Several classes of Galois self-orthogonal MDS codes

Yang Li\textsuperscript{a}, Yunfei Su\textsuperscript{a}, Shixin Zhu\textsuperscript{a,∗}

\textsuperscript{a}School of Mathematics, HeFei University of Technology, Hefei 230009, China

Abstract

Let \( q = p^h \) be an odd prime power and \( e \) be an integer with \( 0 \leq e \leq h - 1 \). Then \( e \)-Galois self-orthogonal codes are a generalization of Euclidean self-orthogonal codes \((e = 0)\) and Hermitian self-orthogonal codes \((e = \frac{h}{2} \text{ and } h \text{ is even})\) and similarly have important applications in coding theory. In this paper, we propose two general methods to construct several classes of \( e \)-Galois self-orthogonal GRS codes and extended GRS codes with \( 2e \mid h \). In fact, we almost determine all possible \( e \)-Galois self-orthogonal codes for each even \( h \) and odd prime number \( p \).
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1. Introduction

Let \( q = p^h \) be an odd prime power and \( \mathbb{F}_q \) be the finite field with \( q \) elements. We use \([n, k, d]_q\) to denote a linear code with length \( n \), dimension \( k \), and minimum Hamming distance \( d \) over \( \mathbb{F}_q \), which can be seen as a \( k \)-dimensional subspace of \( \mathbb{F}_q^n \). Let \( \mathbf{a} = (a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n) \) and \( \mathbf{b} = (b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_n) \) be any two vectors in \( \mathbb{F}_q^n \), then for each \( 0 \leq e \leq h - 1 \), the \( e \)-Galois inner product of \( \mathbf{a} \) and \( \mathbf{b} \) is defined as

\[
\langle \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} \rangle_e = \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i b_i^{p^e},
\]

which is first introduced by Fan et al. \([5]\). In fact, the \( e \)-Galois inner product is a generalization of the Euclidean and Hermitian inner products. Specifically, if \( e = 0 \), it is the usual Euclidean inner product. If \( e = \frac{h}{2} \) with even \( h \), then it is just the classical Hermitian inner product. With respect to the \( e \)-Galois inner product, we can define the \( e \)-Galois dual code of a linear code \( \mathcal{C} \) with length \( n \) over \( \mathbb{F}_q \) as

\[
\mathcal{C}^\perp_e = \{ \mathbf{a} | \mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{F}_q^n, \langle \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} \rangle_e = 0, \text{ for all } \mathbf{b} \in \mathcal{C} \}.
\]

As usual, a linear code \( \mathcal{C} \) is called an \( e \)-Galois self-orthogonal code if \( \mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathcal{C}^\perp_e \). As a particularly important class of linear codes, self-orthogonal codes are the source for the constructions of many codes.

\textsuperscript{a}This research work is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China under Grant Nos. U21A20428, 12171134, 61972126 and 62002093.

\textsuperscript{∗}Corresponding author
Email addresses: yanglimath@163.com (Yang Li), suyunfei202208@163.com (Yunfei Su), zhushixinmath@hfut.edu.cn (Shixin Zhu)

Preprint submitted to Journal of \LaTeX\ Templates August 30, 2022
In these years, many self-orthogonal codes were constructed and were further applied to construct optimal codes, linear complementary dual codes, quantum codes and so on (See \[2, 4, 6, 9, 10, 11, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 23, 31\] and references therein).

An \([n, k, d]_q\) linear code is called an maximum distance separable (MDS for short) code if the minimum Hamming distance \(d\) reaches the Singleton Bound, i.e., \(d = n - k + 1\). It is well known that the dual code of an MDS code is also MDS. Due to the nice algebraic structure, MDS codes play an important role in coding theory. Especially, (extended) generalized Reed-Solomon (GRS for short) codes, as a special family of MDS codes, have harvested some meaningful research results. For example, many usual Euclidean self-orthogonal and classical Hermitian self-orthogonal MDS codes were constructed in \[7, 16, 21, 24, 30\] based on (extended) GRS codes. In particular, by employing these classical self-orthogonal MDS codes, several classes of quantum MDS codes with large minimum Hamming distance were obtained. These achievements gradually make the researches and constructions of self-orthogonal MDS codes become an important and hot issue in coding theory.

However, up to the authors’ knowledge, there are few studies on \(e\)-Galois self-orthogonal codes, especially, \(e\)-Galois self-orthogonal (extended) GRS codes. In \[26, 27\], sufficient and necessary conditions for constacyclic codes and skew multi-twisted codes over \(\mathbb{F}_q\) being \(e\)-Galois self-orthogonal, \(e\)-Galois self-dual and \(e\)-Galois LCD codes were presented by Fu et al. and Sharma et al.. In \[28, 29\], we gave some general methods to obtain more \(e'\)-Galois self-orthogonal (extended) GRS codes from some known \(e\)-Galois self-orthogonal (extended) GRS codes. In \[1, 22\], with the aim of constructing entanglement-assisted quantum error-correcting codes, Cao and Fang et al. constructed some (extended) GRS codes with Galois hulls of arbitrary dimensions. However, only a few of these MDS codes can be taken as \(e\)-Galois self-orthogonal codes (i.e., taking \(l = k\), where \(l = \text{dim}(\mathcal{C} \cap \mathcal{C}^\perp)\) and \(k = \text{dim}(\mathcal{C})\), which are listed in Table 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Class</th>
<th>Finite field</th>
<th>Code length</th>
<th>Dimension</th>
<th>Ref.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>(q = p^h) is odd, (2e</td>
<td>h)</td>
<td>(n = \frac{(q^{t-1})}{p^{e-1}} + 1, 1 \leq t \leq p^e - 1)</td>
<td>(1 \leq k \leq \left\lfloor \frac{p^e + n}{p^e + 1} \right\rfloor)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>(q = p^h) is odd, (2e</td>
<td>h)</td>
<td>(n = \frac{r(q^{r-1})}{\text{gcd}(x_2; q - 1)}, (q - 1)</td>
<td>\text{lcm}(x_1, x_2)) and (\frac{p^e - 1}{x_1})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>(q = p^h) is odd, (2e</td>
<td>h)</td>
<td>(n = rm + 1, 1 \leq r \leq \frac{p - 1}{m_1}), (m_1 = \frac{m_{\text{gcd}(x, y)}}{y = \frac{q - 1}{p^{e-1}}} ) and (m</td>
<td>(q - 1))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>(q = p^h) is odd, (2e</td>
<td>h)</td>
<td>(n = tp^{aw}, a</td>
<td>e)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: Some known \(e\)-Galois self-orthogonal MDS codes

Inspired and motivated by these work, in this paper, we propose two new methods to construct \(e\)-Galois self-orthogonal (extended) GRS codes based on those cases with \(0 \leq l \leq k - 1\) in \[3, 22\] and further obtain eight classes of \(e\)-Galois self-orthogonal (extended) GRS codes as follows:
Let $q = p^h$ be an odd prime power and $2e \mid h$ for each $0 \leq e \leq h - 1$.

