Abstract—Hyperspectral image super-resolution addresses the problem of fusing a low-resolution hyperspectral image (LR-HSI) and a high-resolution multispectral image (HR-MSI) to produce a high-resolution hyperspectral image (HR-HSI). Tensor analysis has been proven to be an efficient method for hyperspectral image processing. However, the existing tensor-based methods of hyperspectral image super-resolution like the tensor train and tensor ring decomposition only establish an operation between adjacent two factors and are highly sensitive to the permutation of tensor modes, leading to an inadequate and inflexible representation. In this paper, we propose a novel method for hyperspectral image super-resolution by utilizing the specific properties of high-order tensors in fully-connected tensor network decomposition. The proposed method first tensorizes the target HR-HSI into a high-order tensor that has multiscale spatial structures. Then, a coupled fully-connected tensor network decomposition model is proposed to fuse the corresponding high-order tensors of LR-HSI and HR-MSI. Moreover, a weighted-graph regularization is imposed on the spectral core tensors to preserve spectral information. In the proposed model, the superiorities of the fully-connected tensor network decomposition lie in the outstanding capability for characterizing adequately the intrinsic correlations between any two modes of tensors and the essential invariance for transposition. Experimental results on three data sets show the effectiveness of the proposed approach as compared to other hyperspectral image super-resolution methods.

Index Terms—Hyperspectral image, high-order tensor, fully-connected tensor network decomposition.

I. INTRODUCTION

Hyperspectral images (HSIs) contain hundreds of spectral bands which range from visible to infrared wavelengths. With abundant spectral information, HSIs are used in many applications, such as classification [1] and object detection [2]. However, due to actual hardware constraints, it is hard to obtain HSI with high-spatial and high-spectral resolution simultaneously. A feasible solution is to fuse low-resolution HSI (LR-HSI) and the panchromatic or multispectral images (HR-MSI) over the same scene, called HSI super-resolution (HSI-SR).

There are many types of researches in related fusion problems [3] [4] [5]. Generally, they can be divided into four classes: component substitution [6], multi-resolution analysis [7], model-based approaches [8], and learning-based approaches [9]. Many approaches focus on the last two classes. For the model-based approaches, they address the HSI-SR problem by optimizing the model based on various priors and the degradation relationships consisting of matrix factorization methods [10], tensor-based methods [11], and so on. For the learning-based approaches, they are data-driven and can learn the image features from the training data.

Recently, tensor-based methods have achieved significant performance in the HSI-SR problem. They have advantages preserving the spatial-spectral structure of HR-HSI. The common tensor decomposition methods are canonical polyadic decomposition [12], Tucker decomposition [13], the tensor train decomposition [14] and the tensor ring decomposition [15]. However, these methods still have some weaknesses. On the one hand, some deep structural information like multiscale correlation is not considered. On the other hand, these decompositions only establish a connection between adjacent two factors, and thus are highly sensitive to the permutation of tensor modes.

In this paper, to capture the underlying structure of the HR-HSI, we propose a novel model for HSI-SR by utilizing the specific properties of high-order tensor via fully-connected tensor network decomposition (FCTN). Firstly, we unfold the LR-HSI and HR-MSI into high-order tensors which can describe the multiscale correlation of patches. Secondly, the FCTN model is proposed to fuse the LR-HSI and HR-MSI. Finally, we impose a weighted graph regularization on the spectral mode to maintain the spectral structure of the target HR-HSI. Compared to other existing approaches, the method has innovative characteristics as follows:

1) We unfold the LR-HSI and HR-MSI into high-order tensors that can describe the intrinsic information between different scales in both spatial and spectral dimensions.

2) The FCTN can make better use of the correlations between different factors and reveal the dimensional correlations more completely.

3) To maintain the spectral structure, we propose a weighted-graph regularization to constrain the model.

