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Abstract

To find the non-standard binary Golay complementary sequences (GCSs) of length \( 2^m \) or theoretically prove the nonexistence of them are still open. Since it has been shown that all the standard \( q \)-ary (where \( q \) is even) GCSs of length \( 2^m \) can be obtained by standard \( q \)-ary Golay complementary array pair (GAP) of dimension \( m \) and size \( 2 \times 2 \times \cdots \times 2 \) (abbreviated to size \( 2^m \)), it’s natural to ask whether all the \( q \)-ary GAP of size \( 2^m \) are standard. We give a positive answer to this question.
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1 Introduction

The binary GCSs were first introduced by Golay [5] in the context of infrared spectrometry, and were generalized to \( q \)-ary cases later on. A \( q \)-ary sequence \( f \) of length \( L \) is defined as

\[
f = (f(0), f(1), \cdots, f(L-1)),
\]

where each entry \( f(t) \) belongs to \( \mathbb{Z}_q \) for \( 0 \leq t < L \). The aperiodic auto-correlation of sequence \( f \) at shift \( \tau (-L < \tau < L) \) is defined by

\[
C_f(\tau) := \sum_t \zeta^{f(t+\tau)-f(t)},
\]

where \( \zeta = e^{2\pi \sqrt{-1}/q} \) and \( \zeta^{f(t+\tau)-f(t)} := 0 \) if \( f(t+\tau) \) or \( f(t) \) is not defined. A pair of sequences \( \{f_1, f_2\} \) is called a Golay complementary pair (GCP) [5] if

\[
C_{f_1}(\tau) + C_{f_2}(\tau) = 0, \text{ for all } \tau \neq 0.
\]
Each sequence in such a pair is called a GCS.

Repeated application of Turyn’s construction \[14\], beginning with binary GCPs of lengths 2, 10 and 26 given in literature, can be used to construct GCPs for all lengths \(2^a10^b26^c\), \(a, b, c \geq 0\), which are all the known lengths of binary GCPs up to now. In 1999, Davis and Jedwab \[2\] gave a explicit expression of \((q = 2^h)\)-ary GCPs of length \(2^m\) based on Generalized Boolean functions. Paterson \[13\] showed the same construction holds without modification for any even \(q\), which is given bellow.

For any permutation \(\pi\) of \(\{1, 2, \ldots, m\}\), and any choice of constants \(c' \in \mathbb{Z}_q\), \(c_k \in \mathbb{Z}_q\) \((1 \leq k \leq m)\), define the pair of generalized Boolean functions

\[
\begin{align*}
  f(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_m) &= \frac{q}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} x_{\pi(k)} x_{\pi(k+1)} + \sum_{k=1}^{m} c_k x_k + c_0, \\
  g(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_m) &= f(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_m) + \frac{q}{2} x_{\pi(1)} + c'.
\end{align*}
\]

The sequence pairs \((f(t), g(t))\) projected from \((f(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_m), g(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_m))\) by restricting \(t = \sum_{k=1}^{m} 2^{k-1} x_k\) form GCP of length \(2^m\), and have been referred to as the standard since then. The sequences in standard GCPs are called standard GCSs.

Numerical evidence \[10\] suggests that there are no other binary GCSs of length \(2^m\) when \(m\) does not exceed 100. To find the non-standard binary GCSs of length \(2^m\) \((m > 100)\) or to theoretically prove the nonexistence of binary GCSs are still open.

In 2005, Li and Chu \[7\] discovered 1024 non-standard quaternary GCSs of length 16 by computer search. Fiedler and Jedwab \[8\] explained shortly afterwards by means of “crossover” quaternary standard GCPs of length 8. Furthermore, a framework to construct GCSs presented in \[9\] simplified the previous approaches to construct GCPs from the viewpoint of “array”.