(1) $n = tp^{h-e}$ with $1 \leq t \leq p^e$ and $1 \leq k \leq \lfloor \frac{p^e+n-1}{p+1} \rfloor$. (See Theorem 7)

(2) $n = tp^{h-e} + 1$ with $1 \leq t \leq p^e$, $(p^e + n) \mid (p^e + 1) \text{ and } k = \frac{p^e+n}{p+1}$. (See Theorem 8)

(3) $n = \frac{(q-1)}{p-1}$ with $1 \leq t \leq p^e - 1 \text{ and } 1 \leq k \leq \lfloor \frac{p^e+n-1}{p+1} \rfloor$. (See Theorem 10)

(4) $n = \frac{(q-1)}{p-1} + 2$ with $1 \leq t \leq p^e - 1$, $(p^e + n + 1) \mid (p^e + 1) \text{ and } k = \frac{p^e+n+1}{p+1}$. (See Theorem 12)

(5) $n = r_1r_2$ with $1 \leq r_1 \leq \frac{p^e-1}{\gcd(x_1,q-1)}$, $r_2 = \frac{p^e-1}{\gcd(x_2,q-1)}$, $(q-1) \mid \text{lcm}(x_1,x_2), \gcd(x_2,q-1) \mid x_1(p^e - 1) \text{ and } 1 \leq k \leq \lfloor \frac{p^e+n-1}{p+1} \rfloor$. (See Theorem 14)

(6) $n = r_1r_2 + 2$ with $1 \leq r_1 \leq \frac{p^e-1}{\gcd(x_1,q-1)}$, $r_2 = \frac{p^e-1}{\gcd(x_2,q-1)}$, $(p^e + n + 1) \mid (p^e + 1), (q-1) \mid \text{lcm}(x_1,x_2), \gcd(x_2,q-1) \mid x_1(p^e - 1) \text{ and } k = \frac{p^e+n+1}{p+1}$. (See Theorem 16)

(7) $n = rm$ with $1 \leq r \leq \frac{p^e-1}{m_1}$, $m_1 = \frac{m}{\gcd(m,q)}, y = \frac{p-1}{p+1}$, $m \mid (q-1) \text{ and } 1 \leq k \leq \lfloor \frac{p^e+n-m}{p+1} \rfloor$. (See Theorem 18)

(8) $n = rm + 2$ with $1 \leq r \leq \frac{p^e-1}{m_1}$, $m_1 = \frac{m}{\gcd(m,q)}, y = \frac{p-1}{p+1}$, $m \mid (q-1), (p^e + n + 1) \mid (p^e + 1) \text{ and } k = \frac{p^e+n+1}{p+1}$. (See Theorem 20)

Note that more $e$-Galois self-orthogonal (MDS or not MDS) codes can be derived from [28] and [29] based on these new Galois self-orthogonal MDS codes, directly.

We organize the rest of this paper as follows. Some basic knowledge will be introduced in Section 2. The constructions of $e$-Galois self-orthogonal codes are discussed in Section 3. Section 4 gives some examples. And finally, Section 5 concludes this paper.

2. Preliminary

Let $q = p^h$ be an odd prime power and $\mathbb{F}_q^n$ be an $n$-dimensional vector space over $\mathbb{F}_q$. Choose two vectors $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n) \in \mathbb{F}_q^n$ and $\mathbf{v} = (v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n) \in (\mathbb{F}_q^*)^n$, where $a_i \neq a_j$ for any $1 \leq i \neq j \leq n \leq q$. We define

$$GRS_k(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{v}) = \{(v_1 f(a_1), v_2 f(a_2), \ldots, v_n f(a_n)) | f(x) \in \mathbb{F}_q[x], \ deg(f(x)) \leq k - 1\}$$

as the GRS code with length $n$ and dimension $k$ associated to $\mathbf{a}$ and $\mathbf{v}$.

The extended GRS code with length $n + 1$ and dimension $k$ associated to $\mathbf{a}$ and $\mathbf{v}$ is defined as

$$GRS_k(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{v}, \infty) = \{(v_1 f(a_1), v_2 f(a_2), \ldots, v_n f(a_n), f_{k-1}) | f(x) \in \mathbb{F}_q[x], \ deg(f(x)) \leq k - 1\}$$

by adding an extra coordinate to $GRS_k(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{v})$, where $f_{k-1}$ is the coefficient of $x^{k-1}$ in $f(x)$. It is well known that GRS codes, extended GRS codes and their dual codes are MDS codes.

As an important tool in our constructions, we denote

$$u_i = \prod_{1 \leq j \leq n, i \neq j} (a_i - a_j)^{-1}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq n,$$

then it is easy to see that $u_i \neq 0$ calculated in finite fields.

Let notations be the same as before. The following lemmas are useful for us to construct $e$-Galois self-orthogonal (extended) GRS codes.
Lemma 1. ([24], Lemma 2.3) Let \( q = p^h \) with \( p \) being an odd prime number and \( E = \{ x^{p^e+1} | x \in \mathbb{F}_q^* \} \) be a multiplicative subgroup of \( \mathbb{F}_q^* \) for \( 0 \leq e \leq h - 1 \). Then \( \mathbb{F}_q^{*e} \subseteq E \) if and only if \( 2e \mid h \).

Lemma 2. ([1], Proposition II.1) For \( c = (v_1(a_1), v_2(a_2), \ldots, v_n(a_n)) \in \text{GRS}_k(a, v) \), we have \( c \in \text{GRS}_k(a, v)^{\perp_{\omega}} \) if and only if there exists a polynomial \( g(x) \in \mathbb{F}_q[x] \) with \( \deg(g(x)) \leq n - k - 1 \) such that

\[
(v_1^{p^e+1}f^{p^e}(a_1), v_2^{p^e+1}f^{p^e}(a_2), \ldots, v_n^{p^e+1}f^{p^e}(a_n)) = (u_1g(a_1), u_2g(a_2), \ldots, u_ng(a_n)).
\] (4)

Lemma 3. ([2], Proposition II.2) For \( c = (v_1(a_1), v_2(a_2), \ldots, v_n(a_n), f_{k-1}) \in \text{GRS}_k(a, v, \infty) \), we have \( c \in \text{GRS}_k(a, v, \infty)^{\perp_{\omega}} \) if and only if there exists a polynomial \( g(x) \in \mathbb{F}_q[x] \) with \( \deg(g(x)) \leq n - k \) such that

\[
(v_1^{p^e+1}f^{p^e}(a_1), v_2^{p^e+1}f^{p^e}(a_2), \ldots, v_n^{p^e+1}f^{p^e}(a_n), f_{k-1}^{p^e}) = (u_1g(a_1), u_2g(a_2), \ldots, u_ng(a_n), -g_{n-k}).
\] (5)

Now, we can give the following two lemmas, which are crucial for our constructions.

Lemma 4. For any codeword \( c = (v_1(a_1), v_2(a_2), \ldots, v_n(a_n)) \in \text{GRS}_k(a, v) \), if there exists a monic polynomial \( h(x) \in \mathbb{F}_q[x] \) with \( \deg(h(x)) \leq n - (p^e + 1)k + p^e - 1 \) such that

\[
\lambda u_i h(a_i) = v_i^{p^e+1}, \ 1 \leq i \leq n,
\] (6)

where \( \lambda \in \mathbb{F}_q^* \), then \( \text{GRS}_k(a, v) \) is an \( e \)-Galois self-orthogonal code.

Proof. According to the assumptions given, we know that, for any codeword \( c = (v_1(a_1), v_2(a_2), \ldots, v_n(a_n)) \in \text{GRS}_k(a, v) \), there exists a monic polynomial \( h(x) \in \mathbb{F}_q[x] \) with \( \deg(h(x)) \leq n - (p^e + 1)k + p^e - 1 \) such that

\[
\lambda u_i h(a_i) = v_i^{p^e+1}, \ 1 \leq i \leq n,
\] (7)

where \( \lambda \in \mathbb{F}_q^* \). Multiplying both sides of Eq. (7) by \( f^{p^e}(a_i) \), we have

\[
\lambda u_i h(a_i)f^{p^e}(a_i) = v_i^{p^e+1}f^{p^e}(a_i), \ 1 \leq i \leq n.
\] (8)

Let \( g(x) = \lambda h(x)f^{p^e}(x) \) and substitute \( g(a_i) = \lambda h(a_i)f^{p^e}(a_i) \) into Eq. (8). Then

\[
\deg(g(x)) = \deg(h(x)) + p^e \deg(f(x)) \leq n - (p^e + 1)k + p^e - 1 + p^e(k - 1) = n - k - 1
\]

and \( u_i g(a_i) = v_i^{p^e+1}f^{p^e}(a_i) \) for \( 1 \leq i \leq n \). According to Lemma 2 we have \( c \in \text{GRS}_k(a, v)^{\perp_{\omega}} \), that is \( \text{GRS}_k(a, v) \subseteq \text{GRS}_k(a, v)^{\perp_{\omega}} \), which finishes the proof.

