Field driven cluster formation in two-dimensional colloidal binary mixtures

Dingwen Qian

Applied Physics Program, Northwestern University, Evanston, Illinois 60208, USA

Monica Olvera de la Cruz

Applied Physics Program, Departments of Materials Science and Engineering, Chemistry, and Physics and Astronomy, Northwestern University, Evanston, Illinois 60208, USA

(Dated: July 12, 2022)

Self-organized size-asymmetric colloidal compounds show a metallization transition where the small component sublattice melts, resembling superionic behavior in atomic systems. Here, we study the dynamic behavior of size-asymmetric charged colloidal particles under an external electric field. Besides the expected lane formation observed in size-symmetric colloidal solutions, we find a transient state where the small particles condense into clusters in quasi-one-dimensional channels under high electric fields. This transient state is stabilized by attaching springs between the large colloidal particles. We characterize the dynamic phase transition by the cluster size, which is a function of the drift velocity of the small particles over the speed of sound of the lattice of the large particles close to the clustering transition. The small particle mobility and vibrational spectra of the lattice composed of the large particles both show that friction between small and large particles is crucial in this dynamic phase transition.

I. INTRODUCTION

Unlike their atomic counterparts, colloidal particles can be tuned by size, shape and interactions, to exhibit rich phase behavior [1]. Furthermore, colloidal particles are also ideal for studying non-equilibrium processes, since they can be driven by an external field [2–3], chemical reactions [4] and biological processes [5]. Lane formation is a simple prototype of non-equilibrium pattern formation widely studied in the colloidal systems [8–13], which occurs when two groups of particles are driven in opposite directions. Lanes of particles of the same type form in the direction parallel to the driving force once the volume fraction of the particles and the driving force exceed critical values. Lane formation is a universal phenomenon studied in many other systems, including binary plasmas [16–18], confined mesoscopic particles [19], pedestrian dynamics [20] and active matter [21, 22]. Driven system out of equilibrium often exhibit aggregation of particles [13, 21, 23]. Previous studies on lane formation in particular have focused on binary mixtures of particles with equal or nearly equal sizes. Here, we analyze lattices of oppositely charged size-asymmetric particles and discover transient phenomena of different dynamic patterns. We then stabilize the patterns by stabilizing the crystal of the large colloidal particles with a spring force between them and analyze the behaviour as a function of the spring constant.

In equilibrium, crystals of highly size-asymmetric colloidal particles undergo a metallization-like transition observed in functionalized nanoparticles [24]. This transition is characterized by the delocalization of the small particles while the large particles form a well-defined lattice; the transition was further studied computationally [25, 27] and experimentally [28]. In related systems, including oppositely charged size-asymmetric colloids [24, 30], this transition resembles sublattice melting in superionic conductors [31]. Sublattice melting is of great importance for energy storage and conversion. In this report, we study size-and-charge-asymmetric colloidal mixtures in non-equilibrium conditions.

We explore the mobility of the small particles and pattern formation in a binary mixture in 2D under an external field. When the system is at equilibrium, the mixture forms a lattice structure and we corroborate sublattice melting. As the system is driven away from equilibrium under a high field, the mobility is enhanced and the lattice structure becomes unstable. The small particles form lanes as the lattice structure is distorted at high field strengths, which resemble the patterns observed in size-symmetric mixtures [8–14]. However, a transient state with clusters of small particles emerges at high field strengths. We characterize the cluster formation by a physical quantity called degree of inhomogeneity, which quantifies the degree of aggregation of the small particles in conduction channels parallel to the direction of the external field. The behavior of the small particles resembles the clustering of particles with attractions between them. However, the interaction between the small particles in this work is purely repulsive. Interestingly, clustering has been observed in dense colloidal suspensions in a pressure-driven microchannel flow [32, 33]. In our binary mixture, the cluster state is unstable and with time, it evolves to the lane formation phase. If we increase the lattice rigidity by adding springs between nearest-neighbor large particles, the cluster phase becomes the steady state at high field strengths. By tuning the spring constant and the external field, we measure the degree of inhomogeneity of the small particles. In the low electric
field strength regime, the degree of inhomogeneity is a function of the drift velocities normalized by the speed of sound. This indicates that the transition velocity to the cluster regime scales linearly with the speed of sound. The vibrational spectra of the large particles show that the vibrational motion of the large particles is primarily driven by collision with the small particles at high field strengths. This is consistent with the results of the mobility of the small particles, showing that the mobility saturates to a value lower than the collision-free case, which means the collision is always significant in the cluster states.

