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Abstract—The reconfigurable intelligent surface (RIS) has been a potential technology for future radar and wireless communication applications. In this letter, the passive sensing problem using wireless communications signals and RIS is addressed in the scenario with the interference from the wireless access point (AP). An atomic norm minimization (ANM) method is formulated to exploit the target sparsity in the spatial domain and estimate the direction of arrival (DOA), but the conventional semidefinite programming (SDP)-based method to solve the ANM problem is complex and cannot be realized efficiently. Therefore, we propose a RIS-ADMM method as an alternating direction method of multipliers (ADMM)-based iterative method. The closed-form expressions are derived, and the interference signal is also suppressed. Simulation results show that the proposed RIS-ADMM method outperforms the compared methods in the DOA estimation performance with low computational complexity. The code about the proposed method is available online https://github.com/chenpengseu/RIS-ADMM.git.

Index Terms—RIS, passive sensing, DOA estimation, ADMM, atomic norm minimization.

I. INTRODUCTION

RECENTLY, the integrated sensing and communication (ISAC) system has attracted more attention for realizing both wireless communication and target localization in one equipment [1]. The ISAC system can be either active or passive. In the active system, additional signals are transmitted for the sensing function. However, in the passive one, only the communication signals are adopted. When we use the wireless communication signals to localize an unregistered target, it is also known as device-free sensing [2]. Additionally, the passive sensing systems usually have the advantage of convenience realization and can be equipped without changing the original communication system [3].

To sense a target, the position information can be obtained by the delays or the directions of the received signals. For the delay estimation, a sync reference signal from the base station (BS) or wireless access point (AP) is usually used, so a additional sync link is required; For the direction estimation, the sync signal is not necessary and is more convenience in the system realization. However, the direction finding system usually has multiple receiving channels, which is expensive and complex. Recently, reconfigurable intelligent surface (RIS) has been proposed to improve the communication and radar performance and can also be used for the DOA estimation with only one fully functional receiving channel by changing the amplitudes or the phases of reflected signals [4,5].

The RIS-based methods for the communication and sensing systems have been proposed. For example, in [6], a RIS enhanced spectrum sensing system is proposed, and the detection probability is evaluated to show the number of elements for high detection probability. In [7], RIS is used to realize both radar and communication functions in a mmWave system, and the codebook is designed to localize the target while ensuring a strong communication link. A single-anchor localization algorithm is proposed to estimate the user equipment at the base station using RIS, and the RIS phases are also optimized to enhance the positioning performance in [8]. Moreover, for the ISAC system, ref. [9] optimizes the joint waveform and the RIS phases to minimize the multi-user interference guaranteeing the DOA estimation performance. The RIS is also used for location awareness communication in the beyond 5G network [10,11]. Due to hardware limitations, the phase shifts of RIS cannot be controlled continually, so the discretized effect is analyzed in [12]. In [13,14], the target detection problem in the radar system using RIS is considered.

In this letter, the passive sensing problem using RIS is addressed in the scenario with an interference signal from the AP. Then, an optimization problem based on the atomic norm minimization (ANM) is formulated. In the traditional methods, semidefinite programming (SDP)-based methods are used to solve the ANM problem, which has high computational complexity and cannot be realized efficiently. Hence, we propose a RIS-ADMM algorithm to solve the ANM problem with interference removal based on the alternating direction method of multipliers (ADMM), where closed expressions in the RIS-ADMM iterations are also derived. Finally, the proposed method is compared with the existing methods in the performance of the DOA estimation and the computational complexity.

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows. The RIS-based sensing system model is formulated in Section II. The RIS-ADMM method for the DOA estimation With inter-
ference removal is proposed in Section III. Section IV gives simulation results, and Section V finally concludes the paper.

