Model for 1/f Flux noise in Superconducting Aluminum Devices: Impact of External Magnetic Fields
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Superconducting quantum interference devices (SQUIDs) and related circuits made of aluminum are known to display 1/\omega flux noise, where \omega is frequency. A recent experiment showed that the application of an external magnetic field in the 10 – 100 G range changed the noise to a single Lorentzian peaked at \omega = 0. Here it is shown that a model based on independent impurity spin flips with coexisting cross and direct mechanisms of spin relaxation may explain these experiments. The model shows that application of an external magnetic field can be used to reduce the impact of flux noise in qubits.

Superconducting qubits are a promising candidate for building practical quantum computers due to their relatively low fabrication costs, low decoherence and scalability compared to other qubit technologies.\textsuperscript{1, 2} Frequency tunability is required to scale up to thousands of qubits and prevent errors associated to frequency crowding. This requires the addition of SQUIDs to the circuits, making them sensitive to flux noise.\textsuperscript{3} Flux noise can alter the energy levels of the qubits and lead to errors in quantum operations, making it a crucial factor in the development of practical quantum computers.\textsuperscript{4, 5}

Despite extensive research, the underlying mechanism of flux noise remains unclear, making it difficult to mitigate its impact. However, flux noise is widely believed to arise from the dynamics of magnetic impurities near the superconducting wires.\textsuperscript{6–12}

Several experiments\textsuperscript{12–16} show that the frequency dependence of flux noise follows a power law, \bar{S}_f(\omega) \propto 1/\omega^\alpha, with exponent \alpha independent of frequency \omega over several decades. Experimental measurements on Nb devices show \alpha(T) going from 0.8 to 0.4 with increasing temperature T.\textsuperscript{14} On the other hand, in Al devices, \alpha = 0.96 – 1.05.\textsuperscript{12} Recently, we showed that the \alpha(T) measured in Nb devices can be explained by a model that assumes the impurity spins interact via ferromagnetic exchange interactions.\textsuperscript{17} In contrast, the exponent \alpha measured in Al devices could not be explained by spin-spin exchange; it required instead the assumption of an extra individual spin relaxation channel, presumably due to the interaction between each spin and other non-spin degrees of freedom such as phonons, electron gas, or amorphous two-level systems.\textsuperscript{17}

A recent experiment, focused in Al superconducting qubits, presented for the first time measurements of flux noise in the presence of an external magnetic field \textit{B}.\textsuperscript{18} While at \textit{B} = 0 the flux noise showed the expected 1/\omega frequency dependence\textsuperscript{12} consistent with our model for spin relaxation disorder,\textsuperscript{17} the measurements at weak fields (\textit{B} = 10 – 100 G) were quite puzzling. At \textit{B} > 0 the noise transitioned smoothly into a Lorentzian in frequency, suggesting dramatic reduction in spin disorder.

Here we propose a model for these observations, and show that fitting to experimental data will shed light on the microscopic mechanism responsible for flux noise in Al devices.

Consider a set of spin impurities spatially distributed on the surfaces and interfaces of the wires forming the superconducting device. Each impurity is located at position \textit{R}_j and has spin described by the dimensionless operator \hat{s}_j. Their magnetic moment \(-g\mu_B\hat{s}_j\) imprints a flux on the device\textsuperscript{19}

\[ \hat{\Phi} = -\sum_j \textit{F}_j \cdot \hat{s}_j, \quad (1) \]

where the flux vector \textit{F}_j = g\mu_B\textit{B}_j(\textit{R}_j)/\textit{I} accounts for the dependence of the impurity-generated flux on different spin orientations. Here \textit{g} is the impurity’s \textit{g}-factor, \mu_B is the Bohr magneton, \textit{B}_j(\textit{R}_j) is the magnetic field generated by the wire at the spin site, and \textit{I} is the total current flowing through the wire.

The spins themselves are coupled to the wire’s current according to the Hamiltonian,

\[ \mathcal{H} = g\mu_B \sum_j \textit{B}_j \cdot \hat{s}_j, \quad (2) \]

where \textit{B}_j = \textit{B}_j(\textit{R}_j) + \textit{B}_\text{ext} is the spin’s local field, including an externally applied \textit{B}_\text{ext}. For typical SQUIDs, \textit{I} \lesssim 1 \mu A, leading to peak \textit{B}_j < \mu_0\textit{I}/\textit{b} \sim 0.1 \text{ G} where \textit{b} \sim 0.1 \mu \text{m} is the thickness of the superconducting wire. As a result it is safe to approximate \textit{B}_j \approx \textit{B}_\text{ext} when \textit{B}_\text{ext} > 1 \text{ G}.

