Production of the triply heavy $\Omega_{ccc}$ and $\Omega_{bbb}$ baryons at $e^+e^-$ colliders
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In this paper, we calculate the total and differential cross sections of the processes, $e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma^*/Z^* \rightarrow \Omega_{ccc}\bar{c}\bar{c}\bar{c}$ and $e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma^*/Z^* \rightarrow \Omega_{bbb}\bar{b}\bar{b}\bar{b}$, in the leading order at the $e^+e^-$ collider with different energies.

I. INTRODUCTION

With the discovery of the doubly charmed baryon $\Xi_{cc}$ [1–6], the triply heavy baryon has become the only one absent in the baryon family. The masses of the triply heavy baryon have been calculated in kinds of models theoretically [7–26] and different results have been gotten. Exploring the triply baryon in experiment is important for filling the particle spectra and testing the theories. To produce the triply heavy baryon, three pairs of heavy quarks need to be produced. This makes it hard to calculate the cross sections of the triply heavy baryon production. The total cross sections and the differential cross sections of the production of these baryons at LHC have been calculated in [27, 28]. There, the authors simplified the calculation utilizing the characteristic of the spin, the color configurations of the triply heavy baryons and the small relative momenta among the constituent quarks. The production of the triply charged baryon in $e^+e^-$ annihilation at Z-boson pole have been estimated in [29], where the mass of the charm quark has been ignored at some places in the matrix. The production of $\Omega_{ccc}$ from quark gluon plasma in heavy ion collisions has been calculated in [30]. And the production of the triply baryons from the fragmentation processes have been calculated in [31–35].

In this paper, we report the study on the production of the triply heavy baryons $\Omega_{ccc}$ and $\Omega_{bbb}$ at $e^+e^-$ colliders. Here, we calculate the direct production of these triply heavy baryons exactly. Because the constituent quarks of the triply heavy baryon are all heavy flavored, the NRQCD [36] can be used to describe the triply heavy baryon. And, the production of the $\Omega_{QQQ}$ can be factorized into two parts, the short-distance coefficient which describes the process of the production of the triply heavy quark pairs, and the long-distance matrix element which describes the formation of the triply heavy baryon from point-like three heavy quarks.1 To achieve the calculation, we will reduce the number of the Feynman diagrams which we have to calculate, as we have done in [27, 28].

In the next section we will show the details on the calculation of these two parts, the short-distance coefficient and the long-distance matrix element, explicitly. In Sec.III, we will list the numerical results and conclusions. And in Sec.IV, we will give a brief summary.

II. PRODUCTION OF THE $\Omega_{ccc}$ AND $\Omega_{bbb}$ AT $e^+e^-$ COLLIDERS

As a baryon, the triply heavy baryon must be in color singlet. The color wave function of the $\Omega_{QQQ}$ must be $\prod_{i}^{3} \psi_{Q_{i}}(\bar{Q}_{i})Q_{i}Q_{i}Q_{i}$ with $\xi_{i}$ (i=1,2,3) being the color index of the valence quark $Q_{i}$. As a ground state, the orbital angular momentum wave function is symmetrical. The exchange antisymmetry of the identical fermions implies that the $\Omega_{QQQ}$ must be the spin-symmetrical state and the spin of it must be $\frac{3}{2}$.

Because of the large masses of the heavy quarks, the NRQCD can be used to describe the triply heavy baryons. In NRQCD, we describe the normalized wave function of the $\Omega_{QQQ}$ as,

$$|\Omega_{QQQ}, \frac{3}{2}, S_Z\rangle = \sqrt{2M} \int \frac{d^3\bar{q}_1}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{d^3\bar{q}_2}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{1}{\sqrt{3!}} \sum_{\xi_1,\xi_2,\xi_3} \frac{3!}{\sqrt{6}} \eta_1, \eta_2, \eta_3 \langle Q_1, \xi_1, \eta_1, \eta_1, \eta_1 | Q_2, \xi_2, \eta_2, \eta_2, \eta_2 | Q_3, \xi_3, \eta_3, \eta_3, \eta_3 \rangle,$$