II. THE RIS-BASED SENSING SYSTEM MODEL

We consider a RIS-based sensing system, as shown in Fig. 1. An AP is used for wireless communication, where the communication signals are also adopted for the passive sensing. A RIS is used to reflect the communication signals, and the reflected signals are received by a sensor, where the sensor has only one receiving channel. To sense the targets, we are trying to estimate the DOA between the targets and the RIS by changing the amplitude and phases of RIS elements during different time slots.

Then, with $N$ time slots and $K$ targets, the received signal $r \in \mathbb{C}^{N \times 1}$ in the sensor can be expressed as [15]

$$r = GA(\theta)s + G\psi q + w,$$ (1)

where $s \in \mathbb{C}^{K \times 1}$ is the reflected signal, $w \in \mathbb{C}^{N \times 1}$ denotes the additive white Gaussian noise (AWGN) with the variance being $\sigma_w^2$, $G \in \mathbb{C}^{N \times M}$ is the measurement matrix, $N$ is the number of measurements, and $M$ denotes the number of elements in RIS. Note that the interference signal $q \in \mathbb{C}$ is considered in the sensing system, where the sensor receives the interference signal from the direct path between the AP and the RIS. The DOA between the $k$-th ($k = 0, 1, \ldots, K - 1$) target and RIS is $\theta_k$, and that between the AP and RIS is $\psi$. We also define the steering matrix as $A(\theta) \triangleq \left[ a(\theta_0), a(\theta_1), \ldots, a(\theta_{K-1}) \right] \in \mathbb{C}^{M \times K}$, where the steering vector is defined as $a(\theta) \triangleq \left[ 1, e^{j2\pi d \sin \theta_1}, \ldots, e^{j2\pi (M-1)d \sin \theta} \right]^T \in \mathbb{C}^{M \times 1}$, $j \triangleq \sqrt{-1}$, and $d$ is the distance between adjacent elements normalized by the wavelength.

Since the passive sensing problem is considered in this paper, the reflected signals $s$ and the interference signal $q$ is unknown. With system model (1), we are trying to estimate the DOA $\theta$ from the received signal $r$ with the additional interference signal.

III. RIS-ADMM METHOD FOR THE DOA ESTIMATION WITH INTERFERENCE REMOVAL

To sense the DOA of the targets, the target sparsity can be exploited to improve the estimation performance. Additionally, to overcome the off-grid problem introduced by the compressed sensing (CS)-based methods using the dictionary matrix. An ANM problem will be formulated in the proposed RIS-ADMM method.

First, with the interference signal, the DOA estimation problem can be rewritten as the following sparse reconstruction problem

$$\min_{x,q} \|r - Gx - cq\|_2^2 + \rho \|x\|_A,$$ (2)

where we define $c \triangleq G\psi$, and $\| \cdot \|_A$ denotes the atomic norm. The parameter $\rho$ is used to control the sparsity of the reconstructed signal $x$, and can be chosen as $\rho \simeq \sigma_w \sqrt{M \log M}$. From the definition of the atomic norm, the atomic norm can be expressed as $\|x\|_A = \inf_{u,t} \left\{ \frac{\text{Tr}(\text{Toep}(u))}{2M} + \frac{t}{2} : \begin{pmatrix} \text{Toep}(u) \\ x^H \end{pmatrix} t \succeq 0 \right\}$ [16].

Then, with the expression of atomic norm, the ANM problem in (2) can be rewritten as

$$\min_{x,q,u,t} \|r - Gx - cq\|_2^2 + \frac{\rho}{2M} \text{Tr}(\text{Toep}(u)) + \frac{\rho}{2} t,$$ (3)

s.t. $\begin{pmatrix} \text{Toep}(u) \\ x^H \end{pmatrix} t \succeq 0$.

The sparse signal $x$ in the passive sensing system using RIS can be reconstructed by solving the SDP-based method (3). However, in the practical system with low-cost elements, a RIS with a large number of elements is used to improve the sensing performance. In this scenario, the SDP-based method cannot be used directly since the computational complexity is high. Hence, we will introduce an ADMM-based method to solve the SDP problem efficiently.

