of this duration-deconfounding framework by significantly outperforming the state-of-the-art baselines. We have fully launched our approach on Kuaishou App, which has substantially improved real-time video consumption due to more accurate watch-time predictions.

CCS CONCEPTS

• Information systems ➔ Recommender systems; • Mathematics of computing ➔ Causal networks.
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1 INTRODUCTION

The rise of online video consumption has drawn growing efforts to optimize recommender systems of internet-based Video on Demand (VOD) systems such as YouTube and streaming players such as TikTok, Instagram Reels, and Kuaishou (see demonstration in Figure 1). As such, a main goal is to improve the amount of time users spend on watching the videos, referred to as expected watch time [7]. Watch time is a dense signal existing in each video view that concerns every user and video on the platform and represents a scarce resource of user attention that companies compete for. It is thus crucial to accurately estimate watch time on candidate videos when a user arrives. Accurate predictions enable the platform to recommend videos with potentially large watch time to improve user engagement, which directly drives the critical production metric of daily active user (DAU) and thereby the revenue growth.

Watch time is mainly affected by two factors. As known, it is largely governed by how interested the user is in the video and...
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Figure 1: A screenshot of the video recommendation module on Kuaishou App. Videos are displayed in the full-screen manner for immersive user experience.

Figure 2: 60th percentile watch-time on videos with respect to duration. Data is collected from Kuaishou App with sample size surpassing 20 billion. The spanned area denotes the 99.99% confidence interval of watch time.

can be zero when there is no interest match at all [12, 29]. Meanwhile, duration of a video itself (i.e., length of the video) also plays a significant role in determining how long the user spends on the video. Figure 2 shows that user watch-time is positively correlated with video duration. As a result, standard watch-time prediction models often use duration together with other video characteristics as feature inputs to make predictions [7, 8]. However, such practice unfortunately results in a bias issue in many recommender systems. Figure 3 demonstrates that recommendation is over time progressively based towards videos with long duration, due to the platform’s goal of maximizing user watch time. As a result, videos with longer duration are likely to be over-exposed, such that user real interest is undervalued in recommendations. More severely, models trained on such imbalanced data will amplify the duration bias due to the system’s feedback loop [27], which undesirably harms the diversity and personalization in ideal recommendation.

Despite the high prevalence, duration bias is much less explored as compared to many other biases that are caused by item popularity or position in recommendation studies [1, 2, 18, 31, 38–40]. With the goal of maximizing user watch-time, recommender systems may learn spurious correlation between duration and watch-time; thus videos with longer duration are more likely to be shown even though they may fail to match the user interest well. On the other hand, videos with long duration usually have larger sample size resulted from existing imbalanced exposure, which may dominate model learning such that model performance varies across duration.

This paper presents the first work in studying duration bias in watch-time prediction. We employ a direct acyclic graph (named as causal graph [20]) to characterize the causal relationship regarding duration in watch-time prediction, modeled by Figure 4(a). Specifically, duration—served as a confounding factor [20]—simultaneously affects both watch-time prediction and video exposure. The first effect of duration on watch-time shows that users tend to spend more time watching videos with intrinsically longer duration, which is a natural effect and should be captured by watch-time prediction models. The second effect from duration to video, however, is a bias term that plagues many watch-time prediction models. Such effect suggests that duration influences the likelihood of video impression, which represents model’s unfair preference on videos with long duration and should be eliminated. Such explicit modeling of duration effects, in contrast to previous works that only use duration as features for watch-time prediction, allows us to remove the undesired bias but preserve the true influence.

To deal with the duration bias, we follow the principle of backdoor adjustment [21] and intervene the causal graph of watch-time prediction to remove the undesired effect from duration to video exposure, as characterized in Figure 4(b). We note that the effect from duration to watch-time is preserved, since such relationship is intrinsic and should be leveraged in prediction. Operationally, we split the training data into equal parts with respect to duration; and for each duration group, we learn a regression model to predict group-wise watch-time quantiles, where the labels are determined by the original watch-time values and the empirical cumulative distribution of watch time in the corresponding group. Such quantile prediction enables model parameter sharing across duration groups, bringing in benefits on scalability. Together, we summarize our contributions as below:

- **Causal Formulation of Duration Bias in Watch-time Prediction.** We employ a causal graph to formalize the overlooked but widely existing issue of duration bias in watch-time prediction. We point out that duration is a confounder that affects both watch-time prediction and video exposure, of which the former is intrinsic and should be preserved, while the latter is bias and should be eliminated.
- **Adjusting for Duration with Scalability.** Guided by backdoor adjustment, we split data based on duration and fit a watch-time prediction model for each duration group to remove the duration bias on video exposure. We modify the watch-time labels with regard to duration to allow for parameter sharing across groups and gain scalability.
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2 RELATED WORK

