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Abstract—Not everybody can be equipped with professional photography skills and sufficient shooting time, and there can be some tilts in the captured images occasionally. In this paper, we propose a new and practical task, named Rotation Correction, to automatically correct the tilt with high content fidelity in the condition that the rotated angle is unknown. This task can be easily integrated into image editing applications, allowing users to correct the rotated images without any manual operations. To this end, we leverage a neural network to predict the optical flows that can warp the tilted images to be perceptually horizontal. Nevertheless, the pixel-wise optical flow estimation from a single image is severely unstable, especially in large-angle tilted images. To enhance its robustness, we propose a simple but effective prediction strategy to form a robust elastic warp. Particularly, we first regress the mesh deformation that can be transformed into robust initial optical flows. Then we estimate residual optical flows to facilitate our network the flexibility of pixel-wise deformation, further correcting the details of the tilted images. To establish an evaluation benchmark and train the learning framework, a comprehensive rotation correction dataset is presented with a large diversity in scenes and rotated angles. Extensive experiments demonstrate that even in the absence of the angle prior, our algorithm can outperform other state-of-the-art solutions requiring this prior. The codes and dataset will be available at https://github.com/nie-lang/RotationCorrection.

Index Terms—Computer vision, rotation correction, mesh deformation, optical flow.

I. INTRODUCTION

People favor recording fascinating landscapes and objects by taking photos. To obtain a visually pleasing appearance, they have to adjust the shooting perspective carefully, and firmly hold the camera to catch a perceptually horizontal photograph. However, limited by the photography skills and shooting time, the captured images might exhibit some tilts (Fig.1a) sometimes. To overcome the annoying tilt, people have to rotate the images by manually fine-tuning the rotated angle. Nevertheless, this rotation operation is rigid, which destroys the rectangular boundaries (Fig.1b).

To obtain the visually horizontal perception and retain the rectangular boundaries simultaneously, cropping and completion [1] are the common operations following the rigid rotation. As illustrated in Fig. 1c and Fig. 1d, these operations decrease or increase the image contents, damaging the authenticity of images.

To avoid this problem, image rectangling algorithms [2]–[6] can be leveraged to warp the rotated image (Fig.1b) to rectangular image. Fig. 1g and Fig. 1h demonstrate the results of He et al.’ rotation correction [2] and Nie et al.’ deep rectangling [5]. But these solutions might reintroduce some slight tilts into the rectangling results because they neglect the angle-preserving constraint. Besides that, content-aware rotation [7] is another practical solution. Given the prior angle, it directly warps the contents in a tilted image to produce a visually horizontal perception without content increasing or decreasing. But the warp optimization heavily relies on the performance of the line segment detector (LSD [8]), inevitably yielding local distortions where LSD fails (Fig. 1f). Moreover, both rectangling-based solutions and the content-aware rotation scheme share a common limitation: the rotated angle should be known in advance. This limitation makes these into solutions non-automatic and multi-step solutions.

In this paper, we propose a new and practical task, Rotation Correction, aiming to solve the above problems in one step. To be rigorous, we define this task as automatically correcting the 2D in-plane tilt with high content fidelity without the angle prior. It can be easily integrated into image edition-related applications, freeing the users to rectify the horizon from any manual operations.

To achieve this goal, we design a simple but effective neural network to predict the optical flows progressively that can warp the tilted image to be perceptually horizontal. Nevertheless, the pixel-wise prediction in large-angle tilted scenes is extremely not robust, which requires the flows to be large and stable. To enhance the robustness, we first propose to predict the mesh deformation so that every pixel in a grid corresponds to an identical homography transformation [9]. The estimated mesh deformation can be more robust and stable due to its lower resolution representation than the optical flow. Subsequently, we transform the mesh deformation into the robust initial optical flows and predict the residual flows to facilitate our network the flexibility of pixel-wise deformation. In this progressive manner, our predicted flows are both robust and elastic, yielding better correction in detail.