Golay (complementary) arrays are firstly studied by Lüke \[12\] and Dymond \[11\] as a generalisation of combinatorial object, and then shown to be one most powerful method to study GCSs \[6\]. An \(m\)-dimensional \(q\)-ary array \(f\) of size \(2 \times 2 \times \cdots \times 2\) (or abbreviated to size \(2^m\)) can be represented by a generalized Boolean function over \(\mathbb{Z}_q\)

\[ f(x) = f(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_m), \]

where for all \(1 \leq k \leq m\), \(x_k \in \{0, 1\}\). The aperiodic auto-correlation of an array \(f\) at shift \(\tau = (\tau_1, \tau_2, \ldots, \tau_m)\), \((-1 \leq \tau_i \leq 1)\), is defined by

\[ C_f(\tau) = \sum_{x \in \{0, 1\}^m} \zeta^{f(x+\tau) - f(x)}, \]

where \(\zeta^{f(x+\tau) - f(x)} := 0\) if \(f(x + \tau)\) or \(f(x)\) is not defined, and \(x + \tau\) is the element-wise addition of
integers. A pair of arrays \((f_1, f_2)\) is called a GAP if

\[ C_{f_1}(\tau) + C_{f_2}(\tau) = 0 \text{ for all } \tau \neq 0. \]

Each array in such a pair is called a Golay complementary array (GCA) [6].

It has been shown in [4] that \((f(x), g(x))\) given in (1) form GAPs of size \(2^m\), from which all the \(q\)-ary standard GCPs of length \(2^m\) can be obtained. We call the Golay array pairs shown in (1) standard.

Similar to the case of GCPs, it’s natural to ask an inverse problem whether all the binary (or \(q\)-ary) GAP of size \(2^m\) are standard.

To study this problem, the present authors [1] exhibited the Walsh and nega-Walsh spectrum distribution of the binary and quaternary GCAs in 2021. The GCAs can only be constructed from (generalized) Boolean functions satisfying spectral values given in [1]. For instance, an \(m\)-dimensional binary GCA must be bent for even \(m\) and near-bent for odd \(m\) with respect to the Walsh spectrum, and it must be nega-plateaued, nega-bent or nega-landscape with respect to the nega spectrum.

In this paper, we further study this problem and give a positive answer to it, which is given by the following Theorem.

**Theorem 1** The \(q\)-ary GAPs \((f(x), g(x))\) of size \(2^m\) must be standard, i.e., of form (1).

### 2 Proof of Theorem 1

#### 2.1 Preliminary

The generating function of a \(q\)-ary array \(f(x)\) is given by

\[ F(z) = \sum_{x \in \{0,1\}^m} \zeta^{f(x)} z_1^{x_1} z_2^{x_2} \cdots z_m^{x_m}, \]  \hspace{1cm} (2)

where \(z = (z_1, z_2, \cdots, z_m)\). Conversely, \(f(x)\) is called the \(q\)-ary array of the generating function \(F(z)\).

**Property 1** The generating function of aperiodic auto-correlation of \(C_f(\tau)\) is given by

\[ F(z) \cdot \overline{F}(z^{-1}) = \sum_\tau C_f(\tau) \cdot z^\tau, \]  \hspace{1cm} (3)

where \(z^\tau\) means \(z_1^{\tau_1} z_2^{\tau_2} \cdots z_m^{\tau_m}\), \(\overline{F}(z)\) is the conjugate of \(F(z)\) in all the coefficients, and \(z^{-1}\) means \((z_1^{-1}, z_2^{-1}, \cdots, z_m^{-1})\).

A GAP can be alternatively defined from the generating functions.

**Property 2** \(f_1(x)\) and \(f_2(x)\) forms a GAP if and only if their generating functions \(F_1(z)\) and \(F_2(z)\) satisfy

\[ F_1(z) \cdot \overline{F_1}(z^{-1}) + F_2(z) \cdot \overline{F_2}(z^{-1}) = 2^{m+1}. \]  \hspace{1cm} (4)
Define \( f^*(x) \) to be \( q \)-ary array of size \( 2^m \) given by
\[
f^*(x) = f(\bar{x}_1, \bar{x}_2, \ldots, \bar{x}_m) : \{0, 1\}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_q,
\] (5)
where \( \bar{x}_k = 1 - x_k \in \{0, 1\} \), \((1 \leq k \leq m)\). \( f^*(x) \) is called reverse array of \( f(x) \).