The optimization problem can be rewritten as

$$\min_{x,q,u,t,Y} \|r - z\|_2^2 + \frac{\rho}{2} (u_0 + t).$$ (4)

s.t. $Y = \begin{pmatrix} \text{Toep}(u) \\ x^H \end{pmatrix} t$, $Y \succeq 0$, $z = Gx + cq$.

Then, by introducing parameters $P$ and $w$, the corresponding augmented Lagrangian is

$$L_+(x, q, u, t, z, Y, P, w) = \|r - z\|_2^2 + \frac{\rho}{2} (u_0 + t) + \langle P, Y - \begin{pmatrix} \text{Toep}(u) \\ x^H \end{pmatrix} t \rangle + \langle Gx + cq - z, w \rangle + \tau \|Y - \begin{pmatrix} \text{Toep}(u) \\ x^H \end{pmatrix} t \|_F^2 + \tau \|Gx + cq - z\|_2^2,$$ (5)

where we denote the vector inner product operation as $\langle x, y \rangle \triangleq \mathcal{R}\{y^H x\}$ and the matrix inner product operation as $\langle X, Y \rangle \triangleq \mathcal{R}\{\text{Tr}(Y^H X)\}$. The parameter $\tau$ is for the robustness of the reconstruction signal.

In the ADMM method [17], by denoting $(\cdot)^i$ as the value of the parameter at the $i$-th iteration, all the unknown parameters during the $i$-th iteration can be obtained as follows:

- For the unknown parameters $x^{i+1}, q^{i+1}, u^{i+1}, t^{i+1}, z^{i+1}$, we have
  $$\{x^{i+1}, q^{i+1}, u^{i+1}, t^{i+1}, z^{i+1}\} = \arg \min_{x,q,u,t,z} L_+(x, q, u, t, z, Y^i, P^i, w^i).$$ (6)
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Fig. 3. The estimated performance with different system parameters.

Fig. 2. The estimated performance with different iterative numbers.
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The advantage of the ADMM-based method is that the iterative expressions for the unknown parameter can be given in the closed-form. The details to derive the expressions in the RIS-ADMM method are given in Appendix A.

The details of the RIS-ADMM method are summarized in Algorithm 1. Furthermore, we can find that the sparse signal $x$ is reconstructed, but the DOA of the targets is still unknown. We can adopt the multiple signal classification (MUSIC) method with a single snapshot to estimate the spatial spectrum, such as the Henkel-based MUSIC method [18]. Then the corresponding DOAs can be estimated by finding the positions of the peaks of the spatial spectrum. In the RIS-ADMM method, the computational complexity is determined by the step of the eigenvalue decomposition, so it is $O((M + 1)^3)$, which is much lower than that of the SDP-based method $O((M + 1)^3)$ to solve the optimization problem (3).

IV. SIMULATION RESULTS

To show the performance of the RIS-ADMM method, simulation results are carried out in this section. The code about the proposed method is available online https://github.com/chenpengseu/RIS-ADMM.git. The simulation parameters are as follows: The number of elements is $M = 64$; The number of measurements is $N = 32$; The he number of targets $K = 3$; The normalized distance is $d = 0.5$; The range of spatial angle is $[-90^{\circ}, 90^{\circ}]$.

First, the estimation performance of the RIS-ADMM method with different numbers of iterations is shown in Fig. 2, where the estimation performance is measured by root mean square of error (RMSE) $\sqrt{\frac{1}{KN_m} \sum_{n=0}^{N_m} \| \hat{\theta}_n - \theta_n \|^2}$, where $N_m$ denotes the number of Monte Carlo trials. $\hat{\theta}_n$ and $\theta_n$ denote the estimated DOA and ground-truth DOA, respectively. From the simulation results in Fig. 2, we can find that the estimation performance can be improved by increasing the number of iterations, but cannot be further improved when the iterative number is greater than $10^2$. Hence, in the following simulation, we can set the iterative number as $10^2$.