Watch-time Prediction. It is crucial for many industry recommender systems to accurately predict watch time, which is one of the most representative metrics of user engagement [7, 28, 36]. However, in contrast to other metrics such as Click-Through-Rate (CTR) [5, 22, 24, 25, 29, 41], there are fewer research endeavors focused on this area. Remarkably, [7] provides one of the industry standard solutions to watch-time prediction, where the regression problem is transformed into Weighted Logistic Regression (which shall be referred to as WLR for the remaining of this paper), and the impressed videos are weighted with the actual watch time; in this way, the learned odds are approximately the expected watch time (detailed derivation is shown in Appendix A). However, videos with long duration—which has positive correlation with watch time as shown in Figure 2—often get larger sample weights during model training, amplifying the duration bias. Beside, such approach cannot be directly adapted to streaming services such as TikTok and Kuaishou that provide full-screen video content for immersive user experience, where there are no nominal unimpressed/unclicked samples—every video sample has been shown to a user and is thus impressed. In this paper, we revise the method in [7] to adapt to both streaming services (TikTok and Kuaishou) and scenarios as YouTube with user behaviors of click and watch. The modified method (i.e., WLR) acts as one of baselines in experiment Section 5.1 and Section 5.2.

Bias in recommender systems. Our work is also closely related to a growing literature focused on addressing biases in recommender systems [4]. One line of such strives to mitigate systematic biases that stand in opposite to fairness [35] and social welfare [10], via promoting equity of attention for items or improving model performances for subgroups [1, 2, 18, 38]. Another line focuses on dealing with algorithmic biases to improve model performance and break bias amplification resulted from feedback loop, which we view our work as complementary to. Methods in this line can be broadly categorized into three classes: (i) causal embedding, where researchers decompose item embedding with respect to different causal effects and learn each embedding using a curated bias-free dataset associated with the corresponding effect [3, 13, 40]; (ii) inverse propensity weighting, where researchers reweights samples following the rule of importance sampling [6, 16, 26] to correct for the distributional shift in training data, such that the learned model captures the bias-free signals to generalize to unseen distributions [11, 26, 32]; and (iii) causal intervention, where researchers intervene the causal relationship that causes the bias and add adjustment to eliminate this harmful effect for more accurate estimation [31, 37, 39]. Our work is classified into the third category, where with causal intervention, we remove the undesired effect of duration on video but preserve the desired effect of duration on watch time.

Causal Intervention. We finally review a relevant line in causality-related observational studies [23]. The causal relationships among variables are captured by a directed acyclic graph, named as causal graph, where nodes denote variables and directional edges denote causal effects [20]. Bias often arises when a model fails to account for a variable—referred to as confounder—which simultaneously affects both the feature variables and the outcome [19, 29]. In our case, this variable is the duration that has the confounding effects on both video and watch time. To deal with the bias and deconfound the problematic variable, a standard approach is to conduct do-calculus that specifies the value of relevant variables, named as backdoor adjustment, such that the intervened causal graph eliminates the edge of undesired causal effect [21]. Such approach has been widely used to estimate causal effect in various domains across healthcare [14], bioinformatics [17], and socioeconomics [30]. More recently, in recommender systems, it receives growing applications to adjust for the item popularity bias for CTR prediction [31, 39].

3 CAUSAL MODEL OF WATCH-TIME PREDICTION

Our goal is to predict watch time of a user when she/he is recommended with a video. We start by formulating the problem via a causal graph that characterizes the relationships among user, video, duration, watch-time, and in particular, the confounding effects of duration on both video exposure and watch-time prediction in most recommender systems, as shown in Figure 4(a):

- U denotes user representation, including user demographics, instantaneous context, historical interactions, etc.
We propose a scalable watch-time prediction framework that is scalable to deconfound duration and remove its effect on video exposure and watch-time prediction. Figure (b) uses backdoor adjustment to deconfound duration, where we remove the bias from duration on watch time.

Notably, the causal graph in Figure 4(a) demonstrates that duration is a confounder that affects watch-time via two paths: $D \rightarrow W$ and $D \rightarrow V \rightarrow W$. The first path suggests that duration has a direct causal relationship with watch time, which should be captured by watch-time prediction models since users tend to spend more time on watching long videos versus short ones. However, the second path implies that video exposure is undesirably affected by duration, and thus the video distribution is biased towards long videos; and if not eliminated, predictions would face the risk of bias amplification by the feedback loop of recommender systems.