II. MODEL AND METHODOLOGY

We use the repulsive Weeks-Chandler-Andersen (WCA) potential to model the hardcore interaction, \( \beta U_{WCA}(r_{ij}) \) given by

\[
4\varepsilon \left[ \left( \frac{\sigma_{ij}}{r_{ij}} \right)^{12} - \left( \frac{\sigma_{ij}}{r_{ij}} \right)^{6} \right] + \varepsilon, \quad r_{ij} < 2^{1/6} \sigma_{ij}, \\
0, \quad r_{ij} > 2^{1/6} \sigma_{ij},
\]

and Yukawa potential to model the screened electrostatic interaction,

\[
\beta U_{el}(r_{ij}) = \frac{\lambda_{B} q^{2} \exp(-\kappa r_{ij})}{r_{ij}}
\]

Here, \( \sigma_{ij} = R_{i} + R_{j} \), with \( i, j = A, B \), where \( R_{A} = 20 \) and \( R_{B} = 1 \) are the radii of the large and small particles, respectively. We assume that both species have the same mass density, and the masses of the A and B species are \( m_{A} = 400 \) and \( m_{B} = 1 \). The WCA interaction strength is \( \varepsilon = 20 \), \( \beta = \frac{1}{k_{B}T} \) and the temperature \( T \) is 363.5K. In the expression of the Yukawa potential, \( \lambda_{B} \) is the Bjerrum length of water, which equals 0.8 nm. The Debye-Hückel screening length, \( \kappa^{-1} \), is given by \( k^{-2} = 4\pi \lambda_{B} n \), where \( n \) is the mole concentration of free ions. We assume that the system is in distilled water and \( n = 10^{-7} \) mol/L, and the screening length is set equal to the radius of the large particles. The effective charges of the colloidal particles are \( q_{i}^{e} = \frac{q_{i} \exp(\lambda_{B} R_{i})}{\exp(\lambda_{B} R_{j})} \), where \( q_{i} \) is the bare charge carried by the colloidal particle \( i = A, B \). The bare charges of the large and small particles are \( q_{A} = -12 \) and \( q_{B} = 1 \), respectively. The number ratio of the large to small particles is set equal to 12, \( N_{A} : N_{B} = 1:12 \), to respects electroneutrality.

Langevin dynamics are applied here to control the thermostats and to model the friction forces between the colloidal particles and the media; the solvent (water) is implicit in the simulation. The Langevin’s equation of motion is

\[
m_{i} \frac{d^2 r_{i}}{dt^2} = F_{c} + F_{ex} - \gamma \frac{dr_{i}}{dt} + \xi_{i}
\]

where \( F_{c} \) is the conservative force acting on colloid \( i \) computed using the inter-molecular interactions and \( F_{ex} = E_{d} \) is the force produced by the external field \( E \). In the simulation, \( E \) is always in the x-direction and \( E \) is the magnitude of the field. We use the dimensionless driving force, defined as \( F' = F_{ex} d/k_{B} T = \frac{E_{d} d}{k_{B} T} \), to quantify the strength of the electric field, since it shows how strong the external force is compared to the thermal fluctuations. \( F_{ex} \) is the external force on the small particles, \( d \) is the diameter of the small particles and \( k_{B} T \) is the thermal energy. Although the large particles are also driven by the electric field, we still choose the small particles’ properties to quantify the electric field strengths for convenience. We note that \( F_{ex} d/k_{B} T \) is defined as Péclet number in the lane formation studies [8, 13, 14]. In the transport community, the Péclet number is defined as \( Pe = v_{drift} d/D \), where \( v_{drift} \) is the drift velocity of the small particles and \( D \) is the diffusion coefficient of small particles. In the linear response regime, where the Einstein relation \( D = \mu k_{B} T = \frac{v_{drift}}{F_{ex} d/k_{B} T} \) holds, \( F' \) and \( Pe \) are equivalent. In the nonlinear regime in this study, however, \( F' \) and \( Pe \) are not equivalent. We choose to use \( F' \) in this paper. The third term on the right side of the equation is the friction force proportional to the particles’ velocities and the friction coefficient is 50 for the small particles and 1000 for the large particles. The values are estimated by the stokes formula \( F_{drag} = 6\pi\eta d \), where \( \eta \) is the dynamic viscosity of water and \( d \) is the diameter of the particles. The fourth term is the random force produced by the implicit water molecules, which satisfies the fluctuation-dissipation relation. It is modeled by a white noise and obey the equation \( \langle \xi_{i\alpha}(t)\xi_{j\beta}(t') \rangle = 2\gamma_{i} k_{B} T \delta_{i\beta} \delta_{\alpha\beta} (t - t') \), where \( \alpha, \beta \) denote the particle indices and \( \gamma_{i} \) denote the spatial directions. The harmonic potential added between the neighbor large particles are of the form \( U = \frac{1}{2}K(r_{ij} - a)^{2} \), where the spring constant is \( K \) and the equilibrium length \( a \) is equal to the lattice constant. The large particles are prepared in a two-dimensional triangular lattices with lattice constant \( a = 45 \). We simulated 20 x 20 unit cells and the total number of colloidal particles is 5200. The simulation timestep size is \( \delta t = 0.001 \). All simulations are performed using the LAMMPS package [32] and visualization using VMD[33]. The vibrational spectra of the large particles [Fig 7] are calculated using the discrete Fourier transform of the time profile of the particle velocities.

