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Abstract—LiDAR-based localization approach is a fundamental module for large-scale navigation tasks, such as last-mile delivery and autonomous driving, and localization robustness highly relies on viewpoints and 3D feature extraction. Our previous work provides a viewpoint-invariant descriptor to deal with viewpoint differences; however, the global descriptor suffers from a low signal-noise ratio in unsupervised clustering, reducing the distinguishable feature extraction ability. We develop SphereVLAD++, an attention-enhanced viewpoint invariant place recognition method in this work. SphereVLAD++ projects the point cloud on the spherical perspective for each unique area and captures the contextual connections between local features and their dependencies with global 3D geometry distribution. In return, clustered elements within the global descriptor are conditioned on local and global geometries and support the original viewpoint-invariant property of SphereVLAD. In the experiments, we evaluated the localization performance of SphereVLAD++ on both public KITTI360 dataset and self-generated datasets from the city of Pittsburgh. The experiment results show that SphereVLAD++ outperforms all relative state-of-the-art 3D place recognition methods under small or even totally reversed viewpoint differences and shows 0.69% and 15.81% successful retrieval rates with better than the second best. Low computation requirements and high time efficiency also help its application for low-cost robots.

Index Terms—3D Place Recognition, Attention, Viewpoint-invariant Localization
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![Fig. 1: SphereVLAD++ is novel viewpoint invariant LiDAR place recognition method which exploits the inner connections between local features. By enhancing the local features with contextual information, we are able to cluster more active centroids and improve the soft-assignment performance in NetVLAD layer. Compared with SphereVLAD, SphereVLAD++ has more active centroids and better local descriptor assignment distribution.](image)

LocaLization is one of the essential parts in long-term navigation of large-scale environments, such as campus and urban areas. Based on the LiDAR’s natural advantage in illumination disturbance against visual inputs, successful point-based localization approaches have been widely applied in autonomous driving [1] and last mile delivery. However, except the sensitivity of LiDAR-based methods to the viewpoint differences, the Signal-Noise Ratio (SNR) of global descriptors in challenging 3D environments is still at low level. Even given the high-dimension feature space, the active place features still only use limited space for place descriptors learning. In return, the place recognition (or loop-closure detection) ability will be reduced along with the complexity of the 3D environments.

In the current point-based place recognition approaches, many existing works [2], [3] encode the global place descriptor via a point-wise [4], [5] or voxel-wise [6] feature extractor. The major disadvantage of point- and voxel-wise approaches roots in their sensitivity to viewpoint differences. As demonstrated in LPDNet [3], the PointNet++ [5] enhanced place descriptor can further improve the robustness to small viewpoint differences (orientation within 30°). However, the viewpoint differences of real world applications is way more complicate, especially for open areas, and the performance of above methods drop dramatically when facing large viewpoint differences. More recently, Xia et al. proposed SOE-Net [7], which employs an orientation encoding unit for PointNet to learn local neighborhood in different orientations. Nevertheless, extracting point-based feature encoding in limited number of directions is computation expensive and cannot fully exploit a complete rotation invariant features. Instead of point-based, Chen et al. introduced a projection
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Our main contributions can be summarized as following: are clustered conditioned on the new local features and local-to-global connections. Finally, the place descriptors module can learn the inner relationships among local features viewpoint invariant 3D descriptor. The proposed attention monics. In this work, as an extension of [13], we propose from point cloud directly, the point cloud is converted into viewpoint-invariant descriptor. Instead of extracting features connections are also limited by the point numbers. However, re-weighting the importance among point features cannot handle the viewpoint differences, and also the inner feature connections are also limited by the point numbers.