### 4 Backdoor Adjustment for Duration Bias

In this section, we follow the principle of backdoor adjustment to deconfound duration, where we remove the bias from duration on video but preserve the effect from duration on watch time. We propose a scalable watch-time prediction framework that is Duration-Deconfounded and Quantile-based (D2Q), manifested by

\begin{itemize}
  \item i. Spitting data based on duration to remove duration bias;
  \item ii. Fitting watch-time quantiles instead of the original values to enable parameter sharing across groups for scalability.
\end{itemize}

We summarize our training and inference procedures in Algorithms 1 and 2 respectively.

**Algorithm 1: Training of D2Q: Duration-Deconfounded Quantile-based Watch Time Prediction**

**Input:** training data $\{(u_i,v_i,d_i,w_i)\}_{i=1}^n$.

1. Compute empirical quantiles of duration $\{d_i\}_{i=1}^n$ to determine the duration groups $\{D_k\}_{k=1}^M$.
2. Split data $\{(u_i,v_i,d_i,w_i)\}_{i=1}^n$ into $M$ equal parts based on $\{D_k\}_{k=1}^M$.
3. For each duration group $D_k$, compute the empirical cumulative distribution of watch time $\hat{F}_k$ on data $\{w_i : d_i \in D_k\}$.
4. Solve the watch-time quantile prediction model $h$ using all samples:

$$h = \arg\min_{k'} \sum_{(u_i,v_i,w_i) \in D_{k'}} (h'(u_i,v_i) - \hat{F}_k(w_i))^2,$$

where $k'$ is the duration group of sample $i$ such that $d_i \in D_{k'}$.

**Output:** duration groups $\{D_k\}_{k=1}^M$, watch-time quantile prediction model $h$.

**Algorithm 2: Inference of D2Q: Duration-Deconfounded Quantile-based Watch Time Prediction**

**Input:** user-video pair $(u_0,v_0)$ to be inquired, duration groups $\{D_k\}_{k=1}^M$, watch-time quantile prediction model $h$.

1. Find the corresponding duration group $D_{k_0}$ for video $v_0$.
2. Estimate watch time $\hat{w}_0 = \hat{F}_{k_0}(h(u_0,v_0))$.

**Output:** estimated watch-time $\hat{w}_0$.

### 4.1 Deconfounding Duration

Following the do-calculus, we block the duration effect on video exposure by removing edge $D \rightarrow V$, as illustrated by the deconfounded causal graph $G_1$ in Figure 4(b). We frame the watch-time prediction model as $\mathbb{E}[W|d(U,V)]$ and have

$$\mathbb{E}[W|d(U,V)] = \mathbb{E}_{G_1}[W|U,V,D = d]$$

\begin{align*}
\mathbb{E}[W|d(U,V)] &= \mathbb{E}_{G_1}[W|U,V,D = d] \\
&\equiv \sum_d \mathbb{P}_{G_1}(D = d|U,V) \mathbb{E}_{G_1}[W|U,V,D = d] \\
&\equiv \sum_d \mathbb{P}(D = d) \mathbb{E}[W|U,V,D = d],
\end{align*}

where (i) is by law of total expectation [33]; (ii) is because $D$ is independent of $(U,V)$ with the intervention that removes edge $D \rightarrow V$ in graph $G_1$; and (iii) is because such intervention does not
We now present a general framework to estimate the watch-time labels into duration-dependent watch-time labels, with short watch time during model training.

Equation (1) sheds light on the design to deconfound duration: one can estimate \( P(D) \) and \( E[W|U, V, D] \) separately and then combine them together to construct the final estimation. In this paper, we propose to discretize the duration distribution \( P(D) \) into disjoint groups and fit group-wise watch-time prediction model \( E[W|U, V, D] \) to complete the estimation.

### 4.2 Data-Splitting based on Duration Quantiles

We now present a general framework to estimate the watch-time with duration deconfounded, depicted by Figure 4(b). The high-level idea is to split data based on duration and construct group-wise watch-time estimation to debias duration on video exposure.