\[
I(\omega) = \sum_{i=1}^{N_{particles}} |v(\omega)|^{2}
\]

\[
v(\omega) = \sum_{i=0}^{N_{frame}-1} v(t_{i}) \exp[i\omega t_{i}]
\]

The vibrational spectra are calculated from velocities recorded from \( N_{step} = 1000 \) time frames. The interval between the time frames is one-reduced time unit in the simulation (see Appendix A for conversion to real units). The vibrational spectra formula is similar to the calculation of the density of states of phonons [34, 35].
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\( F' = 0.2 \) \( F' = 600 \) \( F' = 20 \) \( F' = 20 \)

FIG. 1. A and B are steady states at low \((F' = 0.2)\) and high field strength \((F' = 600)\). C and D show two transient states at \(F' = 20\) \( (F' = F_{c_x} d/k_B T = \frac{k_B T}{E_{eq}})\). The particles’ sizes are rescaled to make pictures clear. All pictures are in a simulation box with periodic boundary conditions.
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\( F' = 0.2 \) \( F' = 20 \) \( F' = 1000 \) \( F' = 1400 \)

FIG. 2. Here, we show the steady states with springs (spring constant \( K = 10 \)). The dimensionless driving force for A, B, C and D correspond to \( F' = 0.2, 20, 1000 \) and \( 1400 \), respectively \( (F' = F_{c_x} d/k_B T = \frac{k_B T}{E_{eq}})\). As the field strength increases, the cluster states emerge and form periodic structures in C and D. The particles’ sizes are rescaled to make pictures clear. All pictures are in a simulation box with periodic boundary conditions.

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

We study two types of systems. The first type of systems do not have bonds between nearest neighbor large particles, and the second type of systems have bonds between nearest neighbor large particles. The bonds can be realized by polymers with chain ends attached to the large particles. The bonds are added to stabilize the cluster state, since it is only a transient state found in the systems without bonds, making it difficult to realize and measure experimentally. In the system with bonds between the large particles, the cluster state becomes the steady state at high field strengths.

A. Steady and transient states of the binary mixtures without bonds

Under an electric field applied in the x-directions, the small particles are driven to move to the right and the large particles are driven to move in the opposite direction. The system exhibits two types of steady states: 1. At low field strength, the distribution of the small particles is homogeneous and the lattice structure is stable (see Fig 1A). 2. At high field strength, the lattice is distorted and large and small particles form lanes along the direction of the electric field (see Fig 1B), reminiscent of lane formation in size-symmetric mixtures [13, 14, 15].

The system exhibits two transient states that are not stable and with time evolve into the second steady state. As we increase the field strength, these two transient states appear sequentially at \( F' = 20 \). In the first transient state, we observe that small particles form lanes between large particles while the large particles form a well-defined lattice (see Fig 1C). This state is not stable and after some simulation time evolves into the second transient state. In the second transient state, the small particles aggregate into clusters and the lattice of the large particles is distorted (see Fig 1D).

B. Dynamic pattern formation in the binary mixtures with bonds

1. Characterization of the dynamic transition

The steady states of the binary mixtures with bonds are very simple. First of all, when the lattice is stabilized by springs, to ensure that the center of mass of the large particles is not moving, the total momentum of the large particles is set equal to zero every timestep. At low field strengths, the small particles distribute homogeneously in the system (see Fig 2A). At medium field strengths, the small particles form lanes between the large particles, but the distribution alongside the lanes is uniform (see Fig 2B). At high field strengths, the small particles form stable clusters in quasi-one-dimensional channels, and the length of the cluster decreases with increasing
FIG. 3. This figure illustrates how the simulation boxes are divided into 20 channels (horizontal rows), and each channel is divided into 20 boxes (vertical columns). The numbers of small particles are counted and the normalized variance of the numbers is calculated in one channel and averaged over all 20 channels and 1000 timesteps.