In our previous work [13], SphereVLAD, we introduced an viewpoint-invariant descriptor. Instead of extracting features from point cloud directly, the point cloud is converted into panorama via spherical projection, and viewpoint-invariant descriptors are obtained via investigating the spherical harmonics. In this work, as an extension of [13], we propose SphereVLAD++, an attention-based and signal-enhanced viewpoint invariant 3D descriptor. The proposed attention module can learn the inner relationships among local features and local-to-global connections. Finally, the place descriptors are clustered conditioned on the new local features which captures both local and global connections simultaneously. Our main contributions can be summarized as following:

- We develop an attention based viewpoint-invariant place descriptor, SphereVLAD++, which can enhance the local features’ inner connections through attention mechanism. The extracted global descriptor is conditioned on both local and global contexts, which can further improve the distinguish-ability under variant viewpoints.
- We provide a Signal-Noise Ratio metric to evaluate the active information level of place descriptors. It can help analysis the active information level under of different features, and also generalization ability for unknown environments.
- We conduct the place recognition evaluations for point-based approaches, and provide detail accuracy and efficiency analysis of different approaches, and investigate the generalization ability of SphereVLAD++ with and without attention module.

In the experiment results, we present an extensive evaluation of our system on three unique datasets: (1) The Pittsburgh dataset consists of 50 trajectories collected in the city of Pittsburgh; (2) the KITTI360 dataset consists of 11 individual trajectories recored in suburbs of Karlsruhe; (3) and the Campus dataset consists of 10 trajectories with overlaps within the campus of Carnegie Mellon University (CMU). Leveraging attention enhancement module helps our previous SphereVLAD method achieve strong stability in large translation differences and attain higher generalization ability for unseen environments. We include a discussion section and a conclusion section to analyze the advantages/disadvantages and future works for our SphereVLAD++ descriptor.

II. RELATED WORK

Base on the representations of point-cloud, LiDAR-based place recognition can be summaries into three categories: point-, voxel- and projection- based place recognition methods. For point-based approach, Mikaela et al [2] utilize PointNet [4] to extract local features, and cluster them into a global place descriptor via the deep network of locally aggregated descriptors (VLAD) [12]. This work enables the direct 3D place feature learning from point-cloud. But PointNetVLAD omits points’ inner connections, which will significantly reduce the localization accuracy under different viewpoints. Based on extended 3D point feature extraction of PointNet++ [5], LPD-Net [8] takes both point cloud and handcrafted features as input and introduces a graph-based aggregation module following the PointNet++ framework to learn multi-scale spatial information. This method improves orientation sensitivity of PointNetVLAD, but still is fragile to large orientation differences. Moreover recently, SOE-Net [7] modify PointNet by adding orientational feature embeddings, which learn local geometry from eight spatial orientations to reduce the sensitive to orientation variances. However, SOE-Net can only cover limited spatial orientation differences, which also restrict its generalization ability for unseen environments. And all point-based feature extraction ability are highly restricted to small points numbers (i.e. 4096), which may affect the localization accuracy under different resolutions and perspectives. For voxel-based approach, Sriram et al. [14] provide a voxel-based 3D place recognition method, which shows the advantages in long-term environment variations. And MinkLoc3D [15] also uses sparse 3D convolutions on sparse voxelized point cloud to extract local features in a computationally effective way. For projection based approaches, Kim et al. [16] propose a projection-based descriptor called Scan-Context to solve long-term global localization. Most recently, Chen et al. [8] introduce an overlapping estimation network to predict the place feature difference and the relative yaw differences simultaneously. In our previous work SphereVLAD [13], we provided an viewpoint-invariant place descriptor based on the spherical harmonics, which is not only robust to viewpoint differences but a light-weight network model.