Specifically, to block edge \( D \rightarrow V \), we split training samples into \( M \) equal parts based on duration quantiles, which discretizes the distribution \( P(D) \) into disjoint components. Let \( \{D_k\}_{k=1}^{M} \) be these duration groups. Continuing the derivation in (1), we estimate the deconfounded model \( E[W|do(U, V)] \) via the approximation:

\[
E[W|do(U, V)] = \sum_{d} P(D = d) E[W|U, V, D = d] \\
\approx \sum_{k=1}^{M} \mathbb{1}[d \in D_k] E[W|U, V, D \in D_k] \\
= \frac{1}{M} \sum_{k=1}^{M} 1\{d \in D_k\} f_k(u, v)
\]

where for each duration group \( D_k \), \( f_k(u, v) \) is the watch-time prediction model fitted on samples \( \{(u_i, v_i, w_i, d_i) : d_i \in D_k\} \) that belong to the duration group.

We hereby provide an intuitive explanation on why such data splitting procedure based on duration can mitigate the bias issue of edge \( D \rightarrow V \) in Figure 4(a). In standard watch-time prediction models such as WLR [7], samples with long watch-time weights more in the gradient updating, such that the prediction model often performs poorly on samples with short watch-time. Watch-time is highly correlated with duration, as shown in Figure 2. By splitting data based on duration and fitting model group-wisely, we alleviate the interference from samples with long watch-time on samples with short watch-time during model training.

However, such data-splitting approach raises another concern. If we fit an individual watch-time prediction model \( f_k \) for each duration group \( D_k \) (as demonstrated in Figure 5(a)), model size will grow undesirably large, which is not practical in real production systems for scalability concern. But if we allow parameter sharing across duration groups, fitting with the original watch-time labels is equivalent to learning without data splitting, which fails to bring in the benefits of duration deconfounding. The following section explains how to address this dilemma via transforming the original watch-time labels into duration-dependent watch-time labels, allowing us to both remove duration bias and also maintain a single set of model parameters to gain scalability.

### 4.3 Estimating Watch-time per Duration Group

Moving on, we describe how we fit a single watch-time prediction model using data from all duration groups. Recall that the goal of our design is twofold: (i) duration debiasing and (ii) parameter sharing. The key is to transform the watch-time label to be duration-dependent, manifested by fitting watch-time quantiles—instead of the original values—with respect to the corresponding duration group. Collectively, we introduce our Duration-Deconfounded Quantile-based (D2Q) watch time prediction framework.

Denote \( \Phi_k(w) \) as the empirical cumulative distribution of watch-time on videos in duration group \( D_k \). Given a user-video pair \((u, v)\), the D2Q method predicts its watch-time quantile in the corresponding duration group and then maps it to the value domain of watch time using \( \Phi_k \). That is,

\[
f_k(u, v) = \Phi_k^{-1}(h(u, v)),
\]

where \( h \) is a watch-time quantile prediction model fitted on data across all duration groups:

\[
h = \arg \min_k \sum_{(w_0, v_0, w_i)} (h(u_i, v_i) - \Phi_k(w_i))^2,
\]

with \( k \) being the duration group of sample \( i \) such that \( d_i \in D_k \). One can apply any off-the-shelf regression model to fit the quantile prediction model \( h \) and maintain one single set of model parameters across all duration groups. Then, during the inference phase, when a new user-video pair \((u_0, v_0)\) arrives, the model firstly finds the duration group \( D_{0_k} \) that video \( v_0 \) belongs to, and then maps the watch-time quantile prediction \( h(u_0, v_0) \) to the watch-time value \( \Phi_{0_k}^{-1}(h(u_0, v_0)) \). We summarize the learning and inference procedures in Algorithm 1 and 2 respectively.

In this way, D2Q fit labels that are duration-dependent. We note that video duration should also be part of model input to differentiate samples from different duration groups, as illustrated by Figure 5(b). Otherwise, samples from different duration groups may share the same label of watch-time quantile but have different characteristics—a single model would fail to learn the watch-time quantile across groups. To fully utilize duration information, one can additional incorporate a duration adjustment tower in the model architecture as ResNet [15], for which we refer to as Res-D2Q and illustrate in Figure 5(c). Section 5 demonstrates that Res-D2Q further improves watch-time prediction accuracy over D2Q.

The transformation of watch-time labels with respect to duration allows for both deconfounding duration bias and parameter sharing across duration groups. However, with the number of duration groups increasing, the group sample size shrinks, and the empirical cumulative distribution of watch-time per duration group will gradually deviate from its true distribution. Therefore, the model performance should firstly improve with duration-based data splitting, thanks to the benefits of deconfounding duration; then with the number of duration groups growing, the estimation error of empirical watch-time distribution will dominate the model performance and make it progressively worse. Section 5 empirically justifies this performance change with a series of experiments.