FIG. 4. In figure A, the degree of inhomogeneity is plotted against the dimensionless driving force \( F' = F'_{\text{ex}} d / k_B T = \frac{F'_{\text{ex}} d}{k_B T} \), and the lines refer to different spring constants (lattice rigidity). In figure B, the degree of inhomogeneity is plotted against the normalized drift velocity \( \sqrt{a / \sqrt{K/M}} \). The cluster length is calculated by counting the boxes within which the number of small particles exceed the average value, which is 12.

FIG. 5. In figure A, the cluster length (normalized by the lattice vector length \( a \)) is plotted against the dimensionless driving force \( F' = F'_{\text{ex}} d / k_B T = \frac{F'_{\text{ex}} d}{k_B T} \), and the lines refer to different spring constants (lattice rigidity). In figure B, the cluster length is plotted against the normalized drift velocity \( \sqrt{a / \sqrt{K/M}} \). The cluster length is calculated by counting the boxes within which the number of small particles exceed the average value, which is 12.

In order to identify cluster formation (Fig 2C), we need to define a physical parameter. Firstly, we observe that the small particles move in the one-dimensional channels between the large particles and there is few transference of small particles between the channels. The boundary of the channels are set to be the lines parallel to the electric field and going through the center of the mass of the rows of large particles when the large particles are at equilibrium positions. Then, we observe that the cluster formation is essentially the condensation of the small particles in the channels. When the systems are in the lane state (Fig 2B), the small particles should be evenly distributed in the channels, only allowing density fluctuations of small particles due to the random force (thermal fluctuations). When the system is in the cluster state, the density of small particles varies in the one-dimensional channels at a given time. To quantify this variance of density, we divide the system into 20 horizontal rows (channels) and 20 vertical columns and count the number of small particles in each of the 20 boxes per channel. We define the degree of inhomogeneity as the normalized variance of the numbers of small particles in the defined boxes (see Fig 3) in one channel (horizontal row), averaged over all 20 channels in the simulation and 1000 timesteps, \( \Psi = \frac{\sigma^2}{N} \) (see Fig 3). In this way, we can...
quantify the degree of aggregation of the small particles in the channels. We can also divide the one-dimensional channels into more boxes but that does not change the result qualitatively (see Appendix C). Therefore, the degree of inhomogeneity is a good quantification of the degree of aggregation of the small particles as long as we keep the box size constant in our study. The convergence of the degree of inhomogeneity also serves as a quantitative standard to decide if the system reaches the steady state. To explore the physics behind the cluster formation, we change the spring constants between the large particles. Effectively, this tunes the lattice rigidity since the spring force is much stronger than other interactions between the large particles. We plot the degree of inhomogeneity against the dimensionless driving force $F'$ (Fig 4A), where we see that as the spring constants increases, the lines shift to the right. This means that the more rigid the lattice is, the more difficult it is for the small particles to aggregate. Then, we plot the degree of inhomogeneity against the drift velocity normalized by $a\sqrt{K/M}$, where $K$ is the spring constant, $M$ is the mass of the large particles and $a$ is the lattice constant in equilibrium (Fig 4B). We note that $a\sqrt{K/M}$ is only an approximation of the speed of sound of the lattice formed by large particles, since we have ignored other interactions between large particles, such as the screened Coulomb interactions. Fig 4 shows that the degree of inhomogeneity is a function of the normalized drift velocities (nearly independent of the value of $K$) close to the point where the cluster pattern starts to emerge; this relation, however, does not hold when the inhomogeneity is very large and the lattice is strongly distorted. Similar behavior is observed in the cluster length (see Fig 5). We hypothesize that the degree of inhomogeneity is a function of the normalized drift velocity close to the point where the cluster pattern starts to emerge, that is $Ψ = Ψ(\frac{v_{\text{drift}}}{a\sqrt{K/M}})$. If the cluster pattern emerges when the drift velocity of the small particles reaches a certain value, which we define as the transition velocity, we can infer from the hypothesis that the transition velocity scales linearly with the speed of sound.