However, the above methods don’t pay much attention to the inner feature connections, which will affect the activate
information ratio of global place descriptors. One solution to improve local feature connections is to use the attention mechanism. Attention mechanism has been widely used in Natural Language Processing [17] and computer vision [18], [19]. It can re-weight network parameters’ importance based on their connections, and the long-range contextual information generated from attention mechanism provides local descriptors with correlation information. Recently, researchers have introduced attention mechanism into 3D place recognition. In PCAN [9], the author produces a attention map to predict the significance of each local point feature based on point context. SOE-Net [7] uses a self-attention unit to encode meaningful spatial information between local features. And DAGC [10] employs a dual-attention module to extract local features from point-cloud directly with one module focusing on weights between points and the other module focusing on weights within each feature channel. Inspired by [20], SphereVLAD++ extends our previous work [13] with an light-weight attention module to enhance the representation ability of local features. In the experiment results, we can show that the extracted place features of SphereVLAD++ has higher SNR rate than SphereVLAD, and also show better generalization ability for unknown environments.

III. OUR METHOD

In this section we will introduce the details of our framework. Given the LiDAR scan, the point cloud is projected onto the spherical perspectives for orientation-equivalent local feature extraction. Then SphereVLAD++ feeds extracted local features to the attention module, which will exploit the correlation between local features and yield a weighted combination of original local features and contextual information. Finally, the extracted global descriptor is clustered conditioned on the new attention enhanced features with the VLAD layer. In the following subsections, we will investigate the three modules and loss metrics respectively.

A. Spherical Feature Extractor

To learn viewpoint-invariant place features, our method utilizes the spherical convolutions for orientation-invariant feature extraction. SphereVLAD++ first project the 3D point cloud into spherical coordinates $f \in S^2$, which is constraints with $\alpha \in [0, 2\pi]$ in horizontal and $\beta \in [0, \pi]$ in vertical. $f$ is modeled as continuous function $f: S^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^K$, which is denoted as spherical signal. Given two spherical signals $f$ and $\phi$, spherical correlation is defined as:

$$[\phi * f](\mathbf{R}) = \int_{S^2} \phi(\mathbf{R}^{-1} \mathbf{I}) \psi(\mathbf{I}) d\mathbf{Q}. \quad (1)$$

Furthermore, spherical correlation is proved to be held invariant between rotations. As the output of spherical correlation is a function on $SO(3)$ which is denoted as $SO(3)$ signal, given $g, \psi \in SO(3) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^K$, correlation between $g$ and $\psi$ is defined as:

$$[\psi * SO(3) g](\mathbf{R}) = \int_{SO(3)} \psi(\mathbf{R}^{-1} \mathbf{Q}) g(\mathbf{Q}) d\mathbf{Q}. \quad (2)$$

where $\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{Q} \in SO(3)$. Based on the proof in [21], spherical correlation is shown to be orientation-equivalent:

$$[\psi * SO(3) [L G \psi]](\mathbf{R}) = [L G [g * SO(3) \psi]](\mathbf{R}) \quad (3)$$

where $L G (G \in SO(3))$ is the rotation operator for spherical signals. In practice, the computation of spherical correlation between two spherical signals $f$ and $\phi$ is shown as following. First, spherical signals are expanded in spherical harmonics domain and point-wise product of harmonic coefficients are computed. Finally, output is calculated by inverting the spherical harmonics expansion. Due to the expensive operation of spherical convolution [22], it's hard to design deep convolution layers to capture the connections between local and global geometric features. Our attention enhancement module can help deal with this problem.

B. Attention Enhanced Global Descriptor Generator

The expensive operation of spherical convolution also leads to small image input which can only provide limited details. Furthermore, local feature map extractor only focuses on the information in a limited local neighborhood, which leads to insufficiency when global descriptor generator learns the patterns from the local feature map. Therefore, we utilize an attention module which allows local descriptors to gain contextual information and further contributes to better performance in global descriptors extraction.