where, $\bar{q}_3 = -\bar{q}_1 - \bar{q}_2$, and

$$\langle Q_i, \xi_i, \eta_i, \bar{q}_i | Q_j, \xi_j, \eta_j, \bar{q}_j \rangle = \delta_{Q_i \xi_j} \delta_{\eta_i \eta_j} E_i \delta(3)(\bar{q}_i - \bar{q}_j),$$

with $\eta_i$ and $(E_i, \bar{q}_i)$ (i = 1, 2, 3) being the spin and the four-momentum of the $Q_i$ heavy quark; $M$ being the mass of the baryon $\Omega_{QQQ}$; $\langle \frac{3}{2}, S_Z | \eta_1, \eta_2, \eta_3 \rangle$ being the Clebsch-Gordan (C-G) coefficient; $S_Z$ being the third component of the spin of the baryon, and $\psi(\bar{q}_1, \bar{q}_2)$ being the wave function of the baryon in the momentum space which is normalized as follows,

$$\int \frac{d^3\bar{q}_1}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{d^3\bar{q}_2}{(2\pi)^3} \psi^*(\bar{q}_1, \bar{q}_2)\psi(\bar{q}_1, \bar{q}_2) = 1.$$
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$^1$ $\Omega_{QQQ}$ means the triply heavy baryon $\Omega_{ccc}$ or $\Omega_{bbb}$. And $Q$ denotes the $c$ or $b$ quark.
The production of $\Omega_{QQQ}$ at $e^+e^-$ colliders can be factorized into two parts, the short-distance coefficient corresponding to the production of the three $QQ$ pairs and the long-distance matrix element describing the three heavy quark $Q$ coupling to the triply heavy baryon $\Omega_{QQQ}$. In the heavy quark limit, the dependence of the short distance on the momenta, $q_1$ and $q_2$, can be neglected in the leading order. Namely, the momenta of the produced three identical heavy quarks are the same. As a result, the long-distance matrix is proportional to the wave function of the baryon at the origin,

$$ \Psi(0,0) = \int \frac{d^3q_1}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{d^3q_2}{(2\pi)^3} \psi(q_1, q_2). \quad (3) $$

Now, the amplitude of the process $e^+e^- \rightarrow \Omega_{QQQ}\bar{Q}Q\bar{Q}$ can be written as,

$$ A(e^+e^- \rightarrow \Omega_{QQQ}\bar{Q}Q\bar{Q}) = \frac{\sqrt{2M}}{\sqrt{(2m)^3} \sqrt{3!}} M(e^+e^- \rightarrow (QQQ)_1^{(S,S_Z)} \bar{Q}_1\bar{Q}_2\bar{Q}_3), \quad (4) $$

in which, $m$ is the mass of the heavy quark $Q$, and $M(e^+e^- \rightarrow (QQQ)_1^{(S,S_Z)} \bar{Q}_1\bar{Q}_2\bar{Q}_3)$ is the short-distance coefficient, namely, the matrix element of the process $e^+e^- \rightarrow (QQQ)_1^{(S,S_Z)} \bar{Q}_1\bar{Q}_2\bar{Q}_3$.²

Now, let us consider the short-distance coefficient. The contribution to the production of the three heavy quark pairs comes from the $e^+e^-$ annihilation process mainly,

$$ e^-(k_1) + e^+(k_2) \rightarrow Z^*/\gamma^* \rightarrow Q(p_1, \xi_1) + Q(p_2, \xi_2) + Q(p_3, \xi_3) + \bar{Q}(p_4, \chi_1) + \bar{Q}(p_5, \chi_2) + \bar{Q}(p_6, \chi_3), \quad (5) $$

where, $k_1$ and $k_2$ are the 4-momenta of the electron and positron; $p_i$ ($i=1,6$) are the 4-momenta of the produced $Q$ and $\bar{Q}$ quarks, with $p_1 = p_2 = p_3$; $\xi_j$ and $\chi_j$ ($j=1,2,3$) are the color indices of the $Q_j$-quarks and $\bar{Q}_j$-quarks, respectively. The produced three pairs of heavy quarks in process (5) have six permutations denoted as, $(Q_1\bar{Q}_2\bar{Q}_3)^a\bar{Q}_4\bar{Q}_5\bar{Q}_6)$ with $i,j,k = 1,2,3$ and $i \neq j \neq k$.³ In the calculation, we will disregard the contribution of the electroweak interaction between the heavy quarks for the process (5). As a result, there are seven inequivalent topology structures for each of the six permutations as the same as the one in [27]. So, there are 42 topology structures totally for the produced heavy quarks. Inserting the $e^+e^-\gamma^*$ vertex and $e^+e^-Z^*$ vertex into the 42 topology structures in all the allowed positions in the tree level, we get 576 Feynman diagrams for the process (5).