II. PROPOSED APPROACH

A. High-Order Tensorization

In this article, the target HR-HSI is denoted by $X \in \mathbb{R}^{M \times N \times S}$, where $S$ represents the number of bands, $M$ and $N$ represent the number of rows and columns, respectively. Correspondingly, $Y \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n \times S}$ denotes the LR-HSI, which is a spatially degraded image $X$ and satisfies $m = M/p$, $n = N/p$ with $p$ being the downsampling ratio. $Z \in \mathbb{R}^{M' \times N' \times S'}$ denotes the HR-MSI, which is spectrally downsampled concerning $X$, satisfying $S' > s$. The goal of fusion is to estimate $X$ from the observations $Y$ and $Z$. 
Both $\mathcal{Z}$ and $\mathcal{Y}$ are the downsampled versions of $\mathcal{X}$, so they can be represented as $\mathcal{Z}(3) = R\mathcal{X}(3)$ and $\mathcal{Y}(3) = \mathcal{X}(3)P$. Here $\mathcal{X}(3) \in \mathbb{R}^{s \times MN}$ represents the 3-mode of tensor $\mathcal{X}$ by unfolding the tensor into a matrix along the third mode. $R \in \mathbb{R}^{s \times s}$ and $P \in \mathbb{R}^{MN \times mn}$ represent the spectral response function (SRF) of sensor and spatial down-sampling operator, respectively.

The high-order tensorization of $\mathcal{X}$ consists of two steps. In the first step, spatial dimensions are divided into $M = M_1 \times M_2 \times \cdots \times M_d$ and $N = N_1 \times N_2 \times \cdots \times N_d$, and then make the size $M \times N \times S$ changed into $M_1 \times \cdots \times M_d \times N_1 \times \cdots \times N_d \times S$. In the second step, we use permutation operation to obtain the high-order tensor of size $M_1 N_1 M_2 N_2 \times \cdots \times M_d N_d S$ following the column-first rules. It is shown that each mode of the high-order tensor represents the patches at a different scale. For the convenience of presentation, we use $F\{\}$ to represent the tensorization steps, so the high-order tensor is $F\{X\} \in \mathbb{R}^{M_1 N_1 M_2 N_2 \times \cdots \times M_d N_d S}$.

Analogously, $Y \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n \times S}$ can be represented as $F\{Y\} \in \mathbb{R}^{m_1 n_1 \times m_2 n_2 \times \cdots \times m_d n_d S}$, which is spatially downsampled $F\{X\}$. $Z \in \mathbb{R}^{M \times N \times S}$ can be represented as $F\{Z\} \in \mathbb{R}^{M_1 N_1 M_2 N_2 \times \cdots \times M_d N_d S}$, which is spectrally downsampled $F\{X\}$.

**B. FCTN Representation**

The FCTN representation aims to decompose $F\{X\} \in \mathbb{R}^{M_1 N_1 M_2 N_2 \times \cdots \times M_d N_d S}$ into a set of $(d+1)$-mode factor tensors $U_t \in \mathbb{R}^{1 \times M_1 N_1 \times \cdots \times M_{d-1} N_{d-1} R_{d+1} \times 1}$, where $r_{d+1}$ represents the rank between $U_t$ and $U_{d+1}$. Let $F\{X\}(i_1,i_2,\cdots,i_{d+1})$ be the $(i_1,i_2,\cdots,i_{d+1})$th element of $F\{X\}$. The FCTN representation is defined as follows:

$$F\{X\}(i_1,i_2,\cdots,i_{d+1}) = \sum_{j=1}^{r_{d+1}} U_t(i_1,J_{d},i_{d+1}) U_d(j_{d},i_{d+1}+1) \cdots U_{d+1}(j_{d+1},i_{d+1},i_{d+1})$$