1) Self-Attention on Spherical Signals: Different from sentences or images, output $F_{sph}$ generated from spherical convolution is in the shape of $(C \times \alpha \times \beta \times \gamma)$, where $\alpha$, $\beta$, $\gamma$ are Euler angles parameterize rotation group $SO(3)$. As the input required by attention module is a sequence, given $F_{sph}$, we reshape it into $F \in \mathbb{R}^{C \times L}$, where $L = \alpha \times \beta \times \gamma$. Then $F$ is transformed into three different feature spaces [20]: query feature space $Q = W_q F$, key feature space $K = W_k F$ and value feature space $V = W_v F$, where $Q, K, V \in \mathbb{R}^{C \times L}$ and $W_q, W_k, W_v \in \mathbb{R}^{C \times C}$. Contextual information $A \in \mathbb{R}^{L \times L}$ therefore is generated as following:

$$A = \text{softmax}(Q K^T) V \quad (4)$$

Once contextual information $A$ is obtained, it will be multiplied with a trainable parameter $\omega$ and then added with original local feature map $F$ to yield a new feature map $F'$:

$$F' = F + \omega A \quad (5)$$

Since the contextual information is trained to modify the original feature map, $\omega$ is trained to balance the importance between the feature map and contextual information. Based on Eq. [5] and Eq. [6] each local descriptor $f_i$ can be interpreted as a summation of $f_i$ and a weighted sum of other local descriptors. The weighted sum can be further regarded as semantic information and $W_j^A$ reveals the contribution of each local descriptor $f_j$ to $f_i$.

$$f_i' = f_i + \sum_j W_j^A f_j \quad (6)$$
Moreover, the summation enlarges the field of reception, which alleviates the shortage of shallow spherical convolution encoder. Our attention module exploits the spatial relations between local descriptors and provides semantic information, which enhances the performance of feature extraction.

2) Attention Enhanced NetVLAD: The global descriptor generator is chosen as VLAD layer \([12]\), which clusters local descriptors into \(K\) cluster centroids and utilizes a soft-assignment mechanism to assign local descriptors to corresponding cluster centroid (Eq. 7). Intuitively, the performance of local descriptor clustering and soft-assignment will be improved with the help of contextual information.

\[
V_k = \sum_{i}^{N} a^i_k (x_i - c_k)
\]
\[
\pi_k = \frac{\exp(w^T_i x_i + b_k)}{\sum_{k'} \exp(w^T_{k'} x_i + b_{k'})}
\]

Given the fact of Eq. 6, soft-assignment for attention-enhanced local descriptors can be rewritten as:

\[
\pi^i_k = \frac{\exp(w^T_i (x_i + \sum_{j}^{N} W^A_{ij} x_j) + b_k)}{\sum_{k'} \exp(w^T_{k'} (x_i + \sum_{j}^{N} W^A_{ij} x_j) + b_{k'})}
\]

Compared with the original soft-assignment function \(S_{assign}(\pi_k|x_i, c_1\ldots c_K)\) which only considers the relations between \(x_i\) and cluster centroids \(c_1\ldots c_K\), the attention-enhanced function \(S'_{assign}(\pi_k|x_i, c_1\ldots c_K)\) takes all local descriptors and cluster centroids into account and balances the cluster assignment across each descriptor.

3) Viewpoint Invariance: Due to the property of spherical convolution mentioned in [II-A], our feature encoder can generate viewpoint invariant local features. In the attention module, all components including linear transformation, softmax function and addition along channels are also viewpoint invariant. For the global descriptor generation, [23] proves that NetVLAD is permutation invariant and [23] proves that global descriptor which is permutation invariant is also viewpoint invariant if local features are viewpoint invariant. Therefore, our proposed method can produce viewpoint invariant global descriptors.

C. Implementation Details

For data preprocessing, we query the points within a range of 50m from each submap and project them into a panorama whose size is 64 \(\times\) 64 using the method mentioned in [24]. Moreover, we randomly rotate the panorama in three axes in a range of \([-\pi, \pi]\) before feed it into the network to fully learn the properties of viewpoint invariance.