Lemma 5. For any codeword \( c = (v_1(a_1), v_2(a_2), \ldots, v_n(a_n), f_{k-1}) \in \text{GRS}_k(a, v, \infty) \), if there exists a monic polynomial \( h(x) \in \mathbb{F}_q[x] \) with \( \deg(h(x)) = p^e + n - (p^e + 1)k \) such that

\[
-u_i h(a_i) = v_i^{p^e+1}, \ 1 \leq i \leq n,
\] (9)

then \( \text{GRS}_k(a, v, \infty) \) is an \( e \)-Galois self-orthogonal code.
Proof. According to the assumptions given, we know that, for any codeword \( \mathbf{c} = (v_1 f(a_1), v_2 f(a_2), \ldots, v_n f(a_n), f_{k-1}) \in \text{GRS}_k(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{v}, \infty) \), there exists a monic polynomial \( h(x) \in \mathbb{F}_q[x] \) with \( \deg(h(x)) = p^n + n - (p^n + 1)k \) such that

\[-u_i h(a_i) = v_i^p + 1, \quad 1 \leq i \leq n, \tag{10}\]

Multiplying both sides of Eq. (10) by \( f^p(a_i) \), we have

\[-u_i h(a_i)f^p(a_i) = v_i^p + 1 f^p(a_i), \quad 1 \leq i \leq n. \tag{11}\]

Let \( g(x) = -h(x)f^p(x) \) and substitute \( g(x) \) into Eq. (11). Then

\[\deg(g(x)) = \deg(h(x)) + p^n \deg(f(x)) \leq p^n + n - (p^n + 1)k + p^n(k - 1) = n - k\]

and \( u_i g(a_i) = v_i^p + 1 f^p(a_i), \quad 1 \leq i \leq n \). Now we claim that \( f^p_{k-1} = -g_{n-k} \) in both cases \( \deg(f(x)) \leq k - 1 \) and \( \deg(f(x)) = k - 1 \), which are shown in the following:

- **Case 1**: \( \deg(f(x)) < k - 1 \).
  - If \( \deg(f(x)) < k - 1 \) (i.e., \( \deg(f(x)) \leq k - 2 \)), then \( f_{k-1} = 0 \) and
    \[\deg(g(x)) = \deg(h(x)) + p^n \deg(f(x)) \leq p^n + n - (p^n + 1)k + p^n(k - 2) = n - k - p^n < n - k.\]
  - It follows that \( f^p_{k-1} = 0 = -g_{n-k} \).

- **Case 2**: \( \deg(f(x)) = k - 1 \).
  - If \( \deg(f(x)) = k - 1 \), then
    \[\deg(g(x)) = \deg(h(x)) + p^n \deg(f(x)) = p^n + n - (p^n + 1)k + p^n(k - 1) = n - k.\]
  - It is easy to check that, in this case, the coefficients of the highest degree of \( g(x) \) and \( -h(x)f^p(x) \) are \( g_{n-k} \) and \( -f^p_{k-1} \), respectively. It follows that \( f^p_{k-1} = -g_{n-k} \) from \( g(x) = -h(x)f^p(x) \).

Combining the above results, from Lemma 3 we have \( \mathbf{c} \in \text{GRS}_k(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{v}, \infty)^{\perp_e} \), that is \( \text{GRS}_k(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{v}, \infty) \subseteq \text{GRS}_k(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{v}, \infty)^{\perp_e} \), which finishes the proof. \( \square \)

3. **Constructions**

In this section, we use the new methods introduced in Lemmas 4 and 5 to construct several new classes of \( e \)-Galois self-orthogonal MDS codes via GRS and extended GRS codes.

3.1. **Construction A via the trace mapping from \( \mathbb{F}_q \) to \( \mathbb{F}_{p^e} \)**

Let \( q = p^h \) be an odd prime power and \( h, t, e \) be integers with \( e \mid h \). We fix \( B \subseteq \mathbb{F}_{p^e} \) as an \( \mathbb{F}_p \)-linear subspace with \( |B| \geq t \). Consider the trace mapping:

\[
\text{Tr}: \mathbb{F}_q \longrightarrow \mathbb{F}_{p^e} \\
x \longmapsto x + x^{p^e} + \cdots + x^{h-e}.
\tag{12}
\]
Set \( b_1 = 0, b_2, \ldots, b_t \) be \( t \) distinct elements of \( B \). Define

\[
T_i = \{ x \in \mathbb{F}_q | T_r(x) = b_i \},
\]

where \( 1 \leq i \leq t \). Then \( |T_i| = p^{h-e} \) and \( T_i \cap T_j = \emptyset \) for any \( 1 \leq i \neq j \leq t \).

Assume that \( n = tp^{h-e} \) with \( 1 \leq t \leq p^e \), and denote

\[ \mathcal{A} = \bigcup_{i=1}^{t} T_i = \{ a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n \}. \] (13)

**Lemma 6.** Let \( a_i \) and \( u_i \) be defined as in Eqs. (13) and (3), respectively. Given \( 1 \leq i \leq n \), suppose \( a_i \in T_{j_0} \) for some \( 1 \leq j_0 \leq t \). Then

\[
u_i = \prod_{j \neq j_0, j=1}^{t} (T_r(a_i) - b_j)^{-1}.
\] (14)

And further, \( u_i \in \mathbb{F}_p^e \subseteq E \) for \( 1 \leq i \leq n \) when \( 2e \mid h \).

**Proof.** By Theorem III.2 of [22], we can see that Eq. (14) holds and \( u_i \in \mathbb{F}_p^e \) for \( 1 \leq i \leq n \). Moreover, according to Lemma 1, \( u_i \in \mathbb{F}_p^e \subseteq E \) for \( 1 \leq i \leq n \) when \( 2e \mid h \).

**Theorem 7.** Let \( q = p^h \) with \( p \) being an odd prime number and \( 2e \mid h \). Assume that \( n = tp^{h-e} \) with \( 1 \leq t \leq p^e \). Then there exists an \([n, k, n-k+1]_q \) e-Galois self-orthogonal GRS code for \( 1 \leq k \leq \left[ \frac{p^e+n-1}{p^e+1} \right] \).

**Proof.** By Lemma 6, \( u_i \in \mathbb{F}_p^e \subseteq E \). Hence there exists \( v_i \in \mathbb{F}_q \) such that \( u_i = \nu_i^{p^e+1} \) for \( 1 \leq i \leq n \). Set \( v = (v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n) \).

For \( 1 \leq k \leq \left[ \frac{p^e+n-1}{p^e+1} \right] \), consider any codeword \( c = (v_1f(a_1), v_2f(a_2), \ldots, v_nf(a_n)) \in GRS_k(a, v) \) with \( \deg(f(x)) \leq k - 1 \). Let \( \lambda = 1 \) and \( h(x) = 1 \). Note that

\[
\deg(h(x)) = 0 \leq n + p^e - (p^e + 1)k - 1,
\]

then by Lemma 4 we can deduce that \( GRS_k(a, v) \) is an e-Galois self-orthogonal GRS code.

**Theorem 8.** Let \( q = p^h \) with \( p \) being an odd prime number and \( 2e \mid h \). Assume that \( n = tp^{h-e} \) with \( 1 \leq t \leq p^e \). If \( (p^e+1) \mid (p^e+n) \), then there exists an \([n+1, k, p^e(k-1)+2]_q \) e-Galois self-orthogonal extended GRS code, where \( k = \frac{p^e+n}{p^e+1} \).