### 5 EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

In this section, we provide empirical evidence to demonstrate the effectiveness of our approach on both real-world data and live experiments. Extensive offline evaluation shows that our method outperforms existing baselines by providing more accurate watch-time
prediction, such that the ranking order induced by the predicted values is closer to the ideal ranking. We note that with the platform’s goal of improving user watch time, ranking—in contrast to the true watch-time value—is usually much valued when recommending videos in practice. Furthermore, by incorporating our method in the recommender system of a short video platform, we find it effectively improves real-time video consumption as compared to alternatives, thanks to its ability to generate better ranking of candidate videos based on optimized watch-time prediction.

5.1 Offline Evaluation

We first evaluate our approach as well as other baselines on offline data collected from real applications. In particular, we are interested in understanding: (i) how does deconfounding duration contribute to watch-time prediction?; and (ii) how does the number of duration groups affect our model performances?

5.1.1 Data. We use production data collected from online recommender systems on the Kuaishou App. By the nature of full-screen feed recommendation, every video in the collected sample has been shown to a user and is associated with the user watch time (which can be close to zero if the user immediately scrolls down to the next video). Specifically, for the causal graph shown in Figure 4, we have

- user representation \( U \): user instantaneous context (such as location, time, and device), stationary context (such as demographics if available), and historical interactions that encode his/her interests.
- video representation \( V \): video topic information, its corresponding video-creator information, and its previous interactions with other users.
- duration \( D \): length of the video.
- watch-time \( W \): the watch time from the user.

5.1.2 Methods. We focus on the following methods.

- **VR (Value Regression).** This approach predicts the watch-time value directly, via minimizing mean squared error loss between the predicted value and the actual watch time.
- **WLR (Weighted Logistic Regression)** [7]. This approach fits a weighted logistic regression model and uses the learned odds as the predicted watch time. Since there are no unimpressed videos in our case, we determine the binary labels based on whether the watch time surpasses the \( q_{0.5} \)-quantile of the empirical watch-time distribution, which is computed on all training samples. Following [7], positive samples are weighted by watch-time and negative samples receive unit weight. Appendix A details this method.
- **D2Q (Ours).** As described in Section 4.3, this approach (i) splits data based on duration; and (ii) fits a regression model—with its architecture shown in Figure 5(b)—to estimate watch time quantile via mean squared error loss. Then the predicted quantile is mapped to the watch-time value domain—based on the group-wise empirical watch-time distribution—to output the final watch-time estimation.
- **Res-D2Q (Ours).** This method further utilizes the duration information by improving D2Q and incorporating duration

All algorithms evaluated share the same input features. In total, we have 1,211,885,691 samples for training and 134,653,965 samples for testing, with statistics summarized in Table 1.

Table 1: Summary statistics of Kuaishou dataset used in offline evaluation in Section 5.1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Users</th>
<th>Photos</th>
<th>Categories</th>
<th>Instances</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>47,298,353</td>
<td>15,187,170</td>
<td>5,942</td>
<td>1,346,539,657</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 Reproduction code can be found at https://github.com/MorganSQ/Ks-D2Q
Table 2: Offline evaluation results on Kuaishou dataset. We use bold fonts to label best performances.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>#Groups</th>
<th>Method</th>
<th>XAUC</th>
<th>XGAUC</th>
<th>MAE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>VR</td>
<td>0.6843</td>
<td>0.6380</td>
<td>28.2413</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>WLR[7]</td>
<td>0.6940</td>
<td>0.6436</td>
<td>65.6535</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>D2Q</td>
<td>0.7092</td>
<td>0.6579</td>
<td>26.8684</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Res-D2Q</td>
<td>0.7108</td>
<td>0.6604</td>
<td>26.8471</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>D2Q</td>
<td>0.7147</td>
<td>0.6654</td>
<td>26.5993</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Res-D2Q</td>
<td>0.7150</td>
<td>0.6679</td>
<td>26.5530</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>D2Q</td>
<td>0.7148</td>
<td>0.6660</td>
<td>26.5227</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Res-D2Q</td>
<td>0.7145</td>
<td>0.6693</td>
<td>26.5073</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>D2Q</td>
<td>0.7142</td>
<td>0.6619</td>
<td>26.8047</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Res-D2Q</td>
<td>0.7141</td>
<td>0.6643</td>
<td>27.1260</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>D2Q</td>
<td>0.7119</td>
<td>0.6608</td>
<td>26.8277</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Res-D2Q</td>
<td>0.7125</td>
<td>0.6637</td>
<td>26.7297</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


5.1.3 Metrics. We consider the following metrics for performances.

- **MAE (Mean Absolute Error)**, which measures the mean absolute error between the predicted and true values,

\[
MAE = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} |y_i - \hat{y}_i|
\]

where \(y_i, \hat{y}_i\) are the true and predicted values for sample \(i\).