To enable the end-to-end training for our SphereVLAD++ module, we utilize the “Lazy quadruplet” loss metric. Sets of training tuples \(S = \{S_a, \{S_{pos}\}, \{S_{neg}\}, S^*\}\) are selected from the training dataset. Two frames are regarded as positive if their geometric distance is less than the threshold \(D_{pos}\) and regarded negative if strictly larger than the threshold \(D_{neg}\). In our case, these two thresholds are set as \(D_{pos} = 8m\) and \(D_{neg} = 16m\). The lazy quadruplet loss is defined as

\[
L_{\text{lazy quad}}(S) = \max_{i,j}(m_1 + d(g_{a, pos}, d(g_{a, neg})) + \max_{i,k}(m_2 + d(g_{a, pos}, d(g_{neg}, g_{neg}^*)))
\]

where \(g\) is the corresponding global descriptors encoded by SphereVLAD++, and \(d(\cdot)\) denoted the Euclidean distance. \(m_1\) and \(m_2\) are the constant threshold giving the margin. In our case, \(m_1\) and \(m_2\) are set to 0.5 and 0.2.
IV. EXPERIMENT.

In this section, we will investigate the localization accuracy of SphereVLAD++ against other state-of-the-art 3D place recognition methods over different outdoor datasets. We also evaluate the SNR rate of SphereVLAD and SphereVLAD++ for unseen environments, which can analyze the generalization ability of global descriptors in real applications and the improvement introduced by attention module. All the experiments are conducted on an AMD 5800x processor and a single Nvidia RTX2060 with 12G memory. In the rest of this section, we will introduce our evaluation datasets, the place recognition metrics and the SNR information rate, and the relative state-of-the-art methods. Then place recognition performance under viewpoint-differences will be evaluated, followed by the localization analysis on unseen environments.

A. Dataset Overview

Our datasets include the public KITTI360 dataset and our self-collected datasets:

- **Pittsburgh.** We created a City-scale dataset by mounting our data capturing system on the top of a car and incrementally traversing 200km in the city of Pittsburgh. The data-collection platform contains a LiDAR device (Velodyne-VLP 16), an inertial measurement unit (Xsense MTi 30, 0.5° error in roll/pitch, 1° error in yaw) and a mini Intel computer. This dataset is divided into 50 trajectories, we use trajectory \{1 \sim 15\} for training and trajectory \{21 \sim 40\} for evaluation on the viewpoint-invariant and unseen environment analysis.

- **Campus.** We recorded within the campus area of Carnegie Mellon University (CMU) using the same data collection device with Pittsburgh. This dataset covers 10 main trajectories throughout the campus and the total length is around 36km. Each trajectory is recorded 4 times in both forward and backward directions under different illumination conditions. \{1 \sim 6\} tracks are used for viewpoint-invariant analysis.

- **KITTI360** [25] consists of 11 individual sequences generated with a Velodyne HDL-64E LiDAR device covering 73.7km of the suburban areas of Karlsruhe, Germany. The dataset has 6 sequences (0000, 0002, 0004, 0005, 0006, 0009) with loop closures, which are used for unseen environment analysis.

We generate the accumulated dense maps via traditional LiDAR odometry [26] to reduce the place recognition uncertainty of single LiDAR scan. Due to lack of Real Time Kinematic(RTK) device of data collection device, in Pittsburgh dataset, query frames and database frames are generated within each trajectory; in Campus dataset, we utilize interactive SLAM [27] to find the geometric relations between the poses of difference times. With the data well associated, we produce local submaps through projecting dense-map onto the poses for keyframes.

B. Evaluation Metrics and Comparison Methods

We compare with state-of-the-art learning based 3D place recognition methods to evaluate the performance of our method. PointNetVLAD [2], LPD-Net [3], PCAN [9], SOE-Net [7], MinkLoc3D [15] and SphereVLAD [13] are chosen by taking advantages of their official implementations on Github. For point-based or voxel-based methods, non-informative ground planes of each submap are removed and the resulting point cloud is downsamples to 4096 points. We utilize Recall@N to show the performance of each method, and Average Recall@1(AR@1) and Average Recall@1%(AR@1%) are selected to compare the retrieval and generalization ability of each method. Successful retrieval is define as retrieving a point cloud within 5m for Pittsburgh and KITTI360 dataset and 3m for Campus dataset.