Actually, predicting such flows from a single image is an ill-posed problem, which requires a high-quality dataset to assist in learning this prediction capability. Meanwhile, to establish an evaluation benchmark, we build a comprehensive rotation correction dataset (DRC-D) with a large diversity in scenes and tilted angles. Particularly, we leverage He et al.’ rotation [7] to generate abundant sample candidates, and further filter and rectify them manually to establish this dataset. In sum, the proposed dataset includes over 6k samples with tilted inputs, corrected labels, and rotated angles.

Experimental results show that our “no-angle prior” algo-
algorithm outperforms the existing state-of-the-art solutions requiring this prior, quantitatively and qualitatively. We conclude our contributions as follows:

- We propose a new and practical rotation correction task, aiming to automatically correct the 2D in-plane tilt with high content fidelity without the angle prior. To accomplish it, an automatic solution is proposed to rectify the horizon by predicting the optical flows for warping.
- To address the instability of monocular optical flow prediction, we propose a simple but effective strategy to form a robust elastic warp, by integrating the robustness of sparse mesh estimation into dense optical flow prediction.
- Due to the absence of a proper dataset with tilted and corrected images, we build a rotation correction dataset with a wide range of rotated angles and scenes.

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows. In Section II, we discuss the related works of rotation correction. The methodology and dataset are described in Section III and IV, respectively. In Section V, we demonstrate extensive experiments to validate the effectiveness of the proposed solution. Finally, we conclude this work in Section VI.

II. RELATED WORK

We review the related methods on image rotation, rectangling, and monocular optical flow estimation here.

A. Image Rotation

The image rotation process can be implemented in two steps: estimating a rotated angle and rotating.

For the first step, people can acquire the rotated angle by rotating an image clockwise or counterclockwise until it becomes perceptually horizontal. But this manner demands the manual operation and time cost, especially for the images with few horizontal/vertical straight lines. An alternative way is to estimate the rotated angle from a single image. Particularly, some methods detect the vanishing points [10]–[12] first and determine the horizon accordingly. Others devote themselves to directly calibrating the camera parameters [13]–[15] such as camera poses that indicate the rotated angle.

Then, the rotating operation is conducted. In addition to the rigid rotation, other relatively complex transformations (homography or non-rigid transformation) [14], [15] can be adopted to upright the tilted image. However, they inevitably destroy the rectangular boundaries. To avoid it, He et al. propose the content-aware rotation [7] to preserve the boundaries while exhibiting a perceptually rotated appearance. It leverages a property that the human eyes are sensitive to tilted horizontal/vertical lines, optimizing a mesh warp that encourages the straight lines to rotate at the same angle.

In contrast, our purpose is to design a one-step solution to correct the tilt automatically with high content fidelity.

B. Image Rectangling

Rectangling [2]–[6] refers to the problem of correcting the irregular boundaries (e.g. the rigid rotated images, panoramas, etc) to a rectangle. To this end, He et al. [2] propose a two-stage warping solution to acquire an initial mesh and optimize a line-preserving target mesh. However, it can only protect limited perceptual properties (such as straight lines), usually failing in scenes with abundant non-linear structures. Recently, Nie et al. [5] combine this traditional warping problem with deep learning to facilitate the algorithm the perception capability to abundant semantic properties. It significantly reduces the distortions around non-linear objects while simplifying the rectangling process to a one-stage pipeline.

C. Monocular Optical Flow

Monocular optical flow prediction can be widely used in extensive computer vision applications, such as wide-angle
A. How to Make Monocular Optical Flow Prediction Robust?

To analyze the robustness, we adopt a simple Unet-style [19] network to predict the optical flows in our proposed dataset. In particular, the network consists of an encoder and a decoder with 5 different resolution hierarchies. The testing set includes tilted samples of different degrees ranging from 10° to 10°. We divide it into three parts according to tilted angles: (1°, 4°) \cup [-4°, -1°) (small angle), (4°, 7°) \cup [-7°, -4°) (middle angle), and (7°, 10°) \cup [-10°, -7°) (large angle). The details about the dataset will be discussed in Section IV. The statistical results are shown in Table I, where “max flow” denotes the maximum length of the optical flows of every pixel.