**Algorithm 1:** RIS-ADMM: The ANM-based ADMM method for the RIS sensing system

*Input:* The received signal $r$, the number iteration $i_{num}$, and the number of measurements $M$.

1. **Initialization:** $q = 0, x = 0, u = 0, t = 0, z = 0, P = 0, Y = 0, P \triangleq \begin{pmatrix} P_1 & P_2 \\ P_2^H & P_3 \end{pmatrix}, Y \triangleq \begin{pmatrix} Y_1 & y_2 \\ y_2^H & y_3 \end{pmatrix}$, $w = 0, c' = \frac{1}{\tau \|y\|_2^2} c, G' = (\tau G^H G + 2\tau I)^{-1}, i = 0$.

2. While $i < i_{num}$ do

   3. $q = c'^H (\tau z - 0.5 w - \tau G x)$;

   4. $x = G' [2 \tau y_2 + p_2 + G^H (\tau z - 0.5 w - \tau q c)];$

   5. $m = 0$;

   6. While $m < M$ do

      7. If $m = 0$ then

         8. $u_m = \frac{\text{Tr}(P_{i,m}) - \rho}{2\tau M}$;

      9. Else $u_m = \frac{\text{Tr}(P_{i,m}) + 2\tau \text{Tr}(Y_{i,m})}{2\tau (M-m)}$.

   10. End

   11. $m \leftarrow m + 1$;

12. End

13. $t = y_3 + \frac{p_3}{2\tau} - \frac{\rho}{4\tau}$, $Q \leftarrow \begin{pmatrix} \text{Toep}(u) \\ x^H \end{pmatrix}$;

14. $z = \frac{1}{\tau^2} [r + 0.5 w + \tau (G x + c q)]$;

15. Use the eigenvalue decomposition $Q - \frac{1}{2\tau} P = U A' U^H, Y = U A' U^H$, where the diagonal matrix $A'$ is obtained by setting the negative entries of being 0;

16. $P \leftarrow P + \rho (Y - Q)$;

17. $w \leftarrow w + 0.5 \rho (G x + c q - z)$;

18. $i \leftarrow i + 1$;

19. End

20. **Output:** $x$. \[ \text{\footnotesize{com/chenpengseu/RIS-ADMM.git}} \]
Then, we also show the DOA estimation performance with different numbers of elements in RIS, where the number of measurements is $N = 32$. In Fig. 3(a), we can find that the estimation performance can be improved with more elements. Additionally, the performance with different numbers of measurements is also given in Fig. 3(b), where the estimation performance is improved with more measurements. Considering the computational complexity of the RIS-ADMM method and the estimation performance, we can use $M = 64$ and $N = 32$ in the passive sensing system.

Then, the proposed method is compared with other methods in the DOA estimation performance and computational time. The estimation performance is shown in Fig. 4, where the following 4 methods are compared: 1) **FFT method**: In this method, the interference signal is estimated first, and then the spatial spectrum is estimated by the traditional fast Fourier transformation (FFT); 2) **$L_1$ norm method**: In this method, an optimization problem is formulated by a $L_1$ norm minimization instead of the atomic norm, and the optimization problem is solved by the SDP optimization method [20], and we use the CVX toolbox [21] to solve this problem. The proposed method can achieve better performance at higher SNR and avoid off-grid error. Hence, by increasing the SNR, the estimation performance of the proposed method can be further improved. Since we only use $10^2$ iterations, there is a performance gap between the proposed and SDP methods. Moreover, we show the computational time in Table I, and we can find that the computational time of the proposed is less than $1/10$ of the SDP method. The computational complexity is also lower than the $L_1$ norm minimization method. Therefore, the proposed method is efficient in the DOA estimation with lower computational complexity and can be easily realized using the iteration algorithm’s closed-form expressions.