C. Localization Accuracy Analysis

For Pittsburgh dataset, we generate query frames with random translation noise sampled in range of \([-3m \sim 3m]\) and random yaw orientation noise sampled in range of \([-30° \sim 30°]\). As shown in Table. I, our attention module shows great performance improvement on Pittsburgh dataset. SphereVLAD++ outperforms SphereVLAD by 19.83%, which greatly alleviates the inferiority in dealing with large translation differences. Our method also surpasses point-based methods by a margin, which proves the stability under small rotation noise. For unseen datasets, the results show the superior generalization ability of our method. As KITTI360 contains both forward and reverse loop closures, point-based methods including PointNetVLAD, PCAN and LPD-Net are greatly affected by the viewpoint changing. The 23.46% enhancement between SphereVLAD and SphereVLAD++ shows the contextual information extracted from our attention module is not outfitted to Pittsburgh and can efficiently capture task-relevant inner connections between local features in the unseen environments. We further examine the performance of relocalization using Campus dataset. Both database and query frames are selected in the trajectories in same direction and the distance between adjacent database frames is around 3m. Compared with other methods, SphereVLAD++ outperforms PointNetVLAD but is inferior to the state-of-art method MinkLoc3D. The ability of generating more distinguishable features to retrieve the correct database frame in densely located situation is still needed further improvement.

D. Viewpoint-invariant Analysis

To further figure out the performance of place recognition under different viewpoints, we conduct experiments on Pittsburgh with different yaw orientations \([0°, 15°, 30°, 45°, 60°, 75°, 90°]\) and random translation noise sampled in range of \([-1m \sim 1m]\). As shown in Fig. 4, PointNetVLAD, PCAN and LPD-Net drop quickly as the orientation difference increases. SOE-Net and MinkLoc3D is
we save the soft-assignment variable classify each local descriptor to a specific cluster centroid, uses a soft-assignment mechanism demonstrated in Eq. 9 to in both training and unseen datasets. As NetVLAD layer experiments to inspect the variables inside the NetVLAD layer generated from attention module. Therefore, we conduct ex-
not significantly affected under certain viewpoint differences but cannot achieve viewpoint invariance. On the contrary, SphereVLAD and SphereVLAD++ remain consistence in increasing viewpoint changing. Notably, the robustness in translation noise of SphereVLAD++ is enhanced by a ob-
E. Signal Ratio Noise Analysis
As mentioned in sec. III-B2, the performance of the soft-assignment is influenced by the contextual information generated from attention module. Therefore, we conduct experiments to inspect the variables inside the NetVLAD layer in both training and unseen datasets. As NetVLAD layer uses a soft-assignment mechanism demonstrated in Eq. 9 to classify each local descriptor to a specific cluster centroid, we save the soft-assignment variable \( \pi \) to evaluate the performance. We find out that even though cluster centroid number set to NetVLAD layer during training is 32, the network does not exactly cluster the local descriptors as expected. Local descriptors only respond to limited number of cluster centroids which we define as active centroids. Inspired by original Signal Noise Ratio(SNR) which is defined as the ratio of the power of a signal (meaningful input) to the power of background noise (meaningless or unwanted input), Signal Noise Ratio(SNR) for NetVLAD layer is defined as:
\[
SNR = \frac{N_{active}}{N_{total} - N_{active}}
\]
where \( N_{active} \) and \( N_{total} \) denote the number of active centroids and total centroids respectively. As shown in Table III the SNR rate of SphereVLAD++ is higher than SphereVLAD in all three datasets, which shows that contextual information can help to yield global descriptor with more details.