From this table, we can observe that the predicted flows become longer as the increase of tilting angles. Also, the performance of rotation correction (PSNR and SSIM) decreases flow length increases, implying a decrease in the robustness of optical flow prediction. Fig. 2 gives a qualitative comparison between a small-angle tilt and a large-angle tilt, where the flow prediction becomes severely unstable in the large-angle tilted scene.

So, how to enhance the robustness of monocular optical flow prediction, especially in large-angle tilted scenes? We propose a simple but effective prediction strategy to form a robust elastic warp. Particularly, the network is designed to regress the low-resolution mesh deformation first. Then it can be transformed into corresponding high-resolution optical flows. The converted flows could be robust and stable because the mesh estimation sacrifices the flexibility of pixel deformation. Subsequently, residual optical flows can be predicted to make up for this defect.

B. Automatic Rotation Correction with Deep Structures

To embody the effectiveness of this strategy, we accomplish it with a simple network instead of designing complex network structures. The network pipeline is illustrated in Fig. 3.

1) Mesh Prediction: Assuming the mesh resolution is \( U \times V \), the mesh vertexes have a resolution of \((U + 1) \times (V + 1)\). We predefine a rigid mesh \( M_{rig} \) and place it on the corrected image. Then our goal is to predict a mesh \( M_{pre} \) that is placed on the tilted image. Supposing the position of a vertex in \( M_{rig} \) is \((m_{ij}, n_{ij})\), we design an encoder and regressor to predict the corresponding vertex motion \((\Delta m_{ij}, \Delta n_{ij})\). The corresponding vertex position in \( M_{pre} \) is denoted as \((m_{ij} + \Delta m_{ij}, n_{ij} + \Delta n_{ij})\).

For the encoder, we employ 10 convolutional layers with filter numbers set to 64, 64, 64, 64, 128, 128, 128, 128, 256, and 256. A max-pooling layer is adopted every two convolutions except at the beginning and the end. For the regressor, we stack 4 convolutional layers with max-pooling operations and 3 fully connected layers to regress the vertex motion. The filter numbers of convolutions and dimensions of fully connected layers are set to 256, 256, 512, 512, 2048, and \((U + 1) \times (V + 1) \times 2\), respectively.

2) Mesh to Flow: We transform the mesh deformation to optical flows in this step. Particularly, we calculate a homography transformation \( H_{uv}(u = 1, 2, ..., U; \ y = 1, 2, ..., V) \) for every corresponding grid pair from \( M_{rig} \) to \( M_{pre} \) with respective four adjacent vertexes by direct linear transform (DLT) algorithm [20]. \( H_{uv} \) is a 3 \( \times \) 3 matrix that can be represented as follows:

\[
H_{uv} = \begin{bmatrix} h_1 & h_2 & h_3 \end{bmatrix},
\]

where \( h_1, h_2 \) and \( h_3 \) are 1 \( \times \) 3 matrices. Then, the optical flows for the position \((x, y)\) at the \( uv \)-th grid in \( M_{rig} \) can be calculated as Eq. (2):

\[
(f_{hor}, f_{ver}) = \frac{h_1(x \ y \ 1)^T - x}{h_3(x \ y \ 1)^T - y}.
\]

The optical flows converted from the mesh deformation are stable because the mesh deformation is robust due to the
3 layer of VGG19 [22] as an encoder-decoder network to predict the residual flows. This part takes the intermediate corrected image as input and residual flows, we obtain the final flows that are used to get the final corrected image as Eq. (3): 

\[ O_{ic} = W(H_m|u = 1, 2, ..., U; v = 1, 2, ..., V), \]  

where \( W(\cdot, \cdot) \) represents the mesh warping operation that takes a mapping function and an image as input.