For any \( F(z) \in \mathbb{C}[z] \) \((z = (z_1, z_2, \ldots, z_m))\), multivariate polynomial ring over complex field, let \( d_k \) be the degree of \( F(z) \) with respect to \( z_k \) for \( 1 \leq k \leq m \). Define polynomial
\[
F^*(z) = \prod_{k=1}^{m} z_k^{d_k} \cdot F(z^{-1}).
\] (6)

For example, \( F(z_1, z_2) = c_0 \cdot z_1^2 z_2 + c_1 \cdot z_1 z_2 + c_2 \cdot z_1 + c_3 \cdot z_2^2 \), where \( c_0, c_1, c_2, c_3 \in \mathbb{C} \), then \( F^*(z_1, z_2) = c_0 \cdot z_2 + c_1 \cdot z_1 z_2 + c_2 \cdot z_1 z_2^2 + c_3 \cdot z_2^2 \).

**Property 3** Let \( F(z) \) be the generating function of a \( q \)-ary array \( f(x) \). Then \( F^*(z) \) is the generating function of a \( q \)-ary array \( f^*(x) \).

Since \( \mathbb{C}[z] \) is a unique factorization domain [3, Sec. 9.6], any \( F(z) \in \mathbb{C}[z] \) can be factored into a product of irreducible polynomials in \( \mathbb{C}[z] \), and any two polynomials in \( \mathbb{C}[z] \) has a great common divisor.

Denote indeterminates \((z_1, z_2)\) as a partition of the indeterminates \( z \), where \( z_1 \cup z_2 = z \) and \( z_1 \cap z_2 = \emptyset \). For a polynomial \( A(z_1, z_2) \in \mathbb{C}[z_1, z_2] \), if the degree of \( A(z_1, z_2) \) with respect to any indeterminate in \( z_1 \) is larger than 0, and the degree of \( A(z_1, z_2) \) with respect to any indeterminate in \( z_2 \) is 0, we say that \( A(z_1, z_2) \) can be succinctly denoted by \( A(z_1) \) in this paper. For example, if \( A(z_0, z_1, z_2, z_3) = z_1 z_3 + z_1 + 1, A(z_0, z_1, z_2, z_3) \) can be succinctly denoted by \( A(z_1, z_3) \). Define \( \dim z \) as the number of indeterminates in \( z \).

Let \( F(z) \) be the generating function of a \( q \)-ary array \( f(x) \) of size \( 2^m \) \((m \geq 2)\). Suppose that \( F(z) \) has a nontrivial factorization in \( \mathbb{C}[z] \), say, \( F(z) = A'(z) \cdot B'(z) \), and \( A'(z) \) and \( B'(z) \) can be succinctly denoted by \( A'(z_1) \) and \( B'(z_2) \), i.e.,
\[
F(z) = A'(z_1) \cdot B'(z_2).
\] (7)

Since the product of constant terms of \( A'(z) \) and \( B'(z) \) is the constant term of \( F(z) \), which belongs to \( \{c^\circ|c \in \mathbb{Z}_q\} \), we can always find \( \alpha \in \mathbb{C} \) which satisfies that the constant terms of both \( \alpha \cdot A'(z_2) \) and \( \alpha^{-1} \cdot B'(z_1) \) belong to \( \{c^\circ|c \in \mathbb{Z}_q\} \). Let \( A(z_1) = \alpha \cdot A'(z_1) \) and \( B(z_2) = \alpha^{-1} \cdot B'(z_2) \). We call
\[
F(z) = A(z_1) \cdot B(z_2),
\] (8)
a normalized factorization.
Lemma 1 Let $F(z)$ be the generating function of a $q$-ary array $f(x)$ of size $2^m$ $(m \geq 2)$. Suppose that $F(z)$ has a nontrivial normalized factorization in $\mathbb{C}[z]$: $F(z) = A(z_1) \cdot B(z_2)$, where $\dim z_1 = m_1$ and $\dim z_2 = m_2$. We have:

1) $(z_1, z_2)$ is a partition of the indeterminates $z$, and $m = m_1 + m_2$.