TABLE I: Average Recall (%) on Three Datasets.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Pittsburgh(@1)</th>
<th>KITTI360(@1)</th>
<th>Campus(@1)</th>
<th>Pittsburgh(@1%)</th>
<th>KITTI360(@1%)</th>
<th>Campus(@1%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PointNetVLAD</td>
<td>69.66</td>
<td>24.05</td>
<td>49.09</td>
<td>70.50</td>
<td>32.26</td>
<td>57.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCAN</td>
<td>70.55</td>
<td>26.10</td>
<td>54.65</td>
<td>71.97</td>
<td>30.79</td>
<td>64.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LPD-Net</td>
<td>73.06</td>
<td>25.51</td>
<td>54.33</td>
<td>74.16</td>
<td>31.06</td>
<td>65.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOE-Net</td>
<td>77.14</td>
<td>63.10</td>
<td>45.34</td>
<td>78.56</td>
<td>55.05</td>
<td>75.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MinkLoc3D</td>
<td>80.90</td>
<td>45.45</td>
<td>56.26</td>
<td>81.63</td>
<td>66.63</td>
<td>75.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SphereVLAD</td>
<td>61.76</td>
<td>40.47</td>
<td>33.48</td>
<td>62.76</td>
<td>59.53</td>
<td>39.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SphereVLAD++(ours)</td>
<td><strong>81.59</strong></td>
<td><strong>63.93</strong></td>
<td><strong>50.91</strong></td>
<td><strong>82.53</strong></td>
<td>75.65</td>
<td>58.18</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In addition, we also test the performance of reverse loop detection in Campus. Reverse loop detection is really common in real life scene and therefore fundamental in large-scale autonomous driving. Database frames remain the same with experiments in Sec. IV-C but query frames are selected in the trajectories in the reverse direction. Compared with results shown in Table. III we can see obvious decrease for point-based and voxel-based methods. SphereVLAD and SphereVLAD++ maintain the performance with only small decline of 7.30% and 6.66% respectively.

E. Signal Ratio Noise Analysis
As mentioned in sec. III-B2, the performance of the soft-assignment is influenced by the contextual information generated from attention module. Therefore, we conduct experiments to inspect the variables inside the NetVLAD layer in both training and unseen datasets. As NetVLAD layer uses a soft-assignment mechanism demonstrated in Eq. 9 to classify each local descriptor to a specific cluster centroid, we save the soft-assignment variable \( \pi \) to evaluate the performance. We find out that even though cluster centroid number set to NetVLAD layer during training is 32, the network does not exactly cluster the local descriptors as expected. Local descriptors only respond to limited number of cluster centroids which we define as active centroids. Inspired by original Signal Noise Ratio(SNR) which is defined as the ratio of the power of a signal (meaningful input) to the power of background noise (meaningless or unwanted input), Signal Noise Ratio(SNR) for NetVLAD layer is defined as:
\[
SNR = \frac{N_{active}}{N_{total} - N_{active}}
\]
where \( N_{active} \) and \( N_{total} \) denote the number of active centroids and total centroids respectively. As shown in Table III the SNR rate of SphereVLAD++ is higher than SphereVLAD in all three datasets, which shows that contextual information can help to yield global descriptor with more details.

TABLE II: Average Recall on Campus Dataset(backward)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Recall@1</th>
<th>Recall @1%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PointNetVLAD</td>
<td>3.17</td>
<td>4.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LPD-Net</td>
<td>3.95</td>
<td>4.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCAN</td>
<td>3.75</td>
<td>4.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOE-Net</td>
<td>28.37</td>
<td>39.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MinkLoc3D</td>
<td>26.61</td>
<td>36.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SphereVLAD</td>
<td>26.18</td>
<td>31.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SphereVLAD++(ours)</td>
<td><strong>44.25</strong></td>
<td><strong>50.82</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 4: AR@1 of Methods under Different Viewpoints.