**Proof.** Since \( u_i \in \mathbb{F}_p^e \subseteq E \) by Lemma 6, \(-u_i \in \mathbb{F}_p^e \subseteq E\). Hence there exists \( v'_i \in \mathbb{F}_q \) such that \(-u_i = (v'_i)^{p^e+1} \) for \( 1 \leq i \leq n \). Set \( v' = (v'_1, v'_2, \ldots, v'_n) \).

For \( k = \frac{p^e+n}{p^e+1} \), consider any codeword \( c = (v'_1f(a_1), v'_2f(a_2), \ldots, v'_nf(a_n), f_{k-1}) \in GRS_k(a, v', \infty) \) with \( \deg(f(x)) \leq k - 1 \). Let \( h(x) = 1 \). Note that

\[
\deg(h(x)) = 0 = p^e + n - (p^e + 1)k,
\]

then by Lemma 5 we can deduce that \( GRS_k(a, v', \infty) \) is an e-Galois self-orthogonal extended GRS code. And by the Singleton bound, the desired result holds.
3.2. Construction B via the norm mapping from $\mathbb{F}_q^*$ to $\mathbb{F}_p^*$

Let $q = p^h$ be an odd prime power and $h, t, e$ be integers with $e \mid h$. Consider the norm mapping:

$$\text{Norm: } \mathbb{F}_q^* \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_p^*$$

$$x \mapsto \prod_{i=0}^{\frac{h-1}{p-1}} x^{\frac{1}{p^i}} = x^{\frac{q-1}{p^e-1}}. \quad (15)$$

Label the elements of $\mathbb{F}_p^*$ as $b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_{p^e-1}$. Define

$$N_i = \{ x \in \mathbb{F}_q^* \mid \text{Norm}(x) = b_i \},$$

where $1 \leq i \leq p^e - 1$. It’s well known that Norm is surjective. Then $|N_i| = |\text{Ker}(\text{Norm})| = \frac{q-1}{p^e-1}$ can be seen from [1] and $N_i \cap N_j = \emptyset$ for any $1 \leq i \neq j \leq p^e - 1$.

Assume that $n = \frac{\frac{h-1}{p-1}}{2e}$ with $1 \leq t \leq p^e - 1$, and denote

$$\mathcal{N} = \bigcup_{i=1}^{t} N_i = \{ a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n \}. \quad (16)$$

**Lemma 9.** Let $a_i$ and $u_i$ be defined as in Eqs. (15) and (16). Given $1 \leq i \leq n$, suppose $a_i \in N_s$ for some $1 \leq s \leq t$. Assume that $e \mid h$. Then

$$u_i = a_i^{1 - \frac{q-1}{p^e}} \prod_{1 \leq s' \leq t, s' \neq s} (b_s - b_{s'})^{-1}. \quad (17)$$

And further, $a_i^{\frac{q-1}{p^e} - 1} u_i \in \mathbb{F}_p^* \subseteq E$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$ when $2e \mid h$.

**Proof.** By Lemma III.1 of [3], we can get Eq. (17) directly and $\prod_{1 \leq s' \leq t, s' \neq s} (b_s - b_{s'})^{-1} \in \mathbb{F}_p^*$. Then $a_i^{\frac{q-1}{p^e} - 1} u_i = \prod_{1 \leq s' \leq t, s' \neq s} (b_s - b_{s'})^{-1} \in \mathbb{F}_p^*$. Moreover, according to Lemma [1] $a_i^{\frac{q-1}{p^e} - 1} u_i \in \mathbb{F}_p^* \subseteq E$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$ when $2e \mid h$. \qed

**Theorem 10.** Let $q = p^h$ with $p$ being an odd prime number and $2e \mid h$. Assume that $n = \frac{\frac{h-1}{p-1}}{2e}$ with $1 \leq t \leq p^e - 1$. Then there exists an $[n, k, n - k + 1]_q$ Galois self-orthogonal GRS code for $1 \leq k \leq \left\lfloor \frac{p^e + n - \frac{q-1}{p^e-1}}{p^e-1} \right\rfloor$.

**Proof.** By Lemma [3] $a_i^{\frac{q-1}{p^e} - 1} u_i \in \mathbb{F}_p^* \subseteq E$. Hence there exists $v_i \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$ such that $a_i^{\frac{q-1}{p^e} - 1} u_i = v_i^{p^e+1}$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$. Set $\mathbf{v} = (v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n)$.

For $1 \leq k \leq \left\lfloor \frac{p^e + n - \frac{q-1}{p^e-1}}{p^e-1} \right\rfloor$, consider any codeword $\mathbf{c} = (v_1 f(a_1), v_2 f(a_2), \ldots, v_n f(a_n)) \in GRS_k(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{v})$ with $\deg(f(x)) \leq k - 1$. Let $\lambda = 1$, $h(x) = x^{\frac{q-1}{p^e-1}} \in \mathbb{F}_q[x]$. Note that

$$\deg(h(x)) = \frac{q - 1}{p^e - 1} - 1 \leq p^e + n - (p^e + 1)k - 1,$$

then by Lemma [3] we can deduce that $GRS_k(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{v})$ is an $e$-Galois self-orthogonal GRS code. \qed

If we add $a_{n+1} = 0$ into Eq. (16), a new class of $e$-Galois self-orthogonal extended GRS codes with length $n + 2$ can be constructed. It is based on the following lemma, which can be derived from Theorem III.2 of [3] and Lemma [4] directly.
Lemma 11. Let notations be the same as before. Put \(a_{n+1} = 0\), then for any \(1 \leq i \leq n\),

\[
\prod_{1 \leq j \leq n+1, j \neq i} (a_i - a_j)^{-1} = a_i^{-1} \prod_{1 \leq j \leq n, j \neq i} (a_i - a_j)^{-1} \in \mathbb{F}^*_p,
\]

and for \(i = n + 1\),

\[
\prod_{j=1}^{n} (a_{n+1} - a_j)^{-1} = (-1)^{n+1} \prod_{i=1}^{t} b_i^{-1} \in \mathbb{F}^*_p.
\]

And further, still denote \(\prod_{1 \leq i \leq n+1, i \neq j} (a_i - a_j)^{-1}\) by \(u_i\), then \(u_i \in \mathbb{F}^*_p \subseteq E\) for \(1 \leq i \leq n + 1\) when \(2e | h\).

Theorem 12. Let \(q = p^h\) with \(p\) being an odd prime number and \(2e | h\). Assume that \(n = \frac{t(q-1)}{p-1}\) with \(1 \leq t \leq p^e - 1\). If \((p^e + 1) | (p^e + n + 1)\), then there exists an \([n+2,k,p^e(k-1)+2]_q\) e-Galois self-orthogonal extended GRS code where \(k = \frac{p^e+n+1}{p-1}\).

Proof. Since \(u_i \in \mathbb{F}^*_p \subseteq E\) by Lemma 11, \(-u_i \in \mathbb{F}^*_p \subseteq E\). Hence there exists \(v'_i \in \mathbb{F}^*_q\) such that \(-u_i = (v'_i)p^{e+1}\) for \(1 \leq i \leq n + 1\). Set \(v' = (v'_1, v'_2, \ldots, v'_{n+1})\).