2) $A(z_1)$ and $B(z_2)$ are generating functions of $q$-ary arrays $a(x_1)$ and $b(x_2)$, which are of size $2^{m_1}$ and $2^{m_2}$ respectively;

3) The functions $f(x)$, $a(x_1)$ and $b(x_2)$ satisfy

$$f(x) = a(x_1) + b(x_2). \quad (9)$$

Proof 1) It’s obviously $z = (z_1 \cup z_2)$. Suppose that there exists an indeterminate $z \in (z_1 \cap z_2)$, i.e., the degrees of $A(z_1)$ and $B(z_2)$ with respect to $z$ are both at least 1. Then the degree of $F(z) = A(z_1) \cdot B(z_2)$ with respect to $z$ is at least 2, which contradicts with the fact that the degree of $F(z)$ with respect to $z$ is 1. Thus $z_1 \cap z_2 = \emptyset$. Therefore, $z_1$ and $z_2$ is a partition of $z$. It is natural that the number of indeterminates satisfy $m = m_1 + m_2$.

2) Let the polynomial expansions of $F(z)$, $A(z_1)$ and $B(z_2)$ be given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
F(z) &= \sum_{x \in \{0,1\}^m} \zeta^f(x) \cdot z^x, \\
A(z_1) &= \sum_{x_1 \in \{0,1\}^{m_1}} A(x_1) \cdot z_1^{x_1}, \\
B(z_2) &= \sum_{x_2 \in \{0,1\}^{m_2}} B(x_2) \cdot z_2^{x_2},
\end{aligned} \quad (10)
$$

where $A(x_1), B(x_2) \in \mathbb{C}$. $(x_1 \in \{0,1\}^{m_1}, x_2 \in \{0,1\}^{m_2}, x_1$ and $x_2$ are a partition of $x$.) Comparing the coefficients of each term in both sides of $F(z) = A(z_1) \cdot B(z_2)$, we have

$$\zeta^{f(x)} = A(x_1) \cdot B(x_2). \quad (11)$$

Denote the all “0” vectors by $0 \in \{0,1\}^m$, $0_1 \in \{0,1\}^{m_1}$ and $0_2 \in \{0,1\}^{m_2}$. Since $A(0_1)$ and $B(0_2)$ are constant terms in $A(z_1)$ and $B(z_2)$, which belong to $\{\zeta^c | c \in \mathbb{Z}_q\}$, let $A(0_1) = \zeta^{a(0_1)}$ and $B(0_2) = \zeta^{b(0_2)}$, where $a(0_1), b(0_2) \in \mathbb{Z}_q$. Notice that $\zeta^{f(0)} = A(0_1) \cdot B(0_2)$, we have $a(0_1) + b(0_2) = f(0)$. Thus $A(x_1)$ can be determined by $\zeta^{f(x_1,0_2)} = A(x_1) \cdot B(0_2) = A(x_1) \cdot \zeta^{b(0_2)}$, i.e.,

$$A(x_1) = \zeta^{a(x_1)}, \quad \text{where} \quad a(x_1) = f(x_1,0_2) - b(0_2) \in \mathbb{Z}_q. \quad (12)$$

Similarly,

$$B(x_2) = \zeta^{b(x_2)}, \quad \text{where} \quad b(x_2) = f(0_1,x_2) - a(0_1) \in \mathbb{Z}_q. \quad (13)$$
So that \( A(z_1) \) and \( B(z_2) \) are generating functions of \( q \)-ary arrays \( a(x_1) \) and \( b(x_2) \) (which is given by \([12]\) and \([13]\) respectively).

3) Since \( F(z) = \sum_x \zeta^{f(x)} \cdot z^x \), \( A(z_1) = \sum_{x_1} \zeta^{a(x_1)} \cdot z_1^{x_1} \) and \( B(z_2) = \sum_{x_2} \zeta^{b(x_2)} \cdot z_2^{x_2} \), we have
\[
\sum_x \zeta^{f(x)} \cdot z^x = \sum_{x_1} \sum_{x_2} \zeta^{a(x_1)+b(x_2)} \cdot z_1^{x_1} \cdot z_2^{x_2},
\]
(14)
Comparing the coefficients of \( z^x = z_1^{x_1} \cdot z_2^{x_2} \) in both sides, we obtain \( f(x) = a(x_1) + b(x_2) \).