Flux noise arises from time-dependent correlations of the flux fluctuation operator \delta\Phi(t) = \hat{\Phi}(t) - \langle \hat{\Phi} \rangle,

\[ \tilde{S}_f(\omega) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dt e^{i\omega t} \langle \delta\Phi(t) \delta\Phi(0) \rangle = \sum_{j,k,a,b} \textit{F}_j^a \tilde{S}_{jk}^{ab}(\omega) \textit{F}_k^b, \quad (3) \]

where the superscripts \textit{a, b} = \textit{x, y, z} denote the components of the flux vector, and the spin noise is defined as

\[ \tilde{S}_{jk}^{ab}(\omega) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dt e^{i\omega t} \langle [\hat{\delta}_j^a(t) - \langle \hat{\delta}_j^a \rangle][\hat{\delta}_k^b(0) - \langle \hat{\delta}_k^b \rangle] \rangle. \quad (4) \]
FIG. 1. Flux noise produced by a single spin in a magnetic field $\mathbf{B}$. Spin fluctuations along $\mathbf{B}$ ($\tilde{S}^\parallel(\omega)$) remain peaked at $\omega = 0$ for all $B$. In contrast, spin fluctuations perpendicular to $\mathbf{B}$ ($\tilde{S}^\perp(\omega)$) give rise to “spin precession peaks” centered at $\omega = g_\mu_B B/\hbar$. As a result the flux noise contribution due to the component of the flux vector perpendicular to $\mathbf{B}$ is expelled from the low frequency range (See Eq. (5)). Both contributions are Lorentzian with linewidth set by the spin relaxation rate $\Gamma$.

In Ref. 17 a numerical method to compute Eq. (4) for a general Hamiltonian of interacting spins was described. While it was shown that spin-spin interactions lead to the dominant mechanism of flux noise in Nb devices, the so called spin diffusion mechanism, comparison with experiments in Al devices suggested a quite different picture. Namely, that the spins near Al wires were fluctuating independently with $\mathbf{B}$ to a much higher frequency range. (See Eq. (5)). Both contributions are Lorentzian with linewidth set by the spin relaxation rate $\Gamma$.

In the current letter, it is assumed that independent spin flips dominate $\omega > 0$ noise, so that $\tilde{S}_{jk}(\omega) \ll \tilde{S}_{jj}(\omega)$ when $j \neq k$. Together with $\tilde{S}_{jj}^{ab}(\omega) = -\tilde{S}_{jj}^{ba}(\omega)$ when $a \neq b$, the flux noise simplifies to

$$\tilde{S}_\Phi(\omega) \approx \sum_j \left[ \hat{F}_j \cdot \hat{B}_j \right]^2 \tilde{S}_{jj}^{\parallel}(\omega) + \left[ \hat{F}_j \times \hat{B}_j \right]^2 \tilde{S}_{jj}^{\perp}(\omega).$$

(5)

Here $\hat{B}_j$ is the unit vector pointing along the local magnetic field acting on the spin, $\tilde{S}_{jj}^{\parallel}(\omega) \equiv \tilde{S}_{Bj}^{Bj}(\omega)$, and $\tilde{S}_{jj}^{\perp}(\omega) \equiv \tilde{S}_{Pj}^{Pj}(\omega)$ were $\hat{P}_j$ is any direction perpendicular to $\hat{B}_j$.

It is assumed that each spin evolves independently of the others according to the equation of motion

$$\frac{ds}{dt} = \frac{g_\mu_B}{\hbar} \mathbf{B} \times s - \Gamma (s - s^{\text{inst \; en}}),$$

where $s = \langle \hat{s}_j \rangle$ represents a quantum average (the subscript $j$ is now omitted for simplicity). In addition to spin precession, this equation includes an isotropic spin energy relaxation $\Gamma$, which drives $s$ towards its “instantaneous equilibrium” value $s^{\text{inst \; eq}} = s^{\text{eq}} - \chi(0) g_\mu B \delta(\omega)$, where $\chi(0)$ is the $\omega = 0$ susceptibility. For small deviations from equilibrium we write $\mathbf{B} \rightarrow \mathbf{B} + \delta(\omega)$, and $s \rightarrow s^{\text{eq}} + \delta s(\omega)$, where both $\delta B(\omega)$ and $\delta s(\omega)$ are small time-dependent perturbations. Plugging these into Eq. (6) and dropping non-linear terms enables the calculation of the dynamical susceptibility and the spin noise through the fluctuation-dissipation theorem (See 17 for details). In the current independent spin model the spin noise can be calculated analytically:

$$\tilde{S}_\parallel(\omega) = \frac{2\hbar \omega}{1 - e^{-\hbar \omega/k_BT}} \frac{\Gamma \chi(0)}{\omega^2 + \Gamma^2},$$