TABLE III: SNR Rate on Different Datasets

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Pittsburgh</th>
<th>KITTI360</th>
<th>Campus</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SphereVLAD</td>
<td>0.143</td>
<td>0.103</td>
<td>0.103</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SphereVLAD++</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>0.333</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

We further explore the distribution of local descriptors based on the active centroids. To better understand the distribution, a frame is randomly selected from Pittsburgh and local descriptors generated from both SphereVLAD and SphereVLAD++ is processed using dimension reduction method(T-SNE) to visualize the cluster assignment. In SphereVLAD, all the local descriptors are classified into three cluster centroids. As shown in Fig. 5 483 out of 512 local descriptors are assigned to cluster centroid 13 and the rest two cluster centroids only share 22 and 7 of the local
descriptors. Since VLAD descriptor is a stack of residual summations of each cluster centroid, this unbalanced soft-assignment leads to limited feature representation in global descriptor. However, in SphereVLAD++, local descriptors are assigned to 7 centroids with the help of contextual information and the distribution of soft-assignment is more well-balanced. The involvement of contextual information makes it easier for NetVLAD layer to distinguish local features and therefore leads to better clustering performance. Furthermore, we can regard each active centroid as a specific feature space, more active centroids leads to complexity in feature representation.

Fig. 6 shows the cluster assignment of local descriptors generated from all samples in test set. It is obvious that both SphereVLAD and SphereVLAD++ have a dominating centroid which most local descriptors are assigned to. Moreover, Fig. 7 also shows the extra active centroids in SphereVLAD++ do contribute in cluster assignment. Based on different dataset, the active centroids trained in Pittsburgh are also applicable in unseen environment.

**F. Storage and Efficiency Analysis**

We also analysis the inference time and GPU memory usage between our SphereVLAD++ and other methods. Given the results shown in Table. IV, our method can reach 394Hz to encode the input panorama into a global descriptor. Compared with the state-of-art methods, our method takes 2.64ms and only larger than the best method SphereVLAD by 0.23ms. The preprocess of our method containing spherical projection of pointcloud and normalization can be implemented in Pytorch which only take 1.6ms. Furthermore, our method is a light-weight model compared with other methods and only requires 1162M of GPU usage. Therefore, our method is suitable for low-cost mobile robots in long-term navigation.

**TABLE IV:** Time and Memory Requirements of Methods.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method</th>
<th>GPU memory usage</th>
<th>Run-time per frame</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PointNetVLAD</td>
<td>1182M</td>
<td>4.50ms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LPD-Net</td>
<td>2578M</td>
<td>80.40ms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCAN</td>
<td>7686M</td>
<td>77.00ms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOE-Net</td>
<td>3596M</td>
<td>89.70ms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minkloc3D</td>
<td>1246M</td>
<td>10.04ms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SphereVLAD</td>
<td>1069M</td>
<td>2.01ms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SphereVLAD++(ours)</td>
<td>1162M</td>
<td>2.24ms</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
V. CONCLUSIONS

In this paper, we proposed an attention enhanced, low-cost and viewpoint invariant 3D place recognition method. We developed an attention module which takes advantage of contextual information in order to enhance local features. Moreover, we proposed an evaluation metric Signal-to-Noise (SNR) to evaluate the active information of global descriptor generated from VLAD layer. The results on both public and self-recorded datasets show superiority of our method compared with state-of-the-art in 3D point-cloud retrieval task, especially under large rotation differences, and the contribution of attention module to the global descriptor generator is also illustrated. Moreover, the lightweight network structure of our method also enables feasibility of large-scale re-localization task on low-cost mobile robots. There is one notable limitation roots in spherical convolution: the computation cost leads to the low resolution input panorama and shallow network model. In the future work, we will improve the retrieval ability by alleviating this limitation.
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