- **XAUC**, which is an extension of AUC to dense values. For a pair of samples, we score 1 if the predicted watch-time values of the two videos are in the same order as the ground truth and score 0 vice versa. We uniformly sample such pairs from test set and average those scores as XAUC. Intuitively, XAUC measures how the ranking induced by the predicted watch time is in agreement with the ideal ranking. Larger XAUC suggests better model performance.

- **XGAUC**, which calculates XAUC per user and then averages scores with weights proportional to user sample size. Larger XGAUC suggests better model performance.

We note that the ranking-order-related metrics such as XAUC and XGAUC are often valued more in real applications than the absolute value accuracy measured by MAE, since platforms generate recommendations based on the ranking of predicted values.

Figure 6: XGAUC of watch-time prediction methods with respect to number of duration groups. Our models D2Q and Res-D2Q significantly outperform the baseline methods WLR and VR. Our model performance first improves gradually with increased number of duration groups, benefiting from deconfounding duration. Then the performance drops when the group number is too large, due to reduced group-wise sample size and accumulated estimation error.

5.1.4 Result-I: Overall performance. Table 2 shows the performances of different methods with respect to various number of duration groups. Note that there is no data splitting for VR and WLR, and thus we present their results in the row of group number equaling one; when there is only one group, Res-D2Q is equivalent to D2Q since all samples share the same duration adjustment, and thus we omit the result of Res-D2Q there.

Our approaches D2Q and Res-D2Q with 30 duration groups peak the performance in all metrics XAUC, XGAUC, and MAE. In particular, by further leveraging the duration information in the model architecture, Res-D2Q can better distinguish samples from different duration groups and thus outperforms D2Q in most cases. When there is no data splitting, D2Q (which fits the watch time quantile directly) has comparable performance with LR (which fits the watch time value). However, once data is split based on duration, D2Q with any experimented number of duration groups generates more accurate prediction than LR, endorsing the effectiveness of our duration-wise data splitting to deconfound duration.

5.1.5 Result-II: Effect of number of duration groups. Figure 6 plots the XGAUC of our methods D2Q and Res-D2Q with respect to number of duration groups. When there is no data splitting, both methods are equivalent to each other. Once data is split to deconfound duration, Res-D2Q is superior to D2Q via improved network architecture with duration information. With the number of duration groups increasing, the performance firstly improves, with the merit of deconfounding duration by data splitting, and then...
Table 3: Live experiments on Kuaishou App. We use VR as a baseline and show the relative performance of WLR and Res-D2Q with \#Groups = 30. The square brackets represent the 95% confidence intervals for online metrics. Statistically-significant improvement (whose value is not in the confidence interval) is marked with bold font in the table.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Main Metric.</th>
<th>Constraint Metrics.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Watch Time</td>
<td>Like</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WLR v.s. VR (baseline)</td>
<td>+0.184%</td>
<td>+1.012%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>[-0.16%, 0.16%]</td>
<td>[-0.50%, 0.51%]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Res-D2Q v.s. VR (baseline)</td>
<td>+0.746%</td>
<td>+0.251%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>[-0.15%, 0.15%]</td>
<td>[-0.41%, 0.41%]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.2 Live Experiments
We further test our approach in live A/B experiments powered by Kuaishou video recommendation platform, demonstrating its advantage over alternatives in improving real-time video consumption, as a consequence of improved watch-time prediction.

5.2.1 Compared methods. We compare baseline methods VR and WLR with our approach Res-D2Q with 30 duration groups, which achieves the best performance in offline evaluation in Section 5.1. Detailed description of methods can be found in Section 5.1.2. We use the same model architecture as that in Section 5.1.

5.2.2 Experimental setup. We integrate watch-time prediction into the ranking phase of the online recommender system used by Kuaishou App. Specifically, when a user arrives, the recommender system first generates a set of candidate videos that the user might be interested in, based on his/her characteristics. Then, the prediction model predicts the watch time of each video candidate supposing that it were recommended to the user. The candidate videos are ranked in accordance with the predicted values, and videos with higher values have larger likelihood to be recommended.