As pointed out above, the color configuration of the produced three heavy quarks is $\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} e^{\xi_1\xi_2\xi_3} Q_{1a} Q_{2b} Q_{3c}$. Setting $T^a = \frac{1}{2}\lambda^a$ with $\lambda^a$ ($a = 1, \ldots, 8$) being the Gell-Mann matrices and using the color-flow method in [27], we can get the color factors of the 576 Feynman diagrams. The color factors of the last two diagrams in Fig.1 are both,

$$ \sum_{a,b,c} \sum_{\xi_1,\xi_2,\xi_3} \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} e^{\xi_1\xi_2\xi_3} f^{abc}(T^a)_{\xi_1\chi_1}(T^b)_{\xi_2\chi_2}(T^b)_{\xi_3\chi_3} = 0. $$

in which, $f^{abc}$ ($(a,b,c = 1, \ldots, 8$)) is the structure constant of the SU(3) group, and the index $\xi_j (i = 1, 2, 3)$ appears twice, in $e^{\xi_1\xi_2\xi_3}$ directly and as one of the indices $\xi_i$, $\xi_n$ and $\xi_n$ indirectly. And we see the result is independent onto the indices $i, j, k, l, m, n$ and $n$. As a result, we get the conclusion that the total contribution to the amplitude of the process (5) from the 74 Feynman diagrams involving a three-gluon vertex vanishes because of the color factors of these Feynman diagrams are all zero. The number of the Feynman diagrams which we need to consider reduces to 504. The color factors of the first seven Feynman diagrams in Fig.1 are all the same,

$$ \sum_{a,b,c} \sum_{\xi_1,\xi_2,\xi_3} \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} e^{\xi_1\xi_2\xi_3} f^{abc}(T^a)_{\xi \chi_1}(T^a)_{\chi_2}(T^b)_{\xi \chi_3} = (-1)^N \frac{4}{9\sqrt{6}} e^{\chi_1\chi_2\chi_3}, $$

in which $N$ is the number of the permutations of transforming the set $(\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3)$ to the set $(\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3)$. For each of the Feynman diagrams, there is a Feynman factor $(-1)^N$, where $N^*$ equals the total number of permutations of transforming the set $(l, m, n)$ to the set $(1, 2, 3)$ and transforming the set $(i, j, k)$ to the set $(1, 2, 3)$. Summing the Feynman factor into the corresponding color factor, we find that all the color factors of the remaining 504 Feynman diagrams are the same,

$$ C_{col} = (-1)^{N^*} (-1)^{N} \frac{4}{9\sqrt{6}} e^{\chi_1\chi_2\chi_3}. $$

Now, let’s consider the remained 504 Feynman diagrams. For each one of the six permutations given above, $(Q_1\bar{Q}_2\bar{Q}_3)^a\bar{Q}_4\bar{Q}_5\bar{Q}_6)$ with $i, j, k = 1,2,3$, there are 84 Feynman diagrams, in which 42 ones correspond to the process $e^- + e^+ \rightarrow Z^* \rightarrow Q_1\bar{Q}_2\bar{Q}_3\bar{Q}_4\bar{Q}_5\bar{Q}_6$ and the other 42 ones correspond to the process $e^- + e^+ \rightarrow \gamma^* \rightarrow Q_1\bar{Q}_2\bar{Q}_3\bar{Q}_4\bar{Q}_5\bar{Q}_6$. In our calculation, the momenta of the produced identical heavy quarks are considered to be equal. As a result, we find that the contribution of every 84 Feynman diagrams corresponding to each one of the six permutations are the same. So, the total amplitude for
FIG. 1. Nine typical Feynman diagrams for the process (5). The indices $i, j, k, l, m, n = 1, 2, 3$ (with $i \neq j \neq k$ and $l \neq m \neq n$).