By applying the FCTN representation to the tensor $F\{X\}$, we obtain $F\{X\} = FCTN(U_1,U_2,\cdots,U_{d+1}) + \varepsilon$ where $\varepsilon$ is the error. Similarly, $F\{Z\}$ and $F\{Y\}$ can be represent as $F\{Z\} = FCTN(U_1,U_2,\cdots,U_{d+1} \times R_1)$ and $F\{Y\} = FCTN(Q,U_2,\cdots,U_{d+1}) + \varepsilon$ where $Q$ represents the downsampling of $U_t$ and $R_1$ denotes the multiplication between tensor and matrix in the $(d+1)$th-mode of tensor. And we can formulate the HSI-SPR problem as problem:

$$\min_{Q,U_t,\varepsilon,t=1\ldots d+1} \frac{1}{2} \|F\{Y\} - FCTN(Q,U_2,\cdots,U_{d+1})\|^2_F + \frac{\lambda}{2} \|F\{Z\} - FCTN(U_1,U_2,\cdots,U_{d+1} \times R_1)\|^2_F$$

where the $\lambda$ represents the parameter to balance of two terms and $||A||_F = \left(\sum_{i_1,i_2,i_3\cdots i_d} X(i_1,i_2,i_3\cdots i_d)^2\right)^{1/2}$ is Frobenius norm.

**C. Weighed-Graph Regularization**

The core tensor $U_{t+1}$ is related to the spectral structure of $\mathcal{X}$. To keep the spectral structure of $\mathcal{X}$, a weighted graph regularization is imposed on the $U_{t+1}$. The graph is built as $G=(V,E,W)$, where $V$ is the set of vertices and $E$ is the set of edges standing for the bands of $\mathcal{Y}$. $W$ is the set of weights in measuring the closeness of two bands and defined as follows:

$$W(i,j) = \begin{cases} \exp\left(-\frac{||Y(i;i;j) - Y(i;i;j)||_F^2}{\sigma^2}\right), & j \in \Omega \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

where $\sigma$ controls the degree of smoothness and $j \in \Omega$ represents the adjacent of band $i$. The weighted-graph regularization can be written as follows:

$$\min_{\Omega \cup \epsilon, U_t, \epsilon, t=1\ldots d+1} \frac{1}{2} \|F\{Y\} - FCTN(Q,U_2,\cdots,U_{d+1})\|^2_F + \frac{\beta}{2} \|F\{Z\} - FCTN(U_1,U_2,\cdots,U_{d+1} \times R_1)\|^2_F$$

D. Optimization Algorithm

To solve (3), the alternating optimization framework can be adopted. According to the FCTN representation, if one of $U_t, t \in \{1,2,\ldots,d+1\}$ does not participate in the composition of $F\{Z\}$, we denote it by $O_{\neq t}^{\neq} = FCTN(U_1,U_2,\cdots,U_{t-1},U_{t+1},\cdots,U_{d+1} \times R_1)$, and if $U_t$ does not participate in the composition of $F\{Y\}$, we denote it by $H_{\neq t}^{\neq} = FCTN(Q,\cdots,U_{t-1},U_{t+1},\cdots,U_{d+1})$. Then, we can gain the relation as follows:

$$F\{Z\}(t_i) = U_t(t_i) \left(O_{\neq t\neq(i;i;1,d)}\right)^T$$

$$F\{Y\}(t_i) = U_t(t_i) \left(H_{\neq t\neq(i;i;1,d)}\right)^T$$

where $b_i = \begin{cases} \frac{2t_i}{2t_i-1}, & i < t \\ \frac{2t_i}{2t_i+1}, & i \geq t \end{cases}$ and $c_i = \begin{cases} 2c_i - 1, & i < t \\ 2c_i, & i \geq t \end{cases}$. Here $O_{\neq t\neq(i;i;1,d)}$ is gained by reshaping the size of $O_{\neq t}^{\neq}$ into multiplication of $\prod_{i=1\neq t}^d M_{b_i} N_{b_i}$. $H_{\neq t\neq(i;i;1,d)}$ have the similar definition. Then, we can optimize the proposed model by solving the following subproblems.
The equation can be solved by using conjugate gradient to write as

\[ \min_{\mathbf{U}(1)} \frac{1}{2} \left\| \mathbf{F} \{ \mathbf{Y} \}_{(1)} - \mathbf{Q}(1) (\mathbf{H}^{\neq 1}_{(b_1,d;1:1,c)} U^{\neq 1}_{(b_1,d;c;1:1)} \right\|^2_F + \frac{\eta}{2} \left\| \mathbf{Q}(1) \right\|^2_F \]

the solution of above is

\[ \mathbf{Q}(1) = \left( \lambda \mathbf{F} \{ \mathbf{Y} \}_{(1)} \mathbf{H}^{\neq 1}_{(b_1,d;1:1,c)} \right)^T \left( \mathbf{H}^{\neq 1}_{(b_1,d;1:1,c)} + \eta \mathbf{I} \right)^{-1} \]