2. Mobility and vibrational spectra

The mobility is a physical measurable that is important for both scientific and engineering purposes. It is defined as $μ = v/E$, where $v$ is the drift velocity of the small particles and $E$ is the external field. The free particle limit of the mobility is set by the drag coefficient, namely $μ_{\text{free}} = 1/\gamma_B = 0.02$. It is more convenient to define the normalized mobility as $μ_{\text{normalized}} = \frac{μ}{μ_{\text{free}}}$, which is a dimensionless quantity. It is interesting that the mobilities saturate at value lower than the free particle limit and even decrease at high field strengths as shown in Fig 6B. The decrease is not from error of the measurement since the errorbar is very small compared to the difference. This indicates that at high field, the friction between the small and large particles can increase due to the change of the cluster length. This is one important sign that the friction between the small particles and large particles is essential for the clusters to form. We can understand this better by analyzing the vibrational spectra of the large particles.

The vibrational spectra provide a powerful tool for experimentalists to identify the cluster states. From Fig 7 we can see that the vertical vibrational intensity is enhanced strongly when the system reaches the cluster state ($F' = 1000$). The enhanced intensity is concentrated in the low frequency region. The zoom in of the peaks of the spectra at $F' = 1400$ are shown in Fig 8. The lowest pronounced peak frequency is corresponding to the inverse of the period of time the small particles travel across the simulation box. This result means that the vibrational motion of the large particles are dominantly driven by the motion of the small particles in the cluster states, which is the result of the strong friction between the small particles and the large particles. Furthermore, comparing the vibrational motion in the vertical and parallel directions with respect to the electric field in Fig 5, we can tell that the vibrational energy is higher in the vertical direction, which indicates that the scattering process between the small and large particles transfers momentum mostly to the vertical direction.

FIG. 6. Figure A shows the normalized mobility versus the dimensionless driving force $F' = F_e a d/k_B T = \frac{F_e a d}{k_B T}$. The mobility is normalized by the free particle limit. The lines are mobility in the systems with different spring constants. Figure B is the zoom in of the part where the mobility saturates at high field strengths.
FIG. 7. This figure shows the vibrational spectra of systems with spring constant $K = 10$ under different electric field strengths. The quantities are given in reduced units. Figure A and B are the vibrational spectra under low electric field, and there is no obvious change from $F' = 0.2$ to $F' = 20$ ($F' = F_{ex} d / k_B T = E_{eq} d / k_B T$). Since $F' = 0.2$ means the driving force is much smaller than the thermal fluctuations, the vibrational motion of A and B are primarily driven by the thermal fluctuation. Under high external field (C and D), the thermal vibrational amplitude is much smaller than the vibrational peaks which are driven by the friction force with the small particles. The blue straight lines are spectra of the vibrational motion parallel to the electric field. The red dotted lines are spectra of the vibrational motion perpendicular to the electric field.

FIG. 8. This figure is the low frequency vibrational spectra of $K = 10$ and $F' = 1400$ ($F' = F_{ex} d / k_B T = E_{eq} d / k_B T$). The quantities are given in reduced units. The vibrational peaks of the red curve have frequencies (0.015, 0.030, 0.045, 0.06) that equal multiples of the first peak, which is the characteristic spectrum of a non-trigonometric periodic function. The blue straight line is the spectrum of the vibrational motion parallel to the electric field. The red dotted line is the spectrum of the vibrational motion perpendicular to the electric field.