the process $e^+e^- \to (QQQ)_1^{(S_z)} \bar{Q} \bar{Q} \bar{Q}$

\[ M(e^+e^- \to (QQQ)_1^{(S_z)} \bar{Q} \bar{Q} \bar{Q}) = \sum_{k=1}^{504} C \text{col} \Gamma_k \]

\[ = 3! \sum_{k=1}^{84} C \text{col} \Gamma'_k , (6) \]

where $\Gamma_k$ ($k = 1, 2, ..., 504$) are the matrices without color factors of the Feynman diagrams, and $\Gamma'_k$ ($k = 1, 2, ..., 84$) are the matrices without color factors of the 84 Feynman diagrams corresponding to the permutation $(Q_1 \bar{Q}_1 Q_2 \bar{Q}_2 Q_3 \bar{Q}_3)$.

III. NUMERICAL RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

Now, the cross section of the process (5) can be written as

\[ \sigma = \frac{1}{3!} \int \sum_{S_2, \chi} \frac{(2\pi)^4}{28} \delta^4(k_1 + k_2 - P - p_4 - p_5 - p_6) \]

\[ d\Pi \frac{1}{4} \sum_{s_1, s_2, \chi} |A(e^+e^- \to \Omega QQ \bar{Q} \bar{Q} \bar{Q})|^2 , (7) \]
FIG. 2. Distributions of the differential production cross section versus the transverse momentum of the $\Omega_{ccc}$ produced at CEPC with $\sqrt{s} = 91.2$ GeV.

FIG. 3. Distributions of the differential production cross section versus the transverse momentum of the $\Omega_{bb\bar{b}}$ produced at CEPC with $\sqrt{s} = 91.2$ GeV.

FIG. 4. The production cross section of the $\Omega_{ccc}$ produced by $e^+e^-$ annihilation at different energies.

FIG. 5. The production cross section of the $\Omega_{bb\bar{b}}$ produced by $e^+e^-$ annihilation at different energies.

with

$$d\Pi_4 = \frac{d^3P}{(2\pi)^3 2E_p}(2\pi)^3 2E_p \frac{d^3p_4}{(2\pi)^3 2E_p} \frac{d^3p_5}{(2\pi)^3 2E_p} \frac{d^3p_6}{(2\pi)^3 2E_p},$$

where $\zeta_i$ ($i = 1, 2, 3$), $s_1$ and $s_2$ are the spins of the anti-quarks $\bar{Q}$, $e^+$ and $e^-$, respectively.

To do the numerical calculation, the parameters are taken as follows:

$m_c = 1.5$ GeV, $m_b = 4.9$ GeV, $m_Z = 91.18$ GeV, $\sin^2\theta_w = 0.224$, $\Gamma_Z = 2.49$ GeV, $\alpha(m_Z) = 1/127.95$, $|\Psi_{\Omega_{ccc}}(0,0)|^2 = 0.36 \cdot 10^{-3}$ GeV$^6$ and $|\Psi_{\Omega_{bb\bar{b}}}(0,0)|^2 = 0.189$ GeV$^6$.

(8)

For the electromagnetic coupling constant, we adopt

$$\alpha(q) = \frac{\alpha(m_Z)}{1 - \alpha(m_Z) \log(\frac{q^2}{m_Z^2})},$$

(9)

where, $q$ denotes the energy scale of the electromagnetic coupling constant, and we take $q = \sqrt{s}$ in this paper with $\sqrt{s}$ being the colliding energy in the center-of-mass frame. And the strong coupling constant, we adopt

$$\alpha_s(\mu) = \frac{\alpha_s(m_Z)}{1 + \frac{b_0}{27} \alpha_s(m_Z) \log(\frac{\mu^2}{m_Z^2})},$$

(10)

with $b_0 = 11 - \frac{2}{3} n_f$,

where $\mu$ is the energy scale and $\alpha_s(m_Z) = 0.118$. For the production of the $\Omega_{ccc}$, $n_f$ is taken to be 4 when $\mu \leq 2m_b$ and 5 when $\mu$ is larger than $2m_b$. And for the production of the $\Omega_{bb\bar{b}}$, $n_f$ is taken to be 5. For comparison, we take two different values for $\mu$, i.e., $\mu = \sqrt{s}/2$ and $\mu = \mu_R/2$, where $\mu_R$ is the transverse mass of the produced baryon, namely $\mu_R^2 = p_T^2 + m^2.$