For \(k = \frac{p^e+n+1}{p-1}\), consider any codeword \(c = (v'_1f(a_1), v'_2f(a_2), \ldots, v'_{n+1}f(a_{n+1}), f_{k-1}) \in GRS_k(a, v', \infty)\) with \(\deg(f(x)) \leq k - 1\). Let \(h(x) = 1\). Note that

\[
\deg(h(x)) = 0 = p^e + n - (p^e + 1)k + 1.
\]

then by Lemma 6, we can deduce that \(GRS_k(a, v', \infty)\) is an e-Galois self-orthogonal extended GRS code. And by the Singleton bound, the desired result holds. \(\square\)

3.3. Construction C via the direct product of two cyclic subgroups

Let \(\omega\) be a primitive element of \(\mathbb{F}_q\), where \(q = p^h\) is an odd prime power. Denote by \(\xi_1 = \omega^{x_1}\) and \(\xi_2 = \omega^{x_2}\), where \(x_1\) and \(x_2\) are two positive integers. Then it is easy to see that \(\operatorname{ord}(\xi_1) = \frac{q-1}{\gcd(q-1,x_1)}\) and \(\operatorname{ord}(\xi_2) = \frac{q-1}{\gcd(q-1,x_2)}\). Define \(R_i = \{\xi_1^j, \xi_2^j | j = 1, 2, \ldots, r_2\}\) for \(i = 1, 2, \ldots, r_1\).

Assume that \(n = r_1r_2\) with \(1 \leq r_1 \leq \operatorname{ord}(\xi_1), r_2 = \operatorname{ord}(\xi_2)\), and denote

\[
\mathcal{R} = \bigcup_{i=1}^{r_1} R_i = \{a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n\}. \tag{18}
\]

By Remark III.2 of [3], we know that \(\langle \xi_1 \rangle \otimes \langle \xi_2 \rangle\) is a subgroup of \(\mathbb{F}_q^*\) with order \(\operatorname{ord}(\xi_1) \cdot \operatorname{ord}(\xi_2)\) if \((q-1) | \operatorname{lcm}(x_1, x_2)\) and \(R_i \cap R_j = \emptyset\) when \(1 \leq s \neq t \leq r_1\). Then we derive the following lemma.

Lemma 13. Let \(a_i\) and \(u_i\) be defined as in Eqs. (18) and (6) respectively. Given \(1 \leq i \leq n\), suppose \(a_i \in R_s\) for some \(1 \leq s \leq r_1\). Assume that \((q-1) | \operatorname{lcm}(x_1, x_2)\) and \(\gcd(x_2, q-1) | x_1(p^e - 1)\) for two positive integers \(x_1\) and \(x_2\). Then

\[
u_i = a_i(-s r_2 - 1) \prod_{1 \leq s' \leq r_1, s' \neq s} (\xi_1^{-s r_2} - \xi_1^{-s' r_2})^{-1}.
\]

And further, \(a_i^{-2-1} u_i \in \mathbb{F}^*_p \subseteq E\) for \(1 \leq i \leq n\) when \(2e | h\).
Proof. By Lemma III.4 of [8], we can get Eq. (19) directly. Now, we prove that \( a_i^{r_2-1} u_i \in \mathbb{F}_{p^e}^* \subseteq E \) for \( 1 \leq i \leq n \) when \( 2e \mid h \). Then by Lemma [1] we only need to prove \( a_i^{r_2-1} u_i \in \mathbb{F}_{p^e}^* \) for \( 1 \leq i \leq n \).

Since \( a_i \in R_s \) for some \( 1 \leq s \leq r_1 \), there exists an integer \( t \in \{1, 2, \ldots, r_2\} \) such that \( a_i = \xi_1^t \xi_2^t \in R_s \). Note that \( r_2 = \text{ord}(\xi_2) \), then \( a_i^{r_2} = \xi_1^{r_2} \xi_2^{r_2} = \xi_1^{r_2} \). Since \( \gcd(x_2, q-1) \mid x_1(p^e - 1) \), \( \xi_1^{r_2} \in \mathbb{F}_{p^e}^* \). Hence for each \( 1 \leq i \leq n \),

\[
a_i^{r_2-1} u_i = r_2^{-1} \prod_{1 \leq s' \leq r_1, s' \neq s} (\xi_1^{s'} - \xi_1^{s'})^{-1} \in \mathbb{F}_{p^e}^*,
\]

which completes the proof. \( \square \)

**Theorem 14.** Let \( q = p^h \) with \( p \) being an odd prime number and \( 2e \mid h \). Assume that \( n = r_1 r_2 \) for each \( 1 \leq r_1 \leq \frac{q-1}{\gcd(q-1, x_1)} \) and \( r_2 = \frac{q-1}{\gcd(q-1, x_2)} \). If \( (q-1) \mid \text{lcm}(x_1, x_2) \) and \( \gcd(x_2, q-1) \mid x_1(p^e - 1) \) with two positive integers \( x_1 \) and \( x_2 \), then there exists an \([n, k, n-k+1]_q \) e-Galois self-orthogonal GRS code for \( 1 \leq k \leq \lfloor \frac{p^e+n-r_2}{p^e+1} \rfloor \).

Proof. By Lemma [13] \( a_i^{r_2-1} u_i \in \mathbb{F}_{p^e}^* \subseteq E \) when \( 2e \mid h \). Hence there exists \( v_i \in \mathbb{F}_q^* \) such that \( a_i^{r_2-1} u_i = v_i^{p^e+1} \) for \( 1 \leq i \leq n \). Set \( \mathbf{v} = (v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n) \).

For \( 1 \leq k \leq \lfloor \frac{p^e+n-r_2}{p^e+1} \rfloor \), consider any codeword \( \mathbf{c} = (v_1 f(a_1), v_2 f(a_2), \ldots, v_n f(a_n)) \in GRS_k(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{v}) \) with \( \text{deg}(f(x)) \leq k - 1 \). Let \( \lambda = 1 \) and \( h(x) = x^{r_2-1} \in \mathbb{F}_q[x] \). Note that

\[
\text{deg}(h(x)) = r_2 - 1 \leq p^e + n - (p^e + 1) k - 1,
\]

then by Lemma [1] we can deduce that \( GRS_k(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{v}) \) is an e-Galois self-orthogonal GRS code. \( \square \)

Next, adding \( a_{n+1} = 0 \) into Eq. (18), then a new family of e-Galois self-orthogonal extended GRS codes with length \( n + 2 \) can be yielded based on the following lemma. Similarly, combining Theorem III.5 of [8] and Lemma [1] the lemma is direct, so we omit the proof again.

**Lemma 15.** Let notations be the same as before. Put \( a_{n+1} = 0 \), then for any \( 1 \leq i \leq n \),

\[
\prod_{1 \leq j \leq n+1, j \neq i} (a_i - a_j)^{-1} = a_i^{-1} \prod_{1 \leq j \leq n, j \neq i} (a_i - a_j)^{-1} \in \mathbb{F}_{p^e}^*,
\]

and for \( i = n + 1 \),

\[
\prod_{j=1}^{n} (a_{n+1} - a_j)^{-1} = (-1)^n \xi_1^{\frac{r_1 r_2 (r_2 + 1)}{2}} \xi_2^{\frac{r_1 r_2 (r_2 + 1)}{2}} \in \mathbb{F}_{p^e}^*.
\]

Still denote \( \prod_{1 \leq i \leq n+1, i \neq j} (a_i - a_j)^{-1} \) by \( u_i \). Then \( u_i \in \mathbb{F}_{p^e}^* \subseteq E \) for \( 1 \leq i \leq n+1 \) when \( 2e \mid h \).

**Theorem 16.** Let \( q = p^h \) with \( p \) being an odd prime number and \( 2e \mid h \). Assume that \( n = r_1 r_2 \) for each \( 1 \leq r_1 \leq \frac{q-1}{\gcd(q-1, x_1)} \) and \( r_2 = \frac{q-1}{\gcd(q-1, x_2)} \). If \( (p^e + 1) \mid (p^e + n - 1) \), \( (q-1) \mid \text{lcm}(x_1, x_2) \) and \( \gcd(x_2, q-1) \mid x_1(p^e - 1) \) with two positive integers \( x_1 \) and \( x_2 \), then there exists an \([n+2, k, (k-1)p^e+2]_q \) e-Galois self-orthogonal extended GRS code, where \( k = \frac{p^e+n+1}{p^e+1} \).