**Lemma 2** For \( F(z) \in \mathbb{C}[z] \), if \( F(z) \) has factorization \( F(z) = A(z) \cdot B(z) \), then we have \( F^*(z) = A^*(z) \cdot B^*(z) \).

**Proof** Let the degrees of \( F(z) \), \( A(z) \) and \( B(z) \) with respect to \( z_k \) are \( d_k \), \( a_k \) and \( b_k \) respectively. It's obviously that \( a_k + b_k = d_k \). Substituting \( z_k \) by \( z_k^{-1} \) in \( F(z) = A(z) \cdot B(z) \), and multiply both sides by \( \prod_{k=1}^{m} z_k^{d_k} \), we obtain
\[
\prod_{k=1}^{m} z_k^{d_k} \cdot F(z^{-1}) = \prod_{k=1}^{m} z_k^{a_k} \cdot A(z^{-1}) \cdot \prod_{k=1}^{m} z_k^{b_k} \cdot B(z^{-1}),
\]
(15)
which complete the proof.

2.2 The Proof

We shall give the proof of Theorem [1] by applying mathematical induction.

**Basic Step:** It is known that Theorem [1] holds for arrays of size \( 2^{(1)} \). 

**Inductive Step:** Now we assume Theorem [1] holds for arrays of size \( 2^{(n)} \), where \( n \leq m \). From the assumption we shall deduce Theorem [1] holds for arrays of size \( 2^{(m+1)} \).

Suppose that \( f(x, x_{m+1}) \) and \( g(x, x_{m+1}) \) form a GAP of array size \( 2^{(m+1)} \), where \( x = (x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_m) \in \mathbb{F}_2^m \). Let \( f_0(x) = f(x, 0) \), \( f_1(x) = f(x, 1) \), \( g_0(x) = g(x, 0) \) and \( g_1(x) = g(x, 1) \). Then we have
\[
\begin{align}
\{ f(x, x_{m+1}) &= f_0(x)(1-x_{m+1}) + f_1(x) \cdot x_{m+1}, \\
g(x, x_{m+1}) &= g_0(x)(1-x_{m+1}) + g_1(x) \cdot x_{m+1}.
\}
\]
(16)
(17)

Let \( F(z, z_{m+1}) \) and \( G(z, z_{m+1}) \) be the generating functions of \( f(x, x_{m+1}) \) and \( g(x, x_{m+1}) \) respectively, where \( z = (z_1, z_2, \ldots, z_m) \). According to Property [2] we have
\[
F(z, z_{m+1}) \cdot \mathbf{T}(z^{-1}, z_{m+1}^{-1}) + G(z, z_{m+1}) \cdot \mathbf{T}(z^{-1}, z_{m+1}^{-1}) = 2^{m+2}.
\]
(18)

\( F(z, z_{m+1}) \) and \( G(z, z_{m+1}) \) can be expressed by
\[
\begin{align}
\{ F(z, z_{m+1}) &= F_0(z) + F_1(z) \cdot z_{m+1}, \\
G(z, z_{m+1}) &= G_0(z) + G_1(z) \cdot z_{m+1},
\}
\]
(19)
(20)
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where $F_0(z), F_1(z), G_0(z)$ and $G_1(z)$ are generating functions of $f_0(x), f_1(x), g_0(x)$ and $g_1(x)$ respectively.