(7a)

$$\tilde{S}_\perp(\omega) = \frac{\Gamma}{1 - e^{-\hbar \omega/k_BT}} \frac{\chi(0)(\hbar \omega - g_\mu_B B) - s^{\text{eq}}}{(\omega - g_\mu_B B/\hbar)^2 + \Gamma^2} + \frac{\chi(0)(\omega + g_\mu_B B + s^{\text{eq}})}{(\omega + g_\mu_B B/\hbar)^2 + \Gamma^2},$$

(7b)

where $s^{\text{eq}} = -\frac{1}{2} \tanh(g_\mu B/2k_BT)$ and $\chi(0) = 1/[4k_BT \cosh^2(g_\mu B/2k_BT)]$.

In the presence of an external magnetic field, $\tilde{S}_\parallel(\omega)$ is drastically different from $\tilde{S}_\perp(\omega)$, as illustrated in Fig. 1. From Eq. (5) it follows that at each spin location, the flux vector’s component parallel to the external field will produce Lorentzian flux noise peaked at $\omega = 0$. In contrast, the component of the flux vector perpendicular to $\mathbf{B}$ will produce instead two Lorentzians peaked at $\omega = \pm g_\mu B/\hbar = \pm 2\pi(B/1 \text{ G}) \times 2.8$ MHz. This shows that even a $\mathbf{B}_{\text{ext}}$ of a few Gauss has a huge impact on flux noise: it shifts the perpendicular flux vector contribution to a much higher frequency range.
While a single spin produces Lorentzian noise, a system of spins with a wide distribution of relaxation rates \( \Gamma_j \) can give rise to \( 1/\omega \) noise in a large interval of frequencies.\(^{6,17,21}\)

Motivated by recent flux noise measurements in Al devices under weak magnetic fields,\(^{18}\) we propose the following relaxation rate \( \Gamma_j \) for a spin \( s_j \) placed on the surface or interface of a superconducting wire,

\[
\Gamma_j = \Gamma_0 e^{-\lambda} + \Gamma_1 (k_B T)(g \mu_B B)^n.
\]

The first contribution to Eq. (8) models cross spin relaxation due to the impurity spin interaction with one or more amorphous two-level systems (TLSs), where \( \lambda \) is a random variable uniformly distributed in the interval \( [0, \lambda_{\text{max}}] \).\(^{6,21}\) Cross relaxation occurs when a TLS switches due to thermal fluctuation, causing a simultaneous impurity spin flip. Since the majority of these processes occur for TLSs with energy splitting of the order of \( k_B T \), cross relaxation is independent of magnetic field when \( g \mu_B B < k_B T \).\(^6\) The second contribution to Eq. (8) models direct spin relaxation, whereby the spin emits a phonon, either due to modulation of its hyperfine interaction \( (\Gamma_j \propto T^2) \), or due to the spin-orbit admixture mechanism \( (\Gamma_j \propto T^4) \). In both cases the rates scale linearly in \( T \) because they are proportional to the phonon occupation at the Zeeman frequency; they also scale as \( B^0 \) because the direct mechanism requires the breaking of time-reversal symmetry.\(^{22,23}\) The constants \( \Gamma_0, \Gamma_1, \) and \( n \) are to be determined by fitting to experiments.

The \( \Gamma_j \) are distributed according to probability density \( p(\Gamma) = 1/(\lambda_{\text{max}} |d\Gamma|) \), leading to the disorder-averaged single spin noise,

\[
\hat{S}^a_{\text{avg}}(\omega) = \int_{\Gamma_{\text{min}}}^{\Gamma_{\text{max}}} \hat{S}^a(\omega)p(\Gamma)d\Gamma = \frac{\hbar \omega \chi(0)/\lambda_{\text{max}}}{1 - e^{-\hbar \omega/k_B T}} \sum_{\gamma^a} \left\{ \frac{\arctan(\Gamma_{\text{max}} / \gamma^a) - \arctan(\Gamma_{\text{min}} / \gamma^a)}{\gamma^a} \right\}.
\]