Proof. Since \( u_i \in \mathbb{F}_{p^e}^* \subseteq E \) by Lemma [15], \( -u_i \in \mathbb{F}_{p^e}^* \subseteq E \). Hence there exists \( v'_i \in \mathbb{F}_q^* \) such that

\[
-v_i = (v'_i)^{p^e+1} \quad \text{for} \quad 1 \leq i \leq n+1.
\]

Set \( \mathbf{v}' = (v'_1, v'_2, \ldots, v'_{n+1}) \).
For \( k = \frac{p^e + n + 1}{p^e + 1} \), consider any codeword \( c = (v'_1 f(a_1), v'_2 f(a_2), \ldots, v'_{n+1} f(a_{n+1}), f_{k-1}) \in GRS_k(a, v', \infty) \) with \( \text{deg}(f(x)) \leq k - 1 \). Let \( h(x) = 1 \). Note that

\[
\text{deg}(h(x)) = 0 = p^e + n - (p^e + 1)k + 1,
\]

then, by Lemma \[8\] we can deduce that \( GRS_k(a, v', \infty) \) is an \( e \)-Galois self-orthogonal extended GRS code.

3.4. Construction D from the coset decomposition of a cyclic group

Let \( q = p^h \) be an odd prime power and \( \omega \) is a primitive element of \( \mathbb{F}_q \). For \( e \mid h \), denote by \( y = \frac{q - 1}{p^e - 1} \) and \( m_1 m_2 = m \mid (q - 1) \) with \( m_1 = \frac{m}{\text{gcd}(m, y)} \) and \( m_2 = \text{gcd}(m, y) \). Consider \( H = \langle \vartheta_1 \rangle, G = \langle \vartheta_2 \rangle \), where \( \vartheta_1 = \omega^{m_1}, \vartheta_2 = \omega^{m_2} \). Then \( \text{ord}(H) = \text{ord}(\vartheta_1) = m \) and \( \text{ord}(G) = \text{ord}(\vartheta_2) = (p^e - 1)m_2 \).

Assume that \( n = rm \) with \( 1 \leq r \leq \frac{p^e - 1}{m_1} \), and denote

\[
\mathcal{H} = \bigcup_{i=1}^{r} \vartheta_i H = \{a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n\},
\]

where \( \vartheta_i \) is the left coset representative of \( G/H \) for \( i = 1, 2, \ldots, \frac{p^e - 1}{m_1} \). (Readers can get further elaboration about the relation between \( H \) and \( G \) from \[3\].) Then the following lemma can be derived.

**Lemma 17.** Let \( a_i \) and \( u_i \) be defined as in Eqs. \[20\] and \[8\] respectively. Given \( 1 \leq i \leq n \), suppose \( a_i \in \vartheta_i H \) for some \( 1 \leq s \leq r \). Assume that \( e \mid h \) and \( m \mid (q - 1) \). Then

\[
u_i = a_i \vartheta_i = \prod_{1 \leq s' \leq r, s' \neq s} (\vartheta_s^{m_i} - \vartheta_{s'}^{m_i})^{-1}.
\]

And further, \( a_{i}^{m_i - 1} u_i \in \mathbb{F}_{p^e}^* \subseteq E \) for \( 1 \leq i \leq n \) when \( 2e \mid h \).

**Proof.** By Lemma III.6 of \[3\], we can get Eq. \[21\] directly. Now, we prove that \( a_{i}^{m_i - 1} u_i \in \mathbb{F}_{p^e}^* \subseteq E \) for \( 1 \leq i \leq n \) when \( 2e \mid h \). Then by Lemma \[8\] we only need to prove \( a_{i}^{m_i - 1} u_i \in \mathbb{F}_{p^e}^* \) for \( 1 \leq i \leq n \).

Since \( a_i \in \vartheta_i H \) for some \( 1 \leq s \leq r \), there exists an integer \( 1 \leq t \leq m \) such that \( a_i = \vartheta_s^t \). Note that \( \text{ord}(\vartheta_1) = m \), then \( \vartheta_1^m = (\vartheta_1^t)^m = \vartheta_2^m \). For any \( 1 \leq i \leq r \), it follows that \( \vartheta_i = \vartheta_2^j \) for some \( 1 \leq j \leq (p^e - 1)m_2 \) from the fact that \( \vartheta_i \) is the left coset representative of \( G/H \). Hence \( \vartheta_i^m = \vartheta_2^j = \omega^{m_2 j} \) \( \in \mathbb{F}_{p^e}^* \) and it further deduces that

\[
a_{i}^{m_i - 1} u_i = m_i^{-1} \prod_{1 \leq s' \leq r, s' \neq s} (\vartheta_s^{m_i} - \vartheta_{s'}^{m_i})^{-1} \in \mathbb{F}_{p^e}^*,
\]

which completes the proof.

**Theorem 18.** Let \( q = p^h \) with \( p \) being an odd prime number and \( 2e \mid h \). Assume that \( n = rm \) and \( m \mid (q - 1) \) for each \( 1 \leq r \leq \frac{p^e - 1}{m_1} \) with \( m_1 = \frac{m}{\text{gcd}(m, y)} \) for \( y = \frac{q - 1}{p^e - 1} \). Then there exists an \( \lfloor n, k, n - k + 1 \rfloor_q \)

\( e \)-Galois self-orthogonal GRS code for \( 1 \leq k \leq \lfloor p^e + n - m \rfloor_{p^e + 1} \).

**Proof.** By Lemma \[8\] \( a_{i}^{m_i - 1} u_i \in \mathbb{F}_{p^e}^* \subseteq E \) when \( 2e \mid h \). Hence there exists \( v_i \in \mathbb{F}_q^* \) such that \( a_{i}^{m_i - 1} u_i = v_i^{p+1} \) for \( 1 \leq i \leq n \). Set \( v = (v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n) \).
For $1 \leq k \leq \lfloor \frac{p^e+n-m}{p^e+1} \rfloor$, consider any codeword $c = (v_1f(a_1), v_2f(a_2), \ldots, v_nf(a_n)) \in GRS_k(a, v)$ with $\deg(f(x)) \leq k - 1$. Let $\lambda = 1$ and $h(x) = x^{m-1} \in \mathbb{F}_q[x]$. Note that

$$\deg(h(x)) = m - 1 \leq p^e + n - (p^e + 1)k - 1.$$

Then by Lemma 3, we can deduce that $GRS_k(a, v)$ is an $e$-Galois self-orthogonal GRS code.

By the same manners in Lemmas 11 and 15, we can derive the following lemma.

**Lemma 19.** Let notations be the same as before. Put $a_{n+1} = 0$, then for any $1 \leq i \leq n$,

$$\prod_{1 \leq j \leq n+1, j \neq i} (a_i - a_j)^{-1} = a_i^{-1} \prod_{1 \leq j \leq n, j \neq i} (a_i - a_j)^{-1} \in \mathbb{F}_{p^e}^*,$$

and for $i = n + 1$,

$$\prod_{j=1}^{n}(a_{n+1}-a_j)^{-1} = (-1)^n q_1^{\frac{rm(m+1)}{2}} \prod_{i=1}^{r} n_i^{-m} \in \mathbb{F}_{p^e}^*.$$

Still denote $\prod_{1 \leq i \leq n+1, i \neq j} (a_i - a_j)^{-1}$ by $u_i$. Then, $u_i \in \mathbb{F}_{p^e}^* \subseteq E$ for $1 \leq i \leq n + 1$ when $2e \mid h$.

And further, a new family of $e$-Galois self-orthogonal extended GRS codes can be constructed by the same manners with Theorems 12 and 16. We give our construction in Theorem 20 directly.