The encoder-decoder network follows a similar structure to Unet [19]. Specifically, its encoder shares the same structure as that in the mesh prediction stage. The decoder adopts a symmetrical structure as the encoder, where the transposed convolutions are employed to increase the feature resolution, and skip connections are used to connect the features with the same resolution. At the end of the decoder, an additional convolution with 2 filters is adopted to output the residual optical flows \((\Delta f_{hor}, \Delta f_{ver})\). By the addition of initial flows and residual flows, we obtain the final flows that are used to get the final corrected image \( O_{fc} \) as Eq. (4):

\[ O_{fc}(x, y) = I(x + f_{hor}^{sym} + \Delta f_{hor}, y + f_{ver}^{sym} + \Delta f_{ver}). \]  

### C. Objective Function

The objective function consists of a content term \( L_{content} \) and a symmetry invariance term \( L_{symmetry} \), which is formulated as follows:

\[ L = L_{content} + \omega L_{symmetry}, \]  

where \( \omega \) is the weight to balance the significance of \( L_{content} \) and \( L_{symmetry} \).

**Content Term.** We design our content term following two principles:

1) Simple. We hope the proposed robust elastic warp to be simple but effective. Therefore, the learning framework should be effectively trained by a simple loss.

2) Perceptual. The network should focus on the semantic properties that could embody the horizon instead of the ordinary pixels without attention.

To satisfy the above requirements, we only leverage the perceptual loss [21] as our loss function. Particularly, we take the conv4_3 layer of VGG19 [22] as an effective perceptual measure and encourage the perceptual features of the corrected image as close as to those of the ground truth \( \hat{O} \). We denote \( \Phi(\cdot) \) as the operation of extracting semantic features, and the loss function can be formulated as follows:

\[ L_{content} = \frac{1}{N}(\|\Phi(I) - \Phi(O_{ic})\|_2^2 + \lambda \|\Phi(\hat{O}) - \Phi(O_{fc})\|_2^2), \]  

where \( N \) and \( \lambda \) denotes the element number of the feature maps and the weight for residual flow prediction, respectively.

**Symmetry Invariance Term.** For two left-right symmetrical images, the corresponding corrected images should also be symmetrical. Based on this observation, we design a symmetry invariance loss to further facilitate the network the capability of horizon perception. Assuming \( f_{sym} \) be the result of left-right symmetry of \( I \), the corresponding outputs are \( O_{sym}^{ic} \) and \( O_{sym}^{fc} \). Then the rotation symmetry term can be defined as:

\[ L_{symmetry} = \frac{1}{N}(\|\Phi(O_{sym}^{ic}) - \Phi(f_{sym}(O_{ic}))\|_2^2 + \lambda \|\Phi(O_{sym}^{fc}) - \Phi(f_{sym}(O_{fc}))\|_2^2), \]  

where \( f_{sym}(\cdot) \) denotes the operation of left-right symmetry.
IV. DATA PREPARATION

As there is no proper dataset for rotation correction, we build a comprehensive dataset to train the learning framework and establish an evaluation benchmark. The process can be divided into 4 steps:

1) We collect abundant perceptually horizontal images (Fig. 5a) as the ground truth of the rotation corrected images. Specifically, we select the categories that belong to buildings and landscapes from ImageNet [23], e.g. boathouse, castle, church, lakeshore, volcano, etc, because the horizontal properties of these images are relatively easy to perceive. Then we manually pick up the perceptually horizontal images from these labeled candidates. We repeat this filtering process for 3 epochs and less than 3k images remain from more than 10k images. Besides, to enrich the variety of scenes, we also collected some pictures of other categories by ourselves.