### Table I: Computational Time

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method</th>
<th>SDP</th>
<th>FFT</th>
<th>OMP</th>
<th>$L_1$ norm</th>
<th>RIS-ADMM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Time (s)</strong></td>
<td>2.378</td>
<td>0.035</td>
<td>0.020</td>
<td>1.266</td>
<td>0.202</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V. Conclusions

The passive sensing method using RIS has been addressed in this paper, and the interference signal from the AP has also been removed by formulating the atomic norm-based optimization problem. To solve the problem more efficiently, we have proposed the RIS-ADMM algorithm, where the original SDP problem can be solved iteratively. The proposed method can achieve better estimation performance with lower computational complexity compared with existing methods. In future work, we will focus on the hardware realization of the proposed algorithm.
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APPENDIX A
The Closed-Form Expressions for the RIS-ADMM Method

In the RIS-ADMM, the expressions for the unknown parameters can be obtained from the following closed-form expressions:

- For \( q^{i+1} \), let

\[
\nabla_{\tau} \mathcal{L}_{\tau}(x^i, q^i, u^i, t^i, z^i, Y^i, P^i, w^i) = 0.5 c^H w^i + \tau c^H (G x^i + c q - z^i) = 0
\]

and the closed form can be obtained as \( q^{i+1} = \frac{1}{\tau ||c||^2} c^H (r z^i - 0.5 w^i - \tau G x^i) \).

- For \( x^{i+1} \), let

\[
\nabla_{x} \mathcal{L}_{\tau}(x^i, q^{i+1}, u^i, t^i, z^i, Y^i, P^i, w^i)
= \nabla_{x} \left( P^i Y^i - \left( \text{Toep}(u^i) \right) x^i \right)
+ \nabla_{x} \left( G x^i + c q^{i+1} - z^i, w^i \right)
+ \nabla_{x} \tau \left( Y^i - \left( \text{Toep}(u^i) \right) x^i \right) \|_{F}^2
+ \nabla_{x} \tau \| G x^i + c q^{i+1} - z^i \|_{2}^2
= 0.5 G^H w^i + \tau G^H (G x^i + c q^{i+1} - z^i) + 2 \tau (x - y^i) - p^2 = 0,
\]

and \( Y^i \triangleq \begin{pmatrix} Y^i_{1,1} & y^i_{1,2} \\ y^i_{2,1} & y^i_{2,2} \end{pmatrix} \), \( P^i \triangleq \begin{pmatrix} P^i_{1,1} & p^2 \\ p^2 & p^3 \end{pmatrix} \), and the closed form can be obtained as \( x^{i+1} = \tau G^H G + 2 \tau I \)^{-1} \( [2 r y^i_{2,1} + p^2 - G^H (0.5 w^i + \tau q^i c - z^i)] \).

- For \( w^{i+1} \), let

\[
\nabla_{w} \mathcal{L}_{\tau}(x^{i+1}, q^{i+1}, u^i, t^i, z^i, Y^i, P^i, w^i)
= \nabla_{w} \left( P^i Y^{i+1} - \left( \text{Toep}(u^{i+1}) \right) x^{i+1} \right)
+ \nabla_{w} \tau \left( Y^{i+1} - \left( \text{Toep}(u^{i+1}) \right) x^{i+1} \right) \|_{F}^2
+ \nabla_{w} \frac{\rho}{2} (u_0 + t_0) = 0,
\]

and the \( m \)-th entry of \( u^{i+1} \) is updated as \( u^{i+1}_m = \frac{1}{\tau \|m\|_m} \text{Tr}(P^i_1, m) + 2 \tau \text{Tr}(Y^i_1, m) - \rho c_m - 2 c m \tau \text{Tr}(Y^i_1, m) \), where \( c_m = \begin{cases} 1 & m = 0 \\ 0 & m \neq 0 \end{cases} \)

and \( \text{Tr}(A, m) = \sum_m A_{n+m,m} \).