$4$ Here, $p_T$ means the transverse momentum of the produced triply heavy baryon.
SuperKEKB, the asymmetric beam energy \( e^-e^+ \) collider, is an upgrade of the KEKB accelerator facility. The target integrated luminosity of 50 \( ab^{-1} \) to be collected by the Belle II experiment. And a high luminosity \( e^+e^- \) collider named the Circular Electron-Positron Collider (CEPC) has been proposed by the Chinese particle physics community. The CEPC is designed to operate at three different modes, as a Higgs factory at \( \sqrt{s} = 240 \text{ GeV} \) as a Z factory at \( \sqrt{s} = 91.2 \text{ GeV} \) and performing \( WW \) threshold scans around \( \sqrt{s} = 160 \text{ GeV} \) [37]. We calculate the production cross sections of the baryons \( \Omega_{ccc} \) and \( \Omega_{bbb} \) at CEPC with the energy \( \sqrt{s} = 91.2 \text{ GeV} \) and \( \sqrt{s} = 160 \text{ GeV} \), and at Belle II with the center-of-mass energy \( \sqrt{s} = 10.58 \text{ GeV} \). The results are shown in TABLE I.

Here, we also calculate the differential cross sections \( d\sigma/dp_T \) of the production of the \( \Omega_{ccc} \) and \( \Omega_{bbb} \) at \( \sqrt{s} = 91.2 \text{ GeV} \), which are shown in Figs. 2 and 3. The line for the \( p_T \) distribution are not smooth due to the Vegas simulation error which can be improved by increasing the event numbers. We calculate the production of the \( \Omega_{ccc} \) and \( \Omega_{bbb} \) at the \( e^+e^- \) collider with different colliding energies, which are shown in Fig.4 and Fig.5.

As we see that both the integrated cross sections and differential cross sections are proportional to \( |\Psi(0,0)|^2 \), \( \alpha^2(q) \) and \( \alpha_s(\mu) \). So the numerical results can be changed by one even two orders using different the wave function at the origin of the triply heavy baryons, different running coupling constants and different energy scale choices. We can get the conclusion from the numerical results shown in Figs.4 and 5, that the production cross sections of the \( \Omega_{ccc} \) and \( \Omega_{bbb} \) take their maximum values at \( \sqrt{s} = m_Z \). From the numerical results in Table I, we know that it is impossible to observe \( \Omega_{ccc} \) at SuperKEKB, if there is no other models to produce them at the \( e^+e^- \) colliders. Also, we see there are around 32-198 events for the production of \( \Omega_{ccc} \) and 5-15 events for the production of \( \Omega_{bbb} \), at CEPC with 16 \( ab^{-1} \) integrated luminosity running at 91.2 GeV.

### IV. SUMMARY

As a summary, we have studied the production of the \( \Omega_{ccc} \) and \( \Omega_{bbb} \) at the SuperKEKB and the CEPC. From the numerical results, we conclude that it is impossible to find the triply heavy baryons at SuperKEKB, and it is hard to find \( \Omega_{ccc} \) and \( \Omega_{bbb} \) at the CEPC because of the fewer events.
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### TABLE I. Production cross sections (in unit \( fb \)) of the \( \Omega_{ccc} \) and \( \Omega_{bbb} \) at the CEPC and Belle II.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>( \sqrt{s} )</th>
<th>( \mu )</th>
<th>( \Omega_{ccc} )</th>
<th>( \Omega_{bbb} )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CEPC</td>
<td>91.2 GeV</td>
<td>( \sqrt{s}/2 )</td>
<td>0.00204(5)</td>
<td>0.332(4) \times 10^{-3}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>( \mu_R/2 )</td>
<td>0.0124(3)</td>
<td>0.952(4) \times 10^{-3}</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>160 GeV</td>
<td>( \sqrt{s}/2 )</td>
<td>0.214(9) \times 10^{-5}</td>
<td>0.61(2) \times 10^{-6}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>( \mu_R/2 )</td>
<td>0.108(9) \times 10^{-4}</td>
<td>0.197(5) \times 10^{-5}</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Belle II</td>
<td>10.58 GeV</td>
<td>( \sqrt{s}/2 )</td>
<td>0.240(1) \times 10^{-5}</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>( \mu_R/2 )</td>
<td>0.707(3) \times 10^{-5}</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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