**Theorem 20.** Let $q = p^h$ with $p$ being an odd prime number and $2e \mid h$. Assume that $n = rm$ and $m \mid (q-1)$ for each $1 \leq r \leq \frac{p^e-1}{m_1}$ with $m_1 = \frac{m}{\gcd(m,y)}$ for $y = \frac{q-1}{p^e}$. If $(p^e+1) \mid (p^e + n + 1)$, then there exists an $[n+2, k, (k-1)p^e + 2]_q$ $e$-Galois self-orthogonal extended GRS code for $k = \frac{p^e+n+1}{p^e+1}$.

We finish this section by the following remark. In this remark, we further explain our constructions and discuss some problems.

**Remark 1.** (1) From Table 7, we can easily conclude that our Constructions A to D are new for the different forms of code lengths. In addition, comparing with the different tools used for each constructions, our new methods are general and uniform.

(2) In particular, for the case even $h$ and $e = \frac{h}{2}$, we constructed two classes of classical Hermitian self-orthogonal GRS codes based on the similar tools to Constructions C and D in [24], hence researches in this paper are more general and generalize our previous work. In [24], we proved that a linear code $C$ is $e$-Galois self-orthogonal if and only if $C$ is $(h-e)$-Galois self-orthogonal, which implies that we only need to research the cases $0 \leq e \leq \lfloor \frac{h}{2} \rfloor$. In particular, we consider the cases $2e \mid h$ in this paper.

(3) The condition $2e \mid h$ requires that $h$ is even. Clearly, it is suitable to take $e = \frac{h}{2}$ but not to take $e = 0$. However, according to Table 3 of [24], we can see that 0-Galois (i.e., Euclidean) self-orthogonal GRS codes can be derived from Hermitian self-orthogonal GRS codes. Hence four classes of usual Euclidean self-orthogonal GRS codes can be obtained from Theorems 7, 16, 18 and 18 directly. In addition, combining both Tables 2 and 3 of [24], $e'$-Galois self-orthogonal GRS codes with $2e' \mid h$ can also be constructed via these $e'$-Galois self-orthogonal GRS codes with $2e \mid h$. Further, we can verify that all these conclusions together can almost determine all $e$-Galois self-orthogonal GRS codes for each $0 \leq e \leq h-1$ when $h$ is even.
4. Examples

In this section, we give some examples over $F_{3^4}$, $F_{5^4}$ and $F_{7^4}$, which are computed with the Magma software package. Different examples further illustrate that our constructions are new and different from each other.

Example 21. Taking $(p, h) = (3, 4)$, $(p, h) = (5, 4)$ and $(p, h) = (7, 4)$ in Theorems 7 and 8 then all cases satisfying $2e | h$ are $e = 1$ and $e = 2$. We list some $e$-Galois self-orthogonal GRS codes over $F_{3^4}$ and $e$-Galois self-orthogonal extended GRS codes over $F_{3^4}$, $F_{5^4}$ and $F_{7^4}$ obtained from Theorems 7 and 8 in Tables 2 and 3, respectively. Note that it is just the classical Hermitian self-orthogonal MDS codes if $e = 2$ and $1$-Galois self-orthogonal MDS codes have larger dimensions with respect to $2$-Galois self-orthogonal MDS codes for the same length.

Table 2: Some new $e$-Galois self-orthogonal GRS codes from Theorem 7 for $q = 3^4$

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$e$</th>
<th>$t$</th>
<th>$e$-Galois self-orthogonal code</th>
<th>$k$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$[27, k, 28 - k]_{3^4}$</td>
<td>$1 \leq k \leq 7$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$[54, k, 55 - k]_{3^4}$</td>
<td>$1 \leq k \leq 14$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>$[81, k, 82 - k]_{3^4}$</td>
<td>$1 \leq k \leq 20$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$[18, k, 19 - k]_{3^4}$</td>
<td>$1 \leq k \leq 2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>$[27, k, 28 - k]_{3^4}$</td>
<td>$1 \leq k \leq 3$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>$[36, k, 37 - k]_{3^4}$</td>
<td>$1 \leq k \leq 4$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>$[45, k, 46 - k]_{3^4}$</td>
<td>$1 \leq k \leq 5$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>$[54, k, 55 - k]_{3^4}$</td>
<td>$1 \leq k \leq 6$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>$[63, k, 64 - k]_{3^4}$</td>
<td>$1 \leq k \leq 7$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>$[72, k, 73 - k]_{3^4}$</td>
<td>$1 \leq k \leq 8$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>$[81, k, 82 - k]_{3^4}$</td>
<td>$1 \leq k \leq 8$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3: Some new $e$-Galois self-orthogonal extended GRS codes from Theorem 8 for $q = 3^4$, $q = 5^4$ and $q = 7^4$

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$p$</th>
<th>$e$</th>
<th>$t$</th>
<th>$e$-Galois self-orthogonal code</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>$[82, 21, 62]_{3^4}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>$[82, 9, 74]_{3^4}^*$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>$[626, 105, 522]_{5^4}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>$[626, 25, 602]_{5^4}^*$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>$[2402, 301, 2102]_{7^4}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>$[2402, 49, 2354]_{7^4}^*$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Hermitian self-orthogonal MDS codes with parameters $[q + 1, \sqrt{q}, q - \sqrt{q} + 2]_q$ exist from Theorem 3.6 of [31].
Example 22. Taking \((p, h) = (3, 4), (5, 4)\) and \((7, 4)\), we list some 1-Galois self-orthogonal MDS codes over \(\mathbb{F}_{3^4}, \mathbb{F}_{5^4}\) and \(\mathbb{F}_{7^4}\) in Tables 4 and 5. Compared with Tables 2 and 3, we can easily see that 1-Galois self-orthogonal MDS codes in Tables 4 and 5 are new.

Table 4: Some new 1-Galois self-orthogonal GRS codes from Theorem 10 for \(q = 3^4, q = 5^4\) and \(q = 7^4\)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(p)</th>
<th>(t)</th>
<th>1-Galois self-orthogonal code</th>
<th>(k)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>([80, k, 81 - k]_{3^4})</td>
<td>(1 \leq k \leq 10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>([312, k, 313 - k]_{5^4})</td>
<td>(1 \leq k \leq 26)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>([468, k, 469 - k]_{5^4})</td>
<td>(1 \leq k \leq 52)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>([624, k, 625 - k]_{5^4})</td>
<td>(1 \leq k \leq 78)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>([800, k, 801 - k]_{7^4})</td>
<td>(1 \leq k \leq 50)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>([1200, k, 1201 - k]_{7^4})</td>
<td>(1 \leq k \leq 100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>([1600, k, 1601 - k]_{7^4})</td>
<td>(1 \leq k \leq 150)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>([2000, k, 2001 - k]_{7^4})</td>
<td>(1 \leq k \leq 200)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>([2400, k, 2401 - k]_{7^4})</td>
<td>(1 \leq k \leq 250)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5: Some new 1-Galois self-orthogonal extended GRS codes from Theorem 12 for \(q = 3^4, q = 5^4\) and \(q = 7^4\)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(p)</th>
<th>(t)</th>
<th>1-Galois self-orthogonal code</th>
<th>(p)</th>
<th>(t)</th>
<th>1-Galois self-orthogonal code</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>([42, 11, 32]_{3^4})</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>([82, 21, 62]_{3^4})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>([158, 27, 132]_{5^4})</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>([314, 53, 262]_{5^4})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>([470, 79, 392]_{5^4})</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>([626, 105, 522]_{5^4})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>([402, 51, 352]_{7^4})</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>([802, 101, 702]_{7^4})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>([1202, 151, 1052]_{7^4})</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>([1602, 201, 1402]_{7^4})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>([2002, 251, 1752]_{7^4})</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>([2402, 301, 2102]_{7^4})</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Example 23. (1) Taking different combinations of \(x_1\) and \(x_2\), such as \((x_1, x_2) = (280, 896), (156, 16), (156, 48)\) and \((400, 96)\), some different 1-Galois self-orthogonal GRS codes over \(\mathbb{F}_{3^4}, \mathbb{F}_{5^4}\) and \(\mathbb{F}_{7^4}\) compared with Tables 1-5 are listed in Table 6.