$F(z, z_{m+1})$ given in (19) times its conjugate leads to

$$F(z, z_{m+1}) \cdot \overline{F}(z^{-1}, z_{m+1}^{-1}) = F_0(z) \cdot \overline{F}_0(z^{-1}) + F_1(z) \cdot \overline{F}_1(z^{-1})$$

$$+ F_0(z) \cdot \overline{F}_1(z^{-1}) \cdot z_{m+1}^{-1} + \overline{F}_0(z^{-1}) \cdot F_1(z) \cdot z_{m+1}.$$  \hfill (21)

$G(z, z_{m+1})$ given in (20) times its conjugate leads to

$$G(z, z_{m+1}) \cdot \overline{G}(z^{-1}, z_{m+1}^{-1}) = G_0(z) \cdot \overline{G}_0(z^{-1}) + G_1(z) \cdot \overline{G}_1(z^{-1})$$

$$+ G_0(z) \cdot \overline{G}_1(z^{-1}) \cdot z_{m+1}^{-1} + \overline{G}_0(z^{-1}) \cdot G_1(z) \cdot z_{m+1}.$$ \hfill (22)

Compare the coefficients of $1, z_{m+1}^{-1}$ and $z_{m+1}$ respectively between (21)+(22) and (18), we obtain

$$\begin{cases}
F_0(z) \cdot \overline{F}_0(z^{-1}) + F_1(z) \cdot \overline{F}_1(z^{-1}) + G_0(z) \cdot \overline{G}_0(z^{-1}) + G_1(z) \cdot \overline{G}_1(z^{-1}) = 2^{m+1}, \\
F_0(z) \cdot \overline{F}_1(z^{-1}) + G_0(z) \cdot \overline{G}_1(z^{-1}) = 0, \\
\overline{F}_0(z^{-1}) \cdot F_1(z) + \overline{G}_0(z^{-1}) \cdot G_1(z) = 0.
\end{cases} \hfill (23)$$

Let $C(z) = (F_0(z), G_0(z))$ be the great common divisor of $F_0(z)$ and $G_0(z)$, and $C(z)$ be succinctly denoted by $C(z_2)$. According to Lemma 11 we have

$$\begin{cases}
F_0(z) = A(z_1) \cdot C(z_2), \\
G_0(z) = B(z_1) \cdot C(z_2),
\end{cases} \hfill (26)$$

where $z_1$ and $z_2$ is a partition of the indeterminates $z$, and $A(z_1), B(z_1)$, and $C(z_2)$ are generating functions of $q$-ary array $a(x_1), b(x_1), c(x_2)$ respectively. Without loss of generality, suppose that $z_1 = (z_1, z_2, \ldots, z_m)$, $z_2 = (z_{m+1}, z_{m+2}, \ldots, z_m)$, $x_1 = (x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_m)$ and $x_2 = (x_{m+1}, x_{m+2}, \ldots, x_m)$. Note that if $m_1 = 0$, we have $z_1 = \emptyset$, $A(z_1)$ and $B(z_1)$ are constants in $\{\zeta^c | c \in \mathbb{Z}_q\}$, and $a(x_1)$ and $b(x_1)$ are constants over $\mathbb{Z}_q$; if $m_1 = m$, then $z_2 = \emptyset$, $C(z_2)$ is a constant in $\{\zeta^c | c \in \mathbb{Z}_q\}$, and $c(x_2)$ is a constant over $\mathbb{Z}_q$.

According to (24), (20), (27) and (0), we have

$$G_1(z) = \frac{F_1(z)}{F_0(z)} = \frac{\overline{A}(z_1)}{\overline{B}(z_1)} = \frac{\overline{A}(z_1)}{\overline{B}(z_1)}.$$ \hfill (28)

Since $(A(z_1), B(z_1)) = 1$, we have $(\overline{A}(z_1), \overline{B}(z_1)) = 1$ by Lemma 2. Thus there exists

$$D(z_2) = \frac{F_1(z)}{B(z_1)} = \frac{G_1(z)}{A(z_1)} = (F_1(z), G_1(z)),$$ \hfill (29)
which is the generating function of a $q$-ary array $d(x_2)$.