2) Solving \( \mathbf{U}_1 \) subproblem: Optimizing with respect to \( \mathbf{U}_1 \) is written as

\[ \min_{\mathbf{U}_1(1)} \frac{1}{2} \left\| \mathbf{F} \{ \mathbf{Z} \}_{(1)} - \mathbf{U}_1(1) (\mathbf{O}^{\neq 1}_{(b_1,d;c;1:1)} \right\|^2_F + \frac{\eta}{2} \left\| \mathbf{U}_1(1) \right\|^2_F \]

the solution of \( \mathbf{U}_1(1) \) is calculated by

\[ \mathbf{U}_1(1) = \left( \lambda \mathbf{F} \{ \mathbf{Z} \}_{(1)} \mathbf{O}^{\neq 1}_{(b_1,d;c;1:1)} \right)^T \left( \mathbf{O}^{\neq 1}_{(b_1,d;c;1:1)} + \eta \mathbf{I} \right)^{-1} \]

3) Solving \( \mathbf{U}_t, t=2,\ldots,d \), subproblem: Optimizing with respect to \( \mathbf{U}_t, t=2,\ldots,d \) is written as

\[ \min_{\mathbf{U}_t(t)} \frac{1}{2} \left\| \mathbf{F} \{ \mathbf{Z} \}_{(1)} \mathbf{U}_t(t) (\mathbf{O}^{\neq 1}_{(b_1,d;c;1:1)} \right\|^2_F + \frac{\lambda}{2} \left\| \mathbf{U}_t(t) \right\|^2_F \]

the solution of \( \mathbf{U}_t(t) \) is calculated by:

\[ \mathbf{U}_t(t) = \left( \mathbf{F} \{ \mathbf{Z} \}_{(1)} \mathbf{O}^{\neq 1}_{(b_1,d;c;1:1)} + \lambda \mathbf{F} \{ \mathbf{Y} \}_{(1)} \mathbf{H}^{\neq 1}_{(b_1,d;1:1,c)} \right)^T \left( \mathbf{O}^{\neq 1}_{(b_1,d;c;1:1)} + \lambda \mathbf{H}^{\neq 1}_{(b_1,d;1:1,c)} \right)^{-1} \]

4) Solving \( \mathbf{U}_{(d+1)} \) subproblem: Optimizing with respect to \( \mathbf{U}_{(d+1)} \) is written as

\[ \min_{\mathbf{U}_{(d+1)}} \frac{1}{2} \left\| \mathbf{F} \{ \mathbf{Z} \}_{(d+1)} - \mathbf{R} \mathbf{U}_{(d+1)} \right\|^2_F + \frac{\lambda}{2} \left\| \mathbf{F} \{ \mathbf{Y} \}_{(d+1)} - \mathbf{U}_{(d+1)} \right\|^2_F \]

By making the gradient zero, we have the following equation:

\[ \mathbf{R}^T \mathbf{R} \mathbf{U}_{(d+1)} = \mathbf{O}^{\neq 1}_{(b_1,d;1:1,c)} \]

\[ \lambda \mathbf{U}_{(d+1)} + \mathbf{L} \mathbf{U}_{(d+1)} = \mathbf{R}^T \mathbf{F} \{ \mathbf{Z} \}_{(d+1)} \mathbf{O}^{\neq 1}_{(b_1,d;1:1,c)} + \lambda \mathbf{F} \{ \mathbf{Y} \}_{(d+1)} \mathbf{H}^{\neq 1}_{(b_1,d;1:1,c)} \]

The equation can be solved by using conjugate gradient method.