(2) For \(q = 3^4\) and \(5^4\), we calculate 1-Galois self-orthogonal extended GRS codes and note that some same lengths and more lengths can be obtained from Theorem 16 based on flexible combinations of \(x_1\) and \(x_2\). However, due to the different forms of lengths, we can conclude that Theorem 16 cannot completely cover other 1-Galois self-orthogonal extended GRS codes. For example, 1-Galois self-orthogonal \([470, 79, 392]_{5^4}\) extended GRS code listed in Table 5 cannot be obtained by Theorem 16 (searching in the ranges \(1 \leq x_1, x_2 \leq 10^4\)). For comparison, we list some 1-Galois self-orthogonal extended GRS codes with other lengths over \(\mathbb{F}_{3^4}\) and \(\mathbb{F}_{5^4}\) in Table 7.
Table 6: Some new 1-Galois self-orthogonal GRS codes from Theorem 14 for $q = 3^4$, $q = 5^4$ and $q = 7^4$

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$p$</th>
<th>$(x_1, x_2, n_1, n_2)$</th>
<th>1-Galois self-orthogonal code</th>
<th>$k$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>(280, 896, 2, 5)</td>
<td>$[10, k, 11 - k]_{3^4}$</td>
<td>$1 \leq k \leq 2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>(156, 48, 3, 13)</td>
<td>$[39, k, 40 - k]_{5^4}$</td>
<td>$1 \leq k \leq 5$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>(156, 48, 4, 13)</td>
<td>$[52, k, 53 - k]_{5^4}$</td>
<td>$1 \leq k \leq 7$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>(156, 16, 2, 39)</td>
<td>$[78, k, 79 - k]_{5^4}$</td>
<td>$1 \leq k \leq 7$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>(156, 16, 3, 39)</td>
<td>$[117, k, 118 - k]_{5^4}$</td>
<td>$1 \leq k \leq 13$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>(400, 96, 2, 25)</td>
<td>$[50, k, 51 - k]_{7^4}$</td>
<td>$1 \leq k \leq 4$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>(400, 96, 3, 25)</td>
<td>$[75, k, 76 - k]_{7^4}$</td>
<td>$1 \leq k \leq 7$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>(400, 96, 4, 25)</td>
<td>$[100, k, 101 - k]_{7^4}$</td>
<td>$1 \leq k \leq 10$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>(400, 96, 5, 25)</td>
<td>$[125, k, 126 - k]_{7^4}$</td>
<td>$1 \leq k \leq 13$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>(400, 96, 6, 25)</td>
<td>$[150, k, 101 - k]_{7^4}$</td>
<td>$1 \leq k \leq 16$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 7: Some new 1-Galois self-orthogonal GRS codes from Theorem 14 for $q = 3^4$ and $q = 5^4$

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$p$</th>
<th>$(x_1, x_2, n_1, n_2)$</th>
<th>1-Galois self-orthogonal code</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>(720, 780, 1, 4)</td>
<td>$[6, 2, 5]_{3^4}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>(720, 770, 1, 8)</td>
<td>$[10, 3, 8]_{3^4}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>(720, 775, 1, 16)</td>
<td>$[18, 5, 14]_{3^4}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>(720, 772, 1, 16)</td>
<td>$[22, 6, 17]_{3^4}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>(780, 416, 2, 3)</td>
<td>$[8, 2, 7]_{5^4}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>(780, 416, 4, 3)</td>
<td>$[14, 3, 12]_{5^4}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>(624, 754, 1, 24)</td>
<td>$[26, 5, 22]_{5^4}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>(624, 793, 1, 48)</td>
<td>$[50, 9, 42]_{5^4}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>(624, 712, 1, 78)</td>
<td>$[80, 14, 67]_{5^4}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Example 24.** Taking $(p, h) = (3, 4), (5, 4)$, we list some 1-Galois self-orthogonal GRS codes with different lengths with respect to Tables 2, 4 and 6 over $F_{3^4}, F_{5^4}$. Taking $(p, h) = (5, 4), (7, 4)$, we also list some 1-Galois self-orthogonal extended GRS codes with different lengths with respect to Tables 5, 6 and 7 over $F_{5^4}, F_{7^4}$.
Table 8: Some new 1-Galois self-orthogonal GRS codes from Theorem 18 for $q = 3^4$ and $q = 5^4$

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$p$</th>
<th>$(m, r)$</th>
<th>1-Galois self-orthogonal code</th>
<th>$k$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>(8, 2)</td>
<td>$[16, k, 17 - k]_{3^4}$</td>
<td>$1 \leq k \leq 2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>(10, 2)</td>
<td>$[20, k, 21 - k]_{3^4}$</td>
<td>$1 \leq k \leq 3$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>(20, 2)</td>
<td>$[40, k, 41 - k]_{3^4}$</td>
<td>$1 \leq k \leq 5$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>(3, 4)</td>
<td>$[12, k, 13 - k]_{5^4}$</td>
<td>$1 \leq k \leq 2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>(4, 4)</td>
<td>$[16, k, 17 - k]_{5^4}$</td>
<td>$1 \leq k \leq 2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>(6, 3)</td>
<td>$[18, k, 19 - k]_{5^4}$</td>
<td>$1 \leq k \leq 2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>(6, 4)</td>
<td>$[24, k, 25 - k]_{5^4}$</td>
<td>$1 \leq k \leq 3$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>(12, 3)</td>
<td>$[36, k, 37 - k]_{5^4}$</td>
<td>$1 \leq k \leq 4$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>(12, 4)</td>
<td>$[48, k, 49 - k]_{5^4}$</td>
<td>$1 \leq k \leq 6$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 9: Some new 1-Galois self-orthogonal extended GRS codes from Theorem 20 for $q = 5^4$ and $q = 7^4$

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$p$</th>
<th>$(m, r)$</th>
<th>1-Galois self-orthogonal code</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>(6, 3)</td>
<td>$[20, 4, 17]_{5^4}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>(12, 3)</td>
<td>$[38, 7, 32]_{5^4}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>(78, 3)</td>
<td>$[236, 40, 197]_{5^4}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>(16, 6)</td>
<td>$[98, 13, 86]_{7^4}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>(20, 6)</td>
<td>$[122, 16, 107]_{7^4}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>(40, 4)</td>
<td>$[162, 21, 142]_{7^4}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>(80, 3)</td>
<td>$[242, 31, 212]_{7^4}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>(80, 6)</td>
<td>$[482, 61, 422]_{7^4}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5. Summary and concluding remarks

The main contributions of this paper are to propose new construction methods of $e$-Galois self-orthogonal GRS and extended GRS codes, and these two methods lead to eight new classes of $e$-Galois self-orthogonal MDS codes, which enriches the researches on Galois self-orthogonal MDS codes. For the same lengths, some Hermitian self-orthogonal codes were constructed in our or others’ previous work, however, the new $e$-Galois self-orthogonal codes ($2e \mid h$ and $e < \frac{h}{2}$) have larger dimensions (see the examples present in this paper). Hence, considering their applications to entanglement-assisted quantum error-correcting codes (EAQECCs for short), more new EAQECCs and MDS EAQECCs can be obtained from our constructions.

As discussed in Remark 1, combined with our work in [28], we almost determine all $e$-Galois self-orthogonal GRS codes for each $0 \leq e \leq h - 1$ when $p$ is an odd prime number and $h$ is even. Of course, it would be very interesting to further study the case $p = 2$. 
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