**Proposition 1** $(a(x_1), b(x_1))$ and $(c(x_2), d(x_2))$ given above are both Golay array pairs.

**Proof** According to (29), we have $G_1(z) = -\overline{A}(z_1) \cdot D(z_2)$. According to (30), we have $\overline{A}(z_1) = \prod_{k=1}^{m_1} z_k \cdot \overline{A}(z_1^{-1})$ and $A^*(z_1^{-1}) = \prod_{k=1}^{m_1} z_k^{-1} \cdot A(z_1)$. Then we obtain

$$G_1(z) \cdot \overline{G}_1(z^{-1}) = \overline{A}(z_1) \cdot D(z_2) \cdot A^*(z_1^{-1}) \cdot D(z_2)^{-1}$$

$$= A(z_1) \cdot \overline{A}(z_1^{-1}) \cdot D(z_2) \cdot D(z_2^{-1}).$$

(30)

Similarly, we have

$$F_1(z) \cdot \overline{F}_1(z^{-1}) = B(z_1) \cdot \overline{B}(z_1^{-1}) \cdot D(z_2) \cdot \overline{D}(z_2^{-1}).$$

(31)

According to (29) and (27), we obtain

$$F_0(z) \cdot \overline{F}_0(z^{-1}) = A(z_1) \cdot \overline{A}(z_1^{-1}) \cdot C(z_2) \cdot \overline{C}(z_2^{-1}),$$

(32)

and

$$G_0(z) \cdot \overline{G}_0(z^{-1}) = B(z_1) \cdot \overline{B}(z_1^{-1}) \cdot C(z_2) \cdot \overline{C}(z_2^{-1}).$$

(33)

By substituting (30), (31), (32) and (33) into (26), and factoring the polynomial, we have

$$(A(z_1) \cdot \overline{A}(z_1^{-1}) + B(z_1) \cdot \overline{B}(z_1^{-1})) \cdot (C(z_2) \cdot \overline{C}(z_2^{-1}) + D(z_2) \cdot \overline{D}(z_2^{-1})) = 2^{m_1+1}.$$  

(34)

On the other hand, according to Property [1]

$$A(z_1) \cdot \overline{A}(z_1^{-1}) + B(z_1) \cdot \overline{B}(z_1^{-1}) = 2^{m_1+1} + \sum_{\tau \neq 0} (C_a(\tau) + C_b(\tau))z_1^{\tau_1},$$

(35)

$$C(z_2) \cdot \overline{C}(z_2^{-1}) + D(z_2) \cdot \overline{D}(z_2^{-1}) = 2^{m-m_1+1} + \sum_{\tau \neq 0} (C_c(\tau) + C_d(\tau))z_2^{\tau_2}.$$  

(36)

Since $z_1 \cap z_2 = \emptyset$, comparing the two sides of Equation (34), we have

$$A(z_1) \cdot \overline{A}(z_1^{-1}) + B(z_1) \cdot \overline{B}(z_1^{-1}) = 2^{m_1+1},$$  

(37)

$$C(z_2) \cdot \overline{C}(z_2^{-1}) + D(z_2) \cdot \overline{D}(z_2^{-1}) = 2^{m-m_1+1},$$  

(38)

which indicates $(a(x_1), b(x_1))$ and $(c(x_2), d(x_2))$ are both Golay array pairs. \hfill \Box

**Proposition 2** The Golay array pair $(f(x, x_{m+1}), g(x, x_{m+1}))$ in [16] and [17] are standard.
Proof According to (26), (27), and Lemma 1, we have
\[ f_0(x) = a(x_1) + c(x_2), \quad g_0(x) = b(x_1) + c(x_2). \] (39)

According to (29), Lemma 1 and Property 3, we have
\[ g_1(x) = -a^*(x_1) + \frac{q}{2} + d(x_2), \quad f_1(x) = -b^*(x_1) + d(x_2). \] (40)