IV. CONCLUSIONS

This work is focused on the dynamic behavior of a binary colloidal mixture with extremely high size ratio (20:1) and number ratio (1:12) in 2D. When the system without springs is driven far from equilibrium under an external field, the lane formation is the steady state as expected from previous studies [8–10]. A new transient state emerges at high field strengths where the small particles aggregate into clusters. This state is stabilized by attaching harmonic springs between large particles. By tuning the spring constants, we explore the relationship between the degree of inhomogeneity versus normalized drift velocity. We find that the degree of inhomogeneity is approximately a function of the normalized drift velocity close to the point where the cluster pattern starts to emerge. This indicates that the transition velocity to the cluster regime scales linearly with the speed of sound. The mobility in the cluster state saturates at a value lower than the free particle limit and even decreases at high field strengths, which indicates the important role of friction between the large and small particles for the formation of clusters. The vibrational motion of the large particles are dominantly driven by the small particles in the cluster states. This could be a powerful tool to experimentally identify the cluster states. This work reveals a new type of non-equilibrium state in binary colloidal mixtures, which is the result of the competition among the external force, the friction between the small particles and the lattice, and the thermal fluctuations.
TABLE I. This table contains all reduced units and physical constants used in this study.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Units</th>
<th>Reduced</th>
<th>SI units</th>
<th>Explanation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Length</td>
<td>σ</td>
<td>50 nm</td>
<td>radius of small particles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy</td>
<td>ε</td>
<td>31.3 meV</td>
<td>$k_B T$ at 363.5 K</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mass</td>
<td>m</td>
<td>1.57 × 10^{-11} kg</td>
<td>Mass of small particles assuming density = 40 g/cm³, colloidal disks have height=50 nm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time</td>
<td>τ</td>
<td>2.80 × 10^{-6} s</td>
<td>$τ = \sqrt{mσ^2/ε}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Charge</td>
<td>q∗</td>
<td>8 elementary charges</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electric field</td>
<td>E∗</td>
<td>78757 V/m</td>
<td>$E^* = \frac{q^*}{σ}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dynamic viscosity</td>
<td>η∗</td>
<td>1.12 × 10^{-4} Pa·s</td>
<td>$η^* = \frac{η}{πr^2}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Velocity</td>
<td>v∗</td>
<td>0.018 m/s</td>
<td>$v^* = \frac{v}{\sqrt{\frac{1}{εr}}}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elastic constant</td>
<td>K∗</td>
<td>2.000 × 10^{-6} N/m</td>
<td>$K^* = \frac{K}{mσ}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frequency</td>
<td>f∗</td>
<td>3.57 × 10^{10} Hz</td>
<td>$f^* = \frac{f}{πr}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boltzmann constant</td>
<td>k_B</td>
<td>1.38 × 10^{-23} m²·kg·s^{-2}·K^{-1}</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relative permittivity</td>
<td>ε_r</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>permittivity of water at 363.5 K[39]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water dynamic viscosity</td>
<td>η_water</td>
<td>0.0003 Pa·s</td>
<td>Water viscosity at 363.5 K[40]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We acknowledge Eleftherios Kyrkinis and Leticia Lopez for helpful discussions. This research was primarily supported by the Department of Energy (DOE), Office of Basic Energy Sciences under Contract DE-FG02-08ER46539. MDcIC thanks the Sherman Fairchild Foundation for computational support. We thank the administrative support of the Center for Computational and Theory of Soft Materials (CCTSM) at Northwestern University.

Appendix A: Unit conversion

All physical quantities used in this report have reduced units, for example, $L_{physical} = L \times σ$, where $L_{physical}$ is the physical length, $L$ is the numerical value and $σ$ is the reduced units defined by the Table I. There are only four basic units, namely length, energy and mass, while all other units are derived from them. The explanation of how these units are related is expressed in the explanations. We choose some realistic units for these three basic units for the purpose to show that the systems in our report are realistic, but actually they are not fixed. A few physical constants are also used and the value is in the table.

Appendix B: Breakdown field of water

The strength of the electric field in this study is bounded by the breakdown field of water. Using the breakdown field of distilled water from reference[41], we can calculate the upper bound of the electric field. The breakdown field in the reference [41] is 65-70 MV/m, and the reduced unit of electric field in our study corresponds to 78757 V/m, so the upper bound for the numeric value of the electric field in our study is 825 and the upper bound for the dimensionless driving force is 1650. The largest dimensionless driving force in our study is 1400, so we can assume that we work in electric field strengths lower than the breakdown field of distilled water, but we are very close to the upper bound.

Appendix C: Degree of inhomogeneity at different division of the one dimensional channels

To illustrate that finer division of the one dimensional channels does not change the result qualitatively, we divide each dimensional channel into 40 boxes, and we perform the same calculation to get the degree of inhomogeneity. Comparing Fig 9 to Fig 4, we see there is no qualitative difference between them. So as long as we...
FIG. 10. In figure A, the drift velocity is plotted against the dimensionless driving force \( F' = F_{ex}/k_BT \), and the lines refer to different spring constants (lattice rigidity). Figure B is the zoom-in of figure A to show that all lines are monotonically increasing.

keep the box size constant, the degree of inhomogeneity is a good quantification of the degree of aggregation of small particles in the one dimensional channels and it is not necessary to use a finer division of the box.

Appendix D: Drift velocity versus electric field

This section is to illustrate that the drift velocity of the small particles increases as the electric field increases (see Fig 10). This makes sure that the system is not metastable.
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