- For \( t^{i+1} \), let

\[
\nabla_t \mathcal{L}_{\tau}(x^{i+1}, q^{i+1}, u^{i+1}, t, z^i, Y^i, P^i, w^i)
= 0.5 \rho + \nabla_t \left( P^i Y^{i+1} - \left( \text{Toep}(u^{i+1}) \right) x^{i+1} \right)
+ \nabla_t \left( Y^{i+1} - \left( \text{Toep}(u^{i+1}) \right) x^{i+1} \right) \|_{F}^2
= 0.5 \rho - p^3 + 2 \tau (t - y^i_3) = 0,
\]

and the closed form can be obtained as \( t^{i+1} = y^i_3 + \frac{p^3}{2 \tau} - \frac{\tau^2}{2 \tau} \).

- For \( z^{i+1} \), let

\[
\nabla_z \mathcal{L}_{\tau}(x^{i+1}, q^{i+1}, u^{i+1}, t^{i+1}, z, Y^i, P^i, w^i)
= \nabla_z \| r - z \|_{2}^2 + \nabla_z \| (G x^{i+1} + c q^{i+1} - z, w^i) \|
+ \nabla_z \tau \| G x^{i+1} + c q^{i+1} - z \|_{2}^2
= z - r - 0.5 w^i - \tau (G x^{i+1} + c q^{i+1}) + \tau z = 0,
\]

and we can obtain \( z^{i+1} = \frac{1}{1 + \tau^2} \left( r + 0.5 w^i + \tau (G x^{i+1} + c q^{i+1}) \right) \).

- For \( Y^{i+1} \), we have

\[
Y^{i+1} = \arg \min_{Y \geq 0} \mathcal{L}_{\tau}(x^{i+1}, q^{i+1}, u^{i+1}, t^{i+1}, z, Y^i, P^i, w^i)
= \arg \min_{Y \geq 0} \left( P^i Y^{i+1} - \left( \text{Toep}(u^{i+1}) \right) x^{i+1} \right) \|_{F}^2
+ \tau \| Y - \left( \text{Toep}(u^{i+1}) \right) x^{i+1} \|_{F}^2
= \arg \min_{Y \geq 0} \left( Y - \left( \text{Toep}(u^{i+1}) \right) x^{i+1} \right) \|_{F}^2 + \frac{1}{2 \tau} P^i \|_{F}^2.
\]

With the eigenvalue decomposition, we have

\[
\left( \text{Toep}(u^{i+1}) \right) x^{i+1} \|_{i^{i+1}} - \frac{1}{2 \tau} P^i = U \Lambda U^H,
\]

and the optimal semidefinite matrix \( Y^{i+1} \) can be obtained by \( Y^{i+1} = U \Lambda U^H \), where the diagonal matrix \( \Lambda \) by setting the negative entries of \( \Lambda \) being 0.

- For \( P^{i+1} \), we have

\[
\nabla_{P} \mathcal{L}_{\tau}(x^{i+1}, q^{i+1}, u^{i+1}, t^{i+1}, z^{i+1}, Y^{i+1}, P, w^i)
= \nabla_{P} \left( P Y^{i+1} - \left( \text{Toep}(u^{i+1}) \right) x^{i+1} \right) \|_{F}^2
= Y^{i+1} - \left( \text{Toep}(u^{i+1}) \right) x^{i+1} \|_{F}^2,
\]

so we can update \( P^{i+1} \) as \( P^{i+1} = P^i + \rho \left( Y^{i+1} - \left( \text{Toep}(u^{i+1}) \right) x^{i+1} \right) \|_{F}^2 \).

- For \( w^{i+1} \), we have

\[
\nabla_{w} \mathcal{L}_{\tau}(x^{i+1}, q^{i+1}, u^{i+1}, t^{i+1}, z^{i+1}, Y^{i+1}, P, w^i)
= \nabla_{w} \left( G x^{i+1} + c q^{i+1} - z^{i+1}, w \right)
= 0.5 (G x^{i+1} + c q^{i+1} - z^{i+1}),
\]

so we can update \( w^{i+1} \) as \( w^{i+1} = w^i + 0.5 \rho (G x^{i+1} + c q^{i+1} - z^{i+1}) \).