According to Proposition 1, \((a(x_1), b(x_1))\) and \((c(x_2), d(x_2))\) form GAPs of size \(2^{m_1}\) and \(2^{m-m_1}\) respectively, where \(0 \leq m_1 \leq m\). Since Theorem 1 holds for \(n \leq m\), without loss of generality, suppose that
\[
\begin{align*}
   a(x_1) &= \frac{q}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{m_1} x_{\pi(k)}x_{\pi(k+1)} + \sum_{k=1}^{m_1} c_kx_{\pi(k)} + c_0, \\
   b(x_1) &= a(x_1) + \frac{q}{2}x_{\pi(1)} + e,
\end{align*}
\] (41)

and
\[
\begin{align*}
   c(x_2) &= \frac{q}{2} \sum_{k=m_1+2}^{m} x_{\pi(k)}x_{\pi(k+1)} + \sum_{k=m_1+2}^{m+1} c_kx_{\pi(k)} + c'_0, \\
   d(x_2) &= c(x_2) + \frac{q}{2}x_{\pi(m_1+2)} + e',
\end{align*}
\] (42)

where \(c_k (0 \leq k \leq m+1, k \neq m_1 + 1), c'_0, e, e' \in \mathbb{Z}_q\), \(\pi\) is a permutation of \(\{1, 2, \ldots, m+1\}\) which satisfy
\[
\begin{align*}
   \{1, 2, \ldots, m_1\} &\xrightarrow{\pi} \{1, 2, \ldots, m_1\}, \\
   \{m_1 + 2, m_1 + 3, \ldots, m + 1\} &\xrightarrow{\pi} \{m_1 + 1, m_1 + 2, \ldots, m\}, \\
   \pi(m_1 + 1) &= m + 1.
\end{align*}
\] (43)

The reverse arrays of \(a(x_1)\) and \(b(x_1)\) are given by
\[
\begin{align*}
   a^*(x_1) &= \sum_{k=1}^{m_1-1} \frac{q}{2}x_{\pi(k)}x_{\pi(k+1)} - \sum_{k=1}^{m_1} c_kx_{\pi(k)} + \frac{q}{2}(x_{\pi(1)} + x_{\pi(m_1)}) + \sum_{k=0}^{m_1} c_k + \frac{q}{2}(m_1 - 1), \\
   b^*(x_1) &= a^*(x_1) + \frac{q}{2}x_{\pi(1)} + e + \frac{q}{2},
\end{align*}
\] (44)
By substituting (41), (42), (44) into (39), (40), and substituting (39), (40) into (16), (17), we have

\[
f(x, x_{m+1}) = (a(x_1) + c(x_2))(1 - x_{\pi(m_1+1)}) + (-b^*(x_1) + d(x_2)) \cdot x_{\pi(m_1+1)}
\]

\[
= a(x_1) + c(x_2) + (-a(x_1) - b^*(x_1) - c(x_2) + d(x_2)) \cdot x_{\pi(m_1+1)}
\]

\[
= a(x_1) + c(x_2) + \frac{q^2}{2} x_{\pi(m_1)} \cdot x_{\pi(m_1+1)} + \frac{q^2}{2} x_{\pi(m_1+2)} \cdot x_{\pi(m_1+1)}
\]

\[
+ \left( \frac{q^2}{2} m_1 - \sum_{k=0}^{m_1} c_k - c_0 + e' - e \right) \cdot x_{\pi(m_1+1)}
\]

\[
= \sum_{k=1}^{m} \frac{q^2}{2} x_{\pi(k)} x_{\pi(k+1)} + \sum_{k=1}^{m+1} c_k x_{\pi(k)} + c_0 + c_0'
\]

where \( c_{m_1+1} = \frac{q^2}{2} m_1 - \sum_{k=0}^{m_1} c_k - c_0 + e' - e \) and

\[
g(x, x_{m+1}) = (b(x_1) + c(x_2))(1 - x_{\pi(m_1+1)}) + (-a^*(x_1) + d(x_2)) \cdot x_{\pi(m_1+1)}
\]

\[
= b(x_1) + c(x_2) + \left( -b(x_1) - a^*(x_1) - c(x_2) + d(x_2) \right) \cdot x_{\pi(m_1+1)}
\]

\[
= f(x, x_{\pi(m_1+1)}) + \frac{q^2}{2} x_{\pi(1)} + e.
\]  

(45)

Consequently, we have the Golay array pair \((f(x, x_{m+1}), g(x, x_{m+1}))\) must be standard. □

Proposition 2 implies Theorem 1 holds for array size \(2^{(m+1)}\), which complete the process of mathematical induction.
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