2) We apply He et al.’s rotation algorithm [7] to rotate these horizontal images (Fig. 5b). Particularly, every image is rotated with 6 random angles that belong to 6 different angle intervals ([-10°, -7°], [-7°, -4°], [-4°, -1°], [1°, 4°], [4°, 7°], and [7°, 10°]). We define the maximum tilted angle as 10°, because people always tend to take pictures without tilt, even if there is a tilt, it is not noticeable.

3) Then, we filter out the rotated images with noticeable distortions manually for 3 epochs. Only 6,202 images remain from over 20k samples.

4) To further enhance the quality of our dataset, we develop a mesh-based program to manually fine-tune the rotated results following He et al.’s rotation [7]. It allows the user to drag the mesh vertexes with a mouse to modify the mesh deformation interactively as shown in Fig. 5c. This process requires huge manual labor, and we randomly select about 20% images from the last step to conduct the manual correction.

Now, we get all the samples of our dataset with tilted images, labels for corrected images, and labels for rotated angles to rectify the horizon. The training set and testing set are randomly divided according to the ratio of 9:1. Finally, we get the 5,537 samples for training and 665 samples for testing with the resolution set to 512 × 384. We name this dataset DRC-D, and the data distribution concerning rotated angles is shown in Fig. 4.

V. EXPERIMENT

In this section, we conduct extensive comparative experiments and ablation studies in Section V-B and V-C. To further validate the effectiveness of our method, cross-dataset evaluation is demonstrated in Section V-B. Moreover, the analysis of the feature visualization is provided to explore the secret of rotation correction in the same section.

A. Implement Details

1) Training Details: We use Adam optimizer [24] to train our network with an exponentially decaying learning rate initialized to 10e-4. The batch size is set to 4 and the training process takes 150k iterations in a single GPU with NVIDIA RTX 2080 Ti. \( \omega \) and \( \lambda \) are set to 0.1 and 0.25, respectively. We assign 8 × 6 to \( U \times V \) because the high-resolution mesh regression would increase the computational burden. Moreover, the subsequent residual flow prediction can make up for the lack of pixel-wise deformation in the low-resolution mesh deformation.

2) Inference: The proposed algorithm can process images with arbitrary resolutions. For example, given a 2048 × 1536 tilted image, it would be first downsampled to 512 × 384 and the optical flows to rectify the horizon are predicted in the downsampled resolution. Then the flows would be upsampled by increasing the resolution and magnifying the values, and the corrected result can be obtained by warping the full resolution input using the upsampled flows.

It takes about 0.2 seconds to process such a high-resolution image in GPU. The running time dominantly depends on warping (interpolating) the full resolution image.
B. Comparative Experiment

1) Compared with Content-Altering Solutions: Cropping and completion are straightforward solutions for the irregular boundaries caused by the rigid rotation. However, cropping decreases image contents so that the cropped results would exhibit a visual effect of FoV shrinking. Completion [1] increasing the extra contents that are visually reasonable but not reliable. Therefore, it’s unfair to compare our solution with them quantitatively.

We demonstrate the qualitative comparisons in Fig. 6, where three instances with different tilted angles are given. As the increase of rotated angles (from top to bottom), the content loss and content addition become more noticeable. Compared with them, our solution can correct the tilt naturally without content altering and angle prior.

2) Compared with Content-Preserving Solutions: We also compare our solution with the content-preserving solutions as follows:

Rotation: The rotation operation takes the tilted image and the ground truth rotated angle as the input. After rigid rotation, the boundaries become irregular and the resolution is also changed according to the rotated angle. We resize the rotated image to the original resolution for comparison purposes.

Rectangling: The rectangling operation takes the rotated
image as input and outputs the rectangular image without content altering. For fairness, we retrain Nie et al.’s rectangling [5] model on DRC-D by replacing the input with the rigid rotated images. We set the mesh resolution of both He et al.’ [2] and Nie et al.’ rectangling [5] to 8 × 6 for two reasons: 1) keeping the mesh resolution consistent with that in our solution, and 2) avoiding noticeable distortions that might be frequently produced in the condition of high-resolution mesh.