After getting the latent core tensors, we apply the I\( \mathcal{F} \) \{ \} operation to rebound the target \( \mathcal{X} \), where I\( \mathcal{F} \) \{ \} represent the reverse reconstruction of \( \mathcal{F} \). Details of the algorithm are given in Algorithm 1.

## III. Experiments

### A. Data Sets

The first data set is the Chikusei data set captured by Head-Wall’s Hyperspec Visible and Near-Infrared, series C(VNIR-C) imaging sensor over Chikusei in Japan. The size of the original image is 2517 × 2355 × 128 covering the spectral range from 0.363 to 1.018 \( \mu \text{m} \). A sub-image with a size of 240 × 240 × 128 is cropped as the reference image.

The second data set is the SanDiego data set which generated by the Airborne Visible/Infrared Imaging Spectrometer (AVIRIS) sensor in San Diego, CA, USA. After removing low SNR bands and water absorption bands, the number of the spectral bands is 186. In the experiments, we select the top-left part of size 200 × 200 × 186 as the reference image.

The last data set is the University of Pavia which was gained by the Reflective Optics System Imaging Spectrometer (ROSIS) in Pavia, Italy. The size of the image is 610 × 340 × 115 covering the spectrums from 0.43 to 0.86 \( \mu \text{m} \). It contains 103 bands after removing the water vapor absorption bands. We select a sub-image with a size of 256 × 256 × 103 for the experiments.

To generate the LR-HSI, we spatially blur the reference image by averaging 8 × 8 disjoint spatial blocks and then downsample the blurred image by a factor of 8 in two spatial directions. Considering the different wavelength range and number of bands, we use different SRFs in different data sets.

In the Chikusei data set, we generate the SRF by referring to Hysure [16] method and obtain an eight-band HR-MSI. In the SanDiego data set, we generate the HR-MSI by averaging the bands of the reference image according to the SRF of IKONOS. In the University of Pavia data set, we use an IKONOS-like SRF to generate a four-band HR-MSI. In order to simulate the real fusion as much as possible, Gaussian noise is added to all the generated LR-HSI (SNR=25dB) and HR-MSI (SNR=25dB).

### B. Setting of Parameters and Quantitative Metrics

In this section, we investigate effect of the parameters in the proposed method on SanDiego data set. Fig.1 and Fig.2 illustrate the PSNR results of proposed method as a function of Iteration and a function of \( \lambda, \mu \). Then, we set \( \lambda=0.1, \mu=120 \), and Iteration=480. In our experiment, there is a widely optimal range for the choice of orders, and ranks. For...
In this paper, we propose the FCTN framework for HSI-SR. Compared to other methods, it is completely a novel method to deal with the high-order tensors. The FCTN framework showed its outstanding capability to adequately characterize the correlations between any two modes of tensors and was proved to be essentially transpositional invariant. Specifically, the FCTN framework consists of three steps. The first step is to transform the original data into high-order tensors. In the second step, we introduce the FCTN framework to solve the fusion problem of HR-MSI and LR-HSI. In the high-order tensor, some latent core tensors of HR-MSI and LR-HSI are shared. Finally, we use an alternating algorithm on FCTN framework. The results demonstrate the effectiveness of our proposed method.

### IV. Conclusion

In this paper, we propose the FCTN framework for HSI-SR. The experiment results are shown in Tables I–III. Tables show the effects of all methods in four quantitative metrics. The results demonstrate the effectiveness of our proposed method.
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Fig. 3. PSNR as a function of spectral band. (a) Chikusei data set. (b) SanDiego data set. (c) University of Pavia data set.

Fig. 4. Visual quality comparison for reconstructed images of the Sandiego data set. First row: reconstruct images at band 40th. Second row: residual images between the reference and reconstruct images. (a) FCTN. (b) CNMF. (c) LTMR. (d) LTTR. (e) HCTR. (f) Hysure. (g) NPTSR.