Models are pretrained on shared samples collected within one day before being tested in real time. We conduct A/B experiments to evaluate their live performances—randomly split users on the platform into buckets of 5%, 5%, 5%, 85% and use the first three buckets for online evaluation, i.e., 5% of the users on Kuaishou App experience the recommender system that embodies the corresponding watch-time prediction model. Considering that Kuaishou serves over 320 million daily active users [9], doing experiments in the 5%-bucket affects a huge population of more than 15 million users, which endorses the statistical significance of our results.

5.2.3 Metrics. We evaluate model performance based on the total amount of time spent by users in the bucket watching the videos, denoted as WatchTime. This metric is positively correlated with watch-time prediction accuracy. Consider a mental thought: there are two watch-time prediction methods model-A and model-B, and suppose that model-A better predicts watch time. Then videos with larger ground-truth watch time are more likely to be ranked higher and consequently shown to users by the recommender system with model-A, as compared to that with model-B; as a result, users in the bucket that tests model-A will spend more time on watching the videos, improving the WatchTime metric.

We also provide additional metrics widely-adopted in real production systems, which measure user engagement from the perspective of user interactions that are also valued by platforms, including like (clicking like button on the screen), follow (following the corresponding video creator), share (sharing the video with friends), and comment (leaving comments on the video). However, since interactions are not mutually exclusive among platforms (contrary to WatchTime), platforms usually use them as constraint metrics when evaluating strategies that improve WatchTime. We present the total number of like/follow/share/comment counts for each method to complement our evaluation.

5.2.4 Results. Table 3 shows the performance of evaluated methods after being tested online concurrently for 24 hours on Kuaishou App. We use VR as a base method and list the relative performances of WLR and Res-D2Q. For the main metric WatchTime, both WLR and Res-D2Q outperform VR with statistical significance, and our method Res-D2Q achieves a larger improvement of +0.746%, which is remarkable given the fact that the average watch-time improvement from production algorithms is around 0.1%. Indeed, our approach has been deployed to the online recommender system on Kuaishou App. For the constraint metrics measuring user interactions, the difference between Res-D2Q and VR is not statistically significant and thus can be safely ignored.

6 CONCLUSION
The surge in video consumption has been revolutionizing social media worldwide, causing increasing demand for optimizing the recommender systems on these video platforms. It remains to be a key problem to accurately predict the watch-time when evaluating different candidate videos, such that videos with potentially larger watch time are recommended to improve user engagement. However, watch-time prediction has been plagued by duration bias that is overlooked in existing models. Such bias originates from the goal of recommender systems to improve user watch time, such that spurious correlation between duration and watch time may be over-utilized and videos with long duration would be unfairly favored despite that they may be less aligned with user interests. Indeed, many video-sharing platforms are progressively biased towards videos with long duration. This issue becomes more severe due to the feedback loop of recommender systems, resulting in bias amplification that harms personalization.
In this paper, we formulate the problem of watch-time prediction using a causal graph, which characterizes the confounding effects of duration on both video exposure and watch-time prediction. We propose a Duration-Deconfounded Quantile-based (D2Q) framework, such that the natural effect of duration on watch-time is preserved, and the bias of duration on video is removed. Through extensive offline evaluation and live experiments, we demonstrate the advantages of our approach over alternatives in providing more accurate watch-time estimations, which further improves video consumption in real-time recommendation on Kuaishou App. We also vary the number of duration groups and show that our model performance improves first and then declines, due to deconfounding duration and reduced sample size respectively.
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A WEIGHTED LOGISTIC REGRESSION FOR WATCH-TIME PREDICTION

A.1 YouTube Method

Watch-time prediction via weighted logistic regression is first proposed by YouTube [7], where the expected watch time is calculated via the intermediate metric Odds. For completeness, we review their method here. Odds is defined by Equation 6, where \( k \) is the number of “positive” (which shall be defined shortly) samples and \( n \) is total number of samples. \( T_i \) is the watch time for “positive” video \( i \). In YouTube recommender system, “positive” videos are those that are clicked by users and thus impressed, and “negative” indicates the recommended video is not clicked, \( p_w \) is the predicted watch time.

\[
\text{Odds} = \frac{\sum_i T_i}{N - k} = \frac{p_w}{1 - p_w}.
\]

(6)

The calculation of Odds can be connected with that of expected watch time \( E(T) \) using Equation 7. \( p_{ctr} \) is the click-through-rate of the YouTube recommender system.