**Content-aware rotation:** Similar to rotation, the content-aware rotation algorithm [7] also takes the tilted image and the ground truth rotated angle as the input. But it outputs the content-rotated rectangular results. The mesh resolution is also set to 8 × 6 since this resolution can yield better “less-distortion” results compared with high-resolution mesh.

We demonstrate the quantitative comparisons and no-reference quantitative comparisons in Table II and Table III. From Table II, He et al.’s rotation is evaluated with the best PSNR and SSIM while ours is ranked the second in these two metrics. Since human eyes are sensitive to the salient regions in horizontal perception, these two statistic-based metrics cannot objectively reflect the quality of rotation correction. Therefore, we add FID [25] and LPIPS [26] as the perceptual measures that are popularly adopted in image generation tasks [1], [30]. From these perception-based metrics, the proposed solution reaches the best performance. Besides that, we conduct a no-reference blind image quality evaluation on these solutions. As shown in Table III, BRISQUE [27] is a natural scene statistic-based assessment while PIQUE [28] and RandIQA [29] are perception-based assessments. Our method is ranked the best solution in these no-reference metrics.

Moreover, the qualitative comparisons are exhibited in Fig. 7 and Fig. 8. The rectangling-based solutions might reintroduce the slight tilt to the rectangular results because they neglect the angle-preserving constraint. The content-aware rotation gives poor details on the rotated regions occasionally due to the limitation of mesh resolution. (Actually, higher mesh resolution in the content-aware rotation might produce much more distortions, yielding a worse performance.) On the other hand, they all require the rotated angles as additional input. Compared with them, our solution corrects the tilt.

**Table II**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Solution</th>
<th>Angle prior</th>
<th>PSNR (↑)</th>
<th>SSIM (↑)</th>
<th>FID [25] (↓)</th>
<th>LPIPS-vgg [26] (↓)</th>
<th>LPIPS-alex [26] (↓)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rotation</td>
<td>w/</td>
<td>11.37</td>
<td>0.374</td>
<td>34.40</td>
<td>0.468</td>
<td>0.441</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rotation &amp; He et al.’ rectangling [2]</td>
<td>w/</td>
<td>17.63</td>
<td>0.488</td>
<td>15.30</td>
<td>0.345</td>
<td>0.324</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rotation &amp; Nie et al.’ rectangling [5]</td>
<td>w/</td>
<td>19.89</td>
<td>0.550</td>
<td>13.40</td>
<td>0.286</td>
<td>0.295</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>He et al.’ rotation [7]</td>
<td>w/</td>
<td>21.69</td>
<td>0.646</td>
<td>8.51</td>
<td>0.212</td>
<td>0.171</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Our rotation correction</td>
<td>w/o</td>
<td>21.02</td>
<td>0.628</td>
<td>7.12</td>
<td>0.205</td>
<td>0.096</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table III**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Solution</th>
<th>Angle prior</th>
<th>BRISQUE [27] (↓)</th>
<th>PIQUE [28] (↓)</th>
<th>RandIQA [29] (↓)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rotation &amp; He et al.’ rectangling [2]</td>
<td>w/</td>
<td>32.81</td>
<td>17.20</td>
<td>0.745</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rotation &amp; Nie et al.’ rectangling [5]</td>
<td>w/</td>
<td>32.94</td>
<td>15.41</td>
<td>0.795</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>He et al.’ rotation [7]</td>
<td>w/</td>
<td>28.44</td>
<td>9.44</td>
<td>0.424</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Our rotation correction</td>
<td>w/o</td>
<td>26.38</td>
<td>8.19</td>
<td>0.299</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 8. Qualitative comparison with He et al.’s rotation [7]. The rotated angles are -9.5°, 6.5°, 8.1°, -7.7°, and 5.7° from left to right. The arrows highlight the rotated regions with poor details. Notice that our algorithm dose not require the angle prior while He et al.’s rotation [7] must take a specific angle as additional input.
3) Cross-Dataset Evaluation: In this cross-dataset evaluation, we adopt the DRC-D dataset to train our model and test this model in other datasets. Here we conduct the testing experiments on the images from MS-COCO [31] and demonstrate the visual appearance. As shown in Fig. 9, several examples with different resolutions and aspect ratios are given. Our solution predicts the optical flows to eliminate the visual tilt without the angle prior.