where \( a = \parallel, \perp \) and

\[
A_{\Gamma}(\omega) = \lambda_{\text{max}} - \frac{1}{2} \log \left( \frac{\Gamma_{\text{max}}^2 + \omega^2}{\Gamma_{\text{min}}^2 + \omega^2} \right) - \frac{\Gamma_1 (k_B T)(g \mu_B B)^n}{\omega} \left[ \arctan \left( \frac{\Gamma_{\text{max}}}{\omega} \right) - \arctan \left( \frac{\Gamma_{\text{min}}}{\omega} \right) \right]
\]

with \( \gamma^\parallel = \omega, \gamma^\perp = |\omega \pm g \mu_B B / h| \). The minimum and maximum rates are \( \Gamma_{\text{min}} = \Gamma_0 e^{-\lambda_{\text{max}}} + \Gamma_1 (k_B T)(g \mu_B B)^n \) and \( \Gamma_{\text{max}} = \Gamma_0 + \Gamma_1 (k_B T)(g \mu_B B)^n \), and \( s_{\text{eq}} \) was expanded to first order in \( g \mu_B B / k_B T \).

When \( B = 0 \), the curly bracket of Eq. (9) gives rise to \( 1/|\omega| \) noise for a wide range of frequencies \( \Gamma_{\text{min}} \ll |\omega| \ll \Gamma_{\text{max}} \). As \( B \) is increased from zero, disordered spin precession peaks at \( \propto 1/|\omega \pm g \mu_B B / h| \) are added to the low frequency range due to the nonzero components of \( F_j \perp B \).

However, as \( B \) increases further there will be a range of frequencies satisfying \(|\omega|, |\omega \pm g \mu_B B / h| < \Gamma_1 (k_B T)(g \mu_B B)^n \approx \Gamma_{\text{min}} \). In this range the \( 1/|\gamma^a| \) contribution to flux noise is exponentially suppressed, and the second term in the curly bracket of Eq. (9) becomes dominant, with \( A_{\Gamma}(\gamma^a) \approx \lambda_{\text{max}} - \log(\Gamma_0 / \Gamma_{\text{min}}) - 1 \), independent of \( \omega \). As a result, both the low frequency noise and the spin precession peaks are converted into simple “reduced disorder” Lorentzian noises, centered at \( \omega = 0 \) for \( a = \parallel \) and \( \omega = \pm g \mu_B B / h \) for \( a = \perp \).

Figure 2 shows the predicted transition from \( 1/\omega \) to Lorentzian flux noise with increasing magnetic field. The behavior is in qualitative agreement to recent experiments in Al devices (Fig. 3(a) of Ref. 18).

In conclusion, a model for spin noise is proposed to explain flux noise experiments in superconducting Al devices. The model is based on the assumption that the interaction between each impurity spin and the vibrational modes of the lattice, formed by amorphous TLSs and phonons, dominate finite frequency fluctuations. Within this scenario, the impact of spin-spin interactions such as dipolar and exchange can still be observed as a Curie-Weiss temperature \( T_{\text{CW}} \) in the zero-frequency susceptibility, because spin relaxation \( \Gamma \) does not impact \( \chi(0) \). Thus one can use \( \chi(0) = 1/[4k_B(T - T_{\text{CW}}) \cosh^2(g \mu_B B / 2k_B T)] \) in Eq. (9) to model spin-spin interactions.

An analytical expression for the flux noise in the presence of an external magnetic field \( B \) is obtained, revealing a transition from \( 1/\omega \) flux noise at \( B = 0 \) to Lorentzian flux noise in the frequency range where direct spin relaxation by phonon emission is stronger than cross relaxation due to amorphous TLSs. The transition is similar to what is observed in recent experiments.\(^{18}\)

Fitting the current theory to experimental data will yield the characteristic exponent \( n \) for direct phonon emission, elucidating whether the mechanism is mediated by spin-orbit coupling or hyperfine interaction. This will in turn yield valuable information on the identity of the spins causing flux noise.

The model predicts that application of a \( B \) field reduces low frequency noise arising from \( F_j \perp B \) by a
factor of $1/cosh^2(g\mu_B B/2k_B T)$. It also shifts the contribution of $F_1 \perp B$ out of the low frequency range, transferring noise to a spin precession peak centered at $\omega = \pm g\mu_B B / \hbar$. Thus, an external $B$ can be used to reduce the impact of flux noise in qubits.
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20. This follows from the fluctuation-dissipation theorem for spins, Eq. (7) in17, and the observation that the susceptibility $\chi^{ab}(\omega)$ is real when $a \neq b$.