\[
\text{Odds} = \frac{\sum_i T_i}{N - k} = \frac{\sum_i T_i/N}{(N - k)/N} = \frac{E(T)}{1 - p_{ctr}}.
\]

(7)

The Taylor expansion [34] of \( \frac{1}{1-x} \) is \( 1 + x + x^2 + x^3 + \cdots \) . Thus Equation 7 can be rewritten as Equation 8, where the approximation is satisfied because \( p_{ctr} \) is around 0.01 such that \( p_{ctr}^2 \) is small enough.

\[
\text{Odds} \approx \frac{E(T)}{1 - p_{ctr}} \approx E(T) \times (1 + p_{ctr}) \approx E(T) \times 1 + p_{ctr} \approx E(T).
\]

(8)

Combining Equation 8 and Equation 6, the expected watch time can be calculated with Equation 9.

\[
E(T) \approx \frac{p_w}{1 - p_w}.
\]

(9)

A.2 WLR: Adapting YouTube Method to Our Scenario

In contrast to the YouTube scenario where users have “click” action and then “watch” [7], short video platforms such as Kuaishou App serve users in a “top-down” scenario where they present videos in a full-screen and single-column format; thus the click-through-rate \( p_{ctr} \) in Equation 7 is not well-defined. Instead, we determine the “positive” and “negative” labels based on whether the watch time surpasses the q\(_{60}\)-quantile of the empirical watch-time distribution. Following [7], positive samples are weighted by watch-time and negative samples receive unit weight. We can get similar result as Equation 7, which is shown in Equation 11.

\[
\text{Odds} = \frac{\sum_i T_i}{N - k} = \frac{\sum_i T_i/N}{(N - k)/N} = \frac{E(T)}{1 - k/N} = \frac{E(T)}{1 - p_{\geq q_{60}}}. 
\]

(10)

Unfortunately, the average \( p_{\geq q_{60}} \) is 0.4 and can not be approximated as \( p_{ctr} \) like Equation 8. Thus the final expected watch time in “top-down” scenario can be obtained by Equation 11.

\[
E(T) = \frac{p_w}{1 - p_w} (1 - p_{\geq q_{60}}),
\]

(11)

where \( p_{\geq q_{60}} \) is the predicted probability of the watch time surpassing the q\(_{60}\) quantile and can be obtained via minimizing the classical log-loss (see Equation 12) for binary classification.

\[
\mathcal{L}(\theta) = - \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \left( y_{\geq q_{60}}(j) \times \log(p_{\geq q_{60}}(j)) + (1 - y_{\geq q_{60}}(j)) \times \log(1 - p_{\geq q_{60}}(j)) \right).
\]

(12)

B NETWORK ARCHITECTURE IN EXPERIMENTS

Recall that all methods in our experiment share the same network structure except for Res-D2Q that additionally has a MLP for duration adjustment. The overall network architecture can be divided into three parts: input layer, encoding layer, and output layer.

B.1 Input Layer

The goal of input layer is to embed different features, which can be divided into two categories: dense input \( x_{dense} \) and id input \( x_{id} \) . Particularly, we use \( x_{duration} \) to denote the raw value of video duration for its importance in our work. The output of the embedding layer is \( E_{dense} \in \mathbb{R}^{B \times 32}, E_{id} \in \mathbb{R}^{B \times 512} \) and \( E_{duration} \in \mathbb{R}^{B \times 32} \), where \( B \) is the size of mini batch. In our experiments, we set \( B = 512 \).

B.2 Encoding Layer and Output Layer

The output of input layer is concatenated together, which is further transformed by a projection matrix \( W \in \mathbb{R}^{576 \times 512} \) into an embedding matrix \( E \):

\[
E = \text{Concat}(E_{dense}, E_{id}, E_{duration})W.
\]

(13)

Then the embedding matrix \( E \) is fed into three-layer of MLP whose embedding size is \{256, 128, 64\} respectively, generating a hidden matrix \( H \in \mathbb{R}^{B \times 64} \).

\[
H = \text{Swish}(\text{Swish}(EW^1 + b^1)W^2 + b^2)W^3 + b^3.
\]

(14)

Finally, \( H \) is fed into the output layer, which is output directly for VR and is activated with sigmoid function for others.

\[
y = [y_w(1), y_w(2), \cdots]^T = \text{Sigmoid}(H).
\]

(15)

We note that the duration adjustment for Res-D2Q also happens in this layer, where \( H \) is additionally concatenated with the output from duration tower.