4) The Secret of Rotation Correction: To further explore how the neural network can work for rotation correction, we give an analysis of the monocular optical flow prediction process in this section. To this end, we visualize the feature maps to explore the secret of rotation correction. Specifically, we adopt an encoder-decoder network with skip connections and 5 different resolution hierarchies to predict the optical flows. The feature maps from the conv3_2 layers (both encoder and decoder) and the predicted optical flows are visualized in Fig. 10. The two testing samples are tilted to different degrees. By comparing the feature maps, we conclude the encoder and decoder play different roles:

1) The role of the encoder is to extract semantic features that are beneficial to horizontal perception, such as straight lines close to vertical/horizontal direction.

2) The decoder is responsible for distinguishing the tilted regions. For example, the heads of the tower in the third column of Fig. 10 are highlighted to different degrees. In the large-angle rotated instance, the network assigns larger values to the feature region (row 1, col 3, highlighted by the arrow) to predict longer flows that can correct it. In contrast, the feature region is relatively neglected in the small-rotated instance (row 2, col 3, highlighted by the arrow).

C. Ablation Study

The proposed monocular optical flow prediction strategy is simple but effective. We evaluate the effectiveness of every module on DRC-D.

1) Only Mesh: Another effective approach to correct the tilt is to predict the mesh deformation instead of the optical flows. However, the low-resolution mesh deformation might damage the content details while the high-resolution one tends to cause unnatural distortions to the mesh, such as self-intersection. Besides, only predicting the mesh can yield uneven rectangular boundaries in the corrected image as shown in Fig. 11 (left). Considering the fairness of comparing the mesh prediction method with ours, we also repeat the mesh deformation module to replace the residual optical flow prediction module (“mesh+mesh” in Table IV).

2) Only Flow: Predicting pixel-wise optical flows can produce a pixel-wise warp. But this warp is extremely unstable especially in large-angle tilted scenes as shown in Fig. 11 (middle). On the other hand, it can produce perfect rectangular boundaries in the corrected result, which is opposite to the mesh warp. Also, we repeat the optical flow prediction
module to replace the mesh deformation estimation module (“flow+flow” in Table IV).

3) Mesh + Flow: Mesh prediction can produce a robust warp, but it lacks pixel-wise deformation capability and produces uneven boundaries. In contrast, flow prediction can produce pixel-wise flexible warp with even rectangular boundaries, but the prediction would become unstable in large-angle tilted scenes. We combine their advantages to form a robust elastic warp that can rectify the horizon effectively.

4) Symmetry Invariance Loss: The symmetry invariance loss can further improve the performance to rectify the horizon. Besides, it can enhance the generalization capability when the pre-trained model is transferred to other datasets.

As shown in Table IV and Fig. 11, the proposed solution reaches the best performance both quantitatively and qualitatively. Moreover, both the proposed warping strategy and the symmetry invariance loss are easy to implement and can inspire other works on image warping tasks [5], [16], [17] to produce better warps.

VI. CONCLUSION

In this paper, we propose a new and practical task to automatically correct the content tilt with the angle prior, which can be easily integrated into image editing applications. To accomplish this task, we design a simple but effective warping strategy. Particularly, we combine the robustness of mesh estimation and the flexibility of optical flow prediction into a unified framework, contributing to a robust elastic warp.

To establish an evaluation benchmark and train the learning framework, we build a comprehensive rotation correction framework, we build a comprehensive